

## ISOMORPHISMS OF SOME CYCLIC ABELIAN COVERS OF SYMMETRIC DIGRAPHS

By

HIROBUMI MIZUNO AND IWAO SATO

(Received December 21, 1998)

**Abstract.** Let  $D$  be a connected symmetric digraph,  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  a cyclic group of prime order  $p (> 2)$  and  $\Gamma$  a group of automorphisms of  $D$ . We enumerate the number of  $\Gamma$ -isomorphism classes of  $g$ -cyclic  $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ -covers of  $D$  for any nonunit  $g \in \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

### 1. Introduction

Graphs and digraphs treated here are finite and simple.

Let  $D$  be a symmetric digraph and  $A$  a finite group. A function  $\alpha : A(D) \rightarrow A$  is called *alternating* if  $\alpha(y, x) = \alpha(x, y)^{-1}$  for each  $(x, y) \in A(D)$ . For  $g \in A$ , a  $g$ -cyclic  $A$ -cover (or  $g$ -cyclic cover)  $D_g(\alpha)$  of  $D$  is the digraph as follows:

$$V(D_g(\alpha)) = V(D) \times A, \text{ and } ((u, h), (v, k)) \in A(D_g(\alpha)) \text{ if and only if} \\
 (u, v) \in A(D) \text{ and } k^{-1}h\alpha(u, v) = g.$$

The *natural projection*  $\pi : D_g(\alpha) \rightarrow D$  is a function from  $V(D_g(\alpha))$  onto  $V(D)$  which erases the second coordinates. A digraph  $D'$  is called a *cyclic  $A$ -cover* of  $D$  if  $D'$  is a  $g$ -cyclic  $A$ -cover of  $D$  for some  $g \in A$ . In the case that  $A$  is abelian, then  $D_g(\alpha)$  is simply called a *cyclic abelian cover*.

Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two alternating functions from  $A(D)$  into  $A$ , and let  $\Gamma$  be a subgroup of the automorphism group  $\text{Aut } D$  of  $D$ , denoted  $\Gamma \leq \text{Aut } D$ . Let  $g, h \in A$ . Then two cyclic  $A$ -covers  $D_g(\alpha)$  and  $D_h(\beta)$  are called  $\Gamma$ -isomorphic, denoted  $D_g(\alpha) \cong_{\Gamma} D_h(\beta)$ , if there exist an isomorphism  $\Phi : D_g(\alpha) \rightarrow D_h(\beta)$  and a  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\pi\Phi = \gamma\pi$ , i.e., the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_g(\alpha) & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & D_h(\beta) \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ D & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & D \end{array}$$

commutes. Let  $I = \{1\}$  be the trivial subgroup of automorphisms.

Cheng and Wells [1] discussed isomorphism classes of cyclic triple covers (1-cyclic  $\mathbf{Z}_3$ -covers) of a complete symmetric digraph. Mizuno and Sato [16] gave a formula for the characteristic polynomial of a cyclic  $A$ -cover of a symmetric digraph  $D$ , for any finite group  $A$ . Mizuno and Sato [15,17] enumerated the number of  $I$ -isomorphism classes of  $g$ -cyclic  $\mathbf{Z}_p^n$ -covers and  $g$ -cyclic  $\mathbf{Z}_{p^n}$ -covers, and  $\Gamma$ -isomorphism classes of  $g$ -cyclic  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -covers of  $D$  for any prime  $p (> 2)$ . Furthermore, Mizuno, Lee and Sato [14] gave a formula for the the number of  $I$ -isomorphism classes of connected  $g$ -cyclic  $\mathbf{Z}_p^n$ -covers and connected  $g$ -cyclic  $\mathbf{Z}_{p^n}$ -covers of  $D$  for any prime  $p (> 2)$ .

A graph  $H$  is called a *covering* of a graph  $G$  with projection  $\pi : H \rightarrow G$  if there is a surjection  $\pi : V(H) \rightarrow V(G)$  such that  $\pi|_{N(v')} : N(v') \rightarrow N(v)$  is a bijection for all vertices  $v \in V(G)$  and  $v' \in \pi^{-1}(v)$ . The projection  $\pi : H \rightarrow G$  is an  $n$ -fold covering of  $G$  if  $\pi$  is  $n$ -to-one. A covering  $\pi : H \rightarrow G$  is said to be *regular* if there is a subgroup  $B$  of the automorphism group  $\text{Aut } H$  of  $H$  acting freely on  $H$  such that the quotient graph  $H/B$  is isomorphic to  $G$ .

Let  $G$  be a graph and  $A$  a finite group. Let  $D(G)$  be the arc set of the symmetric digraph corresponding to  $G$ . Then a mapping  $\alpha : D(G) \rightarrow A$  is called an *ordinary voltage assignment* if  $\alpha(v, u) = \alpha(u, v)^{-1}$  for each  $(u, v) \in D(G)$ . The (*ordinary*) *derived graph*  $G^\alpha$  derived from an ordinary voltage assignment  $\alpha$  is defined as follows:

$$V(G^\alpha) = V(G) \times A, \text{ and } ((u, h), (v, k)) \in D(G^\alpha) \text{ if and only if} \\ (u, v) \in D(G) \text{ and } k = h\alpha(u, v).$$

The graph  $G^\alpha$  is called an  $A$ -covering of  $G$ . The  $A$ -covering  $G^\alpha$  is an  $|A|$ -fold regular covering of  $G$ . Every regular covering of  $G$  is an  $A$ -covering of  $G$  for some group  $A$  (see [3]). Furthermore the 1-cyclic  $A$ -cover  $D_1(\alpha)$  of a symmetric digraph  $D$  can be considered as the  $A$ -covering  $\tilde{D}^\alpha$  of the underlying graph  $\tilde{D}$  of  $D$ .

A general theory of graph coverings is developed in [4].  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ -coverings (double coverings) of graphs were dealt in [5] and [19]. Hofmeister [6] and, independently, Kwak and Lee [11] enumerated the  $I$ -isomorphism classes of  $n$ -fold coverings of a graph, for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Dresbach [2] obtained a formula for the number of strong isomorphism classes of regular coverings of graphs with voltages in finite fields. The  $I$ -isomorphism classes of regular coverings of graphs with voltages in finite dimensional vector spaces over finite fields were enumerated by Hofmeister [7]. Hong, Kwak and Lee [9] gave the number of  $I$ -isomorphism classes of  $\mathbf{Z}_n$ -coverings,  $\mathbf{Z}_p \oplus \mathbf{Z}_p$ -coverings and  $D_n$ -coverings,  $n$ : odd, of graphs, respectively.

In the case of connected coverings, Kwak and Lee [13] enumerated the  $I$ -

isomorphism classes of connected  $n$ -fold coverings of a graph  $G$ . Furthermore, Kwak, Chun and Lee [12] gave some formulas for the number of  $I$ -isomorphism classes of connected  $A$ -coverings of a graph  $G$  when  $A$  is a finite abelian group or  $D_n$ .

We present the number of  $\Gamma$ -isomorphism classes of  $g$ -cyclic  $\mathbf{Z}_p \times \mathbf{Z}_p$ -covers of connected symmetric digraphs for any element  $g \neq 0 \in \mathbf{Z}_p \times \mathbf{Z}_p$ , where  $0$  is the unit of  $\mathbf{Z}_p \times \mathbf{Z}_p$ .

