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#### Abstract

The beans function $B_{G}(x)$ of a connected graph $G$ is defined as the maximum number of points on $G$ such that any pair of points have distance at least $x>0$. This is a decreasing and left continuous function and has many discontinuous points $\lambda_{n, 1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n, k}$ over the interval $(1 /(n+1), 1 / n]$ in general. We call these points the gaps of $B_{G}(x)$. We shall show that any gap $B_{G}(x)$ is a rational number. By the recursive formula given in [3], we can show that $\lambda_{n, i}=\lambda_{1, i} /\left(1+(n-1) \lambda_{1, i}\right)$ easily. So, we shall focus on the interval $(1 / 2,1]$ and show that $\lambda_{1,1} \in(1 / 2,3 / 5]$ and $\lambda_{1, k} \in[3 / 4,1)$ if $G$ has at least three vertices and is not isomorphic to the star $K_{1,|V(G)|-1}$. Furthermore, we shall find another gap of $B_{G}(x)$ in $[2 / 3,3 / 4)$ with a suitable condition for $G$, and discuss the sharpness of these results, considering some examples.


## Introduction

Our graph $G$ is a simple graph and we regard it as a 1-dimensional simplicial complex each of whose edges has a unit length. Thus, if $G$ is connected, then we can define the distance $d_{G}(p, q)$ between any two points $p$ and $q$ on $G$; they may be not only points located at vertices, but also intermediate points lying along edges.

Negami [4] has introduced a function $B_{G}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, called the beans function of $G$, as the maximum number of points on $G$ any pair of which have distance at least $x>0$, where $\mathbb{R}_{+}$stands for the set of positive real numbers and $\mathbb{N}$ is the set of natural numbers. He also has given the following upper and lower bounds for the values of $B_{G}(x)$ taken over the interval $A_{n}=(1 /(n+1), 1 / n]$ :

THEOREM 1. (Negami [4]) Let $G$ be a connected graph and let $n$ be a natural number. If $x \in A_{n}$, then:

$$
n \cdot|E(G)|+|V(G)|-1 \geq B_{G}(x) \geq n \cdot|E(G)|+\alpha
$$

where $\alpha=1$ if $G$ is a tree, and $\alpha=0$ otherwise.
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It is easy to see that the lower bound is attained by $x=1 / n$ for any $n \geq 1$. On the other hand, Negami [4] has shown an example of a graph $G$ whose beans function takes the value $n \cdot|E(G)|+|V(G)|-1$ as the maximum of $B_{G}(x)$ over $A_{n}=(1 /(n+1), 1 / n]$. Furthermore, Enami [2] has already proved that the upper bound is attained by a suitable value of $x>1 /(n+1)$ for any connected graph $G$. Thus, we should investigate what happens inside the interval $A_{n}$.

Notice that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}=(0,1]$ and that $B_{G}(x)$ is decreasing and left continuous. Since $B_{G}(x)$ takes discrete values in $\mathbb{N}$, it has finitely many discontinuous points $\lambda_{n, 1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n, k_{n}} \in A_{n}-\{1 / n\}$ and takes a constant value over each of the segments separated by these points. We call each of $\lambda_{n, i}$ 's a gap of $B_{G}(x)$ in $A_{n}$. In particular, $B_{G}(x)$ takes the value $n \cdot|E(G)|+|V(G)|-1$ over $\left(1 /(n+1), \lambda_{n, 1}\right]$ and $n \cdot|E(G)|+\alpha$ over $\left(\lambda_{n, k_{n}}, 1 / n\right]$ by the facts described in the previous paragraph.

