

NOTES ON BERTRAND CURVES

By

HIROO MATSUDA AND SHINSUKE YOROZU

(Received November 12, 2002; Revised April 8, 2003)

Abstract. Every circular helix in E^3 is a typical example of Bertrand curve. The circular helix is one in a family of special Frenet curves. We prove that no special Frenet curve in E^n ($n \geq 4$) is a Bertrand curve. Thus the notion of Bertrand curve stands only on E^2 and E^3 . In E^4 , we can show an idea of a generalization of Bertrand curve.

1. Introduction

We denote by E^3 a 3-dimensional Euclidean space. Let C be a regular C^∞ -curve in E^3 , that is, a C^∞ -mapping $\mathbf{c} : L \rightarrow E^3$ ($s \mapsto \mathbf{c}(s)$). Here $L \subset \mathbb{R}$ is some interval, and $s \in L$ is the arc-length parameter of C . Following Wong and Lai [7], we call a curve C a C^∞ -special Frenet curve if there exist three C^∞ -vector fields, that is, the unit tangent vector field \mathbf{t} , the unit principal normal vector field \mathbf{n} , the unit binormal vector field \mathbf{b} , and two C^∞ -scalar functions, that is, the curvature function $\kappa (> 0)$, the torsion function $\tau (\neq 0)$. The three vector fields \mathbf{t} , \mathbf{n} and \mathbf{b} satisfy the Frenet equations. A C^∞ -special Frenet curve C is called a Bertrand curve if there exist another C^∞ -special Frenet curve \bar{C} and a C^∞ -mapping $\varphi : C \rightarrow \bar{C}$ such that the principal normal lines of C and \bar{C} at corresponding points coincide. Here, the principal normal line of C at $\mathbf{c}(s)$ is collinear to the principal normal vector $\mathbf{n}(s)$. It is a well-known result that a C^∞ -special Frenet curve C in E^3 is a Bertrand curve if and only if its curvature function κ and torsion function τ satisfy the condition $a\kappa(s) + b\tau(s) = 1$ for all $s \in L$, where a and b are constant real numbers.

In an n -dimensional Euclidean space E^n , let C be a regular C^∞ -curve, that is, a C^∞ -mapping $\mathbf{c} : L \rightarrow E^n$ ($s \mapsto \mathbf{c}(s)$), where s is the arc-length parameter of C . Then we can define a C^∞ -special Frenet curve C . That is, we define $\mathbf{t}(s) = \mathbf{c}'(s)$, $\mathbf{n}_1(s) = (1/\|\mathbf{c}''(s)\|) \cdot \mathbf{c}''(s)$, and we inductively define $\mathbf{n}_k(s)$ ($k = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$) by the higher order derivatives of \mathbf{c} (see next section, in detail). The n vector fields $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{n}_1, \dots, \mathbf{n}_{n-1}$ along C satisfy the Frenet equations with positive curvature functions k_1, \dots, k_{n-2} of C and positive or negative curvature function k_{n-1} of

C . We call \mathbf{n}_j the Frenet j -normal vector field along C , and the Frenet j -normal line of C at $\mathbf{c}(s)$ is a line generated by $\mathbf{n}_j(s)$ through $\mathbf{c}(s)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$). The Frenet (j, k) -normal plane of C at $\mathbf{c}(s)$ is a plane spanned by $\mathbf{n}_j(s)$ and $\mathbf{n}_k(s)$ through $\mathbf{c}(s)$ ($j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1; j \neq k$). A C^∞ -special Frenet curve C is called a Bertrand curve if there exist another C^∞ -special Frenet curve \bar{C} and a C^∞ -mapping $\varphi : C \rightarrow \bar{C}$ such that the Frenet 1-normal lines of C and \bar{C} at corresponding points coincide. Then we obtain

THEOREM A. *If $n \geq 4$, then no C^∞ -special Frenet curve in E^n is a Bertrand curve.*

This is claimed in [1] (see p. 176) with different viewpoint, thus we prove the above Theorem in section 3.

We will show an idea of generalized Bertrand curve in E^4 . A C^∞ -special Frenet curve C in E^4 is called a $(1, 3)$ -Bertrand curve if there exist another C^∞ -special Frenet curve \bar{C} and a C^∞ -mapping $\varphi : C \rightarrow \bar{C}$ such that the Frenet $(1, 3)$ -normal planes of C and \bar{C} at corresponding points coincide. Then we obtain

THEOREM B. *Let C be a C^∞ -special Frenet curve in E^4 with curvature functions k_1, k_2, k_3 . Then C is a $(1, 3)$ -Bertrand curve if and only if there exist constant real numbers $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ satisfying*

$$\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s) \neq 0 \quad (a)$$

$$\alpha k_1(s) + \gamma\{\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)\} = 1 \quad (b)$$

$$\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s) = \delta k_3(s) \quad (c)$$

$$(\gamma^2 - 1)k_1(s)k_2(s) + \gamma\{(k_1(s))^2 - (k_2(s))^2 - (k_3(s))^2\} \neq 0 \quad (d)$$

for all $s \in L$.

This Theorem is proved in section 4.

We remark that if the Frenet j -normal vector fields of C and \bar{C} are not vector fields of same meaning then we can not consider coincidence of the Frenet 1-normal lines or the Frenet $(1, 3)$ -normal planes of C and \bar{C} . Thus we consider only special Frenet curves.

In section 5, we give an example of $(1, 3)$ -Bertrand curve.

In the present paper, we shall work in C^∞ -category.

