

WEAK CONVERGENCE OF COMPLEX-VALUED MEASURE FOR BI-PRODUCT PATH SPACE INDUCED BY QUANTUM WALK

By

NORIO KONNO AND ETSUO SEGAWA

(Received August 30, 2012)

Abstract. In this paper, a complex-valued measure of bi-product path space induced by quantum walk is presented. In particular, we consider three types of conditional return paths in a power set of the bi-product path space (1) $\Lambda \times \Lambda$, (2) $\Lambda \times \Lambda'$ and (3) $\Lambda' \times \Lambda'$, where Λ is the set of all $2n$ -length ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) return paths and $\Lambda' (\subseteq \Lambda)$ is the set of all $2n$ -length return paths going through nx ($x \in [-1, 1]$) at time n . We obtain asymptotic behaviors of the complex-valued measures for the situations (1)-(3) which imply two kinds of weak convergence theorems (Theorems 1 and 2). One of them suggests a weak limit of weak values.

1. Introduction

Let the set of all the n -truncated paths be $\Omega_n = \{-1, 1\}^n$. Denote the coin space \mathcal{H}_C spanned by choice of direction at each time step, that is, $\mathbf{e}_{-1} = {}^T[1, 0]$ and $\mathbf{e}_1 = {}^T[0, 1]$. Let quantum coin on \mathcal{H}_C be

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in U(2)$$

with $abcd \neq 0$, where $U(2)$ is the set of two-dimensional unitary matrices. Define weight of passage as $W : \Omega_n \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that for $\xi = (\xi_n, \dots, \xi_1) \in \Omega_n$,

$$W(\xi) = P_{\xi_n} \cdots P_{\xi_1} \tag{1.1}$$

with $P_j = \Pi_j U$, where Π_j is projection onto \mathbf{e}_j , that is,

$$P_{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here $M_2(\mathbb{C})$ is the set of all the complex-valued 2×2 matrices. In this paper, we consider bi-product n -truncated path space $\Omega_n^2 = \Omega_n \times \Omega_n$. The algebra of

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 81Q99

Key words and phrases: quantum walk, bi-product path space, quantum measure

subsets of Ω_n^2 is denoted by $\mathcal{F}_n = 2^{\Omega_n^2}$. For fixed $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_C$ with $\|\phi\| = 1$ called initial coin state, we define $\varphi_{\phi,n} : \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$,

$$\varphi_{\phi,n}(A) = \left\langle \phi, \sum_{(\xi,\eta) \in A} W(\xi)^\dagger \cdot W(\eta) \phi \right\rangle. \quad (1.2)$$

If $A = \emptyset$, then $\varphi_{\phi,n}(A) \equiv 0$ for the convenience. We should remark that the map $\varphi_{\phi,n}$ expresses \mathbb{C} -valued measure on \mathcal{F}_n in the following sense: for every $\phi \in \mathcal{H}_C$ with $\|\phi\| = 1$,

Property of $\varphi_{\phi,n}$

- (i) For $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$, $\varphi_{\phi,n}(A) \in \mathbb{C}$. Furthermore, $\varphi_{\phi,n}(\Omega_n^2) = 1$,
- (ii) For any $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathcal{F}_n$ with $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$ ($i \neq j$),

$$\varphi_{\phi,n} \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^m A_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^m \varphi_{\phi,n}(A_i).$$

In particular, for $\xi, \eta \in \Omega_n$,

$$(D)_{\xi,\eta} \equiv \varphi_{\phi,n}(\{(\xi, \eta)\})$$

is called the decoherence matrix starting from the initial coin state ϕ which has been studied by [1, 2, 3]. Moreover for any $A_0 \in 2^{\Omega_n}$, $\nu_n(A_0) \equiv \varphi_{\phi,n}(A_0 \times A_0)$ is called q -measure on 2^{Ω_n} [1, 2].

Let $\Omega^2 = \Omega_n^2 \times \{-1, 1\}^2 \times \{-1, 1\}^2 \times \dots = (\{-1, 1\}^2)^\mathbb{N}$. A subset $A \subset \Omega^2$ is a cylinder set if and only if there exist $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and $B \in \mathcal{F}_n$ such that $A = B \times \{-1, 1\}^2 \times \{-1, 1\}^2 \times \dots$. Denote $\mathcal{C}(\Omega^2)$ as the collection of all cylinder sets. From the unitarity of $U = P_1 + P_{-1}$, we see that for $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_{\phi,n+1}(A \times \{-1, 1\}^2) \\ &= \left\langle \phi, \sum_{(\xi,\eta) \in A} \{(P_1 + P_{-1})W(\xi)\}^\dagger \cdot \{(P_1 + P_{-1})W(\eta)\} \phi \right\rangle = \varphi_{\phi,n}(A). \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$, then