In fact, the authors have established the following recursive formula for $B_{G}(x)$ :

THEOREM 2. (Enami and Negami [3]) For any positive real number $x \leq 1$ and a natural number $k \geq 1$, we have:

$$
B\left(\frac{x}{1+k x}\right)=B_{G}(x)+k|E(G)|
$$

The function $f_{k}(x)=x /(1+k x)$ sends any value in $A_{n}$ to a value in $A_{n+k}$ bijectively. Thus, this formula enables us to determine all values of $B_{G}(x)$ only by knowing its values over the interval $A_{1}=(1 / 2,1]$. For examples, the gap $\lambda_{n, i}$ corresponds to $\lambda_{1, i}$ and we have $\lambda_{n, i}=\lambda_{1, i} /\left(1+(n-1) \lambda_{1, i}\right)$ and $k_{n}=k_{1}$ for any natural number $n$. That is, the number of gaps over $(1 /(n+1), 1 / n]$ is a constant, say $k$. To simplify the notations below, we set $\lambda_{i}=\lambda_{1, i}$. To know all gaps of $B_{G}(x)$, it suffices to focus on the interval $(1 / 2,1]$.

In this paper, we shall discuss the first left gap $\lambda_{1}$ and the first right gap $\lambda_{k}$ in $A_{1}=(1 / 2,1]$ and estimate where they are located in $A_{1}$, as given in the following two theorems:

THEOREM 3. Let $G$ be a connected graph which has at least three vertices and is not isomorphic to the star $K_{1,|V(G)|-1}$. Then the first left gap $\lambda_{1}$ of $B_{G}(x)$ lies in $(1 / 2,3 / 5]$ and $B_{G}(x)=|E(G)|+|V(G)|-1$ for $x \in\left(1 / 2, \lambda_{1}\right]$.

THEOREM 4. Let $G$ be a connected graph which has at least three vertices and is not isomorphic to the star $K_{1,|V(G)|-1}$. Then the firsr right gap $\lambda_{k}$ of $B_{G}(x)$ lines in $[3 / 4,1)$ and $B_{G}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)>|E(G)|+\alpha$, where $\alpha=1$ if $G$ is tree and $\alpha=0$ otherwise.

If we restrict the graph $G$ slightly, we can find one more gap of $B_{G}(x)$, as
follows. A set of edges in a graph $G$ each pair of which have no common end is called a matching in $G$ and we denote the maximum size of matchings in $G$ by $\mu(G)$.

THEOREM 5. Let $G$ be a connected graph which has the minimum degree at least 2 . Then there is a gap $\lambda$ of $B_{G}(x)$ with $2 / 3 \leq \lambda \leq 3 / 4$, and $B_{G}(\lambda) \geq$ $|E(G)|+\mu(G)>B_{G}(x)$ for $x>\lambda$.
(i) If there is no odd cycle of length at most $2 k-1$ in $G$, then $\lambda \leq(2 k+$ 1) $/(3 k+1)$.
(ii) If $G$ is bipartite, then $\lambda=2 / 3$.

First, we shall discuss a combinatorial criterion for a positive real number to be one of gaps of $B_{G}(x)$ and prove that any gap is a rational number in Section 1. Next, focusing on the interval $(1 / 2,1]$, we shall prove the above theorems in Sections 2 and 3, and discuss their sharpness with examples in Section 4. Our terminology is quite standard and can be found in [1].

## 1. Gaps of functions

Let $x>0$ be a positive real number. A set $S$ of points on $G$ is called an $x$-set if any pair of points in $S$ have distance at least $x$. In particular, if $S$ has the maximum size among all $x$-sets, then we call $S$ a maximum $x$-set. That is, $B_{G}(x)=|S|$ for any maximum $x$-set in $G$.

Let $\lambda<1$ be a positive real number and let $S$ be a $\lambda$-set in $G$. A cycle $C$ in $G$ is said to be $\lambda$-full for $S$ if it contains exactly $|C| / \lambda$ point in $S$, where $|C|$ stands for the length of $C$ and is equal to the number of edges of $C$. If $C$ is a $\lambda$-full cycle for $S$, then the points in $S$ are located at equal intervals of length $\lambda$ along $C$. Thus, $\lambda$ must be a rational number.

Similarly, a path $Q$ in $G$ is said to be $\lambda$-full for $S$ if it contains exactly $|Q| / \lambda+1$ points in $S$, where $|Q|$ denotes the length of $Q$, which is equal to the number of edges in $Q$. If $Q$ is a $\lambda$-full path for $S$, then two points in $S$ are located at both ends of $Q$ and the other points in $S$ divide into $|Q| / \lambda$ segements of length $\lambda$. This implies that $\lambda$ must be a rational number in this case, too.

