# A CHARACTERIZATION OF REAL HYPERSURFACES **OF COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACE III**

### By

## Yoshio Matsuyama

# (Received March 12, 1998; Revised September 25, 1998)

Abstract. In [5] and [6] we showed a characterization of real hypersurfaces of type  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  (see Introduction) among all real hypersurfaces of complex projective space. In the present paper we will consider them under a weaker condition.

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $CP^m$ ,  $m \ge 2$  be an m-dimensional complex projective space with Fubini-Study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4, and let M be a real hypersurface  $CP^m$ . Let  $\nu$  be a unit local normal vector field on M and  $\xi = -J\nu$ , where J denotes the complex structure of  $CP^m$ . M has an almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  induced from J. We denote A and R the shape operator and the curvature tensor of M, respectively. Many differential geometeres have studied M (cf. [1], [3], [7] and [8]) by using the structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ .

Typical examples of real hypersurfaces in  $CP^m$  are homogeneous ones. Takagi [8] showed that all homogeneous real hypersurfaces in  $CP^m$  are realized as the tubes of constant radius over compact Hermitian symmetric spaces of rank 1 or rank 2. Namely, he showed the following: Let M be a homogeneous real hypersurface of  $CP^m$ . Then M is a tube of radius r over one of the following Kaehler submanifolds:

(A<sub>1</sub>) hyperplane  $CP^m$ , where  $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$ , (A<sub>2</sub>) totally geodesic  $CP^k$   $(1 \le k \le m-2)$ ,

(B) complex quadric  $Q_{m-1}$ , where  $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$ ,

(C)  $CP^1 \times CP^{\frac{m-1}{2}}$ , where  $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$  and  $m \geq 5$  is odd,

(D) complex Grassmann  $CG_{2,5}$ , where  $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$  and m = 9,

(E) Hermitian symmetric space SO(10)/U(5), where  $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$  and m = 15.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 53B25, 53C40

Key words and phrases: complex projective space real hypersurface, geodesic hypersphere, ruled hypersurface.

Research partially supported by an individual grant of Chuo Univ, 1997-1998.

### Y. MATSUYAMA

Due to his classification, we find that the number of distinct constant principal curvatures of a homogeneous real hypersurface is 2, 3, or 5. Here note that the vector  $\xi$  of any homogeneous real hypersurface M (which is a tube of radius r) is a principal curvature vector with principal curvature  $\alpha = 2 \cot 2r$  with multiplicity 1 (See [1]) and that in the case of type  $A_1$  M has two distinct principal curvatures and in the case of type  $A_2$  (resp. B) M has three distinct principal curvatures t,  $-\frac{1}{t}$  and  $\alpha = t - \frac{1}{t}$  (resp.  $\frac{1+t}{1-t}$ ,  $\frac{t-1}{t+1}$  and  $\alpha = t - \frac{1}{t}$ ).

Contrary to homogeneous real hypersurfaces of  $CP^{m}$ , it is known that any ruled real hypersurface of  $CP^{m}$  is not complete and its structure vector field  $\xi$  is not principal ([4]).

In [2] Gotoh proved that if  $m \ge 3$  and the shape operator A of a real hypersurface M satisfies (R(Y,Z)A)X = 0 for all tangent vectors X, Y, Z in  $\xi^{\perp}$ , then M is locally congruent to a geodesic hypersphere, where  $\xi^{\perp}$  denotes the orthogonal complement of  $\xi$  in TM. The author in [5] showed that if  $m \ge 2$  and

(1) 
$$R(AX,Y)(Z) - AR(X,Y)Z = 0$$

for any X, Y, Z tangent to TM, then M is congruent to an open part of a homogeneous real hypersurfaces of type  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ . Also in [6] he proved that it remains true in the case where M satisfies (1) for any X, Y, Z in  $\xi^{\perp}$ . We say that M is ruled ([4]) if there is a foliation of M by complex hypersurfaces  $CP^{m-1}$ 

The purpose of the present paper is to prove that if  $m \ge 3$ , then, it remains true except some case where M satisfies

(2) 
$$g(R(AX,Y)(Z) - AR(X,Y)Z,W) = 0$$

for any X, Y, Z and W in  $\xi^{\perp}$ , i.e.,

**Theorem.** Let M be a real hypersurface of  $CP^m$ ,  $m \ge 3$ . Then M satisfies (2) for any X, Y, Z and W in  $\xi^{\perp}$  if and only if it is congruent to an open part of a homogeneous real hypersurfaces of type  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  or a ruled real hypersurface.

