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#### Abstract

We shall show that two simple graphs embedded on a closed surface with the same face size distribution can be transformed into each other, up to homeomorphism, by a sequence of diagonal flips if they have the sufficiently large number of triangular faces.


## 1. Introduction

A "diagonal flip" has been defined as a local deformation of triangulations on surfaces and there is a big stream [3, 4, 7, 8, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 26, 27] of studies on the equivalence over triangulations on surfaces by diagonal flips in topological graph theory. This began with Wagner's classical work [26] on spherical triangulations and Negami's work [19] opened the way to a general theory of diagonal flips. His result states that any two triangulations on a closed surface with the same and sufficiently large number of vertices can be transformed into each other, up to homeomorphism, by a sequence of diagonal flips, and its many variations have been proved. Furthermore, Nakamoto has developed a theory of "diagonal flips" in quadrangulations on surfaces [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18], some part of which goes in parallel to that for triangulations.

A natural question arises; what can we say about simple graphs with faces some of which are triangular and others are quadrangular? In this paper, we shall answer this question under more general situations, as follows.

Let $G$ be a simple graph 2-cell embedded on a closed surface $F^{2}$ and let $f_{i}$ denote the number of faces of size $i$. Then we have $\sum_{i \geq 3} i f_{i}=2|E(G)|$. We call the sequence $\left(f_{3}, f_{4}, f_{5}, \ldots\right)$ the face size distribution of $G$. We denote the size of a face $A$ by $|A|$. Let $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ be two faces adjacent along an edge $v_{0} v_{m}$ and let $v_{0} v_{1} \cdots v_{m} \cdots v_{\left|A_{1}\right|+\left|A_{2}\right|-3}$ be the boundary walk of the region obtained as $A_{1} \cup A_{2}$, which is divided into $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ by $v_{0} v_{m}$. A diagonal flip at

[^0]$v_{0} v_{m}$ is to replace $v_{0} v_{m}$ with $v_{1} v_{m+1}$ (or $v_{-1} v_{m-1}$ with subscripts taken modulo $\left.\left|A_{1}\right|+\left|A_{2}\right|-2\right)$. Any diagonal flip does not change the face size distribution of $G$. Since we have to stay in the category of simple graphs, a diagonal flip is forbidden if it produces multiple edges or loops.

A graph $G$ embedded on a closed surface $F^{2}$ is said to be closed 2-cell embedded or strongly embedded on $F^{2}$ if each face of $G$ is bounded by a cycle of length at least 3. The following theorem is our answer to the above question:

Theorem 1. Given a closed surface $F^{2}$ and a finite sequence $\varphi=\left(f_{4}, f_{5}, \ldots\right)$ of non-negative integers, there exists a natural number $R=R\left(F^{2}, \varphi\right)$ such that two simple graphs closed 2-cell embedded on $F^{2}$ with the same face size distribution ( $f_{3}, f_{4}, f_{5}, \ldots$ ) can be transformed into each other, up to homeomorphism, by a sequence of diagonal flips if $f_{3} \geq R$.

Note that triangular faces are actually necessary. For examaple, if $f_{i}=0$ for all odd number $i$, there arises an obstruction related to an algebraic invariant called "the cycle parity". Any diagonal flip in such graphs preserves their cycle parities. Thus, they cannot be transformed into each other by a sequence of diagonal flips if they have different cycle parities. See $[14,18]$ for the details on cycle parities.

The property of being closed 2-cell embedded is actually necessary in our proof of the theorem to make a situation where we can use some results on triangulations. However, another problem would arise when we neglected this property. For example, how should we consider "a diagonal flip" of a cut edge?

Finally, we shall show the dual form of Theorem 1. A graph $G$ embedded on a closed surface $F^{2}$ is said to be semi-polyhedral if each face of $G$ is bounded by a cycle, possibly of length 2 , and if any two faces share at most one edge. Two faces may share two or more vertices in a semi-polyhedral graph and each vertex must have degree at least 3. It is easy to see that $G$ is semi-polyhedral if and only if its dual $G^{*}$ is simple and closed 2-cell embedded on $F^{2}$.


Figure 1 An edge slide along $u v$

Let $x u v y$ be a path of length 3 in a semi-polyhedral graph $G$ such that $x u v$ and $u v y$ form two corners of distinct faces of $G$ incident to the edge $u v$. An edge slide along $u v$ is to replace two edges $x u$ and $v y$ with $x v$ and $u y$, respectively, as shown in Figure 1. We do not carry out an edge slide if it makes a graph not semi-polyhedral. It is clear that an edge slide in $G$ corresponds to a diagonal flip in $G^{*}$. Thus, the following corollary is just an immediate consequence of Theorem 1:

COROLlARY 2. Two semi-polyhedral graphs on a closed surface with the same degree sequence can be transformed into each other, up to homeomorphism, by a sequence of edge sildes if they have sufficiently many vertices of degree 3.