Using these notions, we can establish a criterion for a positive real number $\lambda<1$ to be a gap of $B_{G}(x)$, as follows.

THEOREM 6. Let $G$ be a connected graph and let $\lambda<1$ be a positive real number. The number $\lambda$ is one of a gap of $B_{G}(x)$ if and only if there is either a $\lambda$-full cycle or a $\lambda$-full path for any maximum $\lambda$-set $S$.

Proof. First, we shall show the sufficiency. Suppose that $\lambda$ is not a gap of $B_{G}(x)$. Then $B_{G}(\lambda)=B_{G}(\lambda+\varepsilon)$ for a sufficiently small positive number $\varepsilon>0$. This implies that any maximum $(\lambda+\varepsilon)$-set $S$ in $G$ is also a maximum $\lambda$-set in $G$. Then any cycle and any path in $G$ cannot be $\lambda$-full for this $\lambda$-set $S$ since any pair of points in $S$ lying along it have distance at least $\lambda+\varepsilon>\lambda$.

Next, we shall show the necessity. Let $S$ be a maximum $\lambda$-set in $G$ and suppose that there is neither a $\lambda$-full cycle nor a $\lambda$-full path for $S$. Furthermore, we may assume that we have chosen $S$ to minimize the number of pairs of points in $S$ which have distance exactly $\lambda$.

Assume that there is still a pair of points $s_{0}$ and $s_{1}$ in $S$ which have distance exactly $\lambda$. Try to find a sequence of points $s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots$ in $S$ such that $s_{i-1} \neq s_{i+1}$ and that $d_{G}\left(s_{i-1}, s_{i}\right)=\lambda$ for $i \geq 1$. If such a sequence included a cycle, then it would be a $\lambda$-full cycle for $S$, contrary to our assumption. Thus, the sequence of points $s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots$ runs along a path in $G$, and will stop at a point $s_{t}$.

Extend such a sequence toward both directions and take a maximal one. Then we may assume that a path $Q=v_{0} v_{1} \cdots v_{k}$ in $G$ contains $s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{t}$, where each of $v_{i}$ 's is a vertex in $G$. The point $s_{0}$ lies on the edge $v_{0} v_{1}$ and $s_{t}$ lies on the edge $v_{k-1} v_{k}$. Since there is no $\lambda$-full path for $S$ in $G$, at least one of $s_{0}$ and $s_{k}$ is not located at the ends of $Q$, Thus, we may assume that $s_{k}$ is an intermediate point of the edge $v_{k-1} v_{k}$.

By the maximality of the sequence of points $s_{0}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{t}$, we have $d_{G}\left(s, s_{t}\right)>$ $\lambda$ for any point $s$ in $S$ placed around $v_{k}$ except $s_{t}$ and $s_{t-1} ; s$ may or may not lie on $v_{k-1} v_{k}$. Then we can move $s_{t}$ slightly toward $v_{k}$ to obtain another $\lambda$-set $S^{\prime}$. Since $\left|S^{\prime}\right|=|S|$, this new $\lambda$-set $S^{\prime}$ also is maximum, but the number of pairs of points in $S^{\prime}$ which have distance exactly $\lambda$ would be less than $S$, contrary to the assumption on $S$.

Therefore, $S$ contains no pair of points which have distance exactly $\lambda$ and hence the minimum distance taken over all pairs in $S$ is greater than $\lambda$, say $\lambda+\varepsilon$ with a suitable positive real number $\varepsilon>0$. Thus, $S$ is a $(\lambda+\varepsilon)$-set in $G$ and we have $B_{G}(\lambda+\varepsilon)=B_{G}(\lambda)$. This implies that $\lambda$ is not a gap of $B_{G}(x)$.

