

ON THE LOCAL SYMMETRY OF KAEHLER HYPERSURFACES

By

RYOTA AIKAWA AND YOSHIO MATSUYAMA

(Received May 1, 2003; Revised March 23, 2004)

Abstract. The purpose of the present paper is to prove that a Kaehler hypersurface with recurrent Ricci tensor is locally symmetric

1. Introduction

Let $\tilde{M}_{n+1}(\tilde{c})$ be a complex $(n+1)$ -dimensional complex space form of constant holomorphic sectional curvature \tilde{c} (i.e. complete, simply connected Kaehler manifold with constant holomorphic sectional curvature, say, \tilde{c}). For each real number \tilde{c} , there is (up to holomorphic isometry) exactly one complex space form in every dimension with holomorphic sectional curvature \tilde{c} . The complex space forms of holomorphic sectional curvature \tilde{c} are denoted by $P_{n+1}(C)$, C_{n+1} and D_{n+1} depending on whether \tilde{c} is positive, zero or negative, respectively. $P_{n+1}(C)$ is the complex projective space with Fubini-Study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature \tilde{c} . C_{n+1} is the complex Euclidean space. D_{n+1} is the open unit ball in C_{n+1} endowed with Bergman metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature \tilde{c} .

Let M_n be a complex hypersurface in a complex space form $\tilde{M}_{n+1}(\tilde{c})$. From now on we call such a hypersurface M_n a Kaehler hypersurface. Let ∇ and S be the covariant differentiation on M_n and the Ricci tensor of M_n , respectively. K. Nomizu and B. Smyth [3] classified these Kaehler hypersurfaces with regard to the parallel Ricci tensor, i.e., $\nabla S = 0$. They proved that if the Ricci tensor S of M_n is parallel, then M_n is locally symmetric, that is, $\nabla R = 0$ and either M_n is totally geodesic in $\tilde{M}_{n+1}(\tilde{c})$ or M_n is locally the complex quadric, the latter case arising only when $\tilde{c} > 0$, where R denotes the curvature tensor of M_n (see Theorem C).

The Ricci tensor S is called the *recurrent Ricci tensor* if there exists a 1-form α such that $(\nabla_X S)Y = \alpha(X)SY$ for any X and Y tangent to M_n . And the

Ricci tensor S is called the *birecurrent Ricci tensor* if there exists a covariant tensor field α of order 2 such that $(\nabla_X \nabla_Y S - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} S)Z = \alpha(X, Y)SZ$ for any X, Y and Z tangent to M_n (See [6]).

The purpose of this paper is to classify Kaehler hypersurfaces with recurrent Ricci tensor in a complex space form. We note that this condition is weaker than $\nabla S = 0$. We prove the following theorem:

THEOREM. *Let M_n be a Kaehler hypersurface of complex dimension $n \geq 2$ with recurrent Ricci tensor in a complex space form $\tilde{M}_{n+1}(\tilde{c})$. Then the Ricci tensor of M_n is parallel.*

Remark. If M_n is a Kaehler hypersurface with recurrent curvature tensor, then M_n is called the recurrent hypersurface (See [6]). The above theorem shows that the recurrent hypersurface is locally symmetric.

2. Preliminaries

Let M_n be a Kaehler hypersurface of complex dimension n in a complex space form $\tilde{M}_{n+1}(\tilde{c})$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature \tilde{c} . For each point $x_0 \in M_n$, we choose an unit normal vector field ξ defined in a neighborhood $U(x_0)$ of x_0 . Denoting the complex structure on $\tilde{M}_{n+1}(\tilde{c})$ by J , $J\xi$ is also a normal vector field on $U(x_0)$. Let $\tilde{\nabla}$ (resp. ∇) be the covariant differentiation on $\tilde{M}_{n+1}(\tilde{c})$ (resp. M_n). Then, for any vector fields X, Y tangent to M_n on $U(x_0)$, we have

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + g(AX, Y)\xi + g(JAX, Y)J\xi, \quad (1)$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi = -AX + s(X)J\xi, \quad (2)$$

where g , s and A are the induced Kaehler metric on M_n , the tensor field of type $(0, 1)$ and the $(1, 1)$ -type symmetric tensor field called the *second fundamental form*, respectively. It is easy to show that $AJ = -JA$.