$$\varphi_{\phi,n+m}(A \times \{-1, 1\}^{2m}) = \varphi_{\phi,n}(A), \quad (1.3)$$

for any $m \geq 1$. Define $\varphi_\phi : \mathcal{C}(\Omega^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that for any $A \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega^2)$ expressed by $A = B \times \{-1, 1\}^2 \times \{-1, 1\}^2 \times \dots$ with $B \in \mathcal{F}_n$,

$$\varphi_\phi(A) = \varphi_{\phi,n}(B).$$

Equation (1.3) implies that if $B = B_1 \times \{-1, 1\}^{2(n-m)}$ with $B_1 \in \mathcal{F}_m$ and $m \leq n$, then $\varphi_\phi(A) = \varphi_{\phi,n}(B) = \varphi_{\phi,m}(B_1)$. So φ_ϕ is well defined. Moreover, we easily find that φ_ϕ satisfies both properties (i) and (ii).

Now we will connect the above statements to the quantum walk on \mathbb{Z} originated by S. Gudder (1988) [4]. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $T_n^{(j)} \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega^2)$ as $T_n^{(j)} = \{(\xi, \eta) \in \Omega^2 : \xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n = \eta_1 + \cdots + \eta_n = j\}$, where $\Omega = \{-1, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$. Indeed, we can check that $\varphi_\phi(T_n^{(j)}) \geq 0$, and $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi_\phi(T_n^{(j)}) = 1$. The property (i) implies that

$$\varphi_\phi \left(\Omega_n^2 \setminus \bigcup_{j=-n}^n T_n^{(j)} \right) = 0.$$

Anyway, under the subalgebra $2^{\bigcup_{j=-n}^n T_n^{(j)}} \subset 2^{\Omega_n^2}$, the quantum walk at time n is denoted by a *random* variable $X_n^{(\phi)} : \bigcup_{j=-n}^n T_n^{(j)} \rightarrow \{-n, -(n-1), \dots, n-1, n\}$. Here $X_n^{(\phi)}(\xi, \eta) = \xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_n = \eta_1 + \cdots + \eta_n$ has the following distribution:

$$P(X_n^{(\phi)} = j) \equiv P \left(\left\{ (\xi, \eta) \in \bigcup_{j=-n}^n T_n^{(j)} : X_n^{(\phi)}(\xi, \eta) = j \right\} \right) = \varphi_\phi(T_n^{(j)}).$$

This is an equivalent expression for the definition of the usual quantum walk on \mathbb{Z} which has been intensively studied by many researchers. Now using φ_ϕ , we can measure various kinds of cylinder sets including $T_n^{(j)}$ corresponding to the usual quantum walk. In the next section, we choose three kinds of n -truncated cylinder sets in $\mathcal{C}(\Omega^2)$ by using our measure φ_ϕ and find their asymptotics for large n .

By the way, it is possible to extend our \mathbb{C} -valued measure $\varphi_{n,\phi}$ to $\tilde{\varphi}_{\phi,n}^{(i,j)}$ ($i, j \in \{\pm 1\}$) as follows: $\tilde{\varphi}_{\phi,n}^{(i,j)} : \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that for $A \in \mathcal{F}_n$,

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{\phi,n}^{(i,j)}(A) = \sum_{(\xi, \eta) \in A} \langle W(\xi)\phi, \mathbf{e}_i \rangle \langle \mathbf{e}_j, W(\eta)\phi \rangle.$$

It is hold that

$$\varphi_{\phi,n} = \tilde{\varphi}_{\phi,n}^{(1,1)} + \tilde{\varphi}_{\phi,n}^{(-1,-1)}.$$

In particular, when we take $A \in \bigcup_{k=-n}^n T_n^{(k)}$, then $\varphi_{\phi,n}^{(i,j)}(A)$ becomes the argument proposed by [5]. The author [5] gives the weak convergence theorem of $\tilde{\varphi}_{\phi,n}^{(i,j)}$, that is, $\sum_{k:k < nx} \tilde{\varphi}_{\phi,n}^{(i,j)}(T_n(k))$ in the limit of n , for $i \neq j$ which is called interference term in his paper. So considering $\tilde{\varphi}_{\phi,n}^{(i,j)}$ can be one of the candidates of the interesting future's problem.