COROLLARY 7. Any gap of $B_{G}(x)$ of a connected graph $G$ is a rational number.
Proof. If $\lambda$ is a gap of $B_{G}(x)$ of $G$, then there is either a $\lambda$-full cycle or a $\lambda$-full path for any maximum $\lambda$-set $S$ in $G$. Let $L$ be the length of such a cycle or a path. The points in $S$ lying along it divide it into several segments of length $\lambda$, say $M$ segments. Therefore, $\lambda=L / M$ must be a rational number.

## 2. First left and first right gaps

Given an $x$-set $S$ in $G$, we decompose $S$ into a disjoint union $\bigcup_{e \in E(G)} S_{e}$ so that each edge contains the points in $S_{e}$. If a point $p$ in $S$ is located at a vertex $v$, then we choose only one of edges incident to $v$, say $e$, and consider that $p$ belongs to $S_{e}$ and not to the others.

Given an $x$-set $S$ and its decomposition $\bigcup_{e \in E(G)} S_{e}$, we set $E_{i}=\{e \in E(G)$ : $\left.\left|S_{e}\right|=i\right\}$. If $x>1 / 2$, then it is clear that each edge contains at most two points in $S$ and hence $E(G)=E_{0} \cup E_{1} \cup E_{2}$. Thus, if $S$ is a maximum $x$-set for $x>1 / 2$, then we have:

$$
|S|=B_{G}(x)=\left|E_{1}\right|+2\left|E_{2}\right|=|E(G)|+\left|E_{2}\right|-\left|E_{0}\right|
$$

We shall use these notations in our arguments below.
Proof of Theorem 3. Suppose that $x>1 / 2$ and that $B_{G}(x) \geq|E(G)|+|V(G)|-1$. Since the equality holds by Theorem 1 , we have $|S|=B_{G}(x)=|E(G)|+|V(G)|-$ $1=|E(G)|+\left|E_{2}\right|-\left|E_{0}\right|$ and hence $\left|E_{2}\right|-\left|E_{0}\right|=|V(G)|-1$ for a maximum $x$-set $S$.

Assume that the subgraph $\left\langle E_{2}\right\rangle$ induced by $E_{2}$ includes a cycle. Such a cycle $C$ contains exactly $2|C|$ points in $S$ and those points divide $C$ into $2|C|$ segments. This implies that $x \leq|C| / 2|C|=1 / 2$, contrary to our assumption. Therefore, $\left\langle E_{2}\right\rangle$ includes no cycle and hence $\left|E_{2}\right| \leq|V(G)|-1$. This implies that $\left|E_{2}\right|=|V(G)|-1,\left|E_{0}\right|=0$ And that $T=\left\langle E_{2}\right\rangle$ is a spanning tree of $G$.

Assume that there is a path of length 3 in the spanning tree $T$. Then the path contains exactly six points in $S$, possibly located at its ends. These six points divide the path into at least five segments. This implies that $x \leq 3 / 5$.

On the other hand, if $T$ includes no path of length 3 , then $T$ must be isomorphic to the star $K_{1, s}$ with $s \geq 2$ since $G$ has at least three vertices. By the assumption in the theorem, $G$ does not coincide with $T$ and hence there is an edge of $G$ not belonging to $T$, which should belong to $E_{1}$, and it joints two vertices of degree 1 in $T$. Then there is a cycle of length 3 consisting of two edges in $E_{2}$ and one edge in $E_{1}$, and exactly five points in $S$ divide the cycle into five segments. This implies that $x \leq 3 / 5$, again.

Therefore, if $x>3 / 5$, then $B_{G}(x)<|E(G)|+|V(G)|-1$. Since Enami's result [2] guarantees the existence of a real number $\lambda>1 / 2$ such that $B_{G}(\lambda)=$ $|E(G)|+|V(G)|-1$, The supremum of such $\lambda$ 's should be the first left gap $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{1} \leq 3 / 5$.

Note that if we can construct a $3 / 5$-set $S$ such that $E_{0}=\emptyset$ and that $E_{2}$ forms a spanning tree of $G$, then $B_{G}(3 / 5)=|E(G)|+|V(G)|-1$ and we can determine the first left gap $\lambda_{1}$ of $B_{G}(x) ; \lambda_{1}=3 / 5$.