# 2. Preliminaries

Let X be a tangent vector field to M. We write  $JX = \phi X + \eta(X)\nu$ , where  $\phi X$  is the tangent component of JX and  $\eta(X) = g(X,\xi)$ . As  $J^2 = -Id$ , where Id denotes the identity endomorphism on  $TCP^m$ , we get

(3) 
$$\phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \eta(\phi X) =, \ \phi \xi = 0$$

for any X tangent to M. It is also easy to see that for any X, Y tangent to M

(4) 
$$(\nabla_X \phi) Y = \eta(Y) A X - g(A X, Y) \xi$$

(5) 
$$\nabla_X \xi = \phi A X.$$

Finally from the expression of the curvature tensor of  $CP^m$ , we see that the curvature tensor of M is given by

(6) 
$$R(X,Y)Z = g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y + g(\phi Y,Z)\phi X - g(\phi X,Z)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X,Y)\phi Z + g(AY,Z)AX - g(AX,Z)AY,$$

(7) 
$$(\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = \eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi.$$

Now, we recall without proof the following results in order to prove our theorem:

**Theorem 1.** (Kimura [3]) Let M be a real hypersurface of  $CP^m$ . Then M has constant principal curvatures and  $\xi$  is a principal curvature vector if and only if M is locally congruent to a homogeneous real hypersurface.

**Theorem 2.** Okumura [7] Let M be a real hypersurface of  $CP^m$ . Then the following are equivalent:

(i)  $\phi A = A\phi$ . (ii) M is locally congruent to one of homogeneous real hypersurfaces of type  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ .

# 3. Proof of the theorem

Let  $\{E_1, \ldots, E_{2m-2}\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\xi^{\perp}$  at any point of M. If in (2) we take  $X = E_j$ ,  $Z = \phi E_j$ , from (6) and applying the formulas (3) we have any  $Y, W \in \xi^{\perp}$ 

(8)  

$$-g(AE_{j},\phi E_{j})g(Y,X) + g(\phi Y,\phi E_{j})g(\phi(AE_{j},W))$$

$$-g(\phi AE_{j},\phi E_{j})g(\phi Y,W) - 2g(\phi AE_{j},Y)g(\phi^{2}E_{j},W)$$

$$-g(A^{2}E_{j},\phi E_{j})g(AY,W) + g(\phi E_{j},\phi E_{j})g(AY,W) - g(\phi Y,\phi E_{j})g(A\phi E_{j},W)$$

$$+g(\phi E_{j},\phi E_{j})g(A\phi Y,W) + 2g(\phi E_{j},Y)g(A\phi^{2}E_{j},W)$$

$$+g(AE_{j},\phi E_{j})g(A^{2}Y,W) = 0$$

Taking summation of (8) on j, we obtain

$$g((2m-3)A\phi Y + \phi AY - (\operatorname{trace} A - g(A\xi,\xi)\phi Y,W) = 0.$$

Hence we have

$$(9) \quad (2m-3)A\phi Y+\phi AY-(\mathrm{trace} A-g(A\xi,\xi))\phi Y=(2m-3)g(A\phi Y,\xi)\xi$$

•

(10) 
$$-(2m-3)\phi AY - A\phi Y + (\operatorname{trace} A - g(A\xi,\xi))\phi Y = -g(A\phi Y,\xi)\xi.$$

Taking Y-component of (9) to get

(11) 
$$2(m-2)g(A\phi Y,Y) = 0$$

If in (2) we take  $Y = \phi E_j$ ,  $Z = E_j$  and take summation on j; we obtain for  $Y \in \xi^{\perp}$ 

(12) 
$$2mA\phi Y - 2m\phi AY - A\phi A^2Y + A^2\phi AY$$
$$= \{2mg(A\phi Y,\xi) - g(A\phi A^2Y,\xi) + g(A^2\phi AY,\xi)\}\xi.$$

