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#### Abstract

We prove that the affine case of a conjecture by C. Hayashi that any connected finite quandle has a large orbit.


## 1. Results

A quandle is a set $Q$ with a binary operation $*: Q \times Q \rightarrow Q$ satisfying the following three axioms.
(1) For any $a \in Q, a * a=a$.
(2) For any $b \in Q$, the map $r_{b}: Q \rightarrow Q ; a \mapsto a * b$ is bijective.
(3) For any $a, b, c \in Q,(a * b) * c=(a * c) *(b * c)$.

The conception was first introduced by D. Joyce [2] and S. V. Matveev [4] in the context of knot theory.

A homomorphism of quandles is a map preserving the operations. Note that (3) means that the map $r_{b}$ in (2) is an automorphism of $Q$.

Let $\operatorname{Aut}(Q)$ be the group of automorphisms of $Q$ and $\operatorname{Inn}(Q)$ its subgroup generated by $\left\{r_{b} \mid b \in Q\right\}$. A quandle $Q$ is said to be connected if $\operatorname{Inn}(Q)$ acts transitively on $Q$.

In the rest of this article, we only treat finite quandles. Let $Q$ be a finite quandle. For $b \in Q$, let $C_{b}$ be the cycle type of $r_{b}$ as a permutation of $Q$ defined by $r_{b}$. The multiple set of $C_{b}$ for the elements $b$ of $Q$ is called the profile of $Q$ ([3]). For a connected quandle $Q$, since $C_{b}$ is independent of $b$, the profile reduces to a single cycle type $\left\{1, \ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ldots, \ell_{k}\right\}$. (Note that any $r_{b}$ has a fixed point by the axiom (1).) So we denote the profile of $Q$ just by the cycle type of $r_{b}$ for any $b \in Q$.
C. Hayashi [1] conjectured the following.

CONJECTURE 1.1. Let $Q$ be a connected finite quandle. Let $\left\{1, \ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ldots, \ell_{k}\right\}$ with $1 \leq \ell_{1} \leq \ell_{2} \leq \cdots \leq \ell_{k}$ be its profile. Then any $\ell_{i}$ divides $\ell_{k}$.
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Let $X$ be a finite set $X$, and let $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$ be a bijection of order $n$ (in the permutation group of $X$ ). An orbit of $\sigma$ in $X$ is said to be large if it is of cardinality $n$. Then the conclusion of the above conjecture is equivalent to that there is a large orbit for $r_{b}$ for one (hence for all) $b \in Q$.

In this paper, we prove this conjecture in the affine case. Recall that a quandle is affine if there are an abelian group $M$ and an automorphism $T$ of $M$ such that $Q$ is isomorphic as a quandle to $\operatorname{Aff}(M, T):=(M, *)$, where $x * y=T(x)+(1-T)(y)$ $(x, y \in M)$.

Our theorem is a slight generalization of the affine case of the conjecture:
THEOREM 1.2. Let $Q$ be an affine quandle of finite order. Then there is an element $b \in Q$ such that there is a large orbit for $r_{b}$.

Since, in the case of $Q=\operatorname{Aff}(M, T), r_{0}$ coincides with $T$ (where 0 is the unit element of $M$ ), this theorem is reduced to the following group-theoretic statement.

Proposition 1.3. Let $M$ be a finite abelian group, and let $T$ be a group automorphism of $M$. Then there is a large orbit for $T$.

In the next section, we give a proof by using the elementary divisor theory.
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## 2. Proofs

We prove Proposition 1.3, which implies Theorem 1.2 and the affine case of Conjecture 1.1, as was explained in the previous section. Let $M$ be a finite abelian group and let $T$ be an automorphism of $M$.
2.1. First we claim that it is enough to show the case where $M$ is a $p$-group for some prime $p$. To see this, we identify $M$ with the direct sum $\bigoplus M_{p}$ of its $p$-primary parts $M_{p}$, where $p$ runs over the set of all primes. For each prime $p$, let $T_{p}$ be the automorphism of $M_{p}$ induced by $T$. Then the given automorphism $T$ of $M$ can be identified with the direct sum $\bigoplus T_{p}$ of $T_{p}$ on $M_{p}$.