2. Special Frenet curves in E^n

Let E^n be an n -dimensional Euclidean space with Cartesian coordinates (x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n) . By a parametrized curve C of class C^∞ , we mean a mapping \mathbf{c} of a certain interval I into E^n given by

$$\mathbf{c}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x^1(t) \\ x^2(t) \\ \vdots \\ x^n(t) \end{bmatrix} \quad \forall t \in I.$$

If $\left\| \frac{d\mathbf{c}(t)}{dt} \right\| = \left\langle \frac{d\mathbf{c}(t)}{dt}, \frac{d\mathbf{c}(t)}{dt} \right\rangle^{1/2} \neq 0$ for all $t \in I$, then C is called a *regular curve* in E^n . Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the Euclidean inner product on E^n . We refer to [2] for the details of curves in E^n .

A regular curve C is parametrized by the arc-length parameter s , that is, $\mathbf{c} : L \rightarrow E^n$ ($L \ni s \mapsto \mathbf{c}(s) \in E^n$) ([1]). Then the tangent vector field $\frac{d\mathbf{c}}{ds}$ along C has unit length, that is, $\left\| \frac{d\mathbf{c}(s)}{ds} \right\| = 1$ for all $s \in L$.

Hereafter, curves considered are regular C^∞ -curves in E^n parametrized by the arc-length parameter. Let C be a curve in E^n , that is, $\mathbf{c}(s) \in E^n$ for all $s \in L$. Let $\mathbf{t}(s) = \frac{d\mathbf{c}(s)}{ds}$ for all $s \in L$. The vector field \mathbf{t} is called a unit tangent vector field along C , and we assume that the curve C satisfies the following conditions $(C_1) \sim (C_{n-1})$:

$$(C_1) : k_1(s) = \left\| \frac{d\mathbf{t}(s)}{ds} \right\| = \left\| \frac{d^2\mathbf{c}(s)}{ds^2} \right\| > 0 \quad \text{for all } s \in L.$$

Then we obtain a well-defined vector field \mathbf{n}_1 along C , that is, for all $s \in L$,

$$\mathbf{n}_1(s) = \frac{1}{k_1(s)} \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{t}(s)}{ds},$$

and we obtain,

$$\langle \mathbf{t}(s), \mathbf{n}_1(s) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \mathbf{n}_1(s), \mathbf{n}_1(s) \rangle = 1.$$

$$(C_2) : k_2(s) = \left\| \frac{d\mathbf{n}_1(s)}{ds} + k_1(s) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) \right\| > 0 \quad \text{for all } s \in L.$$

Then we obtain a well-defined vector field \mathbf{n}_2 along C , that is, for all $s \in L$,

$$\mathbf{n}_2(s) = \frac{1}{k_2(s)} \cdot \left(\frac{d\mathbf{n}_1(s)}{ds} + k_1(s) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) \right),$$

and we obtain, for $i, j = 1, 2$,

$$\langle \mathbf{t}(s), \mathbf{n}_i(s) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \mathbf{n}_i(s), \mathbf{n}_j(s) \rangle = \delta_{ij},$$

where δ_{ij} denotes the Kronecker's symbol.

By an inductive procedure, for $\ell = 3, 4, \dots, n-2$,

$$(C_\ell) : k_\ell(s) = \left\| \frac{d \mathbf{n}_{\ell-1}(s)}{d s} + k_{\ell-1}(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\ell-2}(s) \right\| > 0 \text{ for all } s \in L.$$

Then we obtain, for $\ell = 3, 4, \dots, n-2$, a well-defined vector field \mathbf{n}_ℓ along C , that is, for all $s \in L$

$$\mathbf{n}_\ell(s) = \frac{1}{k_\ell(s)} \cdot \left(\frac{d \mathbf{n}_{\ell-1}(s)}{d s} + k_{\ell-1}(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\ell-2}(s) \right),$$

and for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$

$$\langle \mathbf{t}(s), \mathbf{n}_i(s) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \mathbf{n}_i(s), \mathbf{n}_j(s) \rangle = \delta_{ij}.$$

And

$$(C_{n-1}) : k_{n-1}(s) = \left\langle \frac{d \mathbf{n}_{n-2}(s)}{d s}, \mathbf{n}_{n-1}(s) \right\rangle \neq 0 \text{ for all } s \in L,$$

where the unit vector field \mathbf{n}_{n-1} along C is determined by the fact that the frame $\{\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{n}_1, \dots, \mathbf{n}_{n-1}\}$ is of orthonormal and of positive orientation. We remark that the functions k_1, \dots, k_{n-2} are of positive and the function k_{n-1} is of non-zero. Such a curve C is called a *special Frenet curve* in E^n ([7]). The term "special" means that the vector field \mathbf{n}_{i+1} is inductively defined by the vector fields \mathbf{n}_i and \mathbf{n}_{i-1} and the positive functions k_i and k_{i-1} . Each function k_i is called the *i-curvature function* of C ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$). The orthonormal frame $\{\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{n}_1, \dots, \mathbf{n}_{n-1}\}$ along C is called the *special Frenet frame* along C ([7]).