## 2. Isomorphisms of cyclic $\mathbf{Z}_p \times \mathbf{Z}_p$ -covres

Let  $D$  be a connected symmetric digraph and  $A$  a finite abelian group. The group  $\Gamma$  of automorphisms of  $D$  acts on the set  $C(D)$  of alternating functions from  $A(D)$  into  $A$  as follows:

$$\alpha^\gamma(x, y) = \alpha(\gamma(x), \gamma(y)) \text{ for all } (x, y) \in A(D),$$

where  $\alpha \in C(D)$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Let  $G$  be the underlying graph of  $D$ . The set of ordinary voltage assignments of  $G$  with voltages in  $A$  is denoted by  $C^1(G; A)$ . Note that  $C(D) = C^1(G; A)$ . Furthermore, let  $C^0(G; A)$  be the set of functions from  $V(G)$  into  $A$ . We consider  $C^0(G; A)$  and  $C^1(G; A)$  as additive groups. The homomorphism  $\delta : C^0(G; A) \rightarrow C^1(G; A)$  is defined by  $(\delta s)(x, y) = s(x) - s(y)$  for  $s \in C^0(G; A)$  and  $(x, y) \in A(D)$ . The 1-cohomology group  $H^1(G; A)$  with coefficients in  $A$  is defined by  $H^1(G; A) = C^1(G; A)/\text{Im } \delta$ . For each  $\alpha \in C^1(G; A)$ , let  $[\alpha]$  be the element of  $H^1(G; A)$  which contains  $\alpha$ .

The automorphism group  $\text{Aut } A$  acts on  $C^0(G; A)$  and  $C^1(G; A)$  as follows:

$$(\sigma s)(x) = \sigma(s(x)) \text{ for } x \in V(D),$$

$$(\sigma \alpha)(x, y) = \sigma(\alpha(x, y)) \text{ for } (x, y) \in A(D),$$

where  $s \in C^0(G; A)$ ,  $\alpha \in C^1(G; A)$  and  $\sigma \in \text{Aut } A$ . A finite group  $\mathcal{B}$  is said to have the *isomorphism extension property* (IEP), if every isomorphism between any two isomorphic subgroups  $\mathcal{E}_1$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2$  of  $\mathcal{B}$  can be extended to an automorphism of  $\mathcal{B}$  (see [9]). For example, the cyclic group  $\mathbf{Z}_n$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , the dihedral group  $D_n$  for odd  $n \geq 3$ , and the direct sum of  $m$  copies of  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  ( $p$ : prime) have the IEP.

Mizuno and Sato [17] gave a characterization for two cyclic  $A$ -covers of  $D$  to be  $\Gamma$ -isomorphic.

**THEOREM 1.** (17, Corollary 3) *Let  $D$  be a connected symmetric digraph,  $G$  the underlying graph of  $D$ ,  $A$  a finite abelian group with the IEP,  $g \in A$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in C(D)$  and  $\Gamma \leq \text{Aut } D$ . Assume that the order of  $g$  is odd. Then the following are equivalent:*

1.  $D_g(\alpha) \cong_{\Gamma} D_g(\beta)$ .
2. There exist  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $\sigma \in \text{Aut } A$  and  $s \in C^0(G; A)$  such that

$$\beta = \sigma\alpha^{\gamma} + \delta s \text{ and } \sigma(g) = g.$$

Let  $\text{Iso}(D, A, g, \Gamma)$  denote the number of  $\Gamma$ -isomorphism classes of  $g$ -cyclic  $A$ -covers of  $D$ . The following result holds.

**THEOREM 2.** (17, Theorem 3) *Let  $D$  be a connected symmetric digraph,  $A$  a finite abelian group with the IEP,  $g, h \in A$  and  $\Gamma \leq \text{Aut } D$ . Assume that the orders of  $g$  and  $h$  are equal and odd, and  $\rho(g) = h$  for some  $\rho \in \text{Aut } A$ . Then*

$$\text{Iso}(D, A, g, \Gamma) = \text{Iso}(D, A, h, \Gamma).$$

Let  $p$  be odd prime and  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  the cyclic group of order  $p$ . Then  $\mathbf{Z}_p^2 = \mathbf{Z}_p \times \mathbf{Z}_p$  has the IEP. Since  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  is the 2-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ , the general linear group  $GL_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  is the automorphism group of  $\mathbf{Z}_p^2$ . Furthermore,  $GL_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  acts transitively on  $\mathbf{Z}_p^2 \setminus \{0\}$ . Set  $e = e_1 = {}^t(1 \ 0) \in \mathbf{Z}_p^2$ . By Theorem 2, we have  $\text{Iso}(D, A, g, \Gamma) = \text{Iso}(D, A, e, \Gamma)$  for any element  $g \in \mathbf{Z}_p^2 \setminus \{0\}$ . Thus we consider the number of  $\Gamma$ -isomorphism classes of  $e$ -cyclic  $\mathbf{Z}_p^2$ -covers of  $D$ .

Let  $\Gamma \leq \text{Aut } D$  and  $\Pi = GL_2(\mathbf{Z}_p)$ . Furthermore, set

$$\Pi_e = \{\sigma \in \Pi \mid \sigma(e) = e\}.$$

An action of  $\Pi_e \times \Gamma$  on  $H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)$  is defined as follows:

$$(\mathbf{A}, \gamma)[\alpha] = [\mathbf{A}\alpha^{\gamma}] = \{\mathbf{A}\alpha^{\gamma} + \delta s \mid s \in C^0(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)\},$$

where  $\mathbf{A} \in \Pi_e$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $\alpha \in C^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)$ . By Theorem 1, the number of  $\Gamma$ -isomorphism classes of  $e$ -cyclic  $\mathbf{Z}_p^2$ -covers of  $D$  is equal to that of  $\Pi_e \times \Gamma$ -orbits on  $H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)$ .

Let  $D$  be a connected symmetric digraph,  $G$  the underlying graph of  $D$ ,  $\Gamma \leq \text{Aut } D$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}_p^*$ . A  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbit  $\sigma$  of length  $k$  on  $E(G)$  is called *diagonal* if  $\sigma = \langle \gamma \rangle \{x, \gamma^k(x)\}$  for some  $x \in V(G)$ . The vertex orbit  $\langle \gamma \rangle x$  and the arc orbit  $\langle \gamma \rangle (x, \gamma^k(x))$  are also called *diagonal*. A diagonal arc orbit of length  $2k$  (the corresponding edge orbit of length  $k$  and the corresponding vertex orbit of length  $2k$ ) is called *type-1* if  $\lambda^k = -1$  (or  $m = 2k$ ), and *type-2* otherwise, where  $m$  is the order  $\text{ord}(\lambda)$  of  $\lambda$ .

For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , let  $G(\gamma)$  be a simple graph whose vertices are the  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbits on  $V(G)$ , with two vertices adjacent in  $G(\gamma)$  if and only if some two of their representatives are adjacent in  $G$ . The  $k$ th  $p$ -level of  $G(\gamma)$  is the induced subgraph of  $G(\gamma)$  on the vertices  $\omega$  such that  $\theta(|\omega|) = p^k$ , where  $\theta(i)$  is the largest power

of  $p$  dividing  $i$ . A  $p$ -level component  $H$  of  $G(\gamma)$  is a connected component of some  $p$ -level of  $G(\gamma)$ , where  $H$  is considered as a subset of  $V(G(\gamma))$ . A  $p$ -level component  $H$  is called *minimal* if there exists no vertex  $\sigma$  of  $H$  which is adjacent in  $G(\gamma)$  to a vertex  $\omega$  such that  $\theta(|\sigma|) > \theta(|\omega|)$  (see [12]).