Assume that Proposition 1.3 is valid for $M_{p}$ for every $p$. Then, we have a large orbit $O_{p}$ for $T_{p}$ in $M_{p}$ for any $p$. Let $x_{p}$ be an element of $O_{p}$ for each $p$. We prove that the orbit through the element $\left(x_{p}\right)_{p}$ of the direct sum $\bigoplus M_{p}$, whose
component for a prime $p$ is $x_{p}$, is a large orbit for $\bigoplus T_{p}$ in $\bigoplus M_{p}$. Let $n_{p}$ be the order of $T_{p}$. Then the order of $\bigoplus T_{p}$ is the least common multiple of all $n_{p}$. On the other hand, the cardinality of $O_{p}$ is $n_{p}$. Hence the cardinality of the orbit through $\left(x_{p}\right)_{p}$ is also the least common multiple of all $n_{p}$. Therefore, this orbit is a large orbit. Hence Proposition 1.3 is valid for $M$, which completes the proof of the claim.

Thus we may assume that $M$ is a $p$-group for a prime $p$. In the remaining part of the proof, we fix a prime $p$ and we assume that $M$ is a $p$-group.
2.2. Next we treat the case where $p M=0$. In this case, Proposition 1.3 is a direct consequence of the elementary divisor theory as follows. But we prove a stronger statement for later use. In this case, $M$ is regarded as an $\mathbf{F}_{p}[t]$-module, where $t$ is an indeterminate acting via the given automorphism $T$. Hence, by the elementary divisor theory, $M$ is isomorphic to, as an $\mathbf{F}_{p}[t]$-module, $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{d} \mathbf{F}_{p}[t] /\left(e_{i}\right)$, where $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{d}$ are polynomials over $\mathbf{F}_{p}$ satisfying $e_{1}\left|e_{2}\right| \cdots \mid e_{d}$. Here, $f \mid g$ means that $f$ divides $g$. In the following, we identify $M$ with this $\mathbf{F}_{p}[t]$-module. Then the order of the automorphism $T$ of $M$ is the order of $t$ in the unit group of the last factor $\mathbf{F}_{p}[t] /\left(e_{d}\right)$, regarded as a commutative ring. Hence, for any element of the form $(*, *, \ldots, *, 1)$, that is, any element whose last component is 1 , the orbit through it is a large orbit of $T$ in $M$. Further, the union of all large orbits generates $M$ as an abelian group because this union includes all elements of the form $\left(*, *, \ldots, *, t^{j}\right)(j \geq 0)$, that is, the elements whose last component is a power of $t$. So we have just proved the following.
$(*)$ If $p M=0$, a large orbit exists and furthermore the union of all large orbits generates $M$ as an abelian group.

The general $p$-group case reduces to the case where $p M=0$ as follows. Recall that $M$ is a finite abelian $p$-group. Let $\bar{M}=M / p M$.

LEMMA 2.3. The kernel of the natural homomorphism Aut $M \rightarrow$ Aut $\bar{M}$ is a p-group, where Aut means the group of group automorphisms.

Proof. Let $S: M \rightarrow M$ be an automorphism of $M$ which induces the identity of $\bar{M}$. We identify $M$ with the direct sum of $\mathbf{Z} / p^{k_{i}} \mathbf{Z}\left(1 \leq i \leq l, k_{i}>0\right)$. Then, we represent $S$ as an $l \times l$-matrix $1_{l}+p A$ whose $(i, j)$-coefficient is an element of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathbf{Z} / p^{k_{i}} \mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z} / p^{k_{j}} \mathbf{Z}\right)(1 \leq i, j \leq l)$. Here $1_{l}$ is the unit matrix. Let $k$ be the maximum of the $k_{i}(1 \leq i \leq l)$. Then there is an invertible matrix $1_{l}+p \tilde{A}$ with coefficients in $\mathbf{Z} / p^{k} \mathbf{Z}$ which lifts $1_{l}+p A$, that is, for any $1 \leq i, j \leq l$, the $(i, j)$-component of $1_{l}+p \tilde{A}$ induces the $(i, j)$-component of $1_{l}+p A$. By induction, we see $\left(1_{l}+p \tilde{A}\right)^{p^{N}} \equiv 1 \bmod p^{N+1}$ for $N \geq 1$. Hence the order of $1_{l}+p \tilde{A}$ is a divisor of $p^{k-1}$, which implies that the order of $S$ is also a power of $p$.
2.4. We prove the case of Proposition 1.3 where $M$ is a general $p$-group. Let $\bar{T}$ be the automorphism of $\bar{M}$ induced by $T$. Let $n=p^{a} m$ be the order of $T$, where $a$ is a nonnegative integer, and $m$ is an integer prime to $p$. Then, by Lemma 2.3, the order of $\bar{T}$ is $p^{b} m$ for some $b \leq a$. By $(*)$, there is a large orbit for $\bar{T}$ in $\bar{M}$. Consider all large orbits $O_{1}, \ldots, O_{l}$ for $\bar{T}$ in $\bar{M}$. Take an element $x_{i}$ of $O_{i}$ for each $i(1 \leq i \leq l)$. Let $\tilde{x}_{i} \in M$ be an element of $M$ whose image in $\bar{M}$ is $x_{i}$. The cardinality of the orbit $\tilde{O}_{i}$ through each $\tilde{x}_{i}$ is $p^{c_{i}} m$ for some $c_{i}$ with $b \leq c_{i} \leq a$ because the cardinality of $O_{i}$ is $p^{b} m$.