Thus we obtain the Frenet equations ([1], [2], [3], [4]):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d \mathbf{t}(s)}{d s} &= k_1(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) \\ \frac{d \mathbf{n}_1(s)}{d s} &= -k_1(s) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) + k_2(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_2(s) \\ &\dots \\ \frac{d \mathbf{n}_\ell(s)}{d s} &= -k_\ell(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\ell-1} + k_{\ell+1}(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{\ell+1}(s) \\ &\dots \\ \frac{d \mathbf{n}_{n-2}(s)}{d s} &= -k_{n-2}(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{n-3}(s) + k_{n-1}(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{n-1}(s) \\ \frac{d \mathbf{n}_{n-1}(s)}{d s} &= -k_{n-1}(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{n-2}(s) \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in L$. And, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, the unit vector field \mathbf{n}_j along C is called the *Frenet j-normal vector field* along C . A straight line is called the *Frenet j-normal line* of C at $\mathbf{c}(s)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ and $s \in L$), if it passes through the point $\mathbf{c}(s)$ and is collinear to the j -normal vector $\mathbf{n}_j(s)$ of C at $\mathbf{c}(s)$.

Remark. In the case of Euclidean 3-space, the Frenet 1-normal vector fields \mathbf{n}_1 is already called the *principal normal vector field* along C , and the Frenet 1-normal line is already called the *principal normal line* of C at $\mathbf{c}(s)$ ([3], [4]).

For each point $\mathbf{c}(s)$ of C , a plane through the point $\mathbf{c}(s)$ is called the *Frenet (j, k) -normal plane* of C at $\mathbf{c}(s)$ if it is spanned by the two vectors $\mathbf{n}_j(s)$ and $\mathbf{n}_k(s)$ ($j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1; j < k$).

Remark. In the case of Euclidean 3-space, 1-curvature function k_1 is called the *curvature* of C , 2-curvature function k_2 is called the *torsion* of C , and (1, 2)-normal plane is already called the *normal plane* of C at $\mathbf{c}(s)$ ([3], [4]).

3. Bertrand curves in E^n

A C^∞ -special Frenet curve C in E^n ($\mathbf{c} : L \rightarrow E^n$) is called a *Bertrand curve* if there exist a C^∞ -special Frenet curve \bar{C} ($\bar{\mathbf{c}} : \bar{L} \rightarrow E^n$), distinct from C , and a regular C^∞ -map $\varphi : L \rightarrow \bar{L}$ ($\bar{s} = \varphi(s)$, $\frac{d\varphi(s)}{ds} \neq 0$ for all $s \in L$) such that curves C and \bar{C} have the same 1-normal line at each pair of corresponding points $\mathbf{c}(s)$ and $\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s}) = \bar{\mathbf{c}}(\varphi(s))$ under φ . Here s and \bar{s} are arc-length parameters of C and \bar{C} respectively. In this case, \bar{C} is called a *Bertrand mate* of C ([3], [4]). The following results are well-known ([3], [4]):

THEOREM (the case of $n = 2$). *Every C^∞ -plane curve is a Bertrand curve.*

THEOREM (the case of $n = 3$). *A C^∞ -special Frenet curve in E^3 with 1-curvature function k_1 and 2-curvature function k_2 is a Bertrand curve if and only if there exists a linear relation*

$$ak_1(s) + bk_2(s) = 1$$

for all $s \in L$, where a and b are nonzero constant real numbers.

The typical example of Bertrand curves in E^3 is a circular helix. A circular helix has infinitely many Bertrand mates ([3], [4]).

We consider the case of $n \geq 4$. Then we obtain Theorem A.

Proof of Theorem A. Let C be a Bertrand curve in E^n ($n \geq 4$) and \bar{C} a Bertrand mate of C . \bar{C} is distinct from C . Let the pair of $\mathbf{c}(s)$ and $\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s}) = \bar{\mathbf{c}}(\varphi(s))$ be of corresponding points of C and \bar{C} . Then the curve \bar{C} is given by

$$\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s}) = \bar{\mathbf{c}}(\varphi(s)) = \mathbf{c}(s) + \alpha(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) \tag{3.1}$$

where α is a C^∞ -function on L . Differentiating (3.1) with respect to s , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(s) \cdot \left. \frac{d \bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s})}{d \bar{s}} \right|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)} &= \mathbf{c}'(s) + \alpha'(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) \\ &\quad + \alpha(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_1'(s). \end{aligned}$$

Here and hereafter, the prime denotes the derivative with respect to s . By the Frenet equations, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(s) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) &= (1 - \alpha(s)k_1(s)) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) \\ &\quad + \alpha'(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) + \alpha(s)k_2(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_2(s). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\langle \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)), \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) \rangle = 0$ and $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) = \pm \mathbf{n}_1(s)$, we obtain, for all $s \in L$,

$$\alpha'(s) = 0,$$

that is, α is a constant function on L with value α (we can use the same letter without confusion). Thus (3.1) are rewritten as

$$\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s}) = \bar{\mathbf{c}}(\varphi(s)) = \mathbf{c}(s) + \alpha \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s), \quad (3.1)'$$

and we obtain

$$\varphi'(s) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) = (1 - \alpha k_1(s)) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) + \alpha k_2(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_2(s) \quad (3.2)$$

for all $s \in L$. By (3.2), we can set

$$\bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) = (\cos \theta(s)) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) + (\sin \theta(s)) \cdot \mathbf{n}_2(s), \quad (3.3)$$

where θ is a C^∞ -function on L and

$$\cos \theta(s) = (1 - \alpha k_1(s)) / \varphi'(s) \quad (3.4.1)$$

$$\sin \theta(s) = \alpha k_2(s) / \varphi'(s). \quad (3.4.2)$$

Differentiating (3.3) and using the Frenet equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) \varphi'(s) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) \\ &= \frac{d \cos \theta(s)}{d s} \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) \\ &\quad + (k_1(s) \cos \theta(s) - k_2(s) \sin \theta(s)) \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) \\ &\quad + \frac{d \sin \theta(s)}{d s} \cdot \mathbf{n}_2(s) \\ &\quad + k_3(s) \sin \theta(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_3(s). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) = \pm \mathbf{n}_1(s)$ for all $s \in L$, we obtain

$$k_3(s) \sin \theta(s) \equiv 0. \quad (3.5)$$

By $k_3(s) \neq 0 (\forall s \in L)$ and (3.5), we obtain that $\sin \theta(s) \equiv 0$. Thus, by $k_2(s) > 0 (\forall s \in L)$ and (3.4.2), we obtain that $\alpha = 0$. Therefore, (3.1)' implies that \bar{C} coincides with C . This is a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem A.