COROLLARY 8. If a connected graph $G$ has a spanning tree isomorphic to $K_{1, s}$ with $s \geq 2$, but is not isomorphic to $K_{1, s}$, then $\lambda_{1}=3 / 5$.

Proof. Let $T$ be a spanning tree in $G$ which is isomorphic to $K_{1, s}$ and let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{s}$ be its edges with a unique common end $v$. Put two points $p_{i}$ and $q_{i}$ on each edge $e_{i}$ so that $d_{G}\left(p_{i}, v\right)=3 / 10$ and $d_{G}\left(q_{i}, v\right)=9 / 10$, and take the midpoints of all other edges. Then these points form a $3 / 5$-set $S$ and we have $E_{2}=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{s}\right\}$, $E_{1}=E(G)-E_{2}$ and $E_{0}=\emptyset$. The set $S$ satisfies the desired condition.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $G$ be a connected graph with at least three veritces. First suppose that $G$ is not a tree. Then $B_{G}(1)=|E(G)|$ by Theorem 1. It suffice to show that $B_{G}(3 / 4) \geq|E(G)|+1$.

Choose one edge $e=u v$ of $G$ with endpoints $u$ and $v$, and take the following points as those in $S$ :
(i) Two points $p$ and $q$ lying on $e$ with $d_{G}(p, u)=1 / 8$ and $d_{G}(q, v)=1 / 8$
(ii) A point $r$ lying on each edge incident to $u$ (or $v$ ) with $d_{G}(r, u)=5 / 8$ (or $\left.d_{G}(r, v)=5 / 8\right)$
(iii) The midpoints of all edges other than $e$ and edges incident to $u$ or $v$

The set $S$ consists of these $|E(G)|+1$ points and it is easy to see that it forms a $3 / 4$-set. This implies that $B_{G}(3 / 4) \geq|E(G)|+1$.

Secondly suppose that $G$ is a tree not isomorphic to the star $K_{1,|V(G)|-1}$. Then there is a path of length at least 3 which joins a pair of vertices of degree 1 , say $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$. Place one point at $u_{i}$ and another point $p_{i}$ on the unique edge incident to $u_{i}$ with $d_{G}\left(u_{i}, p_{i}\right)=3 / 4$ for $i=1,2$. Add one point at the midpoint of each edge incident to neither $u_{1}$ nor $u_{2}$. Then it is easy to see that these $|E(G)|+2$ points form a $3 / 4$-set and hence $B_{G}(3 / 4) \geq|E(G)|+2=|E(G)|+1+\alpha$. Therefore, the theorem follows in this case, too.

If a connected graph $G$ has exactly one or two vertices, then $G$ is isomorphic to either $K_{1}$ or $K_{2}$. It is clear that $B_{K_{1}}(x)=1$ for all $x>0$ and that $B_{K_{2}}(x)=2$ for all $x \in A_{1}$. In either case, there is no gap for $B_{G}(x)$ over $A_{1}$ and hence the theorem does not hold for them. On the other hand, it is not so difficult to determine $B_{K_{1, s}}(x)$ over $A_{1}$.

$$
B_{K_{1, s}}(x)= \begin{cases}2 s & (1 / 2<x \leq 2 / 3) \\ s+1 & (2 / 3<x \leq 1)\end{cases}
$$

Thus, there is only one gap of $B_{K_{1, s}}(x)$ over $A_{1}$. These graphs $K_{1}, K_{2}$ and $K_{1, s}$ must be excluded by the assumptions in the theorems.

## 3. Another gap

Here we shall discuss basic facts on maximum matchings in a connected graph. It is easy to see that if $\mu(G)=1$, then either $G$ has at most three vertices, or $G$ is isomorphic to $K_{1, s}$ for $s \geq 3$.

