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#### Abstract

In this paper we study nonlinear evolution inclusions defined on a Gelfand triple of spaces. First we prove an existence and compactness result for the set of solutions of the "convex" problems. Then we look at extremal solutions and show that under reasonable hypotheses such solutions exist. Moreover if the orientor field (multivalued perturbation term) is $h$-Lipschitz in the state-variable, we show that the set of extremal solutions is dense in the solution set of the convexified problem ("strong relaxation theorem"). We also show that the solution set is compact in $C(T, H)$ if and only if the orientor field is convex-valued. Finally we present two examples of parabolic distributed parameter systems which illustrate the applicability of our abstract results.


## 1. Introduction

One of the central results in the theory of evolution inclusions (i.e. evolution equations with multivalued terms) is the "relaxation theorem". It says that if the orientor field (i.e. multivalued perturbation term) is $h$-Lipschitz in the state variable, then the solution set of the original problem is dense in that of the convexified problem (i.e. the system obtained by replacing the orientor field by its closed convex hull). Such a result is important in control theory in connection with the "bang-bang principle". Roughly speaking it tells us that we can produce a control system with essentially the same reachable sets, by economizing in the set of admissible controls. In this paper we prove a strong version of the relaxation theorem, in which the approximating trajectories are "extremal solutions" (i.e. solutions moving through the extreme points of the orientor field). First we prove a general existence result for the convexified problem under very general conditions on the orientor field. Then we establish the existence of extremal trajectories, under the stronger hypothesis that the orientor field is $h$-continuous in $x$. Subsequently by strengthening the hypothesis further to $h$-Lipschitzness, we show that the extremal trajectories are

[^0]dense in those of the convexified problem. A two-dimensional counterexample due to Plis [11], shows that simple $h$-continuity in the $x$-variable is not enough to guarantee the validity of the relaxation theorem. Finally we show that the solution set is compact in $C(T, H)$ if and only if the orientor field is convexvalued. In the last section we present examples of parabolic distributed parameter systems.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $(\Omega, \Sigma)$ be a measurable space and $X$ a separable Banach space. Throughout this paper we will be using the following notations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{f(c)}(X) & =\{A \subseteq X: \text { nonempty, closed, (convex) }\} \\
\text { and } P_{(\omega) k(c)} & =\{A \subseteq X: \text { nonempty, (weakly-) compact, (convex) }\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

A multifunction (set-valued function) $F: \Omega \rightarrow P_{f}(X)$ is said to be measurable if for every $x \in X, \omega \rightarrow d(x, F(\omega))=\inf \{\|x-y\|: y \in F(\omega)\}$ is measurable. Now let $\mu(\cdot)$ be a $\sigma$-finite measure on $(\Omega, \Sigma)$. By $S_{F}^{p}, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we denote the set of selectors of $F(\cdot)$ which belong in the Lebesgue-Bochner space $L^{p}(\Omega, X)$, i.e. $S_{F}^{p}=\left\{f \in L^{p}(\Omega, X): f(\omega) \in F(\omega) \mu\right.$-a.e. $\}$. In general this set may be empty. However, if $F: \Omega \rightarrow 2^{X} \backslash\{\phi\}$ satisfies $\operatorname{GrF}=\{(\omega, x) \in \Omega \times X$ : $x \in F(\omega)\} \in \Sigma \times B(X)$ with $B(X)$ being the Borel $\sigma$-field of $X$ (i.e. $F(\cdot)$ is graph measurable), then $S_{F}^{p} \neq \phi$ if and only if $\omega \rightarrow \inf \{\|x\|: x \in F(\omega)\} \in L^{p}(\Omega)$. Note that for $P_{f}(X)$-valued multifunctions measurability implies graph measurability, while the converse is true if $\Sigma$ is $\mu$-complete. Also remark that $S_{F}^{p}$ is decomposable, i.e. for every $\left(A, f_{1}, f_{2}\right) \in \Sigma \times S_{F}^{p} \times S_{F}^{p}$ we have $x_{A} f_{1}+x_{A^{c}} f_{2} \in S_{F}^{P}$, with $x_{A}$ being the characteristic function of a set $A \in \Sigma$.

On $P_{f}(X)$ we can define a generalized metric, known in the literature as the "Hausdorff metric" by setting, for $A, C \in P_{f}(X), h(A, C)=\max \left\{\sup _{a \in A} d(a, C)\right.$, sup $d(c, A)\}$. It is well-known that $\left(P_{f}(X), h\right)$ is complete. A multifunction $F: X \rightarrow P_{f}(X)$ is said to be $h$-continuous (resp. $h$-Lipschitz), if it is continuous (resp. Lipschitz) from $X$ into ( $P_{f}(X), h$ ).

Let $Y, Z$ be Hausdorff topological spaces and $G: Y \rightarrow 2^{Z} \backslash\{\phi\}$. We say that $G(\cdot)$ is upper-semicontinuous (usc) (resp. closed), if for every $C \subseteq Z$ closed $G^{-}(C)=\{y \in Y: G(y) \cap C \neq \phi\}$ is closed (resp. $G r G=\{(y, z) \in Y \times Z: z \in G(y)\}$ is closed). For a $P_{f}(Z)$-valued multifunction upper-semicontinuity implies closedness, while the converse is true if $\overline{G(Y)}$ is compact in $Z$. We say that $G(\cdot)$ is lower-semicontinuous (lsc), if for every $C \subseteq Z$ closed $G^{+}(C)=\{y \in Y$ : $G(y) \subseteq C\}$ is closed. For details we refer to DeBlasi-Myjak [2] and KleinThompson [8].

