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#### Abstract

A quadrangulation $G$ on a closed surface $\mathbb{F}$ is a map of a simple graph on $F$ with each face quadrilateral. In this paper, as an application for the result of Matsumoto et al. [10], we give a forbidden structure of a bipartite quadrangulation on the projective plane to have a $K_{3,4}$-minor.


## 1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a graph and let $e$ be an edge of $G$. An edge deletion of $e$ is to remove $e$ from $G$, and an edge contraction of $e$ is to remove $e$ from $G$ and identify the two endpoints of $e$. If these operations destroy the simpleness of graphs, then we do not apply them. A deletion of an isolate vertex is to remove an isolate vertex. A graph $H$ is a minor of $G$ or $G$ has an $H$-minor, if $H$ is obtained from $G$ by edge contractions, edge deletions and deletions of isolate vertices. The three operations are called minor operations. If we deal with only connected graphs, we never need to use the third operation under careful use of the first two. Therefore, we only use the first two as minor operations. A graph $G$ is $H$-minor-free if $G$ does not have $H$ as a minor. A vertex of degree $k$ is a $k$-vertex and a cycle of length $k$ is a $k$-cycle.

A surface $\mathbb{F}$ is a compact 2-dimensional manifold without boundary, and a map $G$ on $\mathbb{F}$ is a fixed embedding of a simple graph on $\mathbb{F}$. For a 2 -cell region $R$ in $G$ with boundary walk $W$, inner vertices and edges are ones in $R$ but not contained in $W$. (An inner vertex or edge of a plane graph is similarly defined as one not contained in the boundary walk of the infinite face.) A triangulation (resp., quadrangulation) on $\mathbb{F}$ is a map of a simple graph on $\mathbb{F}$ such that each face is bounded by a 3 -cycle (resp., 4 -cycle). A simple closed curve $\ell$ on a non-spherical surface is essential if $\ell$ does not bound a 2 -cell on the surface. The representativity of a map $G$ on $\mathbb{F}$ is defined as the minimum number of intersecting points of $G$ and a closed curve $\ell$, where $\ell$ ranges over all essential

[^0]simple closed curves on $\mathbb{F}$. A map is $k$-representative if it has representativity at least $k$.

We introduce two local operations for quadrangulations. Suppose that a quadrangulation $G$ has a 2-vertex $v$ with neighbors $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$. A 2-vertex deletion of $v$ is to delete $v$ from $G$, as shown in the left of Figure 1. Suppose that a quadrangulation $G$ has a 3 -vertex $x$ with neighbors $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$. Let $a_{1} b_{1} a_{2} b_{2} a_{3} b_{3}$ be the boundary walk of the region consisting of all faces incident to $x$. A hexagonal contraction of $x$ at $a_{2}, a_{3}$ is to delete $x$, identify $a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$, and replace a pair of multiple edges $a_{2} b_{2}, a_{3} b_{2}$ with a single edge, as shown in the right of Figure 1. We do not apply these reductions if the resulting graph is not simple. It is easy to see that each of the two operations is obtained by a sequence of edge contractions and edge deletions. For these operations, the inverse operations are a 2-vertex addition and a hexagonal splitting, respectively.




Figure 1 The 2-vertex deletion and the hexagonal contraction
Bau, Matsumoto, Nakamoto and Zheng proved the following result for quadrangulations on the sphere by those operations.

THEOREM 1. (Bau et al. [3]) Every quadrangulation of order at least 5 on the sphere can be reduced to a 4-cycle $C_{4}$ by a sequence of 2-vertex deletions and hexagonal contractions.

Matsumoto, Nakamoto and Yonekura obtained an analogy for quadrangulations on the projective plane by the same operations, where $K_{4,4}^{--}$is a quadrangulation on the projective plane whose graph is $K_{4,4}$ with two independent edges deleted, as follows.

THEOREM 2. (Matsumoto et al. [10]) Every bipartite quadrangulation on the projective plane can be reduced to either $K_{3,4}$ or $K_{4,4}^{--}$by a sequence of 2-vertex deletions and hexagonal contractions, through bipartite ones. Every non-bipartite quadrangulation can be reduced to $K_{4}$ by those operations, through non-bipartite ones. (Figure 2 shows two bipartite quadrangulation isomorphic to $K_{3,4}$ and $K_{4,4}^{--}$, respectively.)