4. (1, 3)-Bertrand curves in E^4

By the results in the previous section, the notion of Bertrand curve stands only on E^2 and E^3 . Thus we will try to get the notion of generalization of Bertrand curve in $E^n (n \geq 4)$.

Let C and \bar{C} be C^∞ -special Frenet curves in E^4 and $\varphi : L \rightarrow \bar{L}$ a regular C^∞ -map such that each point $\mathbf{c}(s)$ of C corresponds to the point $\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s}) = \bar{\mathbf{c}}(\varphi(s))$ of \bar{C} for all $s \in L$. Here s and \bar{s} are arc-length parameters of C and \bar{C} respectively. If the Frenet (1, 3)-normal plane at each point $\mathbf{c}(s)$ of C coincides with the Frenet (1, 3)-normal plane at corresponding point $\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s}) = \bar{\mathbf{c}}(\varphi(s))$ of \bar{C} for all $s \in L$, then C is called a (1, 3)-Bertrand curve in E^4 and \bar{C} is called a (1, 3)-Bertrand mate of C . We obtain a characterization of (1, 3)-Bertrand curve, that is, we obtain Theorem B.

Proof of Theorem B. (i) We assume that C is a (1, 3)-Bertrand curve parametrized by arc-length s . The (1, 3)-Bertrand mate \bar{C} is given by

$$\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s}) = \bar{\mathbf{c}}(\varphi(s)) = \mathbf{c}(s) + \alpha(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) + \beta(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_3(s) \quad (4.1)$$

for all $s \in L$. Here α and β are C^∞ -functions on L , and \bar{s} is the arc-length parameter of \bar{C} . Differentiating (4.1) with respect to s , and using the Frenet equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(s) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) &= (1 - \alpha(s)k_1(s)) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) + \alpha'(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) \\ &\quad + (\alpha(s)k_2(s) - \beta(s)k_3(s)) \cdot \mathbf{n}_2(s) + \beta'(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_3(s) \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in L$.

Since the plane spanned by $\mathbf{n}_1(s)$ and $\mathbf{n}_3(s)$ coincides with the plane spanned by $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s))$ and $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_3(\varphi(s))$, we can put

$$\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) = (\cos \theta(s)) \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) + (\sin \theta(s)) \cdot \mathbf{n}_3(s) \quad (4.2.1)$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{n}}_3(\varphi(s)) = (-\sin \theta(s)) \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) + (\cos \theta(s)) \cdot \mathbf{n}_3(s) \quad (4.2.2)$$

and we notice that $\sin \theta(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in L$. By the following facts

$$0 = \langle \varphi'(s) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)), \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) \rangle = \alpha'(s) \cdot (\cos \theta(s)) + \beta'(s) \cdot (\sin \theta(s))$$

$$0 = \langle \varphi'(s) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)), \bar{\mathbf{n}}_3(\varphi(s)) \rangle = -\alpha'(s) \cdot (\sin \theta(s)) + \beta'(s) \cdot (\cos \theta(s)),$$

we obtain

$$\alpha'(s) \equiv 0, \quad \beta'(s) \equiv 0,$$

that is, α and β are constant functions on L with values α and β , respectively. Therefore, for all $s \in L$, (4.1) is rewritten as

$$\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s}) = \bar{\mathbf{c}}(\varphi(s)) = \mathbf{c}(s) + \alpha \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) + \beta \cdot \mathbf{n}_3(s), \quad (4.1)'$$

and we obtain

$$\varphi'(s) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) = (1 - \alpha k_1(s)) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) + (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) \cdot \mathbf{n}_2(s). \quad (4.3)$$

Here we notice that

$$(\varphi'(s))^2 = (1 - \alpha k_1(s))^2 + (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s))^2 \neq 0 \quad (4.4)$$

for all $s \in L$. Thus we can set

$$\bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) = (\cos \tau(s)) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) + (\sin \tau(s)) \cdot \mathbf{n}_2(s) \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \tau(s) &= (1 - \alpha k_1(s)) / (\varphi'(s)) \\ \sin \tau(s) &= (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) / (\varphi'(s)) \end{aligned}$$

where τ is a C^∞ -function on L . Differentiating (4.5) with respect to s and using the Frenet equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(s) \bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) &= \frac{d \cos(\tau(s))}{d s} \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) \\ &\quad + \{k_1(s) \cos(\tau(s)) - k_2(s) \sin(\tau(s))\} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) \\ &\quad + \frac{d \sin(\tau(s))}{d s} \cdot \mathbf{n}_2(s) \\ &\quad + k_3(s) \sin(\tau(s)) \cdot \mathbf{n}_3(s). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s))$ is expressed by linear combination of $\mathbf{n}_1(s)$ and $\mathbf{n}_3(s)$, it holds that

$$\frac{d \cos \tau(s)}{d s} \equiv 0, \quad \frac{d \sin \tau(s)}{d s} \equiv 0,$$

that is, τ is a constant function on L with value τ_0 . Thus we obtain

$$\bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) = (\cos \tau_0) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) + (\sin \tau_0) \cdot \mathbf{n}_2(s) \quad (4.5)'$$

$$\varphi'(s) \cos \tau_0 = 1 - \alpha k_1(s) \quad (4.6.1)$$

$$\varphi'(s) \sin \tau_0 = \alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s) \quad (4.6.2)$$

for all $s \in L$. Therefore we obtain

$$(1 - \alpha k_1(s)) \sin \tau_0 = (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) \cos \tau_0 \quad (4.7)$$

for all $s \in L$.