Let R be the curvature tensor of M_n . Then, for any vector fields X, Y and Z on $U(x_0)$, we have the following (see [1], [3] and [5]):

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY \\ &\quad + g(JAY, Z)JAX - g(JAX, Z)JAY, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

— Gauss equation

$$(\nabla_X A)Y - s(X)JAY = (\nabla_Y A)X - s(Y)JAX, \quad (4)$$

— Codazzi equation

where \tilde{R} is the curvature tensor of $\tilde{M}_{n+1}(\tilde{c})$. Since $\tilde{M}_{n+1}(\tilde{c})$ is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature \tilde{c} , $\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}(X, Y)Z = \frac{\tilde{c}}{4}\{ & g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(JY, Z)JX \\ & - g(JX, Z)JY + 2g(X, JY)JZ\}.\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

In particular, if Codazzi equation (4) satisfies

$$(\nabla_X A)Y = s(X)JAY \quad (6)$$

on a neighborhood of every point in M_n , then we say that *Codazzi equation reduces* (See [2] and [5]).

Next, we also denote the (1, 1)-type Ricci tensor of M_n by S . For any point x of $U(x_0)$, S is defined by

$$SX = \sum_{i=1}^n R(X, e_i)e_i + \sum_{i=1}^n R(X, Je_i)Je_i, \quad (7)$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, Je_1, \dots, Je_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space $T_x M_n$. Using Gauss equation (3) and the equation (5), we obtain

$$SX = \frac{n+1}{2}\tilde{c}X - 2A^2X \quad (8)$$

for any X tangent to M_n on $U(x_0)$.

We here recall the definitions of the recurrent Ricci tensor, the birecurrent Ricci tensor and the parallel Ricci tensor, again:

The Ricci tensor S is called the recurrent Ricci tensor if there exists a 1-form α such that $(\nabla_X S)Y = \alpha(X)SY$ for any X and Y tangent to M_n . And the Ricci tensor S is called the birecurrent Ricci tensor if there exists a covariant tensor field α of order 2 such that $(\nabla_X \nabla_Y S - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} S)Z = \alpha(X, Y)SZ$ for any X, Y and Z tangent to M_n . If S satisfies $(\nabla_X S)Y = 0$ for any X and Y tangent to M_n , then the Ricci tensor S is said to be parallel.

Now, we prepare the following results without proof.

THEOREM A. (Ryan [4]). *Let M_n be a Kaehler hypersurface in a space of constant holomorphic sectional curvature \tilde{c} . Then $(R(X, Y)S)Z = 0$ on M_n if and only if one of the following is true:*

1. $\tilde{c} \neq 0$ and A^2 is a multiple of I ,
2. $\tilde{c} = 0$ and the nonzero eigenvalues of A^2 are equal,

where I is the identity transformation on TM_n .

THEOREM B. (Nomizu and Smyth [3]). *If M_n is a Kaehler hypersurface in a complex space form $\tilde{M}_{n+1}(\tilde{c})$, then the following conditions are equivalent on M_n :*

1. *Codazzi equation reduces,*
2. *The Ricci tensor of M_n is parallel, that is, $\nabla S = 0$,*
3. *M_n is locally symmetric.*

THEOREM C. (Nomizu and Smyth [3]). *Let M_n be a Kaehler hypersurface of complex dimension $n \geq 1$ in a complex space form $\tilde{M}_{n+1}(\tilde{c})$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature \tilde{c} . If the Ricci tensor of M_n is parallel, then M_n is locally symmetric and either M_n is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature \tilde{c} and totally geodesic in $\tilde{M}_{n+1}(\tilde{c})$ or M_n is locally holomorphically isometric to the complex quadric Q_n in the complex projective space $P_{n+1}(C)$, the latter case arising only when $\tilde{c} > 0$.*

