

NOTE ON THE ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF THE EMPIRICAL DISTRIBUTION FUNCTIONS GENERATED BY ABSOLUTELY REGULAR SEQUENCES

By

KEN-ICHI YOSHIHARA

(Received January 13, 1999; Revised June 7, 1999)

Summary. In many problems, we often need the almost sure behavior of the empirical distribution function. In this note, we consider some asymptotic behaviors of the empirical distribution function generated by a strictly stationary absolutely regular sequence of uniform $(0, 1)$ random variables.

1. Main results

Let $\{\xi_i; -\infty < i < \infty\}$ be a strictly stationary absolutely regular sequence of uniform $(0, 1)$ random variables, i.e., $\{\xi_i\}$ satisfies the condition

$$\beta(n) = E \left\{ \sup_{B \in \mathcal{M}_n^\infty} |P(B | \mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^0) - P(B)| \right\} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

where \mathcal{M}_a^b denotes the σ -algebra generated by ξ_a, \dots, ξ_b and each ξ_i is distributed uniformly on $(0, 1)$. As usual, we define the empirical distribution function by

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n I(\xi_j \leq x).$$

In the following, $[x]$ denotes the integer r such that $r \leq x < r + 1$.

Firstly, we prove a theorem which corresponds to a result of [3] in the independent case.

Theorem 1. *Suppose there exist an integer-valued function $m = m_n = m(n)$ of n and a sequence $\{a_n\}$ of positive numbers such that m_n and a_n are*

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 62G05, 62G30

Key words and phrases: absolutely regular, empirical distribution function order statistics, convergent rate

monotone nondecreasing, m_{2n}/m_n is bounded and

$$m_n = o(n) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\beta(m_n) < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m_n}{na_n} < \infty. \quad (1)$$

Then

$$P \left(\sup_{0 < x < 1} \frac{F_n(x)}{x} \geq a_n \text{ i.o.} \right) = 0 \quad (2)$$

and

$$P \left(\sup_{0 < x < 1} \frac{1 - F_n(x)}{1 - x} \geq a_n \text{ i.o.} \right) = 0. \quad (3)$$

The following theorem corresponds to a results of [1] in the independent case.

Theorem 2. *If there are an integer-valued function $m = m_n = m(n)$ of n and a sequence $\{b_n\}$ of positive numbers such that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m_n^3}{n^2} < \infty, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\beta(m_n) < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_n b_{[n/m_n]} < \infty, \quad (4)$$

then

$$n \sqrt{b_{[n/m_n]}} \sup_{0 < x < 1} \left| \frac{x - F_n(x)}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}} \right| \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0. \quad (5)$$

The following theorem is an extension of a result in [7].

Theorem 3. *Let $\{\xi_{i:n}\}$ be the ordered statistics of ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n . Suppose there exist a constant $\rho (\in (0, \frac{1}{2}))$ such that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta^{\frac{\rho}{2+\rho}}(n) < \infty. \quad (6)$$

Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a sequence of real numbers satisfying

$$\lambda_n > n^\gamma \quad \text{with some } \gamma > \frac{3\rho}{2(1+\rho)} \text{ for large } n. \quad (7)$$

Then,

$$P \left(\sup_{0 < t \leq \xi_{n-1:n}} \left| \frac{1-t}{1-F_n(t)} \right| > \lambda_n \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad (8)$$

2. Proofs

The following is a special case of Lemma in [5].

Lemma A. *Let $\{\xi_i\}$ be a strictly stationary absolutely regular sequence of random variables with mixing coefficient $\beta(n)$. Let $g(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ be a bounded Borel function such that $|g(x_1, \dots, x_k)| \leq M$ and let $1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k$. Let $F^{(1)}$ and $F^{(2)}$ be distribution functions of random vectors $(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_j})$ and $(\xi_{i_{j+1}}, \dots, \xi_{i_k})$, respectively. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| E g(\xi_{i_1}, \xi_{i_2}, \dots, \xi_{i_k}) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int \dots \int g(x_1, \dots, x_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_k) dF^{(1)}(x_1, \dots, x_j) dF^{(2)}(x_{j+1}, \dots, x_k) \right| \\ & \leq 2M\beta(i_{j+1} - i_j). \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1. It is enough only to show (2). We use the method of proof in [4], p. 421. Let $p_j = [\nu^j]$ ($\nu > 1$) be fixed. Then, by the third condition in (1) it is easily shown that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{m_{p_i}}{a_{p_i}} < \infty. \quad (9)$$