Now let $H$ be a separable Hilbert space with norm denoted by $|\cdot|$. Let $X$ be a separable reflexive Banach space which embeds continuously and densely into $H$. Identifying $H$ with its dual (pivot space), we have $X \rightarrow H \rightarrow X^{*}$ with all embeddings being continuous and dense. Such a triple of spaces is known in the literature as "evolution triple" or "Gelfand triple". We will also assume that the embeddings are compact. This is often the case in applications where evolution triples are generated by Sobolev spaces. By $\|\cdot\|$ (resp. $\|\cdot\|_{*}$ ) we will denote the norm of $X$ (resp. of $X^{*}$ ). Also by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ we will denote the duality brackets for the pair $\left(X, X^{*}\right)$ and by $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the inner-product of $H$. The two are compatible in the sense that $(\cdot, \cdot)=\left.\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle\right|_{X \times H}$. Let $T=[0, b]$ and $1<p, q<\infty, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$ and define $W_{p q}(T)=\left\{x \in L^{p}(T, X): \dot{x} \in L^{q}\left(T, X^{*}\right)\right\}$ The derivative involved in this definition is understood in the sense of vector-valued distributions. Equipped with the norm

$$
\|x\|_{w_{p q}(T)}=\left(\|x\|_{L^{p}(T, x)}^{2}+\|\dot{x}\|_{L^{q}\left(T, x^{*}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
$$

$W_{p q}(T)$ becomes a separable reflexive Banach space. Moreover $W_{p q}(T)$ embeds continuously into $C(T, H)$ and since we have assumed that $X \rightarrow H$ compactly, we have that $W_{p q}(T)$ embeds compactly in $L^{p}(T, H)$. For details we refer to Zeidler [17].

A map $A: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is said to be hemicontinuous, if for every $x, y, z \in X$ the function $t \rightarrow\langle A(x+t y), z\rangle$ is continuous from [0, 1] into $\boldsymbol{R}$. We say that $A(\cdot)$ is monotone if for every $x, y \in X\langle A(x)-A(y), x-y\rangle \geq 0$.

## 3. Convex existence theorem

Let $T=[0, b]$ and let $\left(X, H, X^{*}\right)$ be an evolution triple of spaces with all embeddings assumed to be compact. We consider the following multivalued Cauchy problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x(t)+A(t, x(t)) \in F(t, x(t)) \text { a.e. } \\
x(0)=x_{0}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

By a solution of (1) we understand a function $x \in W_{p q}(T)$ such that $x(t)+A(t, x(t))=f(t)$ a.e with $f \in S_{F}^{q}(\cdot, x(\cdot))$ and $x(0)=x_{0}$. Since $W_{p q}(T)$ embeds into $C(T, H), x(0)$ makes sense. We will denote the solution set of (1) by $P_{c}\left(x_{0}\right)$. In the next theorem we establish the nonemptiness and compactness of $P_{c}\left(x_{0}\right)$ in $C(T, H)$. For this we need the following hypotheses.
$\underline{H(A)}: A: T \times X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is an operator such that
(i) $t \rightarrow A(t, x)$ is measurable, (ii) $x \rightarrow A(t, x)$ is hemicontinuous monotone for every $t$, (iii) $\|A(t, x)\|_{*} \leq a_{1}(t)+c_{1}\|x\|^{p-1}$ a.e for every $x$ with $a_{1} \in L^{q}(T), c_{1}>0, p \geq 2$ $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$, (iv) $\langle A(t, x), x\rangle \geq c[x]^{p}$ a.e with $c>0$ and [•] a quasinorm on $X$ such that $[x]+\lambda|x| \geq \gamma\|x\|$ for some $\lambda, \gamma>0$.
$\underline{H(F)}: F: T \times H \rightarrow P_{f c}(H)$ is a multifunction such that
(i) $t \rightarrow F(t, x)$ is measurable, (ii) $G r F\left(t\right.$, .) is sequentially closed in $H \times H_{\omega}$ (here $H_{\omega}$ denotes the Hilbert space $H$ furnished with the weak topology), (iii) $|F(t, x)|=\sup \{|v|: v \in F(t, x)\} \leq a_{2}(t)+c_{2}|x|$ a.e. with $\left.a_{2} \in L^{2}(T), c_{2}>0\right\}$.

Theorem 1. If hypotheses $H(A), H(F)$ hold and $x_{0} \in H$ then $P_{c}\left(x_{0}\right)$ $\subseteq C(T, H)$ is nonempty and compact.

Proof. We start by deriving some a priori bounds for the elements in $P_{c}\left(x_{0}\right)$. So let $x \in P_{c}\left(x_{0}\right)$. By definition we have $x(t)+A(t, x(t))=f(t)$ a.e., $x(0)=x_{0}$ with $f \in S_{\mathrm{F}(, \mathrm{x}(\cdot))}^{2}$. Multiply this equation with x and recall that there exists $\beta_{1}>0$ such that $\|x\|_{*} \leq \beta_{1}|x|$, to get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad\langle x(t), x(t)\rangle+\langle A(t, x(t)), x(t)\rangle=\langle f(t), x(t)\rangle \quad \text { a.e. } \\
& \Rightarrow \\
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{d t}|x(t)|^{2}+c[x(t)]^{p} \leq\|f(t)\|_{*}\|x(t)\| \leq \hat{\beta}_{\mid}|f(t)| \cdot[x(t)]+\lambda \hat{\beta}_{\mid}|f(t)| \cdot|x(t)| \quad \text { a.e. }
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\hat{\beta}_{1}=\frac{\beta_{1}}{\gamma}$. From Cauchy's inequality with $\varepsilon>0$ we get

$$
\hat{\beta}_{1}|f(t)|[x(t)] \leq \hat{\beta}_{1} \frac{\varepsilon^{p}}{p}[x(t)]^{p}+\hat{\beta}_{1} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{q} q}|f(t)| \quad \text { a.e.. }
$$