3. Lemmas

In this section, we show that if M_n is a Kaehler hypersurface with recurrent Ricci tensor S in $\tilde{M}_{n+1}(\tilde{c})$, then S satisfies $(R(X, Y)S)Z = 0$ (i.e. $R(X, Y)(SZ) - SR(X, Y)Z = 0$ for any X, Y and Z tangent to M_n). Consequently, we can get some information on the second fundamental form A of M_n (see Preliminaries, Theorem A).

LEMMA 1. *If M_n is a Kaehler hypersurface with recurrent Ricci tensor in $\tilde{M}_{n+1}(\tilde{c})$, then M_n has the birecurrent Ricci tensor.*

Proof. Suppose that M_n has the recurrent Ricci tensor. For any X, Y and Z tangent to M_n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_X(\nabla_Y S))Z - (\nabla_{\nabla_X Y} S)Z \\ &= \nabla_X((\nabla_Y S)Z) - (\nabla_Y S)\nabla_X Z - (\nabla_{\nabla_X Y} S)Z. \end{aligned}$$

We use the assumption that S is the recurrent Ricci tensor and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_X(\nabla_Y S))Z - (\nabla_{\nabla_X Y} S)Z \\ &= \nabla_X(\alpha(Y)SZ) - \alpha(Y)S(\nabla_X Z) - \alpha(\nabla_X Y)SZ \\ &= X(\alpha(Y))SZ + \alpha(Y)(\nabla_X S)Z + \alpha(Y)S(\nabla_X Z) \\ &\quad - \alpha(Y)S(\nabla_X Z) - \alpha(\nabla_X Y)SZ \\ &= X(\alpha(Y))SZ + \alpha(Y)\alpha(X)SZ - \alpha(\nabla_X Y)SZ \\ &= (X(\alpha(Y)) + \alpha(Y)\alpha(X) - \alpha(\nabla_X Y))SZ. \end{aligned}$$

This equation implies that S is the birecurrent Ricci tensor.

LEMMA 2. *If M_n is a Kaehler hypersurface with birecurrent Ricci tensor S in $\tilde{M}_{n+1}(\tilde{c})$, then S satisfies $(R(X, Y)S)Z = 0$.*

Proof. We suppose that M_n is a Kaehler hypersurface with birecurrent Ricci tensor in $\tilde{M}_{n+1}(\tilde{c})$. In order to prove this lemma, we use the equation

$$\nabla_Y S^2 = (\nabla_Y S)S + S(\nabla_Y S) \quad (9)$$

and consider the equation

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_X(\nabla_Y S^2))Z - (\nabla_{\nabla_X Y} S^2)Z \\ &= \nabla_X((\nabla_Y S^2)Z) - (\nabla_Y S^2)\nabla_X Z - (\nabla_{\nabla_X Y} S^2)Z \end{aligned}$$

for any X, Y and Z tangent to M_n . From the equation (9), the above equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_X(\nabla_Y S^2))Z - (\nabla_{\nabla_X Y} S^2)Z \\ &= \nabla_X((\nabla_Y S)SZ + S(\nabla_Y S)Z) - ((\nabla_Y S)S)\nabla_X Z - (S\nabla_Y S)\nabla_X Z \\ &\quad - (\nabla_{\nabla_X Y} S)SZ - S(\nabla_{\nabla_X Y} S)Z \\ &= (\nabla_X \nabla_Y S)SZ + (\nabla_Y S)(\nabla_X S)Z + (\nabla_Y S)S\nabla_X Z \\ &\quad + (\nabla_X S)(\nabla_Y S)Z + S(\nabla_X \nabla_Y S)Z + S(\nabla_Y S)\nabla_X Z \\ &\quad - (\nabla_Y S)S\nabla_X Z - (S\nabla_Y S)\nabla_X Z - (\nabla_{\nabla_X Y} S)SZ - S(\nabla_{\nabla_X Y} S)Z \\ &= (\nabla_X \nabla_Y S - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} S)SZ + S(\nabla_X \nabla_Y S - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} S)Z \\ &\quad + (\nabla_Y S)(\nabla_X S)Z + (\nabla_X S)(\nabla_Y S)Z. \end{aligned}$$