LEMMA 9. Let $G$ be a connected graph having the minimum degree at least 2 and let $M \subset E(G)$ be any maximum matching with $|M|=\mu(G)$. Then there is a cycle $C=e_{1} \cdots e_{m}$, given as a sequence of edges, such that $e_{2 i}$ belongs to $M$ and $e_{2 i-1}$ does not belong to $M$ for $i \geq 1$; that is, if $k$ is even, then $C$ is an alternating cycles, but otherwise, the pair of consecutive edges $e_{1}$ and $e_{k}$ do not belong to $M$.

Proof. Let $Q=v_{0} v_{1} \cdots v_{k}$ be a longest alternating path for $M$ with edges $e_{i}=$ $v_{i-1} v_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$. Since $G$ has the minimum degree at least 2 , there is at least one edge incident to $v_{k}$ other than $e_{k}$, say $e^{\prime}=v_{k} v_{k+1}$. If $e_{k}$ belongs to $M$, then $e^{\prime}$ does not belong to $M$. In this case, if $v_{k+1}$ did not contain in $\left\{v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-2}\right\}$, then we could extend the alternating path $Q$, contrary to the assumption on $Q$. Thus, $v_{k+1}$ coincides with one of $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k-2}$ and we find such a cycle described in the lemma with suitable change of indexes.

Now assume that $e_{k}$ does not belong to $M$. If we can choose one of edges in $M$ as $e^{\prime}=v_{k} v_{k+1}$, then $v_{k+1}$ should coincide with $v_{0}$ and $e_{1}$ does not belong to $M$ since $Q$ is the longest and since each of $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-2}$ is covered by $M$. In this case, we find an alternating cycles of even length.

Finally, we may assume that $v_{k}$ is incident to no edge in $M$ and also that $v_{0}$ is incident to no edge in $M$, considering the extension of $Q$ from $v_{0}$. However, we could find another matching in $G$ larger than $M$, exchanging edges along $Q$. This is contrary to the maximality of $M$ and hence this is not the case.

Proof of Theorem 5. Suppose that $x>1 / 2$ and that $B_{G}(x) \geq|E(G)|+\mu(G)$. Then there is a maximum $x$-set $S$ such that $|S|=B_{G}(x)=|E(G)|+\left|E_{2}\right|-\left|E_{0}\right| \geq$ $|E(G)|+\mu(G)$ and hence we have $\left|E_{2}\right|-\left|E_{0}\right| \geq \mu(G)$.

If $E_{0}$ is not empty, then $\left|E_{2}\right|>\mu(G)$ and hence $E_{2}$ cannot be a matching and contains a pair of edges having a common end $u$, say $u v_{1}$ and $u v_{2}$. Then these two edges form a path $v_{1} u v_{2}$ and contains four points in $S$, possibly located at the ends of this path. Thus, the four points divide the path of length 2 into at least three segments. This implies that $x \leq 2 / 3$.

Now assume that $E_{0}$ is empty. Then $\left|E_{2}\right| \geq \mu(G)$. If $\left|E_{2}\right|>\mu(G)$, or if $E_{2}$ is not a matching, then the same argument as in the previous works for this case and we conclude that $x \leq 2 / 3$. Thus, we may assume that $E_{2}$ is a maximum
matching and has exactly $\mu(G)$ edges.
By Lemma 9 , there is a cycle $C=e_{1} \cdots e_{m}$ such that $e_{2 i}$ belongs to $E_{2}$ and $e_{2 i+1}$ belongs to $E_{1}$. If $m$ is an odd number $2 k+1$, then $C$ contains $3 k+1$ points in $S$ and these points divide $C$ into $3 k+1$ segments. Then we find a segment of length at most $(2 k+1) /(3 k+1)$ among them. This implies that $x \leq(2 k+1) /(3 k+1)$. On the other hand, if $m$ is an even number $2 k$, then $C$ is an alternating cycle for $E_{2}$ and contains $3 k$ points in $S$. This implies that $x \leq 2 k / 3 k=2 / 3$, as well as in the previous.