In addition from classical Cauchy's inequality we have

$$
\lambda \hat{\beta}_{1}|f(t) \| x(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2} \lambda \hat{\beta}_{1}|f(t)|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \lambda \hat{\beta}_{1}|x(t)|^{2} .
$$

So after integration over $[0, t]$, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{2}|x(t)|^{2}+c \int_{0}^{t}[x(s)]^{p} d s \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|x_{0}\right|^{2}+\hat{\beta}_{1} \frac{\varepsilon^{p}}{p} \int_{0}^{t}[x(s)]^{p} d s+\hat{\beta}_{1} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{q} q} \int_{0}^{t}|f(s)|^{q} d s \\
+\frac{1}{2} \lambda \hat{\beta}_{1} \int_{0}^{t}|f(s)|^{2} d s+\frac{1}{2} \lambda \hat{\beta}_{1} \int_{0}^{t}|x(s)|^{2} d s .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$ be such that $\hat{\beta}_{1} \frac{\varepsilon^{p}}{p}=\frac{c}{2}$. Also note that since $2 \leq p$ (hence $1<q \leq 2$ ), we have

$$
|f(s)|^{4} \leq[\max (1,|f(t)|)]^{2} \leq(1+|f(t)|)^{2} \leq 2+2|f(t)|^{2} .
$$

So $\int_{0}^{t}|f(s)|^{4} d s \leq 2 b+2 \int_{0}^{t}|f(s)|^{2} d s$. Hence we deduce that there exists $\gamma_{1}>0$ for which we have

$$
\frac{1}{2}|x(t)|^{2}+\frac{c}{2} \int_{0}^{t}[x(s)]^{p} d s \leq \frac{1}{2}\left|x_{0}\right|^{2}+\gamma_{1} \int_{0}^{t}|f(s)|^{2} d s+\gamma_{1} \int_{0}^{t}|x(s)|^{2} d s .
$$

Because of hypothesis $H(F)$ (iii) we have $|f(s)|^{2} \leq 2 a_{2}(s)^{2}+2 c_{2}|x(s)|^{2}$ a.e.. So we can find some $\gamma_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}|x(t)|^{2}+\frac{c}{2} \int_{0}^{t}[x(s)]^{p} d s \leq \gamma_{2}+\gamma_{2} \int_{0}^{t}|x(s)|^{2} d s \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Through Gronwall's lemma, we see that there exists $M_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x(t)| \leq M_{1} \text { for every } t \in T \text { and every } x \in P_{c}\left(x_{0}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2) and (3) above we get the existence of $M_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{b}[x(s)]^{p} d s \leq M_{2} \text { for every } x \in P_{c}\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3) and (4) above and since $\gamma\|x\| \leq[x]+\lambda|x|$ and from $H(A)$ (iii) we get an $M_{3}>0$ such that
$\|x\|_{L^{p}(T, X)} \leq M_{3}$ and $\|x\|_{L^{q}\left(T, x^{*}\right)} \leq M_{3}$ for every $x \in P_{c}\left(x_{0}\right)$.
Thus without any loss of generality we may assume that $|F(t, x)| \leq \alpha_{2}(t)+$ $c_{2} M_{1}=\psi(t)$ a.e. with $\psi \in L^{2}(T)$. Otherwise replace $F(t, x)$ by $F\left(t, r_{M_{1}}(x)\right)$ where $r_{M_{1}}(\cdot)$ is the $M_{1}$-radial retraction on $H$. Let $K=\left\{g \in L^{2}(T, H):|g(t)| \leq \psi(t)\right.$ a.e. $\}$. Furnished with the relative weak $L^{2}(T, H)$ topology $K$ becomes a compact metrizable space. In what follows this is the topology considered on $K$. Let $R: K \rightarrow P_{f c}(K)$ be the multifunction defined by $R(g)=S_{F(, p(g)(\cdot))}^{2}$, where $p: L^{2}(T, H) \rightarrow C(T, H)$ is the map which to each $g \in L^{2}(T, H)$ assigns the unique solution of $\dot{x}(t)+A(t, x(t))=g(t)$ a.e., $x(0)=x_{0}$. We claim that $G r R$ is sequentially closed in $K \times K$. To this end let $\left[g_{n}, f_{n}\right] \in G r R \quad n \geq 1$ and assume that $\left[g_{n}, f_{n}\right]$ $\rightarrow[g, f]$ in $K \times K$. From the same a priori estimation as in the beginning of this proof, we get that $\left\{p\left(g_{n}\right)\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded in $W_{p q}(T)$, thus relative compact in $L^{p}(T, H)$. So by passing to a subsequence if necessary we may assume that $p\left(g_{n}\right)(t) \rightarrow p(g)(t)$ a.e. in $H$. Using theorem 3.1 of [9] and hypothesis $\mathbf{H}(F)(\mathrm{ii})$ we get $f(t) \in F(t, p(g)(t))$ a.e. . So $[g, f] \in G r R$ and hence $G r R$ is sequentially closed in $K \times K$. Since $K$ (with the relative weak- $L^{2}(T, H)$ topology) is compact metrizable, we can apply the Kakutani-Ky Fan fixed point theorem (see for example Klein-Thompson [8]) and get $f \in R(f)$. Evidently $p(f) \in P_{c}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq \varnothing$.