We now use the assumption that S is the birecurrent Ricci tensor, and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_X(\nabla_Y S^2))Z - (\nabla_{\nabla_X Y} S^2)Z \\ &= (\alpha(X, Y)S)SZ + S(\alpha(X, Y)S)Z + (\nabla_Y S)(\nabla_X S)Z + (\nabla_X S)(\nabla_Y S)Z \\ &= 2\alpha(X, Y)S^2 Z + (\nabla_Y S)(\nabla_X S)Z + (\nabla_X S)(\nabla_Y S)Z. \end{aligned}$$

A similar calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_Y(\nabla_X S^2))Z - (\nabla_{\nabla_Y X} S^2)Z \\ &= 2\alpha(Y, X)S^2 Z + (\nabla_X S)(\nabla_Y S)Z + (\nabla_Y S)(\nabla_X S)Z. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_X(\nabla_Y S^2) - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} S^2)Z - (\nabla_Y(\nabla_X S^2) - \nabla_{\nabla_Y X} S^2)Z \\ &= (\nabla_X \nabla_Y S^2 - \nabla_Y \nabla_X S^2 - \nabla_{[X, Y]} S^2)Z \\ &= 2(\alpha(X, Y) - \alpha(Y, X))S^2 Z. \end{aligned}$$

From this equation and the commutativity of the trace and the derivation, we have

$$(\nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_Y \nabla_X - \nabla_{[X,Y]}) \text{trace } S^2 = 2(\alpha(X, Y) - \alpha(Y, X)) \text{trace } S^2.$$

Since $\text{trace } S^2$ is a differentiable function on M_n , the left side of this equation equals to zero. Therefore we get $\alpha(X, Y) = \alpha(Y, X)$ or $\text{trace } S^2 = 0$. If $\text{trace } S^2 = 0$, then we deduce that $S = 0$. Hence we can see that $(R(X, Y)S)Z = 0$. If $\alpha(X, Y) = \alpha(Y, X)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (R(X, Y)S)Z &= (\nabla_X \nabla_Y S - \nabla_Y \nabla_X S - \nabla_{[X,Y]} S)Z \\ &= (\nabla_X \nabla_Y S - \nabla_Y \nabla_X S - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} S + \nabla_{\nabla_Y X} S)Z \\ &= (\alpha(X, Y) - \alpha(Y, X))SZ \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we conclude that $(R(X, Y)S)Z = 0$ for any vector fields X, Y and Z tangent to M_n .

4. Proof of Theorem

Now, we prove the following theorem:

THEOREM. *Let M_n be a Kaehler hypersurface of complex dimension $n \geq 2$ with recurrent Ricci tensor in a complex space form $\tilde{M}_{n+1}(\tilde{c})$. Then the Ricci tensor of M_n is parallel.*

Proof. For each point x of $U(x_0)$, we choose an orthonormal basis of $T_x M_n$ $\{e_1, \dots, e_n, Je_1, \dots, Je_n\}$ for which the matrix of A is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & \lambda_n & & & \\ & & & -\lambda_1 & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & -\lambda_n \end{bmatrix},$$

i.e.,

$$Ae_i = \lambda_i e_i, \quad AJe_i = -\lambda_i Je_i \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_i \geq 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n \quad (\text{see [5], lemma 1}).$$