If $\sin \tau_0 = 0$, then it holds $\cos \tau_0 = \pm 1$. Thus (4.5)' implies that $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) = \pm \mathbf{t}(s)$. Differentiating this equality, we obtain

$$\varphi'(s) \bar{\mathbf{k}}_1(\varphi(s)) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) = \pm k_1(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s),$$

that is,

$$\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) = \pm \mathbf{n}_1(s),$$

for all $s \in L$. By Theorem A, this fact is a contradiction. Thus we must consider only the case of $\sin \tau_0 \neq 0$. Then (4.6.2) implies

$$\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s) \neq 0 \quad (s \in L),$$

that is, we obtain the relation (a).

The fact $\sin \tau_0 \neq 0$ and (4.7) imply

$$\alpha k_1(s) + \{(\cos \tau_0)(\sin \tau_0)^{-1}\}(\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) = 1.$$

From this, we obtain

$$\alpha k_1(s) + \gamma(\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) = 1$$

for all $s \in L$, where $\gamma = (\cos \tau_0)(\sin \tau_0)^{-1}$ is a constant number. Thus we obtain the relation (b).

Differentiating (4.5)' with respect to s and using the Frenet equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(s) \bar{\mathbf{k}}_1(\varphi(s)) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) &= (k_1(s) \cos \tau_0 - k_2(s) \sin \tau_0) \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) \\ &\quad + k_3(s) \sin \tau_0 \cdot \mathbf{n}_3(s) \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in L$. From the above equality, (4.6.1), (4.6.2) and (b), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\varphi'(s)\bar{k}_1(\varphi(s))\}^2 \\ &= \{k_1(s)\cos\tau_0 - k_2(s)\sin\tau_0\}^2 + \{k_3(s)\sin\tau_0\}^2 \\ &= (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s))^2 [(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 + (k_3(s))^2] (\varphi'(s))^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in L$. From (4.4) and (b), it holds

$$(\varphi'(s))^2 = (\gamma^2 + 1)(\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s))^2.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\{\varphi'(s)\bar{k}_1(\varphi(s))\}^2 = \frac{1}{\gamma^2 + 1} \{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 + (k_3(s))^2\}. \quad (4.8)$$

By (4.6.1), (4.6.2) and (b), we can set

$$\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) = (\cos\eta(s)) \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) + (\sin\eta(s)) \cdot \mathbf{n}_3(s), \quad (4.9)$$

where

$$\cos\eta(s) = \frac{(\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s))(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))}{\bar{k}_1(\varphi(s))(\varphi'(s))^2} \quad (4.10.1)$$

$$\sin\eta(s) = \frac{(\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s))k_3(s)}{\bar{k}_1(\varphi(s))(\varphi'(s))^2} \quad (4.10.2)$$

for all $s \in L$. Here, η is a C^∞ -function on L .

Differentiating (4.9) with respect to s and using the Frenet equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -\varphi'(s)\bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) + \varphi'(s)\bar{k}_2(\varphi(s)) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}}_2(\varphi(s)) \\ &= \frac{d \cos\eta(s)}{d s} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) + \frac{d \sin\eta(s)}{d s} \cdot \mathbf{n}_3(s) \\ & \quad - k_1(s)(\cos\eta(s)) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) \\ & \quad + (k_2(s)(\cos\eta(s)) - k_3(s)(\sin\eta(s))) \cdot \mathbf{n}_2(s) \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in L$. From the above fact, it holds

$$\frac{d \cos\eta(s)}{d s} \equiv 0, \quad \frac{d \sin\eta(s)}{d s} \equiv 0,$$

that is, η is a constant function on L with value η_0 . Let $\delta = (\cos\eta_0)(\sin\eta_0)^{-1}$ be a constant number. Then (4.10.1) and (4.10.2) imply

$$\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s) = \delta k_3(s) \quad (\forall s \in L),$$

that is, we obtain the relation (c).

Moreover, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -\varphi'(s)\bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) + \varphi'(s)\bar{k}_2(\varphi(s)) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}}_2(\varphi(s)) \\ & = -k_1(s)(\cos \eta(s)) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) \\ & \quad + \{k_2(s)(\cos \eta(s)) - k_3(s)(\sin \eta(s))\} \cdot \mathbf{n}_2(s) \end{aligned}$$