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then a  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbit  $\sigma$  on  $V(G)$ ,  $E(G)$  or  $A(D)$  is called  $k$ -divisible if  $|\sigma| \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ . A vertex orbit  $\sigma$  is called *edge-induced* if there exists a orbit  $\langle \gamma \rangle\{x, y\}$  on  $E(G)$  with  $x, y \in \sigma$ . A  $k$ -divisible  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbit  $\sigma$  on  $V(G)$  is called *strongly  $k$ -divisible* if  $\sigma$  is edge-induced and satisfies the following condition:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{If } \Omega = \langle \gamma \rangle(x, y) \text{ is any } \langle \gamma \rangle\text{-orbit on } A(D), \text{ and} \\ &y = \gamma^j(x), x, y \in \sigma, \text{ then } j \equiv 0 \pmod{k}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $k \geq 1$ , let  $H$  be a  $k$ th  $p$ -level component of  $G(\gamma)$ . Then  $H$  is called  $p$ -favorable if  $H$  is minimal and there exists a  $\sigma \in H$  which is not strongly  $p$ -divisible. Furthermore,  $H$  is called  $p$ -defective if  $H$  is minimal and each vertex  $\sigma$  of  $H$  is strongly  $p$ -divisible.

Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  and  $\text{ord}(\lambda) = m$ . Then, let  $G_\lambda(\gamma)$  be the subgraph of  $G(\gamma)$  induced by the set of  $m$ -divisible  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbits on  $V(G)$ . The  $k$ th  $p$ -level and  $p$ -level components of  $G_\lambda(\gamma)$  are defined similarly to the case of  $G(\gamma)$ . A  $p$ -level component  $H$  of  $G_\lambda(\gamma)$  is called *defective* if each vertex  $\sigma$  of  $H$  is strongly  $m$ -divisible, not type-1 diagonal, and  $H$  is minimal. Note that, if  $\sigma = \langle \gamma \rangle x$  is strongly  $m$ -divisible,  $|\sigma| = t$  and there exists a diagonal  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbit  $\Omega = \langle \gamma \rangle(x, \gamma^{t/2}(x))$  on  $A(D)$ , then  $\Omega$  is type-2.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $D$  be a connected symmetric digraph,  $G$  its underlying graph,  $p$  odd prime,  $g \in \mathbb{Z}_p^2 \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $\Gamma \leq \text{Aut } G$ . For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , let  $\epsilon(\gamma)$ ,  $\rho(\gamma)$  and  $\epsilon_1(\gamma)$  be the number of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbits, diagonal  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbits and, not diagonal and  $p$ -divisible  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbits on  $E(G)$ , respectively. Let  $\nu(\gamma)$  and  $\nu_0(\gamma)$  be the number of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbits and not  $p$ -divisible  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbits on  $V(G)$ , respectively. Moreover, let  $c(\gamma)$ ,  $\xi(\gamma)$ ,  $d(\gamma)$  and  $d_1(\gamma)$  be the number of  $p$ -level components, minimal  $p$ -level components,  $p$ -defective  $p$ -level components and not minimal  $p$ -level components with  $p$ -divisible orbits in  $G(\gamma)$ , respectively. For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ , let  $\nu_0(\gamma, \lambda)$ ,  $\mu(\gamma, \lambda)$  and  $d(\gamma, \lambda)$  be the number of not  $m$ -divisible  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbits on  $V(G)$ , type-2 diagonal  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbits on  $E(G)$  and defective  $p$ -level components in  $G_\lambda(\gamma)$ , respectively, where  $m = \text{ord}(\lambda)$ . Furthermore, let  $\kappa(\gamma, \lambda)$  be the number of not  $m$ -divisible  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbits on  $E(G)$  which are not diagonal. Then the number of  $\Gamma$ -isomorphism classes of  $g$ -cyclic  $\mathbb{Z}_p^2$ -covers of  $D$  is*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Iso}(D, \mathbb{Z}_p^2, g, \Gamma) &= \frac{1}{p(p-1)|\Gamma|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \{p^{2(\epsilon(\gamma) - \nu(\gamma) + \xi(\gamma) - \rho(\gamma))} \\ &+ (p-1)p^{\epsilon(\gamma) - 2\nu(\gamma) + \nu_0(\gamma) - \rho(\gamma) + \epsilon_1(\gamma) + c(\gamma) - d_1(\gamma) + d(\gamma)} \} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ p \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}_p^* \setminus \{1\}} p^{2(\varepsilon(\gamma) - \nu(\gamma)) + \xi(\gamma) - \rho(\gamma) + \nu_0(\gamma, \lambda) - \kappa(\gamma, \lambda) - \mu(\gamma, \lambda) + d(\gamma, \lambda)}.$$

*Proof.* By the preceding remark and Burnside' Lemma, the number of  $\Gamma$ -isomorphism classes of  $e$ -cyclic  $\mathbf{Z}_p^2$ -covers of  $D$  is

$$\frac{1}{|\Pi_e| \cdot |\Gamma|} \sum_{(\mathbf{A}, \gamma) \in \Pi_e \times \Gamma} |H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)^{(\mathbf{A}, \gamma)}|,$$

where  $U^{(\mathbf{A}, \gamma)}$  is the set consisting of the elements of  $U$  fixed by  $(\mathbf{A}, \gamma)$ .

Now, we have

$$\Pi_e = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & \mu \\ 0 & \lambda \end{array} \right] \mid \lambda = 1, 2, \dots, p-1; \mu = 0, 1, \dots, p-1 \right\}.$$

Then there exist  $p+1$  conjugacy classes of  $\Pi_e$ :

$$\left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \right\}, \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right], \dots, \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & p-1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \right\},$$

$$\left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{array} \right], \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{array} \right], \dots, \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & p-1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{array} \right] \right\} (\lambda = 2, \dots, p-1).$$

Let  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \Pi_e$  be conjugate. Then there exists an element  $\mathbf{C} \in \Pi_e$  such that  $\mathbf{C}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{C}^{-1} = \mathbf{B}$ . Thus  $[\alpha] \in H^1(G; \mathbf{F}_p^2)^{(\mathbf{A}, \gamma)}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{A}\alpha^\gamma = \alpha + \delta s$  for some  $s \in C^0(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)$ . But  $\mathbf{A}\alpha^\gamma = \alpha + \delta s$  if and only if  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{C}\alpha)^\gamma = \mathbf{C}\alpha + \delta(\mathbf{C}s)$ , i.e.,  $[\mathbf{C}\alpha] \in H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)^{(\mathbf{B}, \gamma)}$ . By the fact that a mapping  $[\alpha] \mapsto [\mathbf{C}\alpha]$  is bijective, we have

$$|H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)^{(\mathbf{A}, \gamma)}| = |H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)^{(\mathbf{B}, \gamma)}|.$$

Therefore the number of  $\Gamma$ -isomorphism classes of  $e$ -cyclic  $\mathbf{Z}_p^2$ -covers of  $D$  is

$$\frac{1}{|\Pi_e| \cdot |\Gamma|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \{ |H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)^{(\mathbf{I}, \gamma)}| + (p-1) |H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)^{(\mathbf{A}_1, \gamma)}|$$

$$+ p \sum_{\lambda=2}^{p-1} |H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)^{(\mathbf{A}_\lambda, \gamma)}| \},$$

where

$$\mathbf{I} = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right], \mathbf{A}_1 = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right], \mathbf{A}_\lambda = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{array} \right].$$

Let  $(\mathbf{A}, \gamma) \in \Pi_e \times \Gamma$ .

Case 1:  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$ .

Then  $[\alpha] \in H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)^{(I, \gamma)}$  if and only if  $\alpha^\gamma = I\alpha^\gamma = \alpha + \delta s$  for some  $s \in C^0(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)$ .