Figure 2 The graphs $K_{3,4}$ and $K_{4,4}^{--}$
We have already known several theorems generating quadrangulations [2, 4, 15]. However, Theorem 2 has given a new direction for a minor relation of quadrangulations. Since each of the 2-vertex deletion and the hexagonal contraction is obtained by a combination of minor operations, Theorem 2 asserts that every bipartite quadrangulation on the projective plane contains $K_{3,4}$ or $K_{4,4}^{--}$as a minor, and that every non-bipartite quadrangulation on the projective plane contains $K_{4}$ as a minor. (For $K_{4}-$ and $K_{5}$-minors in quadrangulations on surfaces, see a related result [5].)

In this paper, we consider an application of Theorem 2 to give a forbidden structure of a bipartite quadrangulation on the projective plane to have a $K_{3,4^{-}}$ minor.

Let $G$ be a graph and let $H$ be a subgraph of $G$. An $H$-bridge in $G$ is a subgraph of $G$ which is either an edge not in $H$ but with both ends in $H$, or a connected component of $G-V(H)$ together with all edges which have one end in this component and the other end in $H$. Let $B$ be an $H$-bridge. The elements of $V(B) \cap V(H)$ are called its attachments. (The above two definitions can be found in [11, Page 7].)

DEFINITION 3. (Q-structure) A quadrangulation $G$ on the projective plane has a $Q$-structure when $G$ has a subgraph $Q$ (shown in Figure 3) with faces $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{5}$ such that: $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are hexagonal regions of $G, R_{4}$ and $R_{5}$ are faces of $G$ (as well as $Q$ ) and every $Q$-bridge in $R_{3}$ has at most two attachments.

Remark. Note that if $G$ is 3 -connected, then $R_{3}$ has no $Q$-bridge, and that $K_{4,4}^{--}$ has a $Q$-structure which is obtained from the map shown in Figure 3 by adding two edges $u v$ and $u^{\prime} v^{\prime}$. For examples, the quadrangulation in the left of Figure 4 has a $Q$-structure depicted by bold edges, but the right of Figure 4 does not since it has $Q$ as a subgraph but the $Q$-bridge in $R_{3}$ has three attachments $a, c$ and $d$.

The following is our main result in this paper.


Figure 3 The $Q$-structure



Figure 4 Examples of bipartite quadrangulations with (or without) a $Q$-structure

THEOREM 4. Let $G$ be a bipartite quadrangulation on the projective plane. If $G$ does not have a $Q$-structure, then $G$ has a $K_{3,4}$-minor.

In Section 2, we introduce some lemmas, and then we prove Theorem 4 by those lemmas. In Section 3, we give some remarks for Theorem 4.

## 2. Proof of the theorem

We first prepare two lemmas to prove Theorem 4.
LEMMA 5. Let $Q$ be a simple plane quadrangulation with outer cycle $C=$ $v_{0} v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}$. If every inner vertex of $Q$ has at most two disjoint paths to $C$, then $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i+2}\right)=2$ for some $i$.

Proof. Observe that if $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i+1}\right)=2$ for some $i$, then $Q$ has no inner vertex, and hence $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}\right)=2$ for $i=0,1,2,3$. Thus, if the lemma does not hold, then we may suppose that $v_{0}, v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ have degree at least 3 .

Let $v_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, v_{2}$ be the neighbors of $v_{1}$ appearing in the rotation of $v_{1}$ in this order, where $k \geq 1$ and we note that each $x_{i}$ is an inner vertex since $Q$ is bipartite. Since $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{0}\right) \geq 3$, we can find a quadrilateral face $v_{0} v_{1} x_{1} y_{0}$ for
some inner vertex $y_{0}$. (Note that if $y_{0}$ is contained in $C$, then $y_{0}=v_{3}$, since $Q$ is bipartite. In this case, $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{0}\right)=2$, a contradiction.) Similarly, since $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{2}\right) \geq 3$, we can find a quadrilateral face $v_{1} v_{2} y_{k} x_{k}$ for some inner vertex $y_{k}$. Moreover, if $k \geq 2$, take $k-1$ faces $x_{i} v_{1} x_{i+1} y_{i}$ for some $y_{i}$, for $i=1, \ldots, k-1$. Note that $y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}$ are not necessarily distinct, and that we might have $y_{i}=v_{3}$ for some $i \in\{1, \ldots, k-1\}$.