Now we will show that $P_{c}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is compact in $C(T, H)$. To this end let
$\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq S_{c}\left(x_{0}\right)$. We know that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded in $W_{p q}(T)$, hence relatively compact in $L^{2}(T, H)$. So we may assume that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $L^{2}(T, H)$ and $x_{n} \xrightarrow{\omega} x$ in $W_{p q}(T)$, Note that $x_{n} \in W_{0}=\left\{y \in W_{p q}(T): y(0)=x_{0}\right\}$ and $W_{0}$ is convex closed (hence weakly closed). So $x \in W_{0}$ and thus $x(0)=x_{0}$. Also let $f_{n} \in S_{F\left(\cdot, x_{n}(\cdot)\right)}^{2}$ such that $x_{n}=p\left(f_{n}\right), n \geq 1$. We may assume that $f_{n} \xrightarrow{\omega} f$ in $L^{2}(T, H)$. Let $\hat{A}: L^{p}(T, X) \rightarrow L^{q}\left(T, X^{*}\right)$ be the Nemitsky operator corresponding to $A(t, x)$, i.e. $\hat{A}(x)(\cdot)=A(\cdot, x(\cdot))$. Moreover let $((\cdot, \cdot)$ be the duality brackets for the pair ( $L^{p}(T, X), L^{q}\left(T, X^{*}\right)$ ). We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(x_{n}, x_{n}-x\right)\right)+\left(\left(\hat{A}\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}-x\right)\right)=\left(\left(f_{n}, x_{n}-x\right)\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the integration by parts formula for functions in $W_{p q}(T)$ (see Zeidler [17], p. 422) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left(x_{n}, x_{n}-x\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left|x_{n}(b)-x(b)\right|^{2}+\left(\left(x, x_{n}-x\right)\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing (7) in (6) we get that

$$
\left(\left(\hat{A}\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}-x\right)\right) \leq\left(\left(f_{n}, x_{n}-x\right)\right)-\left(\left(x, x_{n}-x\right)\right)
$$

Also $\left(\left(f_{n}, x_{n}-x\right)\right)=\left(f_{n}, x_{n}-x\right)_{L^{2}(T, H)}$ (here by $(\cdot, \cdot)_{L^{2}(T, H)}$ we denote the inner product in the Hilbert space $\left.L^{2}(T, H)\right)$. So we get $\overline{\lim }\left(\left(\hat{A}\left(x_{n}\right), x_{n}-x\right)\right) \leq 0$. Now let $\xi_{n}(t)=\left\langle A\left(t, x_{n}(t)\right)-A(t, x(t)), x_{n}(t)-x(t)\right\rangle \geq 0$. From Fatou's lemma we have
hence $\xi_{n} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{1}(T)$ and so we may assume that $\xi_{n}(t) \rightarrow 0$ a.e. . We have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\xi_{n}(t) \geq c\left[\left[x_{n}(t)\right]^{p}+[x(t)]^{p}-\|x(t)\|\left[a_{1}(t)+c_{1}\|x(t)\|^{p-1}\right]-\|x(t)\|\left[a_{1}(t)+c_{1}\left\|x_{n}(t)\right\|^{p-1}\right] \geq\right. \\
c_{3}\left[\left\|x_{n}(t)\right\|^{p}+\|x(t)\|^{p}\right]-c_{4}-\left\|x_{n}(t)\right\|\left[a_{1}(t)+c_{1}\|x(t)\|^{p-1}\right]-\|x(t)\|\left[a_{1}(t)+c_{1}\left\|x_{n}(t)\right\|^{p-1}\right] \text { a.e. }
\end{array}
$$

for some $c_{3}, c_{4}>0$. From the above inequality we deduce that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}(T, H)$. So we can apply corollary 4, p. 85 of Simon [14] and conclude that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is relatively compact in $C(T, H)$. Thus $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $C(T, H)$. and $x=p(f), f \in S_{F(\cdot x(\cdot))}^{2}$, i.e. $x \in P_{c}\left(x_{0}\right)$. So $P_{c}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is compact in $C(T, H)$. Q.E.D.

A careful reading of the above proof reveals that the following result holds:
Corollary 2. If hypothesis $H(A)$ holds and $x_{0} \in H$, then the solution map $p: L^{2}(T, H) \rightarrow C(T, H)$ which to each $g \in L^{2}(T, H)$ assigns the unique solution of $x(t)+A(t, x(t))=g(t)$ a.e., $x(0)=x_{0}$, is compact .

## 4. Extremal solutions

In conjunction with (1) we also consider the following problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x(t)+A(t, x(t) \in \operatorname{ext} F(t, x(t) \text { a.e }  \tag{8}\\
x(0)=x_{0}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Here by $\operatorname{ext} F(t, x)$ we denote the extreme points of the orientor field $F(t, x)$. By $P_{e}\left(x_{0}\right)$ we will denote the solution set of (8). In this section we establish the nonemptiness of $P_{e}\left(x_{0}\right)$.

In what follows let $L_{\omega}^{1}(T, H)$ denote the Lebesgue-Bochner space $L^{1}(T, H)$ equipped with norm $\|x\|_{\omega}=\sup \left[\left\|\int_{\mathrm{s}}^{t} x(\tau) d \tau\right\|: 0 \leq s \leq t \leq b\right]$ ("the weak norm"). We will need the following simple auxiliary result.