Taking Y-component of (12) to get

(13) 
$$2mg(A\phi Y,Y) + g(A^2\phi AY,Y) = 0.$$

Combining (11) with (13), we have

(14) 
$$g(A^2\phi AY,Y)=0,$$

since  $m \geq 3$ . Therefore putting  $Y = E_j$  in (14) and taking summation on j,

(15) 
$$g(A^2\phi A\xi,\xi)=0.$$

Now, define a cross section U of  $\xi^{\perp}$  and a smooth function  $\alpha$  on M by

$$A\xi = U + \alpha\xi.$$

Then  $\phi A \xi = \phi U$  and  $A^2 \xi = AU + \alpha U + \alpha^2 \xi$ , so (15) implies

$$(16) g(\phi U, AU) = 0.$$

We also note

(17) 
$$g(\phi U, A\xi) = 0.$$

Thus from (16) and (17), we get the following by putting X = U and  $Z = \phi U$  in (2):

(18)  

$$g(Y,U)g(\phi AU,W) - g(AU,U)g(\phi Y,W)$$

$$2g(\phi AU,Y)g(U,W) - g(A^{2}U,\phi U)g(AY,W)$$

$$-g(Y,U)g(A\phi U,W) + ||U||^{2}g(A\phi Y,W)$$

$$-2g(\phi U,Y)g(AU,W) = 0,$$

122

where  $||U||^2 = g(U, U)$ . From (18) we have

(19)  

$$g(Y,U)\phi AU - g(AU,U)\phi Y + 2g(\phi AU,Y)U -g(A^{2}U,\phi U)AY - g(Y,U)A\phi U + ||U||^{2}A\phi Y -2g(\phi U,Y)AU = \{-2g(A^{2}U,\phi U)g(Y,U) + ||U||^{2}g(A\phi Y,\xi) - 2g(\phi U,Y)g(AU,\xi)\}\xi.$$

Putting Y = U in (9) and (10) and summing up those equations, we have

$$A\phi U - \phi A U = 0,$$

since  $m \ge 3$  and (17). Putting Y = U in (19), we obtain

(21) 
$$-g(AU, U)\phi U + ||U||^2 A\phi U$$
$$= g(A^2 U, \phi U)AU - g(A^2 U, \phi U)||U||^2 \xi.$$

From (20) we know that

. .

(22) 
$$g(A^2U,\phi U) = g(AU,A\phi U) = g(AU,\phi AU) = 0.$$

Combining (21) with (22) we have

(23) 
$$||U||^2 A \phi U = g(AU, U) \phi U.$$

Assume that  $||U||^2 \neq 0$  at a point, say x. By (23) there exists a certain real number  $\lambda$  such that

(24) 
$$A\phi U = \lambda \phi U.$$

If Y is perpendicular to all of U,  $\phi U$  and  $\xi$ , from (19)

Let  $T_x M = V \oplus \operatorname{span}\{U, \xi\}$  be the orthogonal decomposition. Then the above argument implies

where  $I_V$  stands for the identity transformation of V. Further we decompose V orthogonally as  $V = V' \oplus \text{span}\{\phi U\}$ . Note that dim  $V' \ge 1$  by the assumption  $m \ge 3$ . Since V' is invariant by  $\phi$ , (9) reduces to

$$-(\mathrm{trace} A-\alpha)\phi Y+(2m-2)\lambda\phi Y=0,$$

for each  $Y \in V'$ . So we have

trace 
$$A - (2m - 2)\lambda - \alpha = 0$$
.

On the other hand, (26) implies

$$trace A = (2m - 3)\lambda + g(AU, U) + \alpha.$$

Thus  $g(AU, U) = \lambda$ , which implies

$$AU = \lambda U + ||U||^2 \xi.$$

Putting Y = W = U and Z = X in (2) and substituting (24), (26) and (27), we obtain

$$\lambda g(X,X) \|U\|^4 = 0.$$

Thus we know  $\lambda = 0$ . Then

$$A\xi = U + \alpha\xi, \quad AU = ||U||^2\xi.$$

Now, it is proved by the same argument with the proof in [4] that a real hypersurface M of  $\mathbb{CP}^m$  whose the second fundamental form A satisfies