Suppose that $y_{p}=v_{3}$ for some $p \in\{1, \ldots, k-1\}$, where we take $p$ as small as possible. Then $x_{p}$ has three neighbors $y_{p-1}, v_{1}, v_{3}$. By the definition of $y_{p}$, all vertices in the walk $y_{0} x_{1} \cdots x_{p-1} y_{p-1} x_{p}$ are inner vertices, and hence we can find a path $P$ from $y_{p-1}$ to $v_{0}$ without intersecting $v_{1}$ and $v_{3}$. Hence $x_{p}$ has three internally disjoint paths from $x_{p}$ to $v_{1}, v_{3}$ and $v_{0}$ along the path $P$ (i.e., the three paths share $x_{p}$ only). Suppose that all $y_{i}$ are inner vertices. If $y_{0}$ is distinct from all other $y_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$, then by taking a path $P$ from $y_{1}$ to $v_{2}$ in the walk $y_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{k} y_{k} v_{2}$, we can take three internally disjoint paths from $x_{1}$ to $v_{0}, v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ along the path $P$. If $y_{0}$ coincides with other $y_{i}$ 's, then we let $y_{p}=y_{0}$ with $p$ the largest. Let $P^{\prime}$ be a path from the vertex $y_{0}=y_{p}$ to $v_{2}$ in the walk $y_{p} x_{p+1} y_{p+1} \cdots y_{k} v_{2}$, which intersects none of $v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{3}$. Then the vertex $y_{0}=y_{p}$ has three internally disjoint paths from $y_{0}$ to $v_{0}, v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ along the path $P^{\prime}$.

Consequently, we can find three internally disjoint paths from some inner vertex, a contradiction.

LEMMA 6. Let $Q$ be a bipartite plane graph with outer 6 -cycle $C=v_{0} v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} v_{4} v_{5}$ such that every inner face is quadrilateral. Suppose that $Q$ has neither edge $v_{0} v_{3}$ nor $v_{2} v_{5}$. Then $Q$ has an internal $\left(v_{1}, v_{4}\right)$-path, i.e., a path $P$ joining $v_{1}$ and $v_{4}$ such that $V(P) \cap V(C)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{4}\right\}$, unless $Q$ has an inner face incident to both $v_{0}$ and $v_{2}$, or an inner face incident to both $v_{3}$ and $v_{5}$.

Proof. Adding two new vertices $x$ to $v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}$, and $y$ to $v_{3}, v_{4}, v_{5}$, we get a new plane graph $Q^{\prime}$. If we can find a path $P^{\prime}$ in $Q^{\prime}$ joining $x$ and $y$ but intersecting none of $v_{0}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ and $v_{5}$, then we will have a required path from $v_{1}$ to $v_{4}$. By using Menger's theorem, we find three internally disjoint paths from $x$ to $y$. Since $Q^{\prime}$ is a plane graph with $\operatorname{deg}(x)=\operatorname{deg}(y)=3$, one of the three paths is a required path. If $Q^{\prime}$ has no such three paths, then $Q^{\prime}$ has a separator separating $x$ and $y$. Since $Q^{\prime}$ is a plane graph, we can find a face $f$ of $Q$ which contains (i) $v_{0}, v_{2}$, (ii) $v_{3}, v_{5}$, (iii) $v_{0}, v_{3}$ or (iv) $v_{2}, v_{5}$. Since $Q$ is bipartite and each inner face is quadrilateral, we have an edge $v_{0} v_{3}$ in (iii), and an edge $v_{2} v_{4}$ in (iv). However, by the assumption, these cases do not happen. In the cases (i) and (ii), we have the conclusion.

Now we shall prove Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $G$ be a bipartite quadrangulation on the projective plane with no $Q$-structure. For contradiction, we suppose that $G$ has no $K_{3,4^{-}}$ minor. By Theorem 2, $G$ can be reduced to $K_{4,4}^{--}$by 2 -vertex deletions and hexagonal contractions. That is, there exists a sequence of bipartite quadrangulations $G_{0}, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k}$ with $G=G_{k}$ such that
(i) $G_{0} \cong K_{4,4}^{--}$, and
(ii) $G_{i+1}$ is obtained from $G_{i}$ by either a single 2-vertex addition or a single hexagonal splitting, for each $i \in\{0,1, \cdots, k-1\}$.