2. Results

For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, define the set of all paths which go through the positions nx and ny at time n and $2n$, respectively as follows:

$$\Theta_{x|y}^{(n)} = \left\{ (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots) \in \Omega : \frac{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_n}{n} = x, \frac{\xi_1 + \dots + \xi_{2n}}{n} = y \right\}.$$

Now for simplicity, we concentrate on $y = 0$, and the following three cases with respect to the pair of $\Theta_x^{(n)} \times \Theta_y^{(n)} \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega^2)$, where $\Theta_x^{(n)} \equiv \Theta_{x|0}^{(n)}$:

- (1) $A_1^{(n)} \equiv \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \bigcup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \Theta_x^{(n)} \times \Theta_y^{(n)}$ case
- (2) $A_2^{(n)}(y) \equiv \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \Theta_x^{(n)} \times \Theta_y^{(n)}$ with fixed $y \in \mathbb{R}$ case
- (3) $A_3^{(n)}(y) \equiv \Theta_y^{(n)} \times \Theta_y^{(n)}$ case

Note that $A_1^{(n)} \supseteq A_2^{(n)}(y) \supseteq A_3^{(n)}(y)$. To explain the situations of $A_j^{(n)}$'s, we prepare two quantum walkers, walker 1 and walker 2, who produce the weight of path W . The measurement value is obtained by inner product of their weight of paths with an initial coin state (see Eq. (1.2)). Both walkers in $A_1^{(n)}$ give weight of all the paths returning back to the origin at time $2n$. Walkers 1 and 2 in $A_3^{(n)}(y)$ produce weight of every return path with length $2n$ restricted to passing the position ny at time n . In $A_2^{(n)}(y)$, despite of $A_1^{(n)}$ and $A_3^{(n)}(y)$, the classes of return paths for two walkers are different: walker 1 is in the situation (1) while walker 2 is in the situation (3).

The following theorem gives asymptotics of measurement value for each situation (1)-(3) by using $\varphi_{\phi, n}$. Define

$$\mathcal{D}_\kappa = e^{i\kappa} \Pi_{-1} + \Pi_1 \quad \text{with } \kappa = \arg(a) + \arg(c) - \det(U). \quad (2.4)$$

We use notation $a_n \sim b_n$ as $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n/b_n = 1$.

LEMMA 1. *Denote the Konno function $f_K(x; r)$ ($0 < r < 1$) [10, 11] by*

$$f_K(x; r) = \frac{\sqrt{1-r^2}}{\pi(1-x^2)\sqrt{r^2-x^2}} \mathbf{1}_{\{|x|<r\}}(x),$$

where $\mathbf{1}_A(x)$ is the indicator function, that is, $\mathbf{1}_A(x) = 1$, ($x \in A$), $= 0$, ($x \notin A$). Let the initial coin state be ϕ_0 , and $\phi_\kappa \equiv \mathcal{D}_\kappa \phi_0$. Then we have for large n ,

(1) Case (1)

$$\varphi_{\phi_0}(A_1^{(n)}) \sim \frac{f_K(0; |a|)}{n} = \frac{|c|}{\pi|a|n}. \quad (2.5)$$

(2) Case (2)

$$\sum_{j:j \leq ny} \varphi_{\phi_0} \left(A_2^{(n)}(j/n) \right) \sim \mathbf{1}_{\{y>0\}}(y) \frac{f_K(0; |a|)}{n} = \mathbf{1}_{\{y>0\}}(y) \frac{|c|}{\pi|a|n}. \quad (2.6)$$

(3) Case (3)

$$\sum_{j \leq ny} \varphi_{\phi_0} \left(A_3^{(n)}(j/n) \right) \sim \frac{|c|^2}{|a|^2 n} \int_{-\infty}^y (1 + \langle \phi_\kappa, C_0 \phi_\kappa \rangle x) \frac{\mathbf{1}_{\{|x| < |a|\}}(x)}{\pi^2(1-x^2)^2} dx, \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$C_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -|c|/|a| \\ -|c|/|a| & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now we present a distribution function with respect to q -measure [1, 2]. To do so, put

$$F_{n, \phi_0}(x|y) \equiv \frac{\sum_{j \leq nx} \varphi_{\phi_0} \left(\Theta_{(j/n)|y}^{(n)} \times \Theta_{(j/n)|y}^{(n)} \right)}{\sum_{j \leq n} \varphi_{\phi_0} \left(\Theta_{(j/n)|y}^{(n)} \times \Theta_{(j/n)|y}^{(n)} \right)}.$$

We can easily check that for fixed y , $F_{n, \phi_0}(x|y)$ becomes a distribution function, that is,

- (a) $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F_{n, \phi_0}(x|y) = 1$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} F_{n, \phi_0}(x|y) = 0$,
- (b) for any $x \leq y$, $0 \leq F_{n, \phi_0}(x|z) \leq F_{n, \phi_0}(y|z) \leq 1$.