By the above equality and (4.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(s)\bar{k}_2(\varphi(s)) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}}_2(\varphi(s)) & = \varphi'(s)\bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) \\ & \quad - k_1(s)(\cos \eta_0) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) \\ & \quad + \{k_2(s)(\cos \eta_0) - k_3(s)(\sin \eta_0)\} \cdot \mathbf{n}_2(s) \\ & = (\varphi'(s))^{-2} \{\bar{k}_1(\varphi(s))\}^{-1} \\ & \quad \cdot \{A(s) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) + B(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_2(s)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(s) & = \{\varphi'(s)\bar{k}_1(\varphi(s))\}^2(1 - \alpha k_1(s)) \\ & \quad - k_1(s)(\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s))(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s)) \\ B(s) & = \{\varphi'(s)\bar{k}_1(\varphi(s))\}^2(\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) \\ & \quad + (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s))(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))k_2(s) \\ & \quad - (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s))(k_3(s))^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in L$. From (b) and (4.8), $A(s)$ and $B(s)$ are rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned} A(s) & = -(\gamma^2 + 1)^{-1}(\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) \\ & \quad \times [(\gamma^2 - 1)k_1(s)k_2(s) + \gamma\{(k_1(s))^2 - (k_2(s))^2 - (k_3(s))^2\}] \\ B(s) & = \gamma(\gamma^2 + 1)^{-1}(\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) \\ & \quad \times [(\gamma^2 - 1)k_1(s)k_2(s) + \gamma\{(k_1(s))^2 - (k_2(s))^2 - (k_3(s))^2\}]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi'(s)\bar{k}_2(\varphi(s)) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{n}}_2(\varphi(s)) \neq \mathbf{0}$ for all $s \in L$, it holds

$$(\gamma^2 - 1)k_1(s)k_2(s) + \gamma\{(k_1(s))^2 - (k_2(s))^2 - (k_3(s))^2\} \neq 0$$

for all $s \in L$. Thus we obtain the relation (d).

(ii) We assume that C ($\mathbf{c} : L \rightarrow E^4$) is a C^∞ -special Frenet curve in E^4 with curvature functions k_1, k_2 and k_3 satisfying the relation (a), (b), (c) and (d) for constant numbers α, β, γ and δ . Then we define a C^∞ -curve \bar{C} by

$$\bar{\mathbf{c}}(s) = \mathbf{c}(s) + \alpha \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) + \beta \cdot \mathbf{n}_3(s) \quad (4.11)$$

for all $s \in L$, where s is the arc-length parameter of C . Differentiating (4.11) with respect to s and using the Frenet equations, we obtain

$$\frac{d \bar{c}(s)}{d s} = (1 - \alpha k_1(s)) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) + (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) \cdot \mathbf{n}_2(s)$$

for all $s \in L$. Thus, by the relation (b), we obtain

$$\frac{d \bar{c}(s)}{d s} = (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) \cdot (\gamma \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) + \mathbf{n}_2(s)) \quad (4.12)$$

for all $s \in L$. Since the relation (a) holds, the curve \bar{C} is a regular curve. Then there exists a regular map $\varphi : L \rightarrow \bar{L}$ defined by

$$\bar{s} = \varphi(s) = \int_0^s \left\| \frac{d \bar{c}(t)}{d t} \right\| dt \quad (\forall s \in L),$$

where \bar{s} denotes the arc-length parameter of \bar{C} , and we obtain

$$\varphi'(s) = \varepsilon \sqrt{\gamma^2 + 1} (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) > 0, \quad (4.13)$$

where $\varepsilon = 1$ if $\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s) > 0$, and $\varepsilon = -1$ if $\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s) < 0$, for all $s \in L$. Thus the curve \bar{C} is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{c}(\bar{s}) &= \bar{c}(\varphi(s)) \\ &= \mathbf{c}(s) + \alpha \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) + \beta \cdot \mathbf{n}_3(s) \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in L$. Differentiating the above equality with respect to s , we obtain

$$\varphi'(s) \cdot \left. \frac{d \bar{c}(\bar{s})}{d \bar{s}} \right|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)} = (\alpha k_2(s) - \beta k_3(s)) \cdot \{\gamma \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) + \mathbf{n}_2(s)\}. \quad (4.14)$$

We can define a unit vector field $\bar{\mathbf{t}}$ along \bar{C} by $\bar{\mathbf{t}}(\bar{s}) = d \bar{c}(\bar{s}) / d \bar{s}$ for all $\bar{s} \in \bar{L}$. By (4.13) and (4.14), we obtain

$$\bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) = \varepsilon (\gamma^2 + 1)^{-1/2} \cdot \{\gamma \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) + \mathbf{n}_2(s)\} \quad (4.15)$$

for all $s \in L$. Differentiating (4.15) with respect to s and using the Frenet equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(s) \cdot \left. \frac{d \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\bar{s})}{d \bar{s}} \right|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)} &= \varepsilon (\gamma^2 + 1)^{-1/2} \cdot \{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s)) \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) \\ &\quad + k_3(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_3(s)\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{d \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\bar{s})}{d \bar{s}} \Big|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)} \right\| = \frac{\sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 + (k_3(s))^2}}{\varphi'(s) \sqrt{\gamma^2 + 1}}.$$

By the fact that $k_3(s) > 0$ for all $s \in L$, we obtain

$$\bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) = \left\| \frac{d \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\bar{s})}{d \bar{s}} \Big|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)} \right\| > 0 \quad (4.16)$$

for all $s \in L$. Then we can define a unit vector field $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1$ along \bar{C} by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\bar{s}) &= \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\bar{k}_1(\varphi(s))} \cdot \frac{d \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\bar{s})}{d \bar{s}} \Big|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon \sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 + (k_3(s))^2}} \\ &\quad \cdot \{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s)) \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) + k_3(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_3(s)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in L$. Thus we can put

$$\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) = (\cos \xi(s)) \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) + (\sin \xi(s)) \cdot \mathbf{n}_3(s), \quad (4.17)$$

where

$$\cos \xi(s) = \frac{\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s)}{\varepsilon \sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 + (k_3(s))^2}} \quad (4.18.1)$$

$$\sin \xi(s) = \frac{k_3(s)}{\varepsilon \sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 + (k_3(s))^2}} > 0 \quad (4.18.2)$$

for all $s \in L$. Here, ξ is a C^∞ -function on L . Differentiating (4.17) with respect to s and using the Frenet equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi'(s) \cdot \frac{d \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\bar{s})}{d \bar{s}} \Big|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)} &= -k_1(s)(\cos \xi(s)) \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) \\ &\quad + \frac{d \cos \xi(s)}{d s} \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) \\ &\quad + \{k_2(s)(\cos \xi(s)) - k_3(s)(\sin \xi(s))\} \cdot \mathbf{n}_2(s) \\ &\quad + \frac{d \sin \xi(s)}{d s} \cdot \mathbf{n}_3(s). \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating (c) with respect to s , we obtain

$$(\gamma k_1'(s) - k_2'(s))k_3(s) - (\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))k_3'(s) \equiv 0. \quad (4.19)$$