Now, let  $\alpha = ae_1 + be_2$ ,  $a, b \in C^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p)$ , where  $e_1 = {}^t(1 \ 0)$  and  $e_2 = {}^t(0 \ 1)$ . Furthermore, let  $s = we_1 + ze_2$ ,  $w, z \in C^0(G; \mathbf{Z}_p)$ . Then  $\alpha^\gamma = \alpha + \delta s$  if and only if  $a^\gamma = a + \delta w$  and  $b^\gamma = b + \delta z$ , i.e.,  $[a]^\gamma = [a]$  and  $[b]^\gamma = [b]$ . Note that  $[a], [b] \in H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p)^\gamma$ . Since  $[ae_1 + be_2] = [a]e_1 + [b]e_2$ , we have

$$|H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)^{(I, \gamma)}| = |H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p)^\gamma|^2.$$

By Theorem 5 of [8], it follows that

$$|H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)^{(I, \gamma)}| = (p^{\epsilon(\gamma) - \nu(\gamma) + \xi(\gamma) - \rho(\gamma)})^2 = p^{2(\epsilon(\gamma) - \nu(\gamma) + \xi(\gamma) - \rho(\gamma))}.$$

Case 2:  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_\lambda$ .

Then  $[\alpha] \in H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)^{(\mathbf{A}_\lambda, \gamma)}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{A}_\lambda \alpha^\gamma = \alpha + \delta s$  for some  $s \in C^0(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)$ . Let  $\alpha = ae_1 + be_2$ ,  $a, b \in C^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p)$  and  $s = we_1 + ze_2$ ,  $w, z \in C^0(G; \mathbf{Z}_p)$ . Then  $\mathbf{A}_\lambda \alpha^\gamma = \alpha + \delta s$  if and only if  $a^\gamma e_1 + \lambda b^\gamma e_2 = (a + \delta w)e_1 + (b + \delta z)e_2$ , i.e.,  $a^\gamma = a + \delta w$  and  $\lambda b^\gamma = b + \delta z$ . Thus  $(\mathbf{A}_\lambda, \gamma)[\alpha] = [\alpha]$  if and only if  $[a]^\gamma = [a]$  and  $\lambda[b]^\gamma = [b]$ . Therefore, we have

$$|H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)^{(\mathbf{A}_\lambda, \gamma)}| = |H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p)^\gamma| \cdot |H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p)^{(\gamma, \lambda)}|.$$

By Theorem 5 of [8] and Theorem 3.3 of [18], it follows that

$$|H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)^{(\mathbf{A}_\lambda, \gamma)}| = p^{2\epsilon(\gamma) - 2\nu(\gamma) + \xi(\gamma) - \rho(\gamma) + \nu_0(\gamma, \lambda) - \kappa(\gamma, \lambda) - \mu(\gamma, \lambda) + d(\gamma, \lambda)}.$$

Case 3:  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_1$ .

Then we have

$$|H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)^{(\mathbf{A}_1, \gamma)}| = p^{\epsilon(\gamma) - 2\nu(\gamma) + \nu_0(\gamma) - \rho(\gamma) + \epsilon_1(\gamma) + c(\gamma) - d_1(\gamma) + d(\gamma)}.$$

The detail is developed in Section 3.

By cases 1, 2 and 3, the result follows. ■

**COROLLARY 1.** (15, Corollary 4.6) *Let  $D$  be a connected symmetric digraph and  $p$  odd prime. Then the number of  $I$ -isomorphism classes of  $g$ -cyclic  $\mathbf{Z}_p^2$ -covers of  $D$  is*

$$\text{Iso}(D, \mathbf{Z}_p^2, g, I) = p^{B(D)} + \frac{p^{B(D)-1}(p^{B(D)} - 1)}{p - 1}.$$

where  $B(D) = \frac{1}{2}|A(D)| - |V(G)| + 1$  is the Betti-number of  $D$ .

*Proof.* Since  $I = \{1\}$ , we have  $\epsilon(1) = \kappa(1, \lambda) = |E(G)|$ ,  $\rho(1) = \epsilon_1(1) = \mu(1, \lambda) = 0$ ,  $\nu(1) = \nu_0(1) = \nu_0(1, \lambda) = |V(G)|$ ,  $c(1) = \xi(1) = 1$  and  $d_1(1) = d(1) = d(1, \lambda) = 0$ . ■

### 3. The elements of $H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)$ fixed by $(\mathbf{A}_1, \gamma)$

Let  $D$  be a connected symmetric digraph,  $G$  its underlying graph and  $\Gamma \leq \text{Aut } G$ . We present the number of elements on  $H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)$  fixed by  $(\mathbf{A}_1, \gamma)$  for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . The argument is an analogue of Hofmeister's method [5].

Let

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that the order  $\text{ord}(\mathbf{A})$  of  $\mathbf{A}$  is  $p$  and

$$\mathbf{A}^j = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for any  $j$ . Set  $C^0 = C^0(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)$ ,  $C^1 = C^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)$ , and  $H^1 = H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)$ . We consider the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker } \delta \xrightarrow{\delta^0} C^0 \xrightarrow{\delta} C^1 \xrightarrow{\delta^1} H^1 \longrightarrow 0,$$

where  $\delta^0$  is the canonical monomorphism and  $\delta^1$  is the canonical epimorphism. For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , two endomorphisms  $\mu_\gamma : C^1 \rightarrow C^1$  and  $\nu_\gamma : H^1 \rightarrow H^1$  are defined as follows:  $\mu_\gamma(\alpha) = \mathbf{A}\alpha^\gamma - \alpha$  and  $\nu_\gamma([\alpha]) = [\mathbf{A}\alpha^\gamma - \alpha]$ , where  $\alpha \in C^1$ . Then, note that  $\nu_\gamma\delta^1 = \delta\mu_\gamma$  and  $\text{Ker } \nu_\gamma = (H^1)^{\langle \mathbf{A}, \gamma \rangle}$ .

Now, let  $C_\gamma^0 = \delta^{-1}(\text{Im } \mu_\gamma)$  and  $C_\gamma^1 = \mu^{-1}(\text{Im } \delta)$ .

Let  $(x, y)$  be any arc of  $A(D)$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $t = |\langle \gamma \rangle(x, y)|$  the length of the arc  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbit containing  $(x, y)$ . Furthermore, let  $s = {}^t(u, v) = ue_1 + ve_2 \in C^0$ ,  $u, v \in C^0(G; \mathbf{Z}_p)$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $s \in C^0$ . Then  $s \in C_\gamma^0$  if and only if*

$$v(x) + v^\gamma(x) + \cdots + v^{\gamma^{t-1}}(x) = v(y) + v^\gamma(y) + \cdots + v^{\gamma^{t-1}}(y) \quad \cdots (*)_1$$

for each  $(x, y) \in A(D)$ . Specially, if  $p \mid t$ , then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \mathbf{A}^j s^{\gamma^j}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} \mathbf{A}^j s^{\gamma^j}(y) \quad \cdots (*)_2.$$

*Proof.* Set  $t = |\langle \gamma \rangle(x, y)|$ .