## 2. Proof of the theorem

A surface $F^{2}$ is called a punctured surface if it has a boundary. Suppose that each boundary component is assigned a non-negative integer more than or equal to 3 , as its length. A triangulation on such a punctured surface $F^{2}$ is a simple graph embedded on $F^{2}$ so that a cycle of the specified length $d$ in $G$ is placed along each boundary component of length $d$ and that each face is triangular. Diagonal flips in those triangulations can be defined as in the previous, but we do not flip edges on the boundary cycles.

Under this situation, Negami's arguments in [19] work well only with small changes and conclude the following theorem, which can be found in [21]. We shall prove our main theorem, using this theorem.

ThEOREM 3. Given a punctured surface $F^{2}$ with boundary components of given lengths, there exists a natural number $D$ such that two triangulations on $F^{2}$ can be transformed into each other, up to homeomorphism, by a sequence of diagonal flips if they have the same number of vertices more than $D$.

Let $G$ be a simple graph closed 2-cell embedded on a closed surface $F^{2}$ with face size distribution $\left(f_{3}, f_{4}, f_{5}, \ldots\right)$ and put $\varphi=\left(f_{4}, f_{5}, \ldots\right)$. A graph $H$ on $F^{2}$ is said to be a $\varphi$-graph if $H$ is simple, closed 2-cell embedded on $F^{2}$ and has exactly $f_{i} i$-gonal faces for each $i \geq 4$. Contraction of an edge $a c$ is to shrink ac into a point $a=c$. In particular, if $a c$ is incident to a triangular face $a b c$, then we have to replace a digonal face bounded by multiple edges $a b$ and $c b$ with a single edge. Let $G / a c$ denote the graph obtained from $G$ by the contraction of $a c$. An edge $a c$ of $G$ is said to be $\varphi$-contractible if $G / a c$ is also a $\varphi$-graph. Thus, any edge incident to a face of size more than 3 is not $\varphi$-contractible while both sides of any $\varphi$-contractible edge must be triangular faces.

A $\varphi$-graph $G$ on a closed surface $F^{2}$ is said to be $\varphi$-irreducible if $G$ has no $\varphi$-contractible edge. We call a $\varphi$-irreducible $\varphi$-graph simply a $\varphi$-irreducible graph. If $\varphi=(0,0, \ldots)$, then any $\varphi$-irreducible graph of $F^{2}$ is an irreducible triangulation of $F^{2}$ in the usual sense.

The finiteness of irreducible triangulations of $F^{2}$ in number implies that of its $\varphi$-irreducible graphs, as in the following lemma. The former has been proved in many papers $[2,5,6,11]$ and the complete lists of irreducible triangulations of the sphere, the projective plane, the torus and the Klein bottle have been already determined in $[25,1,9,10]$, respectively.

LEMMA 4. Given a closed surface $F^{2}$ and a finite sequence $\varphi=\left(f_{4}, f_{5}, \ldots\right)$ of non-negative integers, there exist only finitely many $\varphi$-irreducible graphs of $F^{2}$, up to homeomorphism.

Proof. Let $G$ be any $\varphi$-irreducible graph on $F^{2}$. Call an edge "a red edge" if it is incident to a face of size more than 3 and "a black edge" otherwise. Add an extra vertex to each face of size more than 3 and join it to all vertices lying along the boundary of the face with "red edges". Let $\hat{G}$ be the resulting triangulation on $F^{2}$. The property of $G$ being closed 2-cell embedded guarantees that $\hat{G}$ is a simple graph.

Since $G$ is $\varphi$-irreducible, all black edges are not contractible in $\hat{G}$ while red edges might be contractible. Contract edges, red or black, until we obtain an irreducible triangulation $T_{0}$ of $F^{2}$. If a black edge and a red edge are identified in the process, then the resulting edge should be red. We shall show that each contracion decreases the number of red edges by at least one even if it contracts a black edge.

Let $a c$ be an edge in $\hat{G}$, which is contracted in $T_{0}$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be the triangulation in which we contract the edge $a c$ in the contracting process. We may assume that $a c$ is still black in $G^{\prime}$ as well as in $\hat{G}$. We shall show that one of the facial cycles sharing $a c$ in $G^{\prime}$ contains two red edges.