Since M_n has the recurrent Ricci tensor S , it follows that $(R(X, Y)S)Z = 0$ for any X, Y and Z on $U(x_0)$ from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2. Therefore, by using (3), (5) and (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (R(e_i, e_j)S)e_j &= R(e_i, e_j)(Se_j) - S(R(e_i, e_j)e_j) \\ &= \left(\frac{\tilde{c}}{4} + \lambda_i \lambda_j\right) \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\tilde{c} - 2\lambda_j^2\right) e_i - \left(\frac{\tilde{c}}{4} + \lambda_i \lambda_j\right) \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\tilde{c} - 2\lambda_i^2\right) e_i \\ &= \left(\frac{\tilde{c}}{4} + \lambda_i \lambda_j\right) (2\lambda_i^2 - 2\lambda_j^2) e_i \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $i \neq j$.

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (R(e_i, Je_j)S)e_j &= R(e_i, Je_j)(Se_j) - S(R(e_i, Je_j)e_j) \\ &= -\left(\frac{\tilde{c}}{4} - \lambda_i \lambda_j\right) \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\tilde{c} - 2\lambda_j^2\right) Je_i \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\tilde{c}}{4} - \lambda_i \lambda_j\right) \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\tilde{c} - 2\lambda_i^2\right) Je_i \\ &= \left(\frac{\tilde{c}}{4} - \lambda_i \lambda_j\right) (2\lambda_j^2 - 2\lambda_i^2) Je_i \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that

$$\left(\frac{\tilde{c}}{4} + \lambda_i \lambda_j\right) (\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{\tilde{c}}{4} - \lambda_i \lambda_j\right) (\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2) = 0 \quad (10)$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ (for details, see [4]).

We first consider the case of $\tilde{c} \neq 0$. It is easy to see that (10) is equivalent to $\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2 = 0$. Hence we have $\lambda_i = \lambda_j = \lambda$. Then by the assumption of $n \geq 2$ we can choose linearly independent vector fields X, Y on $U(x_0)$ such that $AX = \lambda X$ and $AY = \lambda Y$. From Codazzi equation

$$(\nabla_X A)Y - s(X)JAY = (\nabla_Y A)X - s(Y)JAX,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (X\lambda)Y + (\lambda I - A)\nabla_X Y - \lambda s(X)JY \\ = (Y\lambda)X + (\lambda I - A)\nabla_Y X - \lambda s(Y)JX. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we know that $\lambda = \text{constant}$ on $U(x_0)$. From the equation (8), we obtain

$$S = \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\tilde{c} - 2\lambda^2\right) I.$$

Hence we find that $\nabla S = 0$.

Next, we consider the case of $\tilde{c} = 0$. From the equation (10), we see that $\lambda_i \lambda_j (\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2) = 0$. Thus we have two subcases of $\lambda_i = \lambda_j = \lambda$ at $x \in U(x_0)$ and $\lambda_i = \lambda \neq \lambda_j = 0$ at $x \in U(x_0)$. The set of points such that $\lambda_i = \lambda_j = \lambda$ is an open set in $U(x_0)$, since $\lambda = \text{constant}$ as above. Since it is obviously a closed set and we may assume that $U(x_0)$ is connected set, either $U(x_0)$ satisfies $\lambda_i = \lambda_j = \lambda$ or $U(x_0)$ so $\lambda_i = \lambda \neq \lambda_j = 0$. We show that the second case cannot occur. In order to proceed with the argument, we consider three distributions on $U(x_0)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} T_\lambda(x) &= \{X \in T_x M_n | AX = \lambda X\}, \\ T_{-\lambda}(x) &= \{X \in T_x M_n | AX = -\lambda X\}, \\ T_0(x) &= \{X \in T_x M_n | AX = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The tangent space $T_x M_n$ satisfies $T_x M_n = T_\lambda(x) \oplus T_{-\lambda}(x) \oplus T_0(x)$. We here suppose that $\dim T_\lambda(x) \geq 2$. Then we can choose a neighborhood U of $x \in U(x_0)$ such that $\dim T_\lambda \geq 2$ on U . Since S is the recurrent Ricci tensor, it follows that $(\nabla_X S)Y = \alpha(X)SY$ for any X and Y tangent to M_n . From (8) this equation becomes