Suppose that  $s \in C_\gamma^0$ . Then there exists  $\alpha \in C^1$  such that  $\mathbf{A}\alpha^\gamma - \alpha = \delta s$ . Thus

$$\mathbf{A}^i \alpha^{\gamma^i} - \alpha = \delta(\mathbf{A}^{i-1} \alpha^{\gamma^{i-1}} + \cdots + \mathbf{A}\alpha^\gamma + s), \quad i \geq 1.$$

Let  $(x, y) \in A(D)$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} A^j s^{\gamma^j}(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} A^j s^{\gamma^j}(y) = A^t \alpha^{\gamma^t}(x, y) - \alpha(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & t \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \alpha(x, y).$$

For the  $(2, 1)$ -array of the above equation, we have

$$v(x) + v^{\gamma}(x) + \dots + v^{\gamma^{t-1}}(x) - \{v(y) + v^{\gamma}(y) + \dots + v^{\gamma^{t-1}}(y)\} = 0.$$

Specially, if  $p \mid t$ , then we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} A^j s^{\gamma^j}(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} A^j s^{\gamma^j}(y) = 0.$$

Conversely, assume that  $s = {}^t(u, v)$  satisfies  $(*)_1$  and  $(*)_2$  for each  $(x, y) \in A(D)$ . Let  $\Omega$  be any  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbit on  $A(D)$ ,  $|\Omega| = t$  and  $(x, y) \in \Omega$ . If  $\Omega$  is not diagonal, then let  $\alpha(x, y) = 0 \cdot e_1 + b(x, y)e_2$ ,  $b \in C^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p)$  be defined as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & t \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ b(x, y) \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} A^j s^{\gamma^j}(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} A^j s^{\gamma^j}(y).$$

If  $p \mid t$ , then we may set  $b(x, y) = 0$ . Furthermore, if  $\Omega$  is diagonal, then let

$$\alpha(x, y) = -(A^l + I)^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (A^j s^{\gamma^j}(x) - A^j s^{\gamma^j}(y)),$$

where  $l = \frac{t}{2}$ .

Now, let

$$A^i \alpha^{\gamma^i}(x, y) = \alpha(x, y) + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (A^j s^{\gamma^j}(x) - A^j s^{\gamma^j}(y)), \quad i \geq 1 \quad \dots (1).$$

If  $\Omega$  is not diagonal and  $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & A^{pt} \alpha^{\gamma^{pt}}(x, y) \\ &= \alpha(x, y) + \sum_{j=0}^{pt-1} (A^j s^{\gamma^j}(x) - A^j s^{\gamma^j}(y)) \\ &= \alpha(x, y) + (I + A^t + \dots + A^{(p-1)t}) \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (A^j s^{\gamma^j}(x) - A^j s^{\gamma^j}(y)) = \alpha(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, let  $\Omega$  is not diagonal and  $p \mid t$ . Then we have

$$A^t \alpha^{\gamma^t}(x, y) = \alpha(x, y) + \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (A^j s^{\gamma^j}(x) - A^j s^{\gamma^j}(y)) = \alpha(x, y).$$

Next, let  $\Omega$  be diagonal. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (\mathbf{A}^j s^{\gamma^j}(x) - \mathbf{A}^j s^{\gamma^j}(y)) &= (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}^t) \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (\mathbf{A}^j s^{\gamma^j}(x) - \mathbf{A}^j s^{\gamma^j}(y)) \\ &= -(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}^t)(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{A}^t)\alpha(x, y) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & t \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \alpha(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where  $t = 2l$ . If  $p \mid t$ , then  $\mathbf{A}^t \alpha^{\gamma^t}(x, y) = \alpha(x, y)$  similarly to the case that  $\Omega$  is not diagonal. Otherwise,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}^{2pl} \alpha^{\gamma^{2pl}}(x, y) &= \alpha(x, y) + (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}^l + \mathbf{A}^{2l} + \dots - \mathbf{A}^{2(p-1)l+l}) \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} (\mathbf{A}^j s^{\gamma^j}(x) - \mathbf{A}^j s^{\gamma^j}(y)) \\ &= \alpha(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it follows that (1) is well-defined.

By (1), we have

$$\mathbf{A}^r \alpha^{\gamma^{r+i}}(x, y) = \alpha^{\gamma^i}(x, y) + \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (\mathbf{A}^j s^{\gamma^j}(\gamma^i(x)) - \mathbf{A}^j s^{\gamma^j}(\gamma^i(y))), \quad r, i \geq 1.$$

If  $\Omega$  is not diagonal, then we define  $\alpha(v, u) = -\alpha(u, v)$ ,  $(u, v) \in \Omega$ .

In the case that  $\Omega$  is diagonal, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(y, x) &= \alpha^{\gamma^l}(x, y) \\ &= \mathbf{A}^{-l}(\alpha(x, y) + \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \delta \mathbf{A}^j s^{\gamma^j}(x, y)) \\ &= \mathbf{A}^{-l} \alpha(x, y) - \mathbf{A}^{-l}(\mathbf{A}^l + \mathbf{I})\alpha(x, y) \\ &= -\alpha(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}^{i+l} \alpha^{\gamma^i}(y, x) &= \mathbf{A}^l \{ \mathbf{A}^i \alpha^{\gamma^{l+i}}(x, y) \} \\ &= \mathbf{A}^l \{ \alpha^{\gamma^l}(x, y) + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (\mathbf{A}^j s^{\gamma^j}(\gamma^l(x)) - \mathbf{A}^j s^{\gamma^j}(\gamma^l(y))) \} \\ &= -\mathbf{A}^l \{ \alpha(x, y) + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (\mathbf{A}^j s^{\gamma^j}(x) - \mathbf{A}^j s^{\gamma^j}(y)) \} \\ &= -\mathbf{A}^{l+i} \alpha^{\gamma^i}(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\alpha^{\gamma^i}(y, x) = -\alpha^{\gamma^i}(x, y), i \geq 1.$$

Therefore we obtain an  $\alpha \in C^1$  such that  $A\alpha^\gamma - \alpha = \delta s$ , i.e.,  $s \in C_\gamma^0$ . ■

**LEMMA 2.** For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,

$$|C_\gamma^0| = p^{2n-2\nu(\gamma)+\nu_0(\gamma)+c(\gamma)-d_1(\gamma)+d(\gamma)}, n = |V(G)|.$$

*Proof.* We count the number of  $s \in C_\gamma^0$  which satisfy both  $(*)_1$  and  $(*)_2$  for each  $(x, y) \in A(D)$ .

Let  $(x, y) \in A(D)$ ,  $\Omega = \langle \gamma \rangle(x, y)$  and  $|\Omega| = t$ .

Case 1:  $x, y$  are in the same  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbit  $\sigma$  on  $V(G)$ .

Then  $\Omega$  is not diagonal and  $|\sigma| = t$ . Let  $y = \gamma^j(x)$  ( $1 \leq j < t$ ). By Lemma 1, we have

$$v(x) + v^\gamma(x) + \cdots + v^{\gamma^{t-1}}(x) = v(y) + v^\gamma(y) + \cdots + v^{\gamma^{t-1}}(y).$$

This is an identical equation.

Case 1.1:  $\sigma$  is  $p$ -divisible.

Then  $A^t = I$ . By Lemma 1, we have

$$s(x) + As^\gamma(x) + \cdots + A^{t-1}s^{\gamma^{t-1}}(x) = s(y) + As^\gamma(y) + \cdots + A^{t-1}s^{\gamma^{t-1}}(y),$$

i.e.,

$$(A^{t-j} - I)(s(x) + As^\gamma(x) + \cdots + A^{t-1}s^{\gamma^{t-1}}(x)) = 0.$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -j \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} (s(x) + As^\gamma(x) + \cdots + A^{t-1}s^{\gamma^{t-1}}(x)) = 0.$$

If  $\sigma$  is strongly  $p$ -divisible or  $p \mid j$ , then there are  $p^{2t}$  possible choices for the  $s(w)$  with  $w \in \sigma$ . If  $\sigma$  is not strongly  $p$ -divisible, then we have

$$-j(v(x) + v^\gamma(x) + \cdots + v^{\gamma^{t-1}}(x)) = 0.$$

Since  $u(w)$  is any, there are  $p^{2t-1}$  possible choices for the  $s(w)$  with  $w \in \sigma$ .