The function $F_{n, \phi_0}(x|y)$ corresponds to a *normalized* q -measure [1, 2] restricted to the event $\bigcup_x \Theta_{x|y}^{(n)}$. Part (3) in Lemma 1 leads the following theorem for $y = 0$ case:

THEOREM 1. *Assume that the initial coin state is $\phi_0 = {}^T[\alpha, \beta]$. We consider the sequence $\{F_{n, \phi_0}(x|0)\}_{n \geq 0}$. Let Y_n be a random variable whose distribution function is $F_{n, \phi_0}(x|0)$, that is, $P(Y_n \leq x) = F_{n, \phi_0}(x|0)$. Then we have*

$$Y_n \Rightarrow Z, \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad (2.8)$$

where Z has the following density:

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu_{\phi_0}(x|0) \\ &= \frac{|c|^2}{|a| + |c|^2 \log \sqrt{\frac{1+|a|}{1-|a|}}} \left[1 - \left\{ (|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2) + \frac{a\alpha\bar{b}\beta + \bar{a}\alpha b\beta}{|a|^2} \right\} x \right] \frac{\mathbf{1}_{\{|x| < |a|\}}(x)}{\pi^2(1-x^2)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Here " \Rightarrow " means the weak convergence.

Next, define $W_x^{(n)} = \bigcup_y \Theta_{y|x}^{(n)}$ and

$$\widehat{G}_{n,\phi_0}(y|x) = \frac{\sum_{j \leq ny} \varphi_{\phi_0} \left(W_x^{(n)} \times \Theta_{(j/n)|x}^{(n)} \right)}{\sum_{j \leq n} \varphi_{\phi_0} \left(W_x^{(n)} \times \Theta_{(j/n)|x}^{(n)} \right)}.$$

The value $\widehat{G}_{n,\phi_0}(y|x)$ satisfies the above condition (a), but the condition (b) is not ensured, that is,

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{G}_{n,\phi_0}(y|x) = 1, \text{ and } \lim_{y \rightarrow -\infty} \widehat{G}_{n,\phi_0}(y|x) = 0,$$

while $\widehat{G}_{n,\phi_0}(y|x) \in \mathbb{C}$ for $|y| < \infty$ in general. From Parts (1) and (2) in Theorem 1, we obtain an asymptotic behavior of the value $\widehat{G}_{n,\phi_0}(x|0)$ which is deeply related to the weak value [7, 8] as follows.

Before we show the result, here we briefly give the definition of the weak value. We can see more detailed explanations and its interesting related works in [9] and its references. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and $U(t_2, t_1)$ be an evolution from time t_1 to t_2 on \mathcal{H} . For an observable A and normalized states $\phi_i, \phi_f \in \mathcal{H}$, the weak value ${}_{\phi_f} \langle A \rangle_{\phi_i}^w$ is defined by

$${}_{\phi_f} \langle A \rangle_{\phi_i}^w = \frac{\langle \phi_f, U(t_f, t) A U(t, t_i) \phi_i \rangle}{\langle \phi_f, U(t_f, t_i) \phi_i \rangle}. \quad (2.9)$$

Here ϕ_i and ϕ_f are called pre-selected state and post-selected state, respectively.

From now on, we take the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} as $\bigoplus_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{H}_x$, where \mathcal{H}_x is the two-dimensional Hilbert space spanned by left and right chiralities $\{e_L, e_R\}$. Let the canonical basis of \mathcal{H} be denoted by $\{\delta_x \otimes e_L, \delta_x \otimes e_R; x \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Put a permutation operator S on \mathcal{H} such that for $\delta_x \otimes e_J$ ($J \in \{L, R\}$),

$$S(\delta_x \otimes e_J) = \begin{cases} \delta_{x+1} \otimes e_R, & (J = R), \\ \delta_{x-1} \otimes e_L, & (J = L). \end{cases}$$

Define $E = SC$ be a unitary operator on \mathcal{H} , where $C = \sum_x \oplus U$. (Recall that U is the two-dimensional unitary operator.) We consider the iteration of E from the initial state $\Phi_0 = \delta_0 \otimes \phi$ with $\|\phi\|^2 = 1$:

$$\Phi_0 \xrightarrow{E} \Phi_1 \xrightarrow{E} \Phi_2 \xrightarrow{E} \dots$$

This is another equivalent expression for the quantum walk on \mathbb{Z} with initial state Φ_0 . Indeed,

$$\|\Pi_j E^n \Phi_0\|^2 = \varphi_\phi(T_n^{(j)}),$$

where Π_j is the projection onto \mathcal{H}_j .