Since $a c$ is not contractible in $\hat{G}$, there is a non-facial cycle axc of length 3 in $\hat{G}$. If axc were essential, then it would survive in $G^{\prime}$. Thus, axc bounds a 2-cell region $D^{2}$. Let $H$ be the triangulation of $D^{2}$ with boundary $a x c$, which is a subgraph of $\hat{G}$. Since $a c$ can be contracted in $G^{\prime}$, the region $D^{2}$ shrinks into either the edge $a c$ or one of the faces sharing $a c$, say $a b c$ in $G^{\prime}$.

First, suppose that $D^{2}$ shrinks into $a c$. Let $A$ and $C$ be the sets of vertices in $H$ which are identified with $a$ and $c$, respectively. Obviously, $A \cup C$ is a partition of $V(H)$. Since $a c$ is black in $G^{\prime}$, any edge $a_{1} c_{1}$ joining $A$ and $C$, called an $A-C$ edge, is black in $\hat{G}$ and there is a non-facial cycle $a_{1} x_{1} c_{1}$ of length 3 in $\hat{G}$. It is clear that $a_{1} x_{1} c_{1}$ cannot go outside $D^{2}$ and hence it bounds a 2-cell region $D_{1}^{2}$ inside $D^{2}$.

Choose an $A-C$ edge $a_{1} c_{1}$ so that $D_{1}^{2}$ is the innermost among those regions and let $a_{1} b_{1} c_{1}$ be the face incident to $a_{1} c_{1}$ in $D_{1}^{2}$. We may assume that $b_{1} \in C$. Then the edge $a_{1} b_{1}$ is an $A-C$ edge and must be contained in a non-facial cycle of length 3 bounding a 2 -cell region inside $D_{1}^{2}$, which is contrary to the minimality of $D_{1}^{2}$. Thus, this case does not happen.

Suppose that $D^{2}$ shrinks into a face $a b c$. Let $A, B$ and $C$ be the sets of vertices in $H$ which are identified with $a, b$ and $c$, respectively. Assume that $b c$ is black in $G^{\prime}$. Then all $A-C$ edges and all $B-C$ edges are black in $\hat{G}$ and each of them is contained in a non-facial cycle of length 3 bounding a 2-cell region inside $D^{2}$.

As in the previous case, choose an innermost one among those regions, say $D_{1}^{2}$. Let $a_{1} c_{1}$ be an $A-C$ or $B-C$ edge on its boundary, which is black, and let $a_{1} b_{1} c_{1}$ be the face incident to $a_{1} c_{1}$ in $D_{1}^{2}$. Then we may assume that $a_{1} \in A \cup B$ and $c_{1} \in C$. However, it is easy to see that either $a_{1} b_{1}$ or $b_{1} c_{1}$ is black in any case, which is contrary to our choice of $D_{1}^{2}$, again. Thus, $b c$ must be red in $G^{\prime}$ and hence so is $a b$ by symmetry. Since these two red edges become one, contracting $a c$ decreases the number of red edges, as claimed.

Therefore, what we have just proved implies that the number of edges contracted to obtain $T_{0}$, say $n$, does not exceed the number of red edges in $\hat{G}$, which is bounded by $2 \sum_{i \geq 4} i f_{i}$. Thus we have $|V(\hat{G})|=\left|V\left(T_{0}\right)\right|+n$ and $n \leq 2 \sum_{i \geq 4} i f_{i}$. These imply that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|V(G)| & =|V(\hat{G})|-\sum_{i \geq 4} f_{i}=\left|V\left(T_{0}\right)\right|+n-\sum_{i \geq 4} f_{i} \\
& \leq\left|V\left(T_{0}\right)\right|+2 \sum_{i \geq 4} i f_{i}-\sum_{i \geq 4} f_{i}=\left|V\left(T_{0}\right)\right|+\sum_{i \geq 4}(2 i-1) f_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left|V\left(T_{0}\right)\right|$ is bounded by a constant depending only on $F^{2},|V(G)|$ is bounded by a constant depending on $F^{2}$ and $\varphi$.

LEMMA 5. Let $G$ be a graph closed 2-cell embedded on a closed surface $F^{2}$, and let $v$ be a vertex of $G$ with neighbors $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{m-1}$ lying around it in this cyclic order. Add a vertex $x$ of degree 3 to $G$ so that $x$ is adjacent to $v, v_{i}$ and $v_{i+1}$. Then, the vertex $x$ can be moved by diagonal flips so that $x$ is adjacent to $v, v_{i+1}$ and $v_{i+2}$. (The subscripts are taken modulo m.)