Lemma 3. $\left\{f_{n}, f\right\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq L^{2}(T, H), f_{n} \xrightarrow{H 1 \rightarrow} f$ and $\sup _{n \geq 1}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(T, H)}<\infty$, then $f_{n} \rightarrow f$ in $L^{2}(T, H)$.

Proof. Let $s(t)=\sum_{k=1}^{N} x_{\left(t_{k-1}, t_{k}\right)}(t)_{V_{k}} \in L^{2}(T, H)$ be a step function. Then we have $\left|\left(f_{n}-f, s\right)_{L^{2}(T, H)}\right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left\|\int_{t_{k-1}}^{t_{k}}\left(f_{n}(s)-f(s)\right) d s\right\| \cdot\left\|v_{k}\right\| \leq\left\|f_{n}-f\right\|_{\omega} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{N}\left\|v_{k}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since step functions are dense in $L^{2}(T, H)$, we conclude that $f_{n} \rightarrow f$ in . $L^{2}(T, H)$.
Q.E.D.

To establish the existence of solutions for (8) we will need the following stronger hypothesis on the orientor field $F(t, x)$.
$\underline{H(F)_{1}}: F: T \times H \rightarrow P_{a k c}(H)$ is a multifunction such that
(i) $t \rightarrow F(t, x)$ is measurable, (ii) $x \rightarrow F(t, x)$ is $h$-continuous, (iii) $|F(t, x)| \leq$ $a_{2}(t)+c_{2}|x|$ a.e. with $a_{2} \in L^{2}(T), c_{2}>0$.

Theorem 4. If hypotheses $H(A), H(F)_{1}$ hold and $x_{0} \in H$, then $P_{e}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is nonempty.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we may assume without any loss of generality that $|F(t, x)| \leq \psi(t)$ a.e. with $\psi \in L^{2}(T)$. Again set $K=$ $\left\{g \in L^{2}(T, H):|g(t)| \leq \psi(t) \quad\right.$ a.e. $\}$ and let $V_{0}=\overline{p(K)}^{c(T, H)}$. From corollary 2 we know that $V_{0}$ is compact in $C(T, H)$. Hence so is $V=\overline{\operatorname{conv}} V_{0}$. Let $R: V \rightarrow P_{\omega k c}\left(L^{1}(T, H)\right)$ be defined by $R(y)=S_{F(, y(\cdot))}^{1}$. Invoking theorem 1.1 of Tolstonogov [15] we get $r: V \rightarrow L_{\omega}^{1}(T, H)$ a continuous map such that
$r(y) \in \operatorname{ext} R(y)=\operatorname{ext} S_{F(, y(\cdot))}^{1}$ for every $y \in V$. From Benamara [1] we know that ext $S_{F(, y(\cdot))}^{1}=S_{\text {extF(.,y()) }}^{1}$. Let $u=p \circ r: V \rightarrow V$. Using Lemma 3 and Corollary 2 we see that $u(\cdot)$ is compact. Thus via Schauder's fixed point theorem we get $x=u(x)$. Evidently $x \in P_{e}\left(x_{0}\right) \neq \varnothing$.
Q.E.D.

## 5. Strong relaxation theorem

In this section we establish the density of $P_{e}\left(x_{0}\right)$ in $P_{c}\left(x_{0}\right)$ for the $C(T, H)$ norm and in addition that $P_{e}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is a $G_{\delta}$-subset of $P_{e}\left(x_{0}\right)$. To prove this last property we will have to use the Choquet function associated with the orientor field $F(t, x)$. More specifically let $\left\{z_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ be a sequence which is dense in the unit sphere of $H$. Following DeBlasi-Pianigiani [3], [4] we define $\gamma_{F}$ : $T \times H \times H \rightarrow R \cup\{+\infty\}$ by

$$
\gamma_{F}(t, x, v)= \begin{cases}\sum_{k \geq 1} 2^{-k}\left(z_{k}, v\right)^{2} & \text { if } v \in F(t, x) \\ +\infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Let $A f f(H)=\{$ set of all continuous affine functions $a: H \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}\}$. Let $\hat{\gamma}_{F}(t, x, v)$ $=\inf \left\{a(v): a \in a f f(H)\right.$ and $a(z) \geq \gamma_{F}(t, x, v)$ for every $\left.z \in F(t, x)\right\}$ (as usual we adopt the convention that $\inf \varnothing=-\infty)$. Then the Choquet function $\delta_{F}: T \times H \times H \rightarrow R \cup\{-\infty\}$ is defined by $\delta_{F}(t, x, v)=\hat{\gamma}_{F}(t, x, v)-\gamma_{F}(t, x, v)$. The next proposition summarizes the properties of $\delta_{F}(\cdot, \cdot)$ (see DeBlasi-Pianigiani [3], [4] and Papageorgiou [10]).

Proposition 5. If hypothesis $H(F)_{1}$ holds, then $(a)(t, x, v) \rightarrow \delta_{F}(t, x, v)$ is measurable, $(b)(x, v) \rightarrow \delta_{F}(t, x, v)$ is usc, (c.) $v \rightarrow \delta_{F}(t, x, v)$ is concave and strictly concave on $F(t, x$,$) , (d) 0 \leq \delta_{F}(t, x, v) \leq 4 a_{2}(t)^{2}+4 c_{2}^{2}|x|^{2}$ for every $v \in$ $F(t, x),(e) \delta_{F}(t, x, v)=0$ if and only if $v \in \operatorname{ext} F(t, x)$.