In particular, when we take for $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, $E^{t_2-t_1}$ as $U(t_2, t_1)$ and Π_j as the observable A , moreover Φ_0 as the pre-selected state and $\Pi_0 \bar{E}^{t_f} \Phi_0$ as the post-selected state in Eq. (2.9) with $t_i = 0$, $t = n$ and $t_f = 2n$, then we have in this setting

$$\sum_{j \leq ny} \phi_f \langle A \rangle_{\phi_i}^w = \widehat{G}_{n, \phi_0}(y|0). \quad (2.10)$$

This is a connection between our complex-valued measure and weak value. We find that the weak value weakly converges to the delta measure as follows.

THEOREM 2. *It is hold that for large n ,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{G}_{n, \phi_0}(y|0) = \mathbf{1}_{\{y>0\}}(y). \quad (2.11)$$

The physical meaning of Theorem 2 remains as an interesting open problem.

3. Proof of Lemma 1

Let $\Xi_n(j) = \sum_{\xi: \xi_n + \dots + \xi_1 = j} W(\xi)$ be weight of all the n -truncated passages arriving at j . Our proof is based on the stationary phase method:

LEMMA 2. *Let $f(x)$ denote an \mathbb{R} -valued function on $[a, b]$ satisfying that there exists a unique $c \in [a, b]$ such that $f'(c) = 0$ with $f''(c) \neq 0$. Then for continuous function $g(x)$ on $[a, b]$,*

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j: an < j < bn} g(j/n) e^{inf(j/n)} \sim e^{i \operatorname{sgn}(f''(c))\pi/4} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{|f''(c)|n}} g(c) e^{inf(c)} + o(1/\sqrt{n}), \quad (3.12)$$

for large n , where $\operatorname{sgn}(y) = 1, (y > 0), = 0, (y = 0), = -1, (y < 0)$.

At first we give the following key lemma whose proof is described in Appendix by using the stationary phase method:

LEMMA 3. *Put \mathbb{R} -valued functions $k(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ ($x \in [-|a|, |a|]$) as*

$$e^{ik(x)} = \frac{1}{|a|} \sqrt{\frac{|a|^2 - x^2}{1 - x^2}} + i \frac{|c|}{|a|} \frac{x}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$e^{i\psi(x)} = \sqrt{\frac{|a|^2 - x^2}{1 - x^2}} + i \frac{|c|x^2}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}, \quad (3.14)$$

For any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $j = nx$ ($x \in [-1, 1]$), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_n(j) &= \frac{1 + (-1)^{n+j}}{2} e^{in\delta/2} \sqrt{\frac{2f_K(x; |a|)}{n}} \\ &\times \mathcal{D}_\kappa^\dagger \left(e^{i\pi/4} e^{in(\psi(x) - xk(x))} \Pi(x) + e^{-i\pi/4} e^{-in(\psi(x) - xk(x))} \overline{\Pi(x)} \right) \mathcal{D}_\kappa + o(1/\sqrt{n}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where

$$\Pi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} |a|(1-x) & |c|x + i\sqrt{|a|^2 - x^2} \\ |c|x - i\sqrt{|a|^2 - x^2} & |a|(1+x) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here for $M \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$, $\left(\overline{M}\right)_{i,j} = \overline{(M)_{i,j}}$ for any $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$.

Before the proof of Lemma 1, we can confirm a consistency of the statement of the above lemma as follows. Recall that $X_n^{(\phi)}$ is a random variable determined by $P(X_n^{(\phi)} = j) = \|\Xi_n(j)\phi\|^2$ with the initial coin state $\phi = [\alpha, \beta]$ so called usual quantum walk. Then Lemma 3 and the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma imply the following corollary with respect to $X_n^{(\phi)}$:

COROLLARY 3.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_n^{(\phi)}/n \leq x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \left\{ 1 - \left(|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 + \frac{2\operatorname{Re}[a\alpha\overline{b\beta}]}{|a|^2} \right) x \right\} f_K(x; |a|) dx.$$

This is consistent with results of [10, 11]. Now we give the proof of Lemma 1 in the following:

- (1) *Proof of Case (1).* Put $g(x) = \psi(x) - xk(x)$. We should remark that $L_n(x) \equiv \sum_{\xi \in \Theta_x^{(n)}} W(\xi) = \Xi_n(-nx)\Xi_n(nx)$. Note that $\sum_{j=-n}^n L_n(j/n) = \Xi_{2n}(0)$. Lemma 3 reduces to

$$e^{-in\delta} D_\kappa \Xi_{2n}(0) D_\kappa^\dagger \sim \sqrt{\frac{f_K(0; |a|)}{n}} \left\{ e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{2ing(0)} \Pi(0) + e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}} e^{-2ing(0)} \overline{\Pi(0)} \right\}. \quad (3.16)$$

By using the fact that for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\Pi^2(x) = \Pi(x), \quad \Pi(x)\overline{\Pi(-x)} = 0, \quad (3.17)$$

and Eq. (3.16), we obtain

$$\varphi_{\phi_0}(A_1^{(n)}) = \sum_{i=-n}^n \sum_{j=-n}^n \langle L_n(i/n)\phi_0, L_n(j/n)\phi_0 \rangle \quad (3.18)$$

$$= \langle \Xi_{2n}(0)\phi_0, \Xi_{2n}(0)\phi_0 \rangle \quad (3.19)$$

$$\sim \frac{f_K(0; |a|)}{n} \left\langle \phi_0, \left\{ \Pi(0) + \overline{\Pi(0)} \right\} \phi_0 \right\rangle = \frac{f_K(0; |a|)}{n}. \quad (3.20)$$

Then we complete the proof of case (1). It is consistent with the result of [12] which treats the Hadamard walk. \square

(2) *Proof of Case (2)*. Using Eq. (3.17), Lemma 3 implies that

$$D_\kappa \Xi_n(-j) \Xi_n(j) D_\kappa^\dagger e^{-in\delta} \sim i \frac{1 + (-1)^{n+j}}{2} \times \frac{2f_K(x; |a|)}{n} \\ \times \left\{ e^{2ing(x)} \Pi(-x) \Pi(x) - e^{-2ing(x)} \overline{\Pi(-x) \Pi(x)} \right\}, \quad (3.21)$$

By Eq. (3.16),

$$e^{-in\delta} \sum_{j < ny} D_\kappa \Xi_n(-j) \Xi_n(j) D_\kappa^\dagger \sim \frac{2i}{n} \sum_{j < ny} f_K(j/n; |a|) \\ \times \left\{ e^{2ing(j/n)} \Pi(-j/n) \Pi(j/n) - e^{-2ing(j/n)} \overline{\Pi(-j/n) \Pi(j/n)} \right\} \quad (3.22)$$

Now we consider the solution for $g'(x) = \psi'(x) - k(x) - xk'(x) = 0$. Equations (A.30)-(A.33) in Appendix imply that $\psi(x) = \theta(k(x))$ and $k(x)$ is the unique solution for

$$h(k) = \partial\theta(k)/\partial k = x \quad (3.23)$$

on $k \in [-\pi/2, \pi/2]$, where $\cos \theta(k) = |a| \cos k$ with $\sin \theta(k) \geq 0$. So we have

$$\frac{\partial\psi(x)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial\theta(k(x))}{\partial x} = xk'(x).$$

Then we obtain

$$g'(x) = -k(x). \quad (3.24)$$

On the other hand, differentiating both sides of Eq. (3.23) with respect to x implies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial\theta(k)}{\partial k} \right) = \frac{\partial k}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial^2\theta(k)}{\partial k^2} \right) = 1.$$

Then Eq. (3.24) gives

$$k'(x) = \frac{1}{\partial^2\theta(k)/\partial k^2} \Big|_{k=k(x)} = \pi f_K(x; |a|). \quad (3.25)$$

Thus, $g'(x) = 0$ if and only if $k(x) = 0$, which implies $e^{ik(x)} = 1$. Therefore by definition of $k(x)$ (see Eq. (3.13)), $x = 0$ is the unique solution for $g'(x) = 0$. Moreover Eqs. (3.24) and (3.25) give $g''(x) = -k'(x) =$

$-\pi f_K(x; |a|)$, which implies $g''(0) = -\pi f_K(0; |a|)$. So applying the stationary phase method described in Lemma 2 to Eq. (3.22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-in\delta} \sum_{j < ny} D_\kappa \Xi_n(-j) \Xi_n(j) D_\kappa^\dagger \\ & \sim i \mathbf{1}_{\{y > 0\}}(y) \left(e^{2in\psi(0)} e^{-i\pi/4} \Pi(0) - e^{-2in\psi(0)} e^{i\pi/4} \overline{\Pi(0)} \right) \sqrt{\frac{f_K(0; |a|)}{n}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Combining Eq. (3.26) with Eq. (3.16), we arrive at

$$\varphi_{\phi_0}(A_2^{(n)}(y)) = \sum_{j: j < ny} \langle \Xi_{2n}(0) \phi_0, \Xi_n(-j) \Xi_n(j) \phi_0 \rangle \sim \mathbf{1}_{\{y > 0\}}(y) \frac{f_K(0; |a|)}{n}. \quad (3.27)$$