By Remark of Definition 3, $K_{4,4}^{--}$has a $Q$-structure, and hence, there exists an index $k$ such that $G_{k}$ contains a $Q$-structure but $G_{k+1}$ does not. If we can prove that $G_{k+1}$ has a $K_{3,4}$-minor, then so does $G$. Therefore, we let $G=G_{k+1}$ and $G^{\prime}=G_{k}$, and we shall prove that $G$ has a $K_{3,4}$-minor. By the assumption, we note that $G$ is obtained from $G^{\prime}$ by either a single 2-vertex addition or a hexagonal splitting, and that $G^{\prime}$ contains the $Q$-structure. We suppose that vertices in $G^{\prime}$ are labeled as in Figure 3, where for $i=1,2,3,4,5, R_{i}$ denotes the 2-cell region in $G^{\prime}$ with the $Q$-structure, or the plane subgraph of $G$ contained in the interior and the boundary cycle of $R_{i}$. Moreover, we may be assumed that there is only one face corresponding to $R_{4}$ and $R_{5}$.

Case I. $G$ is obtained from $G^{\prime}$ by a 2 -vertex addition.
(a)

( $a^{\prime}$ )




Figure 5 Case I

Let $x$ be the added 2 -vertex. We first consider the case when we put a 2 vertex in one of the two faces $R_{4}$ and $R_{5}$, say $R_{4}$, as in Figure $5(a)$. In this case, the region $R_{1}$ has no face incident to both $a$ and $c$. For otherwise, i.e., if $R_{1}$ has such a face $f$, then regarding $f$ as $R_{4}$, we see that $G$ still has a $Q$-structure, a contradiction. Therefore, by Lemma $6, R_{1}$ (resp., $R_{2}$ ) has an internal ( $u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}$ )path (resp., $(u, v)$-path). Thus, regarding four shaded parts and three circled parts showing Figure $5\left(a^{\prime}\right)$ as seven vertices of $K_{3,4}$, we can find a $K_{3,4}$-minor in $G$.

We next consider the case when $G$ has a $Q$-bridge $B$ with two attachments
$a$ and $c$ and $x$ is adjacent to a vertex of $B$ and $d$ as in Figure $5(b)$. Observe that $R_{1}$ has a hexagonal region $R_{1}^{\prime}$ bounded by $a b v^{\prime \prime} d c u^{\prime \prime}$ which has neither a face incident to both $a$ and $c$ nor a face incident to $b$ and $d$, even if $R_{1}$ has such faces. Similarly, we may suppose that $R_{2}$ also has no such face. Hence, by Lemma 6, since $R_{1}^{\prime}$ (resp., $R_{2}$ ) has an internal ( $u^{\prime \prime}, v^{\prime \prime}$ )-path (resp., $(u, v)$-path), we can find a $K_{3,4}$-minor in $G$, as in Figure $5\left(b^{\prime}\right)$.

Case II. $G$ is obtained from $G^{\prime}$ by a hexagonal splitting.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the submap of $G^{\prime}$ consisting of a quadrilateral face $f=x a_{2} a_{1} a_{3}$ and an edge $x y$, shown in the left of Figure 6 which is applied a hexagonal splitting to get $G$.


Figure 6 The submap $\mathcal{A}$ and a hexagonal splitting
Observe that if $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies one of the following conditions (see Figure 7):

1. $V(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq V\left(R_{i}\right)$ and $E(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq E\left(R_{i}\right)$ for some $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, or
2. $f$ and $R_{4}$ (or $R_{5}$ ) coincide and $x y$ is on the boundary of $R_{i}$ for some $i \in$ $\{1,2,3\}$,
then the resulting map by the hexagonal splitting of $\mathcal{A}$ still contains a $Q$ structure.
3. 


2.


Figure 7 Examples for two conditions
Hence we suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ satisfies none of the above conditions, and so by symmetry, it suffices to consider the following three subcases.

Subcase 1. $f$ and $R_{4}$ coincide and $x y$ is an inner edge of $R_{i}$ for some $i \in\{1,2,3\}$.