As we already mentioned in the introduction, simple $h$-continuity of $F(, \cdot)$ does not suffice to have the desired relaxation theorem. So we impose the following stronger conditions on $F(t, x)$ :
$\underline{H(F)_{2}}: F: T \times H \rightarrow P_{\omega k c}(H)$ is a multifunction such that
(i) $t \rightarrow F(t, x)$ is measurable, (ii) $h(F(t, x), F(t, y)) \leq k(t)|x-y|$ a.e for every $x$ with $k \in L^{\prime}(T)$, (iii) $|F(t, x)| \leq a_{2}(t)+c_{2}|x|$ a.e with $a_{2} \in L^{2}(T), c_{2}>0$. Then our stronger relaxation theorem reads as follows:

Theorem 6. If hypotheses $H(A), H(F)_{2}$ hold and $x_{0} \in H$, then $P_{e}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is a dense $G_{\delta}$-subset of $P_{c}\left(x_{0}\right) \subseteq C(T, H)$.

Proof. Let $\lambda>0$ and define
$D_{\lambda}=\left\{x \in S_{c}\left(x_{0}\right): \int_{0}^{b} \delta_{F}(t, x(t), f(t)) d t<\lambda\right.$, with $f \in S_{F(, x(\cdot))}^{2}$ such that $\left.x=p(f)\right\}$.
Our claim is that $D_{\lambda}$ is open in $P_{c}\left(x_{0}\right)$. For this we will show that $P_{c}\left(x_{0}\right) \backslash D_{\lambda}$ is closed in $C(T, H)$. So let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1} \subseteq P_{c}\left(x_{0}\right) \backslash D_{\lambda}$ and suppose that $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $C(T, H)$. We have $x_{n}=p\left(f_{n}\right), n \geq 1$ with $f_{n} \in S_{F(, x(\cdot))}^{2}$. We may assume that $f_{n} \xrightarrow{\omega} f$ in $L^{2}(T, H)$ and $f \in S_{F(, x(\cdot))}^{2}$. Moreover from corollary 2 we have $x_{n} \rightarrow x=p(f)$ in $C(T, H)$. Aliso using proposition 5 and the upper semicontinuity of the concave integral functional $(x, f) \rightarrow \int_{0}^{b} \delta_{F}(t, x(t), f(t)) d t$ on $L^{1}(T, H) \times L^{1}(T, H)_{\omega}$, we get

$$
\lambda \leq \overline{\lim } \int_{0}^{b} \delta_{F}\left(t, x_{n}(t), f_{n}(t)\right) d t \leq \int_{0}^{b} \delta_{F}(t, x(t), f(t)) d t \Rightarrow x=p(f) \in P_{c}\left(x_{0}\right) \backslash D_{\lambda},
$$

which shows that $D_{\lambda}$ is open in $S_{c}\left(x_{0}\right)$.
Now let $\lambda_{n} \downarrow 0$ and set $D_{n}=D_{\lambda_{n}}, n \geq 1$. We claim that $P_{e}\left(x_{0}\right)=\bigcap_{n \geq 1} D_{n}$. Evidently from proposition 5 we have that $P_{e}\left(x_{0}\right) \subseteq \bigcap_{n \geq 1} D_{n}$. Then $\int_{0}^{b} \delta_{F}(t, x(t), f(t)) d t=0$, $x=p(f), \quad f \in S_{F(\cdot x(\cdot))}^{2}$. So $f(t) \in \operatorname{ext} F(t, x(t))$ a.e. and thus $x \in P_{e}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Therefore finally $P_{e}\left(x_{0}\right)=\bigcap_{n \geq 1} D_{n}$ which proves that $P_{e}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is a $G_{\delta}$-set in $P_{c}\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Next we will show that $P_{e}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is dense in $P_{c}\left(x_{0}\right)$. To this end let $x \in P_{e}\left(x_{0}\right)$. By definition $x=p(f)$ for some $f \in S_{F(, x(\cdot))}^{2}$. Let $V \in P_{k c}(C(T, H))$ be as in the proof of Theorem 4. Let $\left.\theta=|V|=\sup \{\|v\|\}_{C(T, H)}: y \in V\right\}$ and define $R: V \rightarrow 2^{L^{\prime}(T, H)}$ by

$$
R(y)=\left\{g \in S_{F(., y(\cdot))}^{1}:|f(t)-g(t)|<\frac{\varepsilon}{4 b \theta}+k(t)|x(t)-y(t)| \text { a.e }\right\} .
$$

A straightforward application of Aumann's selection theorem (see for example Wagner [16], Theorem 5.10) reveals that $R(\cdot)$ has nonempty values which are decomposable. Moreover proposition 2.3 of Fryszkowski [5] tells us that $y \rightarrow R(y)$ is lsc.. Hence $y \rightarrow \overline{R(y)}$ is lsc with closed and decomposable values. Apply the selection theorem of Fryszkowski [5] to get $r_{\varepsilon}: V \rightarrow L^{1}(T, H)$ a continuous map such that $r_{\varepsilon}(y) \in \overline{R(y)}$ for every $y \in V$. In addition theorem 1.1 of Tolstonogov [15] gives us $v_{\varepsilon}: V \rightarrow L_{\omega}^{1}(T, H)$ continuous such that $v_{\varepsilon}(y)$ $\in \operatorname{extR}(y)=S_{\text {extF }(\cdot y(\cdot))}^{1}$ and $\left\|r_{\varepsilon}(y)-v_{\varepsilon}(y)\right\|_{\omega}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for every $y \in V$.