(28) 
$$A\xi = \alpha\xi + U, \quad AU = ||U||^2\xi, \quad AX = 0$$

for any vector X orthogonal to  $\xi$  and U, is a ruled hypersurface of  $CP^m$ , where  $\alpha$  is a smooth function on M. Here we show that there is a foliation of M by complex hyperplane  $CP^{m-1}$ . We have only to see that the distribution  $T_0$  defined by  $T_0(x) = \{X \in T_x(M) : \eta(X) = 0\}$  is integrable and totally geodesic in M. We consider the open set  $M_0$  of M defined by ||U||, say  $\nu \neq 0$ . Let  $T_1$  be a distribution defined by  $T_1(x) = \{X \in T_x(M) : \eta(X) = g(X, U) = g(X, \phi U) = 0\}$ . Let  $X \in T_1$ . Then we have AX = 0 and  $\nabla_X \xi = 0$  by (5) and (28). Using (7) and (28), we have  $(\nabla_X A)\xi - (\nabla_\xi A)X = -\phi X$ , and

$$(\nabla_X A)\xi - (\nabla_\xi A)X = \nabla_X (A\xi) - A\nabla_X \xi - \nabla_\xi (AX) + A\nabla_\xi X$$
$$= \nabla_X (\nu V + \alpha \xi) + A\nabla_\xi X$$
$$= (X\nu)V + \nu \nabla_X V + (X\alpha)\xi + A\nabla_\xi X,$$

where V = U/||U||. Hence we have  $\phi X + (X\nu)V + \nu\nabla_X V + (X\alpha)\xi + A\nabla_\xi X = 0$ . This equation yields  $\phi X + \nu\nabla_X V = 0$ , since all other terms are linear combinations of  $\xi$  and V. Thus we get

(29) 
$$\nabla_X V = -(1/\nu)\phi X$$

on  $M_0$ . This shows that  $M = M_0$ , because if  $\{x_j\}$  is a sequence of points in  $M_0$  such that it converges to some boundary point of  $M_0$  [hence  $\nu(x_j) \to 0$  as  $j \to \infty$ ], then the sequence  $\{||\nabla_X V||(x_j)\}$  diverges. Moreover, we have

$$(30) X\nu = 0,$$

124

because  $g(\nabla_{\xi}X, AV) = \nu g(\nabla_{\xi}X, \xi) = -\nu g(X, \nabla_{\xi}\xi) = -\nu g(X, \phi A\xi) = 0$ , using (28). Next, (7) also implies that  $(\nabla_X A)V - (\nabla_V A)X = (\nabla_X A)\phi V - (\nabla_{\phi V} A)X = 0$ . By using (4), (28)-(30), we have

$$(\nabla_X A)V - (\nabla_V A)X = \nabla_X (AV) - A\nabla_X V - \nabla_V (AX) + A\nabla_V X$$
$$= \nabla_X (\nu\xi) + (1/\nu)A\phi X + A\nabla_V X$$
$$= \nu\nabla_X \xi + A\nabla_V X,$$
$$= A\nabla_V X,$$

and

....

$$(\nabla_X A)\phi V - (\nabla_{\phi V} A)X = \nabla_X (A\phi V) - A\nabla_X (\phi V) - \nabla_{\phi V} (AX) + A\nabla_{\phi V} X$$
  
=  $-A((\nabla_X \phi)V + \phi \nabla_X V) + A\nabla_{\phi V} X$   
=  $-A(\eta(V)AX - g(AX, V)\xi + (1/\nu)X) + A\nabla_{\phi V} X$   
=  $A\nabla_{\phi V} X.$ 