Proof. By diagonal flips, replace $v v_{i+1}$ with $x v_{i+2}$, and then replace $x v_{i}$ with $v v_{i+1}$.

In Lemma 5, the vertex $x$ of degree 3 added can be moved through any triangular faces. However, if no face of $G$ incident to $v$ is triangular, then $x$ can only be rotated around $v$.

Let $\Delta$ be a 2 -cell region bounded by a cycle of length 3 on a closed surface $F^{2}$ and suppose that it is subdivided into triangular faces. Since the subdivision of $\Delta$ can be regarded as a plane triangulation, it can be transformed into the "standard form" that can be obtained by adding vertices of degree 3 one by one to the empty region, in the same way as in the proof of Wagner's theorem given in [24]. Using Lemma 5 repeatedly, we can move all vertices in $\Delta$ to the outside of $\Delta$ by diagonal flips after deforming it into the standard form. So we call each of those vertices a movable vertex here. That is, a vertex $v$ in a graph $G$ on a closed surface $F^{2}$ is movable if and only if there is a cycle of length 3 in $G$ which bounds a triangulated 2-cell region on $F^{2}$ containing $v$.


Figure 2 The "standard form" and movable vertices

LEMMA 6. Let $C$ be a cycle of length $m$ bounding a 2-cell region $D^{2}$ which is subdivided into triangular faces and one m-gonal face $F$ and suppose that there is no chord of $C$ in $D^{2}$. If the inside of $C$ contains at least $m$ vertices, then we can transform it by diagonal flips so that:
(i) There is a cycle $C^{\prime}$ of length $m$ disjoint from $C$ and bounding an m-gonal face.
(ii) Each vertex not lying on $C \cup C^{\prime}$ is movable.
(iii) There is no chord of $C$ in $D^{2}$.

Proof. Let $C^{\prime}$ be the boundary cycle of $F$ and $R$ the region bounded by $C \cup C^{\prime}$ in $D^{2}$ which is triangulated. In this initial stage, $C^{\prime}$ might not be disjoint from $C$. We paint movable vertices by red to distinguish them from other vertices, which are still black.

Suppose that there is a black vertex $v$ in $R-C \cup C^{\prime}$ and let $u_{1} \cdots u_{k}$ be its link in the black triangulation of $R$, that is, the cycle of its black neighbors surrounding $v$. If $k=3$, then $v$ is movable and should have been colored by red. Thus, we have $\operatorname{deg} v=k \geq 4$. Then we can find consecutive four neighbors of $v$, say $u_{1}$ to $u_{4}$, so that $u_{4}$ does not lie on $C$. For, otherwise, one of edges on the link $u_{1} \cdots u_{k}$ of $v$ would be a chord of $C$. Furthermore, we may assume that
each of triangular faces $v u_{1} u_{2}, v u_{2} u_{3}$ and $v u_{3} u_{4}$ does not contain any red vertex, after moving it, if any, to other triangular faces.

If $u_{1}$ and $u_{3}$ are not adjacent and do not lie on $C$ together, we flip $v u_{2}$ to $u_{1} u_{3}$, so that the degree of $v$ will decrease by one and that the new edge $u_{1} u_{3}$ is not a chord of $C$. Otherwise, $u_{2}$ and $u_{4}$ are not adjacent by the planarity and at least $u_{4}$ does not lie on $C$ by our choice of $u_{1}$ to $u_{4}$. Flip $v u_{3}$ to $u_{2} u_{4}$, which will not be a chord of $C$, in this case. Repeat this procedure as far as possible, that is, until we have $\operatorname{deg} v=3$, and color the new movable vertex $v$ by red.

By the previous arguments, we can establish Conditions (ii) and (iii). If $C^{\prime}$ is disjoint from $C$, then the lemma follows. Suppose not. Since $\left|V\left(C^{\prime}\right)-V(C)\right|<$ $m, R$ must contain at least one red vertex. Then we can find a black triangle $a b c$ in $R$ with $a \in V(C) \cap V\left(C^{\prime}\right), a c \in E\left(C^{\prime}\right)-E(C)$ and $a b \notin E\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ such that we can move a red vertex $x$ into this triangle. We may assume that $x$ is the only vertex contained in the triangular region bounded by $a b c$. Let $d$ be the neighbor of $a$ on $C^{\prime}$ other than $c$. Flip $a c$ to $x d$ and repaint $x$ and $x a, x b$ and $x c$ by black. Then the new $C^{\prime}$ does not contain $a$. Repearting this as far as possible, we can eliminate the intersection of $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ and obtain the final form satisfying (i), (ii) and (iii).