Notice that if $k<h$, then $2 / 3<(2 h+1) /(3 h+1)<(2 k+1) /(3 k+1) \leq 3 / 4$ and the second tends to $2 / 3$ if $h \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, if there is no odd cycle of length at most $2 k-1$ in $G$, then we find either an odd cycle of length at least $2 k+1$ or an even cycle. Thus, we have $x \leq(2 k+1) /(3 k+1)$ in the former case while $x \leq 2 / 3<(2 k+1) /(3 k+1)$ in the latter case. If $G$ is bipartite, that is, if there is no odd cycle in $G$, then the latter case happens and we have $\leq 2 / 3$. These imply that there is a positive real number $\lambda$ with $2 / 3 \leq \lambda \leq 3 / 4$ such that $|E(G)|+\mu(G)>B_{G}(x)$ for $x>\lambda$.

Now, take a maximum matching $M=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$ in $G$ and put $e_{i}=u_{i} v_{i}$. Place two points $p_{i}$ and $q_{i}$ on each $e_{i}$ so that $d_{G}\left(p_{i}, u_{i}\right)=d_{G}\left(q_{i}, v_{i}\right)=1 / 6$ for $i=1, \ldots, m$ and take the midpoints of all other edges, not belonging to $M$. It is easy to see that these $|E(G)|+\mu(G)$ points form a $2 / 3$-set. This implies that $B_{G}(2 / 3) \geq|E(G)|+\mu(G)$. Thus, the infimum of such $\lambda$ 's given in the above will be a gap of $B_{G}(x)$ which lies in $[2 / 3,3 / 4]$.

COROLLARY 10. If $G$ has the minimum degree at least 2 and contains no triangle, then there is a gap $\lambda$ of $B_{G}(x)$ with $2 / 3 \leq \lambda \leq 5 / 7$, which is different from its first left and first right gaps.

Proof. Since there is no odd cycle of length $3=2 \cdot 2-1$ by the assumption, we can take 2 as $k$ in Theorem 5 and obtained the upper bound $(2 \cdot 2+1) /(3 \cdot 2+1)=5 / 7$ for $\lambda$. Since $5 / 7<3 / 4, \lambda$ is different to $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{k}$.

## 4. Examples

Here we shall discuss the sharpness of our main theorems, considering concrete examples of beans functions of graphs.

Example 1. The beans functions of the cycle $C_{m}$ and the path $P_{m}$ having $m$ vertices for $x \leq 1$ are:

$$
B_{C_{m}}(x)=\left\lfloor\frac{m}{x}\right\rfloor, \quad B_{P_{m}}(x)=\left\lfloor\frac{m}{x}\right\rfloor+1 \quad(0<x \leq 1)
$$

We can rewrite these formula as:

$$
B_{C_{m}}(x)=n, \quad B_{P_{m}}(x)=n+1 \quad(m /(n+1)<x \leq m / n)
$$

Substituting $n=2 m-1$ and $n=m$, we obtain the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{C_{m}}(x)= \begin{cases}2 m-1 & (1 / 2<x \leq m /(2 m-1)) \\
m & (m /(m+1)<x \leq 1)\end{cases} \\
& B_{P_{m}}(x)= \begin{cases}2 m & (1 / 2<x \leq m /(2 m-1)) \\
m+1 & (m /(m+1)<x \leq 1)\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the first left gap is $\lambda_{1}=m /(2 m-1)$ and tends to $1 / 2$ if $m \rightarrow \infty$ while the first right gap is $\lambda_{m-1}=m /(m+1)$ and tends to 1 if $m \rightarrow \infty$. Since each of these beans functions decreases by 1 when it passed one gap, there are exactly $m-1$ gaps over $A_{1}$.