$$(\nabla_X A)AY + A(\nabla_X A)Y = \alpha(X)A^2Y. \quad (11)$$

First, we take vector fields $X_1, X_2 \in T_\lambda$ so that X_1 and X_2 are linearly independent. From the equation (11), we have

$$(\lambda I + A)(\nabla_{X_1} A)X_2 = \lambda^2 \alpha(X_1)X_2.$$

Taking the T_0 -component of the above equation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda((\nabla_{X_1} A)X_2)_0 &= 0, \\ \text{i.e.,} \\ ((\nabla_{X_1} A)X_2)_0 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This means that $(\nabla_{X_1} A)X_2 \in T_\lambda \oplus T_{-\lambda}$. On the other hand, the equation

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{X_1} A)X_2 &= \nabla_{X_1}(AX_2) - A\nabla_{X_1}X_2 \\ &= (X_1\lambda)X_2 + (\lambda I - A)\nabla_{X_1}X_2 \end{aligned}$$

and Codazzi equation (4) lead us to

$$\begin{aligned} (X_1\lambda)X_2 + (\lambda I - A)\nabla_{X_1}X_2 - \lambda s(X_1)JX_2 \\ = (X_2\lambda)X_1 + (\lambda I - A)\nabla_{X_2}X_1 - \lambda s(X_2)JX_1. \end{aligned}$$

We take the T_λ -component of this equation. Then we obtain $X_1\lambda = X_2\lambda = 0$. This implies that $X\lambda = 0$ for any $X \in T_\lambda$. Similarly, if Y_1 and Y_2 are vector fields in $T_{-\lambda}$, then we can see that $Y\lambda = 0$ for any $Y \in T_{-\lambda}$.

Next, we consider $X \in T_\lambda$ and $Y \in T_{-\lambda}$. Using (11), we have

$$(-\lambda I + A)(\nabla_X A)Y = \lambda^2 \alpha(X)Y.$$

We take the T_0 -component of this equation. Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\lambda((\nabla_X A)Y)_0 &= 0, \\ \text{i.e.,} \quad ((\nabla_X A)Y)_0 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have $(\nabla_X A)Y \in T_\lambda \oplus T_{-\lambda}$. On the other hand, since $X\lambda = 0$ for $X \in T_\lambda$, $(\nabla_X A)Y$ is written as

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X A)Y &= \nabla_X(AY) - A\nabla_X Y \\ &= -(X\lambda)Y + (-\lambda I - A)\nabla_X Y \\ &= (-\lambda I - A)\nabla_X Y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have $(\nabla_X A)Y \in T_\lambda$. Similarly, from (11) we can consider the equation

$$(\lambda I + A)(\nabla_Y A)X = \lambda^2 \alpha(Y)X.$$

Taking the T_0 -component of above equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda((\nabla_Y A)X)_0 &= 0, \\ \text{i.e.,} \quad ((\nabla_Y A)X)_0 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain $(\nabla_Y A)X \in T_\lambda \oplus T_{-\lambda}$. Using the fact that $Y\lambda = 0$ for $Y \in T_{-\lambda}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_Y A)X &= \nabla_Y(AX) - A\nabla_Y X \\ &= (Y\lambda)X + (\lambda I - A)\nabla_Y X \\ &= (\lambda I - A)\nabla_Y X. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get $(\nabla_Y A)X \in T_{-\lambda}$. Then Codazzi equation (4) can be written as

$$(-\lambda I - A)\nabla_X Y + \lambda s(X)JY = (\lambda I - A)\nabla_Y X - \lambda s(Y)JX.$$

Since the left side of this equation is in T_λ and the right side is in $T_{-\lambda}$, we conclude that $(\nabla_X A)Y = s(X)JAY$ and $(\nabla_Y A)X = s(Y)JAX$ for $X \in T_\lambda$, $Y \in T_{-\lambda}$. Thus if $X_1, X_2 \in T_\lambda$, then we find