Let i be fixed and for each j ($j = 1, \dots, m_{p_i}$) let $k_{i,j}$ be the largest integer such that $j + k_{i,j}m_{p_i} \leq p_i$. For the moment to simplify notations we write $m_{p_i} = m_i$ and $p_i = n_i$. Define

$$A_i = \left\{ \omega : \max_{n_i < n \leq n_{i+1}} \sup_{0 < x < 1} \frac{F_n(x)}{x} \geq \frac{a_{n_i}}{2} \right\}$$

and note that

$$\begin{aligned} & P \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sup_{0 < x < 1} \frac{F_n(x)}{x} \geq \frac{a_{n_i}}{2} \right\} \right) \\ & \leq P \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=n_{i+1}}^{n_{i+1}} \left\{ \sup_{0 < x < 1} \frac{F_n(x)}{x} \geq \frac{a_{n_i}}{2} \right\} \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the Borel-Cantelli lemma, to prove (2) it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(A_i) < \infty. \quad (10)$$

Because nF_n is monotone and $\frac{1}{2}m_{i+1}(k_{i+1,j} + 1) \leq n_{i+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& P(A_i) \\
&= P\left(\max_{n_i < n \leq n_{i+1}} \sup_{0 < x < 1} \frac{F_n(x)}{x} \geq \frac{a_{n_i}}{2}\right) \\
&\leq P\left(\sup_{0 < x < 1} \frac{n_{i+1}F_{n_{i+1}}(x)}{x} \geq n_i \frac{a_{n_i}}{2}\right) = P\left(\sup_{0 < x < 1} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{\ell=1}^{n_{i+1}} I(\xi_\ell \leq x) \geq n_i \frac{a_{n_i}}{2}\right) \\
&\leq P\left(\sup_{0 < x < 1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i+1}} \frac{k_{i+1,j} + 1}{n_{i+1}} \frac{1}{k_{i+1,j} + 1} \sum_{r=0}^{k_{i+1,j}} \frac{1}{x} I(\xi_{j+rm_{i+1}} \leq x) \geq \frac{n_i}{n_{i+1}} \frac{a_{n_i}}{2}\right) \\
&\leq P\left(\frac{2}{m_{i+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i+1}} \sup_{0 < x < 1} \frac{1}{k_{i+1,j} + 1} \sum_{r=0}^{k_{i+1,j}} \frac{1}{x} I(\xi_{j+rm_{i+1}} \leq x) \geq \frac{n_i}{n_{i+1}} \frac{a_{n_i}}{2}\right) \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i+1}} P\left(\sup_{0 < x < 1} \frac{1}{k_{i+1,j} + 1} \sum_{r=0}^{k_{i+1,j}} \frac{1}{x} I(\xi_{j+rm_{i+1}} \leq x) \geq \frac{n_i}{n_{i+1}} \frac{a_{n_i}}{4}\right) \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i+1}} K_j, \quad (\text{say}).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, noting $k_{i+1,j} \leq k_{i+1,1}$ and applying Lemma A to each K_j ($j = 1, \dots, m_{i+1}$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
K_j &\leq P\left(\frac{1}{k_{i+1,j} + 1} \sup_{0 < x < 1} \sum_{r=0}^{k_{i+1,j}} \frac{1}{x} I(X_r \leq x) \geq \frac{n_i}{n_{i+1}} \frac{a_{n_i}}{4}\right) + 2k_{i+1,1}\beta(m_{i+1}) \\
&= P\left(\sup_{0 < x < 1} \frac{\tilde{F}_{k_{i+1,j}}(x)}{x} \geq \frac{n_i}{n_{i+1}} \frac{a_{n_i}}{4}\right) + 2k_{i+1,1}\beta(m_{i+1})
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{F}_\ell(\cdot)$ denotes the empirical distribution function defined by i.i.d. uniform $(0, 1)$ random variables $\{X_i, 0 \leq i \leq \ell\}$. Since $(n_{i+1}/n_i) \leq v$ for all i , by the Daniels theorem ([4], p. 345) we have