In order to prove that some $c_{i}$ is $a$, we argue by contradiction. Assume that any $c_{i}$ is strictly less than $a$, that is, any $\tilde{x}_{i}$ satisfies $T^{p^{a-1} m} \tilde{x}_{i}=\tilde{x}_{i}$. Then, $T^{p^{a-1} m}(x)=x$ for any element $x$ belonging to the union $U$ of the orbits $\tilde{O}_{i}$. This union $U$ generates the abelian group $M$. In fact, let $N$ be the subgroup of $M$ generated by $U$. The image of $U$ in $\bar{M}$ generates $\bar{M}$ by $(*)$. That is, we have $M=N+p M$ so that $M=N+p(N+p M)=N+p^{2} M=N+p^{3} M=\cdots=N$. (Of course, we can use here Nakayama's lemma.) Hence $T^{p^{a-1} m}=1$, which contradicts the assumption that the order of $T$ is $n=p^{a} m$. Therefore, there is an index $i$ such that $c_{i}=a$, that is, the orbit $\tilde{O}_{i}$ is large. This completes the proof of Proposition 1.3.

EXAMPLE 2.5. Let $M=\mathbf{Z} / 35 \mathbf{Z}=\{\overline{0}, \overline{1}, \overline{2}, \ldots, \overline{34}\}$, and let $T$ be an automorphism $M \rightarrow M$ defined by $T(x)=2 x(x \in M)$. Then, the order of $T$ is 12 , and the orbit through $\overline{1}$ is a large orbit $\{\overline{1}, \overline{2}, \overline{4}, \overline{8}, \overline{16}, \overline{32}, \overline{29}, \overline{23}, \overline{11}, \overline{22}, \overline{9}, \overline{18}\}$. The orbit through $\overline{3}$ is another large orbit $\{\overline{3}, \overline{6}, \overline{12}, \overline{24}, \overline{13}, \overline{26}, \overline{17}, \overline{34}, \overline{33}, \overline{31}, \overline{27}, \overline{19}\}$. The other orbits $\{\overline{0}\},\{\overline{5}, \overline{10}, \overline{20}\},\{\overline{15}, \overline{30}, \overline{25}\},\{\overline{7}, \overline{14}, \overline{28}, \overline{21}\}$ are not large.

Let $Q$ be a connected affine quandle Aff $(M, T)$, that is, $Q=(M, *)$, where $x * y=2 x-y$. Then, since $r_{\overline{0}}=T$, by the above observation, the profile of $Q$ is $\{1,3,3,4,12,12\}$.

EXAMPLE 2.6. Let $M=\mathbf{Z} / 9 \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} / 27 \mathbf{Z}$, and let $T$ be an automorphism $M \rightarrow$ $M$ defined by $T((x, y))=(2 x+y, 2 y)((x, y) \in M)$. Then, the order of $T$ is 18 . There are nine large orbits, that are the orbits through $(x, \overline{1})$ for some $x \in \mathbf{Z} / 9 \mathbf{Z}$. The other orbits are: $\{(\overline{0}, \overline{0})\}$; the orbit through $(\overline{3}, \overline{0})$ whose cardinality is 2 ; the three orbits through $(x, \overline{9})(x=\overline{0}, \overline{3}, \overline{6})$ each of whose cardinality is 2 ; three orbits through $(\overline{1}, \overline{0})$, through $(\overline{1}, \overline{9})$, and through $(\overline{2}, \overline{9})$, respectively, each of whose cardinality is 6 ; and the nine orbits through $(x, \overline{3})(x \in \mathbf{Z} / 9 \mathbf{Z})$ each of whose cardinality is 6 .

Let $Q$ be a connected affine quandle Aff $(M, T)$. Then, since $r_{(\overline{0}, \overline{0})}=T$, by the above observation, the profile of $Q$ is

$$
\{1,2,2,2,2,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,18,18,18,18,18,18,18,18,18\} .
$$
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