Case 1.2:  $\sigma$  is not  $p$ -divisible.

Since  $u(w)$  and  $v(w)$  are any, there  $p^{2t}$  possible choices for the  $s(w)$  with  $w \in \sigma$ .

Case 2:  $x$  and  $y$  are in different vertex  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbits  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  of length  $t_1, t_2$ , respectively.

Then  $t$  is the least common multiple  $[t_1, t_2]$  of  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ . Let  $t_i = p^{a_i}q_i$ ,  $(p, q_i) = 1$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), and  $a = \max\{a_1, a_2\}$ . Then  $t = p^a[q_1, q_2]$ . Let  $t'_i = [q_1, q_2]/q_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ). By Lemma 1, we have

$$p^{a-a_1}t'_1(v(x) + v^\gamma(x) + \cdots + v^{\gamma^{t_1-1}}(x)) = p^{a-a_2}t'_2(v(y) + v^\gamma(y) + \cdots + v^{\gamma^{t_2-1}}(y)).$$

If  $p \mid t$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (I + A^{t_1} + \cdots + A^{t_1(p^{p-a_1}t_1'-1)})(s(x) + As^\gamma(x) + \cdots + A^{t_1-1}s^{\gamma^{t_1-1}}(x)) \\ &= (I + A^{t_2} + \cdots + A^{t_2(p^{p-a_2}t_2'-1)})(s(y) + As^\gamma(y) + \cdots + A^{t_2-1}s^{\gamma^{t_2-1}}(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2.1:  $\sigma_1$  is  $p$ -divisible and  $\sigma_2$  is not  $p$ -divisible.

Since  $A^{t_1} = I$  and  $A^{t_2} \neq I$ , we have

$$I + A^{t_2} + \cdots + A^{t_2(p^{p-a_2}t_2'-1)} = 0.$$

Thus

$$p^{a-a_1}t_1'(s(x) + As^\gamma(x) + \cdots + A^{t_1-1}s^{\gamma^{t_1-1}}(x)) = 0.$$

Since  $a = a_1$ ,  $p^{a-a_1}t_1' = t_1' \neq 0$ , and so

$$s(x) + As^\gamma(x) + \cdots + A^{t_1-1}s^{\gamma^{t_1-1}}(x) = 0.$$

Case 2.2: Both  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are  $p$ -divisible.

Then  $A^{t_1} = A^{t_2} = I$ . If  $a_1 = a_2$ , then we have

$$t_1'(s(x) + As^\gamma(x) + \cdots + A^{t_1-1}s^{\gamma^{t_1-1}}(x)) = t_2'(s(y) + As^\gamma(y) + \cdots + A^{t_2-1}s^{\gamma^{t_2-1}}(y)).$$

If  $a_1 > a_2$ , then we have

$$s(x) + As^\gamma(x) + \cdots + A^{t_1-1}s^{\gamma^{t_1-1}}(x) = 0.$$

Case 2.3: Both  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are not  $p$ -divisible.

Since  $t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , we have

$$t_1'(v(x) + v^\gamma(x) + \cdots + v^{\gamma^{t_1-1}}(x)) = t_2'(v(y) + v^\gamma(y) + \cdots + v^{\gamma^{t_2-1}}(y)).$$

Let  $H$  be a 0 th  $p$ -level component of  $G(\gamma)$ . Then some vertex  $\sigma$  of  $H$  admits  $p^{2|\sigma|}$  choices according to Cases 1.2 and 2.1, while any other vertex  $\omega$  of  $H$  admits  $p^{2|\omega|-1}$  choices by Case 2.3.

Let  $H$  be a  $k$  th  $p$ -level component of  $G(\gamma)$  for  $k \geq 1$ . If  $H$  is not minimal, then any vertex  $\sigma$  of  $H$  admits  $p^{2|\sigma|-2}$  choices for the  $s(w)$  with  $w \in \sigma$  by cases 1.1, 2.1 and 2.2. If  $H$  is  $p$ -favorable, then some vertex  $\sigma$  of  $H$  admits  $p^{2|\sigma|-1}$  choices according to Case 1.1, while any other vertex  $\omega$  of  $H$  admits  $p^{2|\omega|-2}$  choices by Case 2.2. If  $H$  is  $p$ -defective, then some vertex  $\sigma$  of  $H$  admits  $p^{2|\sigma|}$  choices according to Case 1.1, while any other vertex  $\omega$  of  $H$  admits  $p^{2|\omega|-2}$  choices by Case 2.2.

Therefore it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |C_\gamma^0| &= \prod_{H_1} \left( \prod_{\sigma_1 \in H_1} p^{2|\sigma_1|-1} \right) p \cdot \prod_{H_2} \left( \prod_{\sigma_2 \in H_2} p^{2|\sigma_2|-2} \right) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{H_3} \left( \prod_{\sigma_3 \in H_3} p^{2|\sigma_3|-2} \right) p \cdot \prod_{H_4} \left( \prod_{\sigma_4 \in H_4} p^{2|\sigma_4|-2} \right) p^2 \\ &= p^{2n-2\nu(\gamma)+\nu_0(\gamma)+c(\gamma)-d_1(\gamma)+d(\gamma)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $H_1, H_2, H_3$  and  $H_4$  runs over all 0th  $p$ -level components, nonzero th not minimal  $p$ -level components, nonzero th  $p$ -favorable  $p$ -level components and nonzero th  $p$ -defective  $p$ -level components of  $G(\gamma)$ , respectively. ■

Each  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbit  $\Omega'$  on  $E(G)$  corresponds to two  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbits on  $A(D)$  if  $\Omega'$  is not diagonal, and one  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbit on  $A(D)$  otherwise.

**LEMMA 3.** For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,

$$|\text{Ker } \mu_\gamma| = p^{\epsilon(\gamma) - \rho(\gamma) + \epsilon_1(\gamma)}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in \text{Ker } \mu_\gamma$ . Then we have  $\alpha = A\alpha^\gamma = A^2\alpha^{\gamma^2} = \dots$ .

Let  $\Omega = \langle \gamma \rangle(x, y)$  be any  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbit on  $A(D)$  and  $|\Omega| = t$ .

Case 1:  $x$  and  $y$  are in the same  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbit  $\sigma$  on  $V(G)$ , and  $\Omega$  is diagonal.

Let  $t = 2k$ . Then we have  $\alpha^{\gamma^i}(x, y) = A^{-i}\alpha(x, y)$  ( $i \geq 1$ ),  $A^k\alpha(x, y) = -\alpha(x, y)$  and  $A^{2k}\alpha(x, y) = \alpha(x, y)$ . If  $\Omega$  is  $p$ -divisible, then, since  $A^k = I$ ,  $\alpha(x, y) = 0$ , i.e.,  $\alpha(u, v) = 0$  for each  $(u, v) \in \Omega$ . Otherwise we have

$$A^k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & k \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and so  $\alpha(u, v) = 0$  for each  $(u, v) \in \Omega$ .

Case 2:  $x$  and  $y$  are not in the same  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbit  $\sigma$  on  $V(G)$ , or  $\Omega$  is not diagonal.