$$K_j \leq \frac{4n_{i+1}}{n_i a_{n_i}} + 2k_{i+1,1}\beta(m_{i+1}) \leq \frac{4v}{a_{n_i}} + 2k_{i+1,1}\beta(m_{i+1})$$

and consequently

$$P(A_i) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m_{i+1}} \left\{ \frac{4v}{a_{n_i}} + 2k_{i+1,1}\beta(m_{i+1}) \right\} < \frac{4vm_{i+1}}{a_{n_i}} + 2n_i\beta(m_{i+1}) \quad (11)$$

Now, (10) follows from (9) and (11). \square

Proof of Theorem 2. As in the proof of Theorem 1 for each $j(j = 1, \dots, m)$ let $k_j = k_{j,n}$ be the largest integer such that $j + k_j m \leq n$.

We note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P \left(\sup_{0 < x < 1} \left| \frac{x - F_n(x)}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}} \right| > \epsilon \right) \tag{12} \\
 &= P \left(\sup_{0 < x < 1} \frac{1}{n\sqrt{x(1-x)}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \{x - I(\xi_i < x)\} \right| > \epsilon \right) \\
 &\leq P \left(\sup_{0 < x < 1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^m \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}} \sum_{i=0}^{k_j} \{x - I(\xi_{j+mi} < x)\} \right| > \epsilon \right) \\
 &\leq P \left(\sup_{0 < x < 1} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{k_j + 1}{n} \left| \frac{1}{(k_j + 1)\sqrt{x(1-x)}} \sum_{i=0}^{k_j} \{x - I(\xi_{j+mi} < x)\} \right| > \epsilon \right) \\
 &\leq P \left(\sup_{0 < x < 1} \frac{2}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \left| \frac{1}{(k_j + 1)\sqrt{x(1-x)}} \sum_{i=0}^{k_j} \{x - I(\xi_{j+mi} < x)\} \right| > \epsilon \right) \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m P \left(\sup_{0 < x < 1} \left| \frac{1}{(k_j + 1)\sqrt{x(1-x)}} \sum_{i=0}^{k_j} \{x - I(\xi_{j+mi} < x)\} \right| > \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^m K_j \quad (\text{say}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we estimate $K_j(j = 1, \dots, m)$. By applying Lemma A we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_j &\leq P \left(\sup_{0 < x < 1} \left| \frac{1}{(k_j + 1)\sqrt{x(1-x)}} \sum_{i=0}^{k_j} \{x - I(X_i < x)\} \right| > \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) + 2k_j\beta(m) \tag{13} \\
 &\leq P \left(\sup_{0 < x < 1} \left| \frac{x - \tilde{F}_{k_j+1}(x)}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}} \right| > \frac{\epsilon}{2} \right) + 2k_j\beta(m)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{F}_j(\cdot)$ denotes the empirical distribution function defined by i.i.d. uniform $(0, 1)$ random variables $\{X_i, 0 \leq i \leq j\}$.

We consider

$$U_i = \sup_{0 < x \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\tilde{F}_i(x) - x}{\sqrt{x}} \tag{14}$$

and prove that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^m P \left(k_j \sqrt{b_{k_j}} U_{k_j} > \epsilon \right) < \infty \quad \text{for every } \epsilon (> 0). \tag{15}$$

We use the Csáki method in [1]. Let $k = k_1$ or $k_1 + 1$ and define the following event:

$$C_k = \left\{ U_i \leq \frac{\epsilon}{i\sqrt{b_i}}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1; U_k > \frac{\epsilon}{k\sqrt{b_k}} \right\}$$

Then, to prove (15) it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} P(C_{k_j}) < \infty \quad \text{for every } \epsilon (> 0). \quad (16)$$