EXAMPLE 2. The perfect form of the beans function of the complete graph $K_{m}$ of order $m \geq 3$ has been given in [3]. In particular, it can be presented as below for $x \in(1 / 2,1]$. Thus, it has exactly three gaps.

$$
B_{K_{m}}(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{m(m-1)}{2}+m-1 & (1 / 2<x \leq 3 / 5) \\ \frac{m\left(m^{2}-1\right)}{2}+\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor & (3 / 5<x \leq 2 / 3) \\ \frac{m\left(m^{2}-1\right)}{2}+1 & (2 / 3<x \leq 3 / 4) \\ \frac{m\left(m^{2}-1\right)}{2} & (3 / 4<x \leq 1)\end{cases}
$$

All of the critical values given in Theorem 3, 4 and 5 appear as three gaps in the above. Notice that this formula can be expressed as:

$$
B_{K_{m}}(x)= \begin{cases}\left|E\left(K_{m}\right)\right|+|V(G)|-1 & (1 / 2<x \leq 3 / 5) \\ \left|E\left(K_{m}\right)\right|+\mu\left(K_{m}\right) & (3 / 5<x \leq 2 / 3) \\ \left|E\left(K_{m}\right)\right|+1 & (2 / 3<x \leq 3 / 4) \\ \left|E\left(K_{m}\right)\right| & (3 / 4<x \leq 1)\end{cases}
$$

These values in cases appear as the bounds for $B_{G}(x)$ given in the theorems.
One might wonder if $B_{G}(2 / 3)=|E(G)|+\mu(G)$, following our argument in the proof of Theorem 5. The next lemma will deny it:

LEMMA 11. Let $G$ be a bipartite connected graph which has the minimum degree at least 2 and let $V(G)=X \cup Y$ be its bipartition. If $|X| \geq|Y|$, then $B_{G}(2 / 3) \geq$ $|E(G)|+|X|>B_{G}(x)$ for $x>2 / 3$.

Proof. We call each vertex in $X$ a black vertex and one in $Y$ a white vertex. Put a point at each black vertex in $X$ and put a point $p$ on each edge incident to each white vertex $u$ in $Y$ so that $d_{G}(p, u)=1 / 3$. Then these points form a $2 / 3$-set and they are $|E(G)|+|X|$ in number. Thus, we have $B_{G}(2 / 3) \geq|E(G)|+|X|$.

Now we shall show that if $B_{G}(x) \geq|E(G)|+|X|$, then $x \leq 2 / 3$. Let $S$ be a maximum $x$-set and suppose that $B_{G}(x) \geq|E(G)|+|X|$. Then we have $\left|E_{2}\right|-\left|E_{0}\right| \geq|X|$. If $\left|E_{2}\right|>|X|$ or if $E_{2}$ is not a matching in $G$, then there is a vertex $v$ in $G$ such that two edges $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ in $E_{2}$ incident to $v$. These edges form a path of length 2 and contains four points in $S$, which divide the path into at least three segments. This implies that $x \leq 2 / 3$. Thus, we may assume that $E_{2}$ is a matching with $\left|E_{2}\right|=|X|$ and hence $E_{0}$ is empty. Since $|X| \geq|Y|, E_{2}$ must be a perfect matching, that is, $E_{2}$ covers all vertices, making pairs of black and white vertices.

Since any perfect matching is a maximum matching and $G$ is a bipartite graph with the minimum degree at least 2 , then there is an alternating cycle $C$ for $E_{2}$ in $G$ by Lemma 9. If $C$ has length $2 k$, then it contains $3 k$ points in $S$. This implies that $x \leq 2 / 3$. Therefore, if $x>2 / 3$, then $B_{G}(x)<|E(G)|+|X|$.

Example 3. Consider the complete bipartite graph $K_{s, t}$ with $s, t \geq 2$. It is clear that if $s<t$, then $\mu\left(K_{s, t}\right)=s$ and we can use the independent set of size $t$ as $X$ in the above lemma.

$$
B_{K_{s, t}}(2 / 3) \geq\left|E\left(K_{s, t}\right)\right|+t=\left|E\left(K_{s, t}\right)\right|+\mu\left(K_{s, t}\right)+(t-s)
$$

Thus, $B_{K_{s, t}}(2 / 3)$ can be arbitrarily larger than $\left|E\left(K_{s, t}\right)\right|+\mu\left(K_{s, t}\right)$ if the difference between the sizes of two independent sets, $t-s$, is arbitrarily large.

Our arguments for Theorem 5 do not cover the case when $G$ is a tree since we assume that $G$ has the minimum degree at least 2 . However, very similar arguments will work for trees.
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