Now let $\varepsilon_{n}=\frac{1}{n}$ and set $r_{n}=r_{\varepsilon_{n}}, v_{n}=v_{\varepsilon_{n}} n \geq 1$ as above. Let $y_{n} \in V$ such that ( $p \circ v_{n}$ ) $\left(y_{n}\right)=y_{n}$ (such an element exists by Schauder's fixed point theorem). By passing to a subsequence if necessary we may assume that $y_{n} \rightarrow y$ in $C(T, H)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle y_{n}(t)-x(t), y_{n}(t)-x(t)\right\rangle+\left\langle A\left(t, y_{n}(t)\right)-A(t, x(t)), y_{n}(t)-x(t)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle v_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)(t)-f(t), y_{n}(t)-x(t)\right\rangle \text { a.e. } \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\left|y_{n}(t)-x(t)\right|^{2} \leq\left\langle v_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)(t)-f(t), y_{n}(t)-x(t)\right\rangle \text { a.e. } \\
& \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2}\left|y_{n}(t)-x(t)\right|^{2} \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left(v_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)(s)-f(s), y_{n}(s)-x(s)\right) d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{t}\left(v_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)(s)-r_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)(s), y_{n}(s)-x(s)\right) d s \int_{0}^{t}\left(r_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)(s)-f(s), y_{n}(s),-x(s)\right) d s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left(v_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)(s)-r_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)(s), y_{n}(s)-x(s) d s+\int_{0}^{t} k(s)\left|y_{n}(s)-x(s)\right|^{2} d s+\frac{1}{2 n} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\left\|v_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)-r_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)\right\|_{\omega} \rightarrow 0$ and so by lemma 3 we have $v_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)-r_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)$ $\xrightarrow{\omega} 0$ in $L^{2}(T, H)$. Therefore we have

$$
\int_{0}^{t}\left(v_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)(s)-r_{n}\left(y_{n}\right)(s), y_{n}(s)-x(s)\right) d s \rightarrow 0, \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Thus in the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |y(t)-x(t)|^{2} \leq 2 \int_{0}^{t} k(s)|y(s)-x(s)|^{2} d s \\
& \Rightarrow x=y(\text { Gronwall's lemma }) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So $y_{n} \rightarrow x$ in $C(T, H)$ and since $y_{n} \in P_{e}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $P_{c}\left(x_{0}\right)$ is compact (cf. Theorem 1) we conclude that $P_{c}\left(x_{0}\right)={\overline{P_{e}\left(x_{0}\right)}}^{c(T, H)}$.
Q.E.D.

## 6. Convexity vs compactness.

In this section we show that the solution set of (1) is compact in $C(T, H)$ if and only if the multivalued perturbation term $F(t, x)$ is convex-valued. This explains why in optimal control theory relaxable systems are the right systems to study.

We will need the following hypotheses:
$\underline{H(F)}_{3}: F: T \times H \rightarrow P_{f}(H)$ is a multifunction such that
(i) $t \rightarrow F(t, x)$ is measurable, (ii) $h(F(t, x), F(t, y)) \leq k(t)|x-y| a . e$. for every $x, y$ with $k \in L^{1}(T)$, (iii) $|F(t, x)| \leq a_{2}(t)+c_{2}|x|$ a.e with $a_{2} \in L^{2}(T), c_{2}>0$.
$\underline{H_{0}}$ :For every $t_{0} \in[0, b)$ and for every $x_{0} \in H$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that $T_{\delta}=$ $\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+\delta\right] \subseteq T$ for which the solution set of $x(t)+A(t, x(t)) \in F(t, x(t))$ a.e. on $T_{\delta}, x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}$ denoted by $P\left(x_{0} ; T_{\delta}\right)$ is nonempty compact in $C\left(T_{\delta}, H\right)$ (the solution set of the evolution inclusion ith $F(t, x)$ replaced by $\overline{\operatorname{conv}} F(t, x)$ will be denoted as before by $P_{c}\left(x_{0} ; T_{\delta}\right)$.

We will need the following auxiliary result which can be found in Papageorgiou [6], proposition 3.1.

Lemma 7. If $V$ is a compact metric space, $Y$ is a metric space, $Z$ a Polish space and $F: V \times Y \rightarrow P_{f}(Z)$ is a multifunction such that
(a) $(v, y) \rightarrow F(v, y)$ is measurable,
(b) $y \rightarrow F(v, y)$ is l.s.c.,
then for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $V_{\varepsilon} \subseteq V$ compact with $\lambda\left(V_{\varepsilon}^{c}\right)<e$ such that $\left.F\right|_{V_{\varepsilon} \times Y}$ is l.s.c..

This lemma provides a multivalued version of the well-known ScorzaDragoni theorem for single-valued maps. Using this lemma we can now prove the following theorem.

Theorem 8. If hypotheses $H(A), H(F)_{3}$ and $H_{0}$ hold, then for every $[t, x] \in(T \backslash N) \times H, \lambda(N)=0, F(t, x) \in P_{\omega k c}(H)$.

Proof. Suppose not. We will establish the existence of a $\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right) \in[0, b) \times H$ such that $P\left(x_{0} ; T_{\delta}\right)$ is closed in $C(T, H)$ and $P\left(x_{0} ; T_{\delta}\right) \neq P_{c}\left(x_{0} ; T_{\delta}\right)$, which of course contradicts Theorem 6.