Then we have  $\alpha^{\gamma^i}(x, y) = A^{-i}\alpha(x, y)$  ( $i \geq 1$ ) and  $A^t\alpha(x, y) = \alpha(x, y)$ . If  $p \mid t$ , then there are  $p^2$  possible choices for  $\alpha(x, y)$ . Otherwise there are  $p$  possible choices for  $\alpha(x, y)$ .

From the note preceding the lemma, it follows that

$$|\text{Ker } \mu_\gamma| = p^{\epsilon(\gamma) - \rho(\gamma) + \epsilon_1(\gamma)}.$$

■

**THEOREM 4.** For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and odd prime  $p$ ,

$$|H^1(G; \mathbf{Z}_p^2)^{(A_1, \gamma)}| = p^{\epsilon(\gamma) - 2\nu(\gamma) + \nu_0(\gamma) - \rho(\gamma) + \epsilon_1(\gamma) + c(\gamma) - d_1(\gamma) + d(\gamma)}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Set  $\epsilon = \epsilon(\gamma)$ ,  $\nu = \nu(\gamma)$ ,  $\nu_0 = \nu_0(\gamma)$ ,  $\dots$ .

Let  $C_\gamma = \{(s, \alpha) \mid \delta s = \mu_\gamma(\alpha) = A\alpha^\gamma - \alpha\}$ , and consider the two epimorphisms  $\gamma^0 : C_\gamma \rightarrow C_\gamma^0$  and  $\gamma^1 : C_\gamma \rightarrow C_\gamma^1$ . By Lemmas 2 and 3 and the fact that  $\text{Ker } \gamma^0 \cong \text{Ker } \mu_\gamma$ , we have

$$|C_\gamma| = |C_\gamma^0| \cdot |\text{Ker } \gamma^0| = p^{2n - 2\nu + \nu_0 + c - d_1 + d + \epsilon - \rho + \epsilon_1}.$$

Since  $\text{Ker } \gamma^1 \cong \text{Ker } \delta$  and  $|\text{Ker } \delta| = p^2$ , it follows that

$$|C_\gamma^1| = |C_\gamma| / |\text{Ker } \gamma^1| = p^{2n-2\nu+\nu_0+c-d_1+d+\epsilon-\rho+\epsilon_1-2}.$$

Set  $\tilde{\delta}^1 = \delta^1 | C_\gamma^1$ . Since  $\text{Im } \delta \subset C_\gamma^1$ , we have  $\text{Ker } \tilde{\delta}^1 = \text{Ker } \delta^1 = \text{Im } \delta$ . Thus  $|\text{Ker } \tilde{\delta}^1| = p^{2n-2}$ . Furthermore, since  $\text{Im } \tilde{\delta}^1 = \text{Ker } \nu_\gamma$ , it follows that

$$|\text{Ker } \nu_\gamma| = |C_\gamma^1| / |\text{Ker } \tilde{\delta}^1| = p^{\epsilon-2\nu+\nu_0-\rho+\epsilon_1+c-d_1+d}.$$

■

#### 4. Cyclic $\mathbf{Z}_p \times \mathbf{Z}_p$ -covers of special symmetric digraphs

At first, we consider cyclic  $\mathbf{Z}_p^2$ -covers of a symmetric dipath and a symmetric dicycle. Let  $PD_n$  and  $CD_n$  be the symmetric dipath and the symmetric dicycle with  $n$  vertices, respectively. We enumerate the number of the isomorphism classes of  $g$ -cyclic  $\mathbf{Z}_p^2$ -covers of  $PD_n$  and  $CD_n$  with respect to its full automorphism group, respectively.

**THEOREM 5.** For  $n \geq 3$  and odd prime  $p$ ,

$$\text{Iso}(CD_n, \mathbf{Z}_p^2, g, \text{Aut } CD_n) = \frac{p+3}{2}$$

and

$$\text{Iso}(PD_n, \mathbf{Z}_p^2, g, \text{Aut } PD_n) = 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $V(CD_n) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then the  $n$ -cycle is the underline graph of  $CD_n$ . Let  $\Gamma = \text{Aut } CD_n$ . Then we have  $\Gamma = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ , where  $\alpha = (12 \dots n)$  and

$$\beta = \begin{cases} (1 \ n)(2 \ n-1) \dots (\frac{n-1}{2} \ \frac{n+3}{2})(\frac{n+1}{2}) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ (1 \ n)(2 \ n-1) \dots (\frac{n}{2} \ \frac{n}{2} + 1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}_p^*$ , all parameters  $\epsilon(\gamma), \dots, \kappa(\gamma, \lambda)$  are constant in each conjugacy class of  $\Gamma$ . The conjugacy classes of  $\Gamma$  are given as follows:

$$\{\alpha^i\} (1 \leq i \leq n), \{\beta\alpha^i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} \text{ if } n \text{ is odd,}$$

$$\{\alpha^i\} (1 \leq i \leq n), \{\beta\alpha^{2j} \mid j = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n}{2}\}, \{\beta\alpha^{2j+1} \mid j = 1, 2, \dots, \frac{n}{2} - 1\}$$

if  $n$  is even.

Let  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $d = (n, i)$  the greatest common divisor of  $n$  and  $i$ . Then we have  $\text{ord}(\alpha^i) = \frac{n}{d}$ . The cardinality of each  $\langle \alpha^i \rangle$ -orbit on  $V(C_n)$  or  $E(C_n)$  is  $\frac{n}{d}$ . For each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $\nu(\gamma), \rho(\gamma), \nu_0(\gamma)$  and  $\epsilon(\gamma)$  are given as follows:

$$\epsilon(\gamma) = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } \gamma = \alpha^i, \\ \frac{n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } \gamma = \beta\alpha^i, \\ \frac{n}{2} + 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } \gamma = \beta\alpha^{2j}, \\ \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } \gamma = \beta\alpha^{2j+1}, \end{cases}$$

$$\nu(\gamma) = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } \gamma = \alpha^i, \\ \frac{n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } \gamma = \beta\alpha^i, \\ \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } \gamma = \beta\alpha^{2j}, \\ \frac{n}{2} + 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } \gamma = \beta\alpha^{2j+1}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\rho(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } \gamma = \beta\alpha^i, \\ 2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } \gamma = \beta\alpha^{2j}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $p \geq 3$  and the cardinality of each  $\langle \beta\alpha^i \rangle$ -orbit on  $V(C_n)$  or  $E(C_n)$  is at most two,

$$\epsilon_1(\gamma) = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } \gamma = \alpha^i, p \mid n \text{ and } \theta(n) > \theta(i), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\nu_0(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \gamma = \alpha^i, p \mid n \text{ and } \theta(n) > \theta(i), \\ \nu(\gamma) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

There exists only one  $p$ -level component in  $C_n(\gamma)$ , and so  $c(\gamma) = \xi(\gamma) = 1$ . Each  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbit on  $V(C_n)$  which is not diagonal is not strongly  $p$ -divisible. Thus we have  $d(\gamma) = d_1(\gamma) = 0$ .

Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  and  $m = \text{ord}(\lambda)$ . Then  $m = 2$  if  $\lambda = -1$ , and  $m > 2$  otherwise. Thus we have

$$\nu_0(\gamma, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \nu(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma = \alpha^i, m \mid \frac{n}{d} \text{ or } n \text{ is odd, } \gamma = \beta\alpha^i, \lambda \neq -1, \\ 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } \gamma = \beta\alpha^i, \lambda = -1, \\ 2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } \gamma = \beta\alpha^{2j+1}, \lambda = -1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the case of  $\gamma = \beta\alpha^i$ , each diagonal  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ -orbit on  $A(CD_n)$  is type-1 if  $\lambda = -1$ , and type-2 otherwise. Thus

$$\mu(\gamma, \lambda) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } \gamma = \beta\alpha^i, \lambda \neq -1, \\ 2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } \gamma = \beta\alpha^{2j}, \lambda \neq -1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore,

$$\kappa(\gamma, \lambda) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \gamma = \alpha^i, \frac{n}{2} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m} \text{ or } n \text{ is odd, } \gamma = \beta\alpha^i, \lambda = -1, \\ \epsilon(\gamma) - 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } \gamma = \beta\alpha^i, \lambda \neq -1, \\ \epsilon(\gamma) - 2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even and } \gamma = \beta\alpha^{2j}, \lambda \neq -1, \\ \epsilon(\gamma) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore the result follows.

Similarly to the above, the second formula is obtained. ■

Finally, we shall give an example.

Let  $KD_n$  be the complete symmetric digraph of order  $n$ , and  $\Gamma$  a subgroup of the symmetric group  $S_n$  on  $n$  elements. Set  $V(KD_n) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . For  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , let  $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$  be the cycle type of  $\gamma$ . Then  $\nu(\gamma)$ ,  $\rho(\gamma)$ ,  $\nu_0(\gamma)$  and  $\epsilon(\gamma)$  are given as follows:

$$\nu(\gamma) = \sum_{k=1}^n t_k, \quad \rho(\gamma) = \sum_{k: \text{ even}} t_k, \quad \nu_0(\gamma) = \sum_{k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}} t_k$$

and

$$\epsilon(\gamma) = \sum_{k=1}^n \{t_k \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor + k \binom{t_k}{2}\} + \sum_{k=2}^n \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} t_k t_l (k, l),$$

where  $(k, l)$  is the greatest common divisor of  $k$  and  $l$ .

Since the graph  $K_n(\gamma)$  is complete, we have  $\xi(\gamma) = 1$ . Moreover, since any  $m$ -divisible vertex orbit is not strongly  $m$ -divisible, we have

$$d(\gamma) = 0 \text{ and } c(\gamma) - d_1(\gamma) = 1.$$

Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$  and  $m = \text{ord}(\lambda)$ . Then  $\nu_0(\gamma, \lambda)$  and  $\epsilon_1(\gamma)$  are given as follows:

$$\nu_0(\gamma, \lambda) = \sum_{k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{m}} t_k$$

and

$$\epsilon_1(\gamma) = \sum_{k: \text{ odd}} t_k \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor + \sum_{k: \text{ even}} t_k (\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor - 1) + \sum_k k \binom{t_k}{2} + \sum_{k=2}^n \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} t_k t_l (k, l),$$

where  $k$  or  $l$  in five  $\sum$  runs over multiples of  $p$ . Moreover, we have

$$d(\gamma, \lambda) = 0, 1.$$

Specially,  $d(\gamma, \lambda) = 1$  if and only if  $\lambda = 1$ .

Let  $\lambda = \zeta^i (1 \leq i \leq p-1)$ , where  $\zeta$  is a generator of  $\mathbf{Z}_p^*$ . Set  $d = (i, p-1)$ . Then we have  $m = (p-1)/d$ . Furthermore, we have

$$d(\gamma, \lambda) = \begin{cases} \rho(\gamma) - \sum_{p|k; k/m: \text{ odd}} t_k & \text{if } i/d \text{ is odd and } m \text{ is even,} \\ \rho(\gamma) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $\text{Iso}(KD_1, \mathbf{Z}_p^2, g, \Gamma_1) = \text{Iso}(KD_2, \mathbf{Z}_p^2, g, \Gamma_2) = 1$ , where  $\Gamma_i \leq S_i (i = 1, 2)$ . In Table 1, we give some values of the number  $\text{Iso}(KD_n, \mathbf{Z}_p^2, g, S_n)$  of  $S_n$ -isomorphism classes of  $g$ -cyclic  $\mathbf{Z}_p^2$ -covers of  $KD_n (n \geq 3)$ .

$n \setminus p$	3	5	7	11	13	17
3	3	4	5	7	8	10
4	15	57	164	787	1477	4042
5	847	102785	2751778	237812932	1244683409	17850468927
6	812463	6623264490				
7	6811354482					

Table 1.

**Acknowledgement.** The authors would like to thank Professor Seiya Negami for his valuable suggestions.

### References

- [1] Y. Cheng and A. L. Wells, Jr., Switching classes of directed graphs, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B*, **40** (1986), 169–186.
- [2] K. Dresbach, Über die strenge Isomorphie von Graphenüberlagerungen, *Diplomarbeit*, Univ. of Cologne, 1989.
- [3] J. L. Gross and T. W. Tucker, Generating all graph coverings by permutation voltage assignments, *Discrete Math.*, **18** (1977), 273–283.
- [4] J. L. Gross and T. W. Tucker, "Topological Graph Theory", Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1987.
- [5] M. Hofmeister, Counting double covers of graphs, *J. Graph Theory*, **12** (1988), 437–444.
- [6] M. Hofmeister, Isomorphisms and automorphisms of graph coverings, *Discrete Math.*, **98** (1991), 175–185.
- [7] M. Hofmeister, Graph covering projections arising from finite vector spaces over finite fields, *Discrete Math.*, **143** (1995), 87–97.
- [8] M. Hofmeister, "Combinatorial aspects of an exact sequence that is related to a graph", Publ. I.R.M.A. Strasbourg, 1993, S-29, Actes 29<sup>e</sup> Séminaire Lotharingien.
- [9] S. Hong, J. H. Kwak and J. Lee, Regular graph coverings whose covering transformation groups have the isomorphism extension property, *Discrete Math.*, **148** (1996), 85–105.
- [10] A. Kerber, "Algebraic Combinatorics via Finite Group Actions", BI-Wiss. Verl., Mannheim, Wien, Zürich, 1991.

- [11] J. H. Kwak and J. Lee, Isomorphism classes of graph bundles, *Canad. J. Math.*, **XLII** (1990), 747–761.
- [12] J. H. Kwak, J. Chun and J. Lee, Enumeration of regular graph coverings having finite abelian covering transformation groups, *SIAM. J. Disc. Math.*, **11** (1998), 273–285.
- [13] J. H. Kwak and J. Lee, Enumeration of connected graph coverings, *J. Graph Theory*, **23** (1996), 105–109.
- [14] H. Mizuno, J. Lee and I. Sato, Isomorphisms of connected cyclic abelian covers of symmetric digraphs, submitted.
- [15] H. Mizuno and I. Sato, Isomorphisms of some covers of symmetric digraphs (in Japanese), *Trans. Japan SIAM.*, **5-1** (1995), 27–36.
- [16] H. Mizuno and I. Sato, Characteristic polynomials of some covers of symmetric digraphs, *Ars Combinatoria*, **45** (1997), 3–12.
- [17] H. Mizuno and I. Sato, Isomorphisms of cyclic abelian covers of symmetric digraphs, to appear in *Ars Combinatoria*.
- [18] I. Sato, Isomorphisms of some graph coverings, *Discrete Math.*, **128** (1994), 317–326.
- [19] D. A. Waller, Double covers of graphs, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **14** (1976), 233–248.

Department of Electronics and  
Computer Science, Meisei University,  
2-590, Nagafuti, Ome,  
Tokyo 198-8655,  
JAPAN

Oyama National College of Technology,  
Oyama, Tochigi 323-0806,  
JAPAN  
E-mail: [isato@oyama-ct.ac.jp](mailto:isato@oyama-ct.ac.jp)