Differentiating (4.18.1) and (4.18.2) with respect to s and using (4.19), we obtain

$$\frac{d \cos \xi(s)}{d s} \equiv 0, \quad \frac{d \sin \xi(s)}{d s} \equiv 0,$$

that is, ξ is a constant function on L with value ξ_0 . Thus we obtain

$$\frac{\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s)}{\varepsilon \sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 + (k_3(s))^2}} = \cos \xi_0, \quad (4.18.1)'$$

$$\frac{k_3(s)}{\varepsilon \sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 + (k_3(s))^2}} = \sin \xi_0 > 0. \quad (4.18.2)'$$

From (4.17), it holds

$$\bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)) = (\cos \xi_0) \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) + (\sin \xi_0) \cdot \mathbf{n}_3(s). \quad (4.20)$$

Thus we obtain, by (4.15) and (4.16),

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) \\ &= \frac{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 + (k_3(s))^2}{\varepsilon \varphi'(s) (\gamma^2 + 1) \sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 + (k_3(s))^2}} \cdot (\gamma \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) + \mathbf{n}_2(s)), \end{aligned}$$

and by (4.18.1)', (4.18.2)' and (4.20),

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\bar{s})}{d \bar{s}} \right|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)} &= \frac{-k_1(s)(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))}{\varepsilon \varphi'(s) \sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 + (k_3(s))^2}} \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) \\ &+ \frac{k_2(s)(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s)) - (k_3(s))^2}{\varepsilon \varphi'(s) \sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 + (k_3(s))^2}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_2(s), \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in L$. By the above equalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\bar{s})}{d \bar{s}} \right|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)} &+ \bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) \\ &= \frac{P(s)}{R(s)} \cdot \mathbf{t}(s) + \frac{Q(s)}{R(s)} \cdot \mathbf{n}_2(s), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P(s) = -[\gamma\{(k_1(s))^2 - (k_2(s))^2 - (k_3(s))^2\} + (\gamma^2 - 1)k_1(s)k_2(s)]$$

$$Q(s) = \gamma[\gamma\{(k_1(s))^2 - (k_2(s))^2 - (k_3(s))^2\} + (\gamma^2 - 1)k_1(s)k_2(s)]$$

$$R(s) = \varepsilon(\gamma^2 + 1)\varphi'(s)\sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 + (k_3(s))^2} \neq 0$$

for all $s \in L$. We notice that, by (c), $P(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in L$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{k}_2(\varphi(s)) \\ &= \left\| \frac{d \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\bar{s})}{d \bar{s}} \Big|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)} + \bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) \right\| \\ &= \frac{|\gamma\{(k_1(s))^2 - (k_2(s))^2 - (k_3(s))^2\} + (\gamma^2 - 1)k_1(s)k_2(s)|}{\varphi'(s)\sqrt{\gamma^2 + 1}\sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 + (k_3(s))^2}} \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in L$. Thus we can define a unit vector field $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_2(\bar{s})$ along \bar{C} by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{n}}_2(\bar{s}) &= \bar{\mathbf{n}}_2(\varphi(s)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\bar{k}_2(\varphi(s))} \cdot \left(\frac{d \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\bar{s})}{d \bar{s}} \Big|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)} + \bar{k}_1(\varphi(s)) \cdot \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)) \right), \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\bar{\mathbf{n}}_2(\varphi(s)) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon\sqrt{\gamma^2 + 1}} \cdot (-\mathbf{t}(s) + \gamma \cdot \mathbf{n}_2(s)) \quad (4.21)$$

for all $s \in L$. Next we can define a unit vector field $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_3$ along \bar{C} by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{n}}_3(\bar{s}) &= \bar{\mathbf{n}}_3(\varphi(s)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon\sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 + (k_3(s))^2}} \\ &\quad \cdot \{-k_3(s) \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) + (\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s)) \cdot \mathbf{n}_3(s)\}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\bar{\mathbf{n}}_3(\varphi(s)) = -(\sin \xi_0) \cdot \mathbf{n}_1(s) + (\cos \xi_0) \cdot \mathbf{n}_3(s) \quad (4.22)$$

for all $s \in L$. Now we obtain, by (4.15), (4.20), (4.21) and (4.22),

$$\begin{aligned} & \det [\bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)), \bar{\mathbf{n}}_1(\varphi(s)), \bar{\mathbf{n}}_2(\varphi(s)), \bar{\mathbf{n}}_3(\varphi(s))] \\ &= \det [\mathbf{t}(s), \mathbf{n}_1(s), \mathbf{n}_2(s), \mathbf{n}_3(s)] = 1 \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in L$. And we obtain

$$\langle \bar{\mathbf{t}}(\varphi(s)), \bar{\mathbf{n}}_i(\varphi(s)) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \bar{\mathbf{n}}_i(\varphi(s)), \bar{\mathbf{n}}_j(\varphi(s)) \rangle = \delta_{ij}$$