Let

$$B_{k,j} = \left\{ \exists x (x_{j-1} \leq x < x_j) : k\tilde{F}_k(x) > kx + \frac{\epsilon\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{b_k}}, (k-1)\tilde{F}_{k-1}(x) < kx + \frac{\epsilon\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{b_k}} \right\}$$

where x_j is the solution of the equation

$$kx + \frac{\epsilon\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{b_k}} = j$$

that is,

$$x_j = \frac{4j^2}{4kj + \frac{\epsilon^2}{b_k} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4kj b_k}{\epsilon^2}} \right)}. \quad (17)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} C_k &\subset \left\{ \exists x \left(0 < x \leq \frac{1}{2} \right) : \tilde{F}_k(x) > x + \frac{\epsilon\sqrt{x}}{k\sqrt{b_k}}, \tilde{F}_{k-1}(x) < x + \frac{\epsilon\sqrt{x}}{(k-1)\sqrt{b_k}} \right\} \\ &\subset \left\{ \exists x \left(0 < x \leq \frac{1}{2} \right) : k\tilde{F}_k(x) > kx + \frac{\epsilon\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{b_k}}, (k-1)\tilde{F}_{k-1}(x) < kx + \frac{\epsilon\sqrt{x}}{\sqrt{b_k}} \right\} \\ &= \bigcup_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} B_{k,j}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$P(C_k) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} P(B_{k,j}) = \sum_{j=1}^{L_k} P(B_{k,j}) + \sum_{j=L_k+1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} P(B_{k,j})$$

where

$$L_k = \left\lfloor \frac{\epsilon^2}{64kb_k} \right\rfloor.$$

Obviously

$$B_{k,j} = \left\{ \exists x (x_{j-1} \leq x < x_j) : (k-1)\tilde{F}_{k-1}(x) = j-1; X_k \leq x \right\}.$$

Thus

$$P(B_{k,j}) \leq x_j \binom{k-1}{j-1} x_j^{j-1} = \frac{j}{k} \binom{k}{j} x_j^j \leq \frac{j}{k} \cdot \frac{(kx_j)^j}{j!}.$$

It can be seen from (17) that

$$x_j < \frac{2j^2 b_j}{\epsilon^2},$$

and hence

$$P(B_{k,j}) \leq \frac{j}{k} \left(\frac{2kj^2 b_j}{\epsilon^2} \right)^j \frac{1}{j!}$$

and that for $j \leq L_n$

$$\frac{j}{k} \left(\frac{2kj^2 b_k}{\epsilon^2} \right)^j \frac{1}{j!} \leq \frac{2b_k}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{j-1}.$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{j=1}^{L_n} P(B_{k,j}) \leq \frac{2b_k}{\epsilon^2} \sum_{j=1}^{L_n} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{j-1} < \frac{4b_k}{\epsilon^2}. \quad (18)$$

For $j > L_k$ we use the estimation

$$P(B_{k,j}) \leq \binom{k}{j} x_j^j (1-x_j)^{k-j} < M \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(j-kx_j)^2}{j} \right\}. \quad (19)$$

It is easy to see that for n large enough

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{(j-kx_j)^2}{j} > 3 \log k,$$

which implies that for $j > L_k$

$$P(B_{j,k}) < \frac{M}{k^3}, \quad \sum_{j=L_k+1}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} P(B_{k,j}) < \frac{M}{k^2}.$$

This, together with (19), gives

$$P(C_k) < \frac{4}{\epsilon^2} b_k + \frac{M}{k^2}. \quad (20)$$

Hence, we obtain from (4) that for every $\epsilon (> 0)$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} P(C_{k_j}) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{4}{\epsilon^2} m_n b_{k_{1,n}} + \frac{M m_n}{k^2} \right\} < \infty. \quad (21)$$

Now, let

$$V_n = \sup_{0 < x \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{x - F_n(x)}{\sqrt{x}}. \quad (22)$$

We can see similarly that

$$P\left(V_k > \frac{\epsilon}{k\sqrt{b_k}}\right) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \binom{k}{j} t_j^j (1-t_j)^{k-j} < \frac{M}{k^2} \quad (23)$$

where

$$t_j = \frac{j}{k} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2k^2 b_k} + \frac{\epsilon}{k\sqrt{b_k}} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon^2}{k^2 b_k} + \frac{4j}{k}}.$$

It follows from (23) that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^m P(k_j \sqrt{b_k} V_k > \epsilon) < \infty. \quad (24)$$

From (12), (13), (15), (24) and the obvious inequality

$$\sup_{0 < x \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{|x - F_n(x)|}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}} \leq \sqrt{2} \sup_{0 < x \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{|x - F_n(x)|}{\sqrt{x}}$$

we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sqrt{b_k} \sup_{0 < x \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{|x - F_n(x)|}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}} = 0 \quad a.s. \quad (25)$$

The same reasoning yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \sqrt{b_k} \sup_{\frac{1}{2} \leq x < 1} \frac{|x - F_n(x)|}{\sqrt{x(1-x)}} = 0 \quad a.s. \quad (26)$$

Hence, (5) is obtained from (25) and (26). \square

To prove Theorem 3 we need the following lemma which is a special case of Theorem 5 in [2].

Lemma B. *Let $\{\eta_i\}$ be an absolutely regular sequence of real-valued random variables with mixing coefficients $\beta(n)$. Suppose that $E\eta_i = 0$ and $|\eta_i| \leq M_0 < \infty$. Then, for every $\epsilon (> 4mM_0)$*

$$P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i\right| > \epsilon\right) \leq 4 \exp\left\{-\frac{\epsilon^2}{64 \frac{n}{m} D(n, m) + \frac{8}{3} \epsilon m M_0}\right\} + \frac{4n\beta(m)}{m}$$

where

$$D(n, m) = \sup_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} E \left(\sum_{i=j+1}^{(j+m) \wedge n} \eta_i \right)^2.$$

Proof of Theorem 3. It suffices to prove (8) assuming $\lambda_n = n^\gamma$, where $\gamma \in (3\rho/\{2(2+\rho)\}, 1/2)$. For each positive integer n , let $m = m_n = [\lambda_n \log^{-2} n]$. Then, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n^2 \beta(m)}{m} &\leq \frac{n^2 (n^\gamma \log^{-2} n)^{-\frac{2+\rho}{\rho}}}{n^\gamma \log^{-2} n} = n^2 (n^\gamma \log^{-2} n)^{-\frac{2(1+\rho)}{\rho}} \\ &\leq n^2 \left(\frac{\log^2 n}{n^{\frac{3\rho}{2(1+\rho)}}} \right)^{\frac{2(1+\rho)}{\rho}} = n^{-1} \log^{\frac{2(1+\rho)}{\rho}} n \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

since by (6) $\beta(m) = o(m^{-\frac{2+\rho}{\rho}})$. Set $i_n = [\lambda_n/4] + 2$ and $c_n = (i_n \lambda_n)/n$.

Firstly, we note that for any $i (1 \leq i \leq n-1)$

$$\sup_{\xi_{n-i-1:n} < t \leq \xi_{n-i:n}} \frac{1-t}{1-F_n(t)} \leq \frac{1-\xi_{n-i-1:n}}{1-F_n(\xi_{n-i:n})} = \left(\frac{i}{n} \right)^{-1} (1-\xi_{n-i-1:n})$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ \omega : \sup_{\xi_{n-i-1:n} < t \leq \xi_{n-i:n}} \frac{1-t}{1-F_n(t)} > \lambda_n \right\} \\ &\subset \left\{ \omega : \left(\frac{i}{n} \right)^{-1} (1-\xi_{n-i-1:n}) > \lambda_n \right\} = \left\{ \omega : \xi_{n-i-1:n} < 1 - \frac{i\lambda_n}{n} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, since

$$\{\omega : \xi_{n-i:n} = \xi_k\} = \left\{ \omega : \sum_{j=1}^n I(\xi_j < \xi_k) = n-i-1 \right\},$$

for all $k (1 \leq k \leq n)$,

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{i_n-1} \{\omega : \xi_{n-i:n} = \xi_k\} = \left\{ \omega : n-i_n \leq \sum_{j=1}^n I(\xi_j < \xi_k) \leq n-2 \right\}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& P \left(\sup_{\xi_{n-i_n:n} \leq t \leq \xi_{n-1:n}} \left| \frac{1-t}{1-F_n(t)} \right| > \lambda_n \right) \\
& \leq P \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{i_n-1} \left\{ \xi_{n-i:n} < 1 - \frac{i\lambda_n}{n} \right\} \right) \\
& \leq P \left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n \left\{ \xi_k < 1 - \frac{\lambda_n}{n}, n - i_n - 1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n I(\xi_j < \xi_k) \leq n - 2 \right\} \right) \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^n P \left(\xi_k < 1 - \frac{\lambda_n}{n}, n - i_n - p_k - 1 \leq \sum_{|j-k|>m} I(\xi_j < \xi_k) \leq n - 2 \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

where $p_k = \text{card} \{j : |j - k| \leq m\}$. Applying Lemma A

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{L.H.S. of (28)} \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^n P \left(X_k < 1 - \frac{\lambda_n}{n}, n - i_n - p_k - 1 \leq \sum_{|j-k|>m} I(\bar{\xi}_j < X_k) \leq n - 2 \right) \\
& \quad + 2n\beta(m).
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where $\{\bar{\xi}_i\}$ is a copy of $\{\xi_i\}$ and $\{X_k\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. uniform $(0, 1)$ random variables, independent of $\{\bar{\xi}_i\}$. Put

$$S_{n,k}(z) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=2m+1}^n I(\bar{\xi}_j < z) & (1 \leq k \leq m), \\ \sum_{\substack{|j-k|>m \\ n-2m-1}} I(\bar{\xi}_j < z) & (m+1 \leq k \leq n-m), \\ \sum_{j=1} I(\bar{\xi}_j < z) & (n-m+1 \leq k \leq n), \end{cases}$$

We note that for each fixed k a family of random variables $\{\bar{\xi}_{1 \vee (k-m)}, \dots, \bar{\xi}_{(k+m+1) \wedge n}\}$ satisfies the absolute regularity condition with mixing coefficients $\beta_{\bar{\xi}}(j) \leq \beta(j)$ and that $ES_{n,k}(z) = (n - 2m - 1)z$. Further, since

$$|I(\bar{\xi}_i < z) - z| \leq 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

it is easily shown that for arbitrary numbers $z \in (0, 1)$, $\ell \in \{2m+1, \dots, n\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var } S_{\ell,k}(z) & \leq M_1 \|I(\xi_1 < z) - z\|_{\frac{2+\rho}{2}} \\
& \leq M_1(\ell - 2m) \{E|I(\xi_1 < z) - z|\}^{\frac{2}{2+\rho}} \leq M_1 \ell \{2z(1-z)\}^{\frac{2}{2+\rho}}.
\end{aligned}$$

where M_1 is some positive constant and so

$$D(n, m)(z) = \sup_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} E \left(\sum_{i=j+1}^{(j+m) \wedge n} I(\xi_i < z) \right)^2 \leq M_1 m \{2z(1-z)\}^{\frac{2}{2+\rho}}. \quad (30)$$

Put

$$\epsilon(z) = (n - 2m - 1)(1 - z) - i_n, \quad (z \in (0, 1)).$$

Then

$$\epsilon(z) > \max \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(n - 2m - 1)(1 - z), 4m \right\} \quad \text{for all } z \in (0, 1 - \lambda_n n^{-1}). \quad (31)$$

Hence, using Lemma B (with $M_0 = 1$) and (30) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P(S_{n,k}(z) \geq n - i_n - p_k - 1) \\ & \leq P(S_{n,k}(z) - ES_{n,k}(z) \geq n - i_n - 2m - 1 - ES_{n,k}(z)) \\ & \leq P(|S_{n,k}(z) - ES_{n,k}(z)| \geq (n - 2m - 1)(1 - z) - i_n) \\ & \leq 4 \exp \left\{ - \frac{\epsilon^2(z)}{64 \frac{n}{m} D(n, m)(z) + \frac{8}{3} \epsilon(z) m} \right\} + \frac{4(n - 2m)\beta(m)}{m} \\ & \leq 4 \exp \left\{ - \frac{\epsilon^2(z)}{64 M_1 n \{z(1-z)\}^{\frac{2}{2+\rho}} + \frac{8}{3} \epsilon(z) m} \right\} + \frac{4n\beta(m)}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, by (7) and (31) we see that for some $\tau (> 2)$ and all n sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{0 < z < 1 - \lambda_n n^{-1}} \frac{\epsilon^2(z)}{64 M_1 n \{z(1-z)\}^{\frac{2}{2+\rho}} + \frac{8}{3} \epsilon(z) m} \\ & \geq \inf_{0 < z < 1 - \lambda_n n^{-1}} \min \left\{ \frac{\epsilon^2(z)}{128 M_1 n \{z(1-z)\}^{\frac{2}{2+\rho}}}, \frac{\epsilon(z)}{\frac{16}{3} m} \right\} \\ & \geq \inf_{0 < z < 1 - \lambda_n n^{-1}} \min \left\{ \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}(n - 2m - 1)(1 - z)\right)^2}{128 M_1 n \{z(1-z)\}^{\frac{2}{2+\rho}}}, \frac{\frac{1}{4} \lambda_n}{\frac{16}{3} m} \right\} \geq \tau \log n \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\sup_{0 < z < 1 - \lambda_n n^{-1}} P(S_{n,k}(z) \geq n - i_n - p_k - 1) \leq 4n^{-\tau} + \frac{4n\beta(m)}{m}. \quad (32)$$

Hence, from (27), (29) and (32) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{L.H.S. of (28)} & (33) \\
& \leq nP \left(X_1 < 1 - \frac{\lambda_n}{n}, S_{n,k}(X_1) - (n - 2m - 1)X_1 \right. \\
& \quad \left. \geq (n - 2m - 1)(1 - X_1) - i_n \right) + \frac{4n^2\beta(m)}{m} \\
& \leq n \int^{(1 - \frac{\lambda_n}{n})^-} P(S_{n,k} - (n - 2m - 1)X_1 \\
& \quad \geq (n - 2m - 1)(1 - X_1) - i_n \mid X_1 = z) dz + o(1) \\
& \leq 4n^{-\tau+1} + o(1) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that

$$P \left(\sup_{0 < t \leq \xi_{n-i_n:n}} \left| \frac{1-t}{1-F_n(t)} \right| > \lambda_n \right) \rightarrow 0. \quad (34)$$

Since $nc_n - i_n + 1 \leq i_n(\lambda_n - 1)$, by Lemma B we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& P(\xi_{n-i_n+1:n} < 1 - c_n) & (35) \\
& = P \left(\sum_{j=1}^n I(\xi_j < 1 - c_n) \geq n - i_n + 1 \right) \\
& = P \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (I(\xi_j < 1 - c_n) - (1 - c_n)) \geq nc_n - i_n + 1 \right) \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

(cf. the proof of (32)), and so from (35) we have that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{L.H.S. of (34)} & \leq P \left(\max_{i_n-1 \leq i < n} \left(\frac{i}{n} \right)^{-1} (1 - \xi_{n-i:n}) > \lambda_n \right) \\
& \leq P \left(\max_{i_n-1 \leq i < n} (1 - \xi_{n-i:n}) > (i_n - 1) \frac{\lambda_n}{n} \right) \\
& \leq P \left(\max_{i_n-1 \leq i < n} (1 - \xi_{n-i:n}) > c_n \right) \\
& \leq P(1 - \xi_{n-i_n+1:n} > c_n) \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the desired conclusion follows. \square

Acknowledgements I would like to thank referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and many stimulating suggestions.

References

- [1] E. Csáki, Some notes on the iterated logarithm for empirical distribution function, 47–71, *Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai*, 1975.
- [2] E. Rio, The functional law of the iterated logarithm for stationary strongly mixing sequences. *Ann. Probab.*, **23** (1995), 1188–1203.
- [3] G.R. Shorack and J.A. Wellner, Linear bounds on the empirical distribution function, *Ann. Probab.*, **6** (1978), 349–353.
- [4] G.R. Shorack and J.A. Wellner, *Empirical processes with applications to statistics*. Wiley, New York, 1986.
- [5] K. Yoshihara, Limiting behavior of U-statistics for stationary, absolutely regular processes. *Z. Wahrsch, verw. Geb.*, **35** (1976), 237–252.
- [6] K. Yoshihara, *Weakly dependent stochastic sequences and their applications, Vol. I. Summation theory for weakly dependent sequences*. Sanseido, Tokyo, 1992.
- [7] K. Yoshihara, A representation of a Kaplan-Meier integral under dependence. *Prague Stochastics '98*, 603–608, 1998.

Faculty of Education,
Soka University,
1-236, Tangi-cho, Hachioji, Tokyo,
JAPAN