So we complete the proof. \square

(3) *Proof of Case (3)*. Remark that

$$\sum_{j \leq ny} \varphi_{\phi_0}(A_3^{(n)}(j/n)) = \sum_{j \leq ny} \langle L_n(j/n) \phi_0, L_n(j/n) \phi_0 \rangle. \quad (3.28)$$

On the other hand, using the relations of $\Pi(x)$ described by Eq. (3.17), Eq. (3.21) gives

$$L_n^\dagger(x) \cdot L_n(x) \sim \frac{|c|^2}{n^2 |a|^2} (I + C_0 x) \frac{\mathbf{1}_{\{|x| < |a|\}}(x)}{\pi^2 (1 - x^2)^2} \quad (3.29)$$

which leads the desired conclusion of case (3). \square

Acknowledgments. NK acknowledges financial support of the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (Grant No. 21540116).

References

- [1] S. Gudder, Quantum measure theory, *Math. Slovaca*, **60**, 681–700 (2010).
- [2] S. Gudder and R. D. Sorokin, Two-site quantum random walk, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.*, **43**, 3451–3475 (2011).
- [3] N. Konno and E. Segawa, On the entropy of decoherence matrix for quantum walks, *Yokohama Mathematical Journal*, in press, arXiv:1202.1376. (2012).
- [4] S. Gudder, Quantum Probability, Academic Press Inc., CA (1988).

- [5] T. Machida, Limit theorems for the interference terms of discrete-time quantum walks on the line, *Quantum Inf. Comp.*, **13**, 661–671 (2013).
- [6] Y. Aharonov, L. Davidovich, and N. Zagury, Quantum random walks, *Phys. Rev. A*, **48**, 1687–1690 (1993).
- [7] Y. Aharonov, D. Z. Albert, and L. Vaidman, How the result of a measurement of a component of the spin of a spin-1/2 particle can turn out to be 100, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **60**, 1351 (1988).
- [8] Y. Shikano and A. Hosoya, Weak values with decoherence, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, **43**, 025304 (2010).
- [9] Y. Shikano, Theory of “weak value” and quantum mechanical measurements, “Measurements in Quantum Mechanics”, edited by M. R. Pahlavani (InTech, 2012) 75–100 (2012).
- [10] N. Konno, Quantum random walks in one dimension, *Quantum Inf. Proc.*, **1**, 345–354 (2002).
- [11] N. Konno, A new type of limit theorems for the one-dimensional quantum random walk, *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.*, **57**, 1179–1195 (2005).
- [12] N. Konno, Quantum walks and elliptic integrals, *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science*, **20**, 1091–1098 (2010).

Appendix

A. Proof of Lemma 3

We take the spatial Fourier transform of the weight of path $\Xi_n(j)$ such that

$$\widehat{\Xi}_n(k) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \Xi_n(j) e^{ijk}.$$

From the recurrence relation $\Xi_{n+1}(j) = Q\Xi_n(j-1) + P\Xi_n(j+1)$, we obtain

$$\widehat{\Xi}_n(k) = (e^{ik}Q + e^{-ik}P)^n.$$

The eigenvalues and their corresponding normalized eigenvectors are expressed by $\lambda_m(k + \tau)$ and $\mathbf{v}_m(k + \tau)$, ($m \in \{0, 1\}$), where

$$\lambda_m(k) = e^{i\delta/2} \cdot e^{i(-1)^m \theta(k)}, \quad (\text{A.30})$$

$$\mathbf{v}_m(k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\{1 - |a| \cos[(-1)^m \theta(k) - k]\}}} D_\kappa^\dagger \begin{bmatrix} |c| \\ |a| - e^{i((-1)^m \theta(k) - k)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.31})$$

where $\tau = \delta/2 - \arg(a)$ and D_κ is defined in Eq. (2.4). Here $\cos \theta(k) = |a| \cos k$ with $\sin \theta(k) \geq 0$ and $\delta = \arg(\det(U))$. By the Fourier inversion theorem, we

obtain for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Xi_n(j) &= \int_{\gamma}^{2\pi+\gamma} \widehat{\Xi}_n(k) e^{-ijk} \frac{dk}{2\pi}, \\ &= e^{in\delta/2} \sum_{m \in \{0,1\}} \int_{\gamma+\tau}^{2\pi+\gamma+\tau} e^{in((-1)^m \theta(k) - xk)} \mathbf{v}_m(k) \mathbf{v}_m(k)^\dagger \frac{dk}{2\pi},\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.32})$$