Figure 8 Subcase 1
We have three cases (see Figure 8): Now the edge $x y$ is by symmetry contained in either $R_{1}$ as shown in $\left(a_{1}\right)$ and $\left(a_{2}\right)$ or alternatively $R_{3}$ as shown in $\left(a_{3}\right)$. However, we consider only the cases $\left(a_{1}\right)$ and $\left(a_{3}\right)$, since the hexagonal splitting in the case ( $a_{2}$ ) does not break the $Q$-structure.

Focusing on the case $\left(a_{1}\right)$, we consider the resulting graph $G$ by applying a hexagonal splitting to the $\mathcal{A}$ in $G^{\prime}$. Note that $V(G)=\left(V\left(G^{\prime}\right) \backslash\{x\}\right) \cup\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$, where $x_{i}$ 's are vertices corresponding to them shown in Figure 6. In this case, $x_{0}$ is adjacent to $x_{1}, x_{2}$ and the common neighbor of $a$ and $c$ on the boundary of $f$ in $G^{\prime}$ (as well as $G$ ) which is not deleted by the above hexagonal splitting. (Note that $x$ is excluded.) Moreover, $x_{1} c, x_{2} a \in E(G)$ and by Lemma 6, $G$ has an internal path in $R_{1}$ joining $x_{1}$ (or $x_{2}$ ) and the common neighbor of $b$ and $d$ shared by boundaries of $R_{1}$ and $R_{5}$. Therefore, by symmetry, we can find a $K_{3,4}$-minor as shown in Figure $11(A)$. Similarly, for the case ( $a_{3}$ ), we can find a $K_{3,4}$-minor by Lemma 6, as shown in Figure $11(D)$.

Subcase 2. $f$ is contained in $R_{1}$ and $x y \notin E\left(R_{1}\right)$.
$\left(b_{1}\right)$

$\left(b_{2}\right)$



( $b_{4}$ )


Figure 9 Subcase 2
By the assumption, we have $x \in\{a, b, c, d\}$, say $x=c$. Then we consider the following four cases (see Figure 9): $\left(b_{1}\right) x y=c b,\left(b_{2}\right) x y$ is an inner edge of $R_{3}$,
$\left(b_{3}\right) x y=c u$ and $\left(b_{4}\right) x y$ is an inner edge of $R_{2}$. Observe that $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ admits an internal $\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$-path and $(u, v)$-path, respectively. In the case $\left(b_{2}\right)$, we can find an internal $(x, a)$-path in $R_{3}$, where $c=x$. Therefore, for the case $\left(b_{2}\right), G$ has a $K_{3,4}$-minor as shown in Figure $11(B)$, and in Figure $11(C)$ for other cases. (Note that the hexagonal splitting does not break the $\left(u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)$-path as shown in Figure 11.)

Subcase 3. $f$ is contained in $R_{3}$ and $x y \notin E\left(R_{3}\right)$.
$\left(c_{1}\right)$

$\left(c_{2}\right)$




Figure 10 Subcase 3

Similarly to Subcase 2, we have $x=c$. Hence we have four cases (see Figure 10): $\left(c_{1}\right) x y=c u^{\prime},\left(c_{2}\right) x y$ is an inner edge of $R_{1},\left(c_{3}\right) x y$ is an inner edge of $R_{2}$ and $\left(c_{4}\right) x y=c u$. Observe that $\left(c_{2}\right)$ and $\left(c_{3}\right)$ are equivalent by symmetry. Similarly to the above subcases, using internal paths, we can find a $K_{3,4}$-minor as shown in Figure $11(B)$ (resp., $(E)$ ) for the cases $\left(c_{1}\right),\left(c_{2}\right)$ and ( $c_{3}$ ) (resp., ( $\left.c_{4}\right)$ ).

Therefore, we can find a $K_{3,4}$-minor in $G$ in all possible cases, and hence we are done.

## 3. Some Remarks

For triangulations on surfaces, we can find several results on a characterization of ones containing a complete graph as a minor. Such results might affirmatively support the well-known Hadwiger's Conjecture, which states that every graph with no $K_{k}$-minor is ( $k-1$ )-colorable, and has been solved for $k \leq 6$, but is still open for $k \geq 7$. It is easy to see that every triangulation on any surface has a $K_{4}$-minor, and that every triangulation on any non-spherical surface has a $K_{5}$-minor, where we note that every graph on the sphere cannot have a $K_{5}$-minor, by the Kuratowski-Wagner's result [18]. Using the complete list of "minimal triangulations" on surfaces with respect to the minor relations, called irreducible


Figure 11 Five cases with a $K_{3,4}$-minor
triangulations $[1,7,8,16,17]$, Mukae et al. characterized triangulations with no $K_{6}$-minor $[6,12,13,14]$.