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{X_1} A)X_2 &= -(\nabla_{X_1} A)JJX_2 \\ &= J(\nabla_{X_1} A)JX_2 \\ &= Js(X_1)JAJX_2 \\ &= s(X_1)JAX_2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{Y_1} A)Y_2 &= -(\nabla_{Y_1} A)JJY_2 \\ &= J(\nabla_{Y_1} A)JY_2 \\ &= Js(Y_1)JAJY_2 \\ &= s(Y_1)JAY_2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $Y_1, Y_2 \in T_{-\lambda}$.

Finally, if X is any tangent vector field on U and $Z \in T_0$, then we obtain $A(\nabla_X A)Z = 0$ from (11). Therefore we see that $(\nabla_X A)Z \in T_0$. By using the equation

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X A)Z &= \nabla_X(AZ) - A\nabla_X Z \\ &= -A\nabla_X Z \end{aligned}$$

and the fact that $(\nabla_X A)Z \in T_0$ and the T_0 -component of $A\nabla_X Z$ is zero, we have $(\nabla_X A)Z = 0$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $s(X)JAZ = 0$. Hence we get $(\nabla_X A)Z = s(X)JAZ$. Then Codazzi equation (4) gives $(\nabla_Z A)X = s(Z)JAX$. We conclude that $(\nabla_X A)Y = s(X)JAY$ for any X and Y on U , that is, Codazzi equation reduces. Therefore, the Ricci tensor is parallel from Theorem B. Hence U must satisfies $\lambda_i = \lambda_j = \lambda$, which is a contradiction.

It remains that the case of $\dim T_\lambda = \dim T_{-\lambda} = 1$ on $U(x_0)$. For $X \in T_\lambda$ and $Y \in T_0$ from (11) we have

$$g(\nabla_X Y, X) = g(\nabla_X Y, JX) = g(\nabla_{JX} Y, X) = g(\nabla_{JX} Y, JX) = 0.$$

Moreover, for $X \in T_\lambda$ from (11)

$$2\lambda(X\lambda)JX + (-\lambda I - A)\nabla_X JX = \lambda^2\alpha(X)JX.$$

Hence we get

$$g(\nabla_X JX, X) = 0.$$

Similarly, we have

$$g(\nabla_{JX}JX, X) = g(\nabla_{JX}X, JX) = g(\nabla_XX, JX) = 0.$$

Therefore for a unit vector X we obtain

$$\nabla_XX = \nabla_XJX = \nabla_{JX}X = \nabla_{JX}JX = 0.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & g(R(X, JX)JX, X) \\ &= g(\nabla_X\nabla_{JX}JX - \nabla_{JX}\nabla_XJX - \nabla_{[X, JX]}JX, X) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (3) we have

$$g(R(X, JX)JX, X) = -\lambda^2,$$

which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem.

References

- [1] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, Foundations of differential geometry, Vol. II, Interscience Tracts, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1963.
- [2] Y. Matsuyama, Minimal Submanifolds in S^N and R^N , *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, **175** (1980), 275–282.
- [3] K. Nomizu and B. Smyth, Differential geometry of complex hypersurfaces II, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **20** (1968), 498–521.
- [4] P.J. Ryan, A class of complex hypersurfaces, *Colloquium Mathematicum*, **26** (1972), 177–182.
- [5] B. Smyth, Differential geometry of complex hypersurfaces, *Ann. of Math.*, **85** (1967), 246–266.
- [6] H. Wakakuwa, Non existence of irreducible birecurrent Riemannian manifold of dimension ≥ 3 , *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **33** (1981), 23–29.

Department of Mathematics,
Chuo University
1-13-27 Kasuga, Bunkyo-ku
Tokyo 112-8551, Japan
E-mail: matuyama@math.chuo-u.ac.jp