where $x = j/n$. We choose an arbitrary parameter γ as $-\tau - \pi/2$. From now on we apply the stationary phase method in Lemma 2 to Eq. (A.32). Put $f_m(k) = (-1)^m \theta(k) - xk$, ($m \in \{0, 1\}$) as \mathbb{R} -valued function on $[-\pi/2, 3\pi/2)$. The solution for $\partial f_m(k)/\partial k = 0$ is given by

$$(-1)^m h(k) = x, \quad (\text{A.33})$$

where $h(k) = \partial \theta(k)/\partial k$. In the following, we consider $m = 0$ case. The definition of $\theta(k)$ gives

$$h(k) = \frac{|a| \sin k}{\sqrt{1 - |a|^2 \cos^2 k}}.$$

The solutions for $h'(k) = 0$ in $[-\pi/2, 3\pi/2)$ are $\pm\pi/2$. We denote $h_{\pm}(k)$ with $h(k) = h_+(k) + h_-(k)$ so that $h'_+(k) > 0$ and $h'_-(k) \leq 0$, as the function on $K_+ = [-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ and $K_- = [\pi/2, 3\pi/2)$, respectively. To apply the stationary phase method, we divide the integral in Eq. (A.32) into the four parts as follows:

$$e^{-in\delta/2} D_{\kappa} \Xi_n(j) D_{\kappa}^\dagger = \sum_{m \in \{0,1\}} \sum_{\epsilon \in \{-,+\}} \int_{k \in K_{\epsilon}} e^{in((-1)^m \theta(k) - xk)} \mathbf{v}_m(k) \mathbf{v}_m(k)^\dagger \frac{dk}{2\pi}. \quad (\text{A.34})$$

An explicit expression for the solutions $k_{\pm}(x)$ for $h_{\pm}(k) = x$, respectively, are obtained as follows:

$$\cos k_{\pm}(x) = \pm \frac{1}{|a|} \sqrt{\frac{|a|^2 - x^2}{1 - x^2}}, \quad (\text{A.35})$$

$$\sin k_{\pm}(x) = \frac{|c|}{|a|} \frac{x}{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}. \quad (\text{A.36})$$

Thus we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{\partial^2 f_0(k)/\partial k^2} \right|_{k=k_{\pm}(x)} = \left| \frac{1}{\partial h(k)/\partial k} \right|_{k=k_{\pm}(x)} = \pi f_K(x; |a|). \quad (\text{A.37})$$

Moreover some algebraic computations give

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v}_0(k) \mathbf{v}_0(k)^\dagger|_{k=k_+(x)} &= D_{\kappa}^\dagger \Pi(x) D_{\kappa}, & \mathbf{v}_0(k) \mathbf{v}_0(k)^\dagger|_{k=k_-(x)} &= D_{\kappa}^\dagger \overline{\Pi(x)} D_{\kappa}, \\ \mathbf{v}_1(k) \mathbf{v}_1(k)^\dagger|_{k=k_+(x)} &= D_{\kappa}^\dagger \overline{\Pi(-x)} D_{\kappa}, & \mathbf{v}_1(k) \mathbf{v}_1(k)^\dagger|_{k=k_-(x)} &= D_{\kappa}^\dagger \Pi(-x) D_{\kappa}.\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.38})$$

For the solutions of Eq. (A.33) in $m = 1$ case, we replace the parameter x in the result on $m = 0$ case given by the above discussion with $-x$. By putting $\psi(x)$ as $\psi(x) = \theta(k(x))$ with $k(x) \equiv k_+(x)$, note that $\theta(k_+(-x)) = \psi(x)$, $\theta(k_-(x)) = \pi - \psi(x)$, and $k_+(-x) = -k(x)$, $k_-(x) = -k(x) - \pi$. Inserting these relations and Eqs. (A.37) and (A.38) into the formula in Lemma 2 for each term $(\epsilon, m) \in \{(+, 0), (+, 1), (-, 0), (-, 1)\}$ in Eq. (A.34), we have the desired conclusion. \square

Norio Konno
Department of Applied Mathematics,
Faculty of Engineering,
Yokohama National University
Hodogaya, Yokohama 240-8501,
Japan
E-mail: konno@ynu.ac.jp

Etsuo Segawa
Graduate School of Information Sciences,
Tohoku University,
Aoba, Sendai, 980-8579,
Japan
E-mail: e-segawa@m.tohoku.ac.jp