for all $s \in L$ and $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. Thus the frame $\{\bar{t}, \bar{n}_1, \bar{n}_2, \bar{n}_3\}$ along \bar{C} is of orthonormal and of positive. And we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{k}_3(\varphi(s)) &= \left\langle \left. \frac{d \bar{n}_2(\bar{s})}{d \bar{s}} \right|_{\bar{s}=\varphi(s)}, \bar{n}_3(\varphi(s)) \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{\gamma^2 + 1} k_1(s) k_3(s)}{\varphi'(s) \sqrt{(\gamma k_1(s) - k_2(s))^2 + (k_3(s))^2}} \\ &> 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $s \in L$. Thus curve \bar{C} is a C^∞ -special Frenet curve in E^4 . And it is trivial that the Frenet (1,3)-normal plane at each point $\mathbf{c}(s)$ of C coincides with the Frenet (1,3)-normal plane at corresponding point $\bar{\mathbf{c}}(\bar{s}) = \bar{\mathbf{c}}(\varphi(s))$ of \bar{C} . Therefore C is a (1,3)-Bertrand curve in E^4 .

Thus (i) and (ii) complete the proof of Theorem B.

5. An example of (1,3)-Bertrand curve

Let a and b be positive numbers, and let r be an integer greater than 1. We consider a C^∞ -curve C in E^4 defined by $\mathbf{c} : L \rightarrow E^4$;

$$\mathbf{c}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} a \cos \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 a^2 + b^2}} s \right) \\ a \sin \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 a^2 + b^2}} s \right) \\ b \cos \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2 a^2 + b^2}} s \right) \\ b \sin \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2 a^2 + b^2}} s \right) \end{bmatrix}$$

for all $s \in L$. The curve C is a regular curve and s is the arc-length parameter of C . Then C is a special Frenet curve in E^4 and its curvature functions are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} k_1(s) &= \frac{\sqrt{r^4 a^2 + b^2}}{r^2 a^2 + b^2}, \\ k_2(s) &= \frac{r(r^2 - 1)ab}{(r^2 a^2 + b^2) \sqrt{r^4 a^2 + b^2}}, \\ k_3(s) &= \frac{r}{\sqrt{r^4 a^2 + b^2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We take constants α, β, γ and δ defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= \frac{-(r^2 aA + bB) + (r^2 a^2 + b^2)}{\sqrt{r^4 a^2 + b^2}}, \\ \beta &= \frac{-(r^2 aB - bA) + (r^2 - 1)ab}{\sqrt{r^4 a^2 + b^2}}, \\ \gamma &= \frac{r^2 aA + bB}{r(aB - bA)}, \\ \delta &= \frac{r^4 aA + bB}{r^2(aB - bA)}.\end{aligned}$$

Here A and B are positive numbers such that $aB \neq bA$. Then it is trivial that (a), (b), (c) and (d) hold. Therefore, the curve C is a Bertrand curve in E^4 , and its Bertrand mate curve \bar{C} in E^4 ($\bar{c} : \bar{L} \rightarrow E^4$) is given by

$$\bar{c}(\bar{s}) = \begin{bmatrix} A \cos \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 A^2 + B^2}} \bar{s} \right) \\ A \sin \left(\frac{r}{\sqrt{r^2 A^2 + B^2}} \bar{s} \right) \\ B \cos \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2 A^2 + B^2}} \bar{s} \right) \\ B \sin \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2 A^2 + B^2}} \bar{s} \right) \end{bmatrix}$$

for all $\bar{s} \in \bar{L}$, where \bar{s} is the arc-length parameter of \bar{C} , and a regular C^∞ -map $\varphi : L \rightarrow \bar{L}$ is given by

$$\bar{s} = \varphi(s) = \frac{\sqrt{r^2 A^2 + B^2}}{\sqrt{r^2 a^2 + b^2}} s \quad (\forall s \in L).$$

Remark. If $a^2 + b^2 = 1$, then the curve C in E^4 is a leaf of Hopf r -foliation on S^3 ([6], [8]).

References

- [1] Yu. A. Aminov, Differential Geometry and Topology of Curves, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Singapore. 2000.
- [2] Yu. A. Aminov, The Geometry of Submanifolds, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Singapore. 2001.
- [3] M. P. do Carmo, Differential Geometry of Curves and Surfaces, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs. NJ. 1976.
- [4] C.-C. Hsiung, A First Course in Differential Geometry, International Press, Cambridge. MA. 1997.

- [5] K. Nomizu, *On Frenet equations for curves of class C^∞* . *Tohoku Math. J.* **11** (1959), 106–112.
- [6] P.D. Scofield, *Symplectic and complex foliations*, Thesis, Univ. of Illinois, 1990.
- [7] Y.-C. Wong and H.-F. Lai, *A critical examination of the theory of curves in three dimensional differential geometry*. *Tohoku Math. J.* **19** (1967), 1–31.
- [8] S. Yorozu, *Leaf space of a certain Hopf r -foliation*. *Nihonkai Math. J.* **10** (1999), 117–130.

MATSUDA Hiroo
Department of Mathematics
Kanazawa Medical University
Uchinada, Ishikawa, 920-0265, JAPAN
E-mail: matsuda@kanazawa-med.ac.jp

YOROZU Shinsuke
Department of Mathematics
Miyagi University of Education
Sendai, Miyagi, 980-0845, JAPAN
E-mail: s-yoro@staff.miyakyo-u.ac.jp