Now we shall prove Theorem 1 .
Proof of Theorem 1. Let $F^{2}$ be a closed surface and let $\varphi=\left(f_{4}, f_{5}, \ldots\right)$ be any sequence of non-negative integers. By Lemma 4, there exist only finitely many $\varphi$-irreducible graphs on $F^{2}$. Thus, we let $I\left(F^{2}, \varphi\right)$ be the maximum number of vertices of them. Put

$$
\tilde{R}=\tilde{R}\left(F^{2}, \varphi\right)=\max \left\{I\left(F^{2}, \varphi\right)+\sum_{i \geq 4} i f_{i}, D\right\}
$$

where $D$ is the integer for which Theorem 3 is guaranteed to hold.
Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be two $\varphi$-graphs on $F^{2}$ such that
(i) $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ have the same face size distribution $\left(f_{3}, f_{4}, f_{5}, \ldots\right)$, and
(ii) $\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right|=\left|V\left(G_{2}\right)\right| \geq \tilde{R}$.

Note that the second condition (ii) implies that $f_{3}$ is sufficiently large since $f_{i}$ with $i \geq 4$ are fixed. Actually, we have the following bound for $f_{3}$, which can be easily derived from Euler's formula:

$$
f_{3} \geq R=2 \tilde{R}-2 \chi\left(F^{2}\right)-\sum_{i \geq 4}(i-2) f_{i}
$$

If all faces of size more than 3 in $G_{i}$ are mutually disjoint, then $G_{i}$ can be regarded as a triangulation of a punctured surface obtained from $F^{2}$ by removing
the interior of those faces. In such a case, since $\tilde{R} \geq D$, Theorem 3 implies that $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ can be transformed into each other, up to homeomorphism, by a sequence of diagonal flips. Thus, it suffices to show that $G_{1}$ can be deformed by diagonal flips so that all faces of size more than 3 are mutually disjoint.

Since $G_{1}$ is not $\varphi$-irreducible, we let $v u_{1}$ be a $\varphi$-contractible edge in $G_{1}$. Suppose that $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{m}$ are the neighbors of $v$ lying around it in this cyclic order. There are two triangular faces $v u_{1} u_{2}$ and $v u_{1} u_{m}$ incident to $v u_{1}$ and we can flip $v u_{2}, v u_{3}, \ldots, v u_{m-2}$ to $u_{1} u_{3}, u_{1} u_{4}, \ldots, u_{1} u_{m-1}$ in order since the resulting graph $G_{i}^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $G_{1} / v u_{1}$ with a vertex $v$ of degree 3 added to one face. The added vertex $v$ is incident to three faces, at least two of which are triangular and the other might be of size more than 3 . Color the vertex of degree 3 to be red. Other vertices are supposed to be colored black.

Repeat the previous procedure as far as possible. (If a red vertex is adjacent to both ends of a $\varphi$-contractible edge $e$, then move the red vertex to a neighboring face before contracting $e$.) Then we obtain a (black) $\varphi$-irreducible graph $G_{0}$ with extra red vertices added to faces of $G_{0}$. We denote this graph by $\tilde{G}_{0}$. Since $\left|V\left(G_{0}\right)\right| \leq I\left(F^{2}, \varphi\right)$ and $\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right| \geq I\left(F^{2}, \varphi\right)+\sum_{i \geq 4} i f_{i}, \tilde{G}_{0}$ has at least $\sum_{i \geq 4} i f_{i}$ red vertices.

We first move these red vertices so that any triangular face of $G_{0}$ contain no red vertex. Then we can find a face $F$ of $G_{0}$ with $|F| \geq 4$ which contains at least $|F|$ red vertices. Since each of those red vertices has been added to $F$ as a vertex of degree 3 incident to at least two triangular faces, $F$ contains only one $|F|$-gonal face of $\tilde{G}_{0}$ and others are all triangular. By Lemma 6, we can deform the inside of $F$ so that it contains a cycle $C^{\prime}$ of length $|F|$ disjoint from the boundary cycle $C$ of $F$, as desribed in the lemma. Color all vertices on $C^{\prime}$ by blue. They are not movable now. On the other hand, all movable vertices, which are red, can run freely through the annular region bounded by $C \cup C^{\prime}$ and can go to any face adjacent to $F$ by Lemma 5. Sweep out all of them to other faces of $G_{0}$ which have never had the structure given in Lemma 6 yet. We can continue the same deformation as in this paragraph if such faces remain.

Therefore, all non-triangular faces in the final form will be bounded by cycles consisting of only blue vertices, which are mutually disjoint. Thus, the theorem follows.
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