In this paper, we have given a sufficient condition for a bipartite quadrangulation on the projective plane $\mathbb{P}$ to have a $K_{3,4}$-minor by a single forbidden structure called the $Q$-structure, using the fact that every bipartite quadrangulation on $\mathbb{P}$ contains $K_{3,4}$ or $K_{4,4}^{--}$as a minor [10]. So we wonder if avoiding the $Q$-structure can also be a necessary condition for a bipartite quadrangulation on $\mathbb{P}$ to have a $K_{3,4}$-minor.

For this problem, Maharry and Slilaty [9] gave a constructive characterization of projective planar maps with no $K_{3,4}$-minor, using the notion of a "patch graph". A patch is a plane graph with quadrilateral outer cycle. A map $G$ on $\mathbb{P}$ is a patch graph if it is obtained by the following procedures:

- Step 1: Start with the initial map (a) shown in Figure 12, where the quadrilateral region $P_{0}$ is a patch.
- Step 2: Apply patch operations, each of which replaces a single patch with a configuration $H$ or $Y$ containing two or one patch $($ es $)((b),(c)$ in Figure 12, where the regions $P_{1}, P_{2}$ and $P_{3}$ are patches). Repeat this operation several times.
- Step 3: Finally, replace each of the remaining patches with one of the two configurations $X$ and $I((d),(e)$ in Figure 12), called the terminal patch.
We note that a patch graph is always a triangulation on $\mathbb{P}$, and almost 4 -connected (i.e., it is 3 -connected and every 3 -cut separates the graph into one with an isolated vertex). Actually, Maharry and Slilaty proved that an almost 4-connected
map $G \neq K_{6}$ on $\mathbb{P}$ has no $K_{3,4}$-minor if and only if $G$ is a subgraph of a patch graph.


Figure 12 The initial patch graph (a) and four patch operations $H, Y, X$ and I

Let $T$ be a patch graph. Assign black or white to each vertex of $T$ so that no triangular face has three vertices of the same color in its three corners, and that the subgraph of $T$ induced by the black-white edges is simple. We see that $T$ has such a vertex-coloring only if the first patch operation applied to the initial patch for constructing $T$ is $H$. Deleting the edges of $T$ joining the same color, we obtain a spanning bipartite quadrangulation $G$ of $T$. Then we can see that $G$ has a $Q$-structure since the first patch operation is $H$. Hence by Maharry-Slilaty's theorem, if $G$ does not have a $Q$-structure, then $G$ has a $K_{3,4}$-minor. This is our main claim in this paper, but on the other hand, we would like to know whether the converse is true or not. However, we have a counterexample $G$ shown in the left of Figure 13, which has a $Q$-structure but also has a $K_{3,4}$-minor, as in the right.


Figure 13 Bipartite quadrangulation $G$ on the projective plane having a $K_{3,4}$-minor and a $Q$-structure

Let $T$ be the patch graph which is obtained from the initial map by the single patch operation $H$. Then $T$ has exactly two patches, say $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. From $T$, we can get infinitely many patch graphs $T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots$ by applying patch operations to $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. Unless both $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ are replaced with the terminal patches, each $T_{i}$
contains a spanning bipartite quadrangulation, say $G_{i}$, and by Maharry-Slilaty's theorem, all $G_{i}$ 's have no $K_{3,4}$-minor. However, the bipartite quadrangulation $G$ in Figure 13 is constructed as a spanning subgraph of a triangulation obtained from $T$ by replacing $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ with some dense graphs, and hence the failure of the patch operations in $T$ causes the existence of a $K_{3,4}$-minor in $G$.

Finally, we would like to know whether we can obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for a bipartite quadrangulation on $\mathbb{P}$ to have a $K_{3,4}$-minor, by analyzing the structure more carefully than what we did in this paper. Even if it can be, then the argument will be so long and complicated, and moreover, it might be nothing but tracing Maharry-Slilaty's proof through bipartite quadrangulations.
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