So let $T_{1} \subseteq T$ be Lebesgue measurable with $\lambda\left(T_{1}\right)>0(\lambda(\cdot)$ being the Lebesgue measure) such that for every $t \in T_{1}$ we have there exists $x_{t} \in H$ for which $F\left(t, x_{t}\right)$ is not convex. From hypotheses $H(F)_{3}$ (i) and (ii) we have that $(t, x) \rightarrow F(t, x)$ is measurable. Via Rzezuchowski's result [13] we can find a multifunction $F_{0}: T \times H \rightarrow P_{f}(H)$ such that (a) $F_{0}(t, x) \subseteq F(t, x)$ for every $(t, x) \in(T \backslash N) \times H, \quad \lambda(N)=0$; (b) If $\Delta \subseteq T$ is Lebesgue measurable and $x, y: \Delta \rightarrow H$ are measurable functions, then $y(t) \in F(t, x(t))$ a.e. on $\Delta$ implies $y(t) \in F_{0}(t, x(t))$ a.e. on $\Delta$; (c) for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists $C_{\varepsilon} \subseteq T$ closed subset with $\lambda\left(T \backslash C_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \varepsilon$ such that $F_{0} \mid C_{\varepsilon} \times H$ has a closed graph. Note that the nonemptiness of the values of $F_{0}$ follows from theorem 3.1 of Jarnik-Kurzweil [7]. Invoking Lemma 7 above with $e=\lambda\left(T_{1}\right)>0$ and $V=C_{\varepsilon / 2}$ (with $C_{\varepsilon / 2}$ as above) we can produce $T_{2} \subseteq C_{\varepsilon / 2} \subseteq T$ closed with $\lambda\left(C_{\varepsilon / 2} \backslash T_{2}\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, hence $\lambda\left(T_{1} \backslash T_{2}\right)<\varepsilon$ and $\left.F\right|_{T_{2} \times H}$ l.s.c.. Evidently $\lambda\left(T_{2}\right)>b-\lambda\left(T_{1}\right)$ and $\lambda\left(T_{1} \cap T_{2}\right)>0$. Let $t_{0} \in T_{1} \cap T_{2} t_{0}<b$ be a Lebesgue point (i.e. a point of density) for $T_{1} \cap T_{2}$. Then there exists $x_{0} \in H$ such that $F\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)$ is not convex. This means that we can find $y_{0} \in \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right) \backslash F\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)$. Use Michael's selection theorem (see KleinThompson [8]) to find $g: T_{2} \times H \rightarrow H$ a continuous map such that $g(t, x)$ $\in \overline{\operatorname{conv}} F(t, x)$ for every $(t, x) \in T_{2} \times H$ and $g\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)=y_{0}$. Define $\hat{F}: T \times H \rightarrow P_{f}(H)$ by setting $\hat{F}(t, x)=\overline{\operatorname{conv}} F(t, x)$ if $(t, x) \in T_{2}^{c} \times H$ and $\hat{F}(t, x)=\{g(t, x)\}$ if $(t, x)$ $\in T_{2} \times H$. Clearly $\hat{F}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is measurable in $(t, x)$ and 1.s.c. in $x$. Then consider the following multivalued Cauchy problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\dot{x}(t)+A(t, x(t)) \in \hat{F}(t, x(t)) \text { a.e. on } T_{0}=\left[t_{0}, b\right]  \tag{9}\\
x\left(t_{0}\right)=x_{0}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Problem (9) above has a solution $x \notin P\left(x_{0} ; \mathrm{T}_{0}\right)$, but $x \notin P\left(x_{0} ; \mathrm{T}_{0}\right)$ as we will now show. Suppose the contrary. Then $\dot{x}(t)+A(t, x(t)) \in F(t, x(t))$ a.e. on $T_{0}, x\left(t_{0}\right)$ $=x_{0}$. Then $\dot{x}(t)+A(t, x(t)) \in F_{0}(t, x(t))$ a.e. on $T_{0}$ and so $g(t, x(t)) \in F_{0}(t, x(t))$ a.e. on $T_{2} \backslash N, \lambda(N)=0$, For any $\delta>0,\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+\delta\right] \cap T_{2} \backslash N$ has positive Lebesgue measure. We claim that there exists $\delta_{1}>0$ such that for $t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+\delta_{1}\right] \cap T_{2} \backslash N$ we have $d\left(g(t, x(t)), F_{0}(t, x(t))>0\right.$. If not there exists a sequence $t_{n} \rightarrow t_{0}$ such that $g\left(t_{n}, x\left(t_{n}\right)\right) \in F_{0}\left(t_{n}, x\left(t_{n}\right)\right) n \geq 1$. But recall that $F_{0} \mid T_{2} \times H$ has a closed graph. So $g\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right) \in F_{0}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)$ and $d\left(g\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right), F_{0}\left(t_{0}, x_{0}\right)\right)=0 \quad$ a contradiction. Thus $x \notin P\left(x_{0} ; \mathrm{T}_{0}\right)$. Now if $\delta_{2}>0$ is as postulated by hypotheses $H_{0}$ and $\delta=\min \left[\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right]$, we have ${\overline{P\left(x_{0} ; T_{\delta}\right)}}^{c(T, H)} \neq P_{c}\left(x_{0} ; T_{\delta}\right)$ a contradiction to theorem 6.
Q.E.D.

## 7. Examples

Let $T=[0, b]$ and $Z$ a bounded domain in $\boldsymbol{R}^{N}$ with smooth boundary $\Gamma$. Let $D_{k