Hence  $\nabla_V X$  and  $\nabla_{\phi V} X$  are orthogonal to  $\xi$  and V. On the other hand, the Codazzi equation (7) implies that  $(\nabla_{\xi} A)V - (\nabla_V A)\xi = \phi V$ , and we get

$$(\nabla_{\xi}A)V - (\nabla_{V}A)\xi = \nabla_{\xi}(AV) - A\nabla_{\xi}V - \nabla_{V}(A\xi) + A\nabla_{V}\xi$$
  
$$= \nabla_{\xi}(\nu\xi) - A\nabla_{\xi}V - \nabla_{V}(\nu V + \alpha\xi)$$
  
$$= (\xi\nu)\xi + \nu\phi A\xi - A\nabla_{\xi}V - (V\nu)V - \nu\nabla_{V} - V(V\alpha)\xi$$
  
$$= (\xi\nu - V\alpha)\xi + \nu^{2}\phi V - A\nabla_{\xi}V - (V\nu)V - \nu\nabla_{V}V,$$

by using (28), (29) and  $\nabla_V \xi = 0$ . This implies that  $g(\nabla_V V, \phi V) = \nu - 1/\nu$ . Since  $g(\nabla_V X, V) = 0$  for  $X \in T_1$ , we have

(31) 
$$\nabla_V V = (\nu - 1/\nu)\phi V \text{ and } \nabla_V (\phi V) = -(\nu - 1/\nu)V.$$

using (4) and (28). Similarly, we obtain  $(\nabla_{\xi} A)\phi V - (\nabla_{\phi V} A\xi) = -V$ , and we have

$$(\nabla_{\xi}A)\phi V - (\nabla_{\phi V}A)\xi = \nabla_{\xi}(A\phi V) - A\nabla_{\xi}(\phi V) - \nabla_{\phi V}(A\xi) + A\nabla_{\phi V}\xi$$
  
$$= -A((\nabla_{\xi}\phi)V + \phi\nabla_{\xi}V) - \nabla_{\phi V}(\nu V + \alpha\xi)$$
  
$$= -A(\eta(V)A\xi - g(A\xi, V)\xi + \phi\nabla_{\xi}V) - (\phi V\nu)V$$
  
$$-\nu\nabla_{\phi V}V - (\phi V\alpha)\xi$$
  
$$= \nu A\xi - A\phi\nabla_{\xi}V - (\phi V\nu)V - \nu\nabla_{\phi V}V - (\phi V\alpha)\xi.$$

These equations yield

(32)

$$\nabla_{\phi V} V = 0,$$

because  $\nabla_{\phi V} V$  is orthogonal to  $\xi$  and V, and

 $\phi V\nu = \nu^2 + 1.$ 

Then we have

$$\nabla_{\phi V}(\phi V) = 0,$$

by using (4) and (32). From these equations, we can easily show that if X and Y are contained in  $T_0$ , then  $\nabla_X Y \in T_0$  and  $[X, Y] = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X \in T_0$ . Hence  $T_0$ is integrable and totally geodesic in M. Moreover, (28) means that the integral manifold of  $T_0$  is a totally geodesic in  $CP^m$ . Since  $T_0$  is J-invariant, its integral manifold is a complex hypersurface  $CP^{m-1}$ . Conversely, assume that (28). Then we know M satisfies (2).

Next, assume that  $\lambda \neq 0$ . It asserts  $\phi A\xi = 0$ , i.e.,  $\xi$  is a principal vector. Hence from (9) and (10) it holds  $A\phi - \phi A = 0$  on M. Thus by virtue of Theorem 1 and 2, we can obtain Theorem.

### References

- T. Cecil and P. Ryan, Focal sets and real hypersurfaces in complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 269 (1982), 481-498.
- [2] T. Gotoh, Geodesic hypersurfaces in complex projective space, Tsukuda J. Math. 18 (1994), 207-215.
- [3] M. Kimura, Real hypersurfaces and complex submanifolds in complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 296 (1986), 137-149.
- [4] M. Kimura, Sectional curvatures of holomorphic planes of a real hypersurfaces in P<sup>n</sup>(C), Math. Ann. 276 (1987), 487-497.
- Y. Matsuyama, A characterization of real hypersurfaces in complex projective space, J. Institute Sci. and Eng., Chuo Univ. 2 (1996), 11-13.
- Y. Matsuyama, A characterization of real hypersurfaces in complex projective space II, J. Institute Sci. and Eng., Chuo Univ. 3 (1997), 1-3.
- [7] M. Okumura, On some real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 212 (1975), 355-364.
- [8] R. Takagi, On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, Osaka J. Math. 10 (1973), 355-364.

Department of Mathematics Chuo University 1-13-27 Kasuga, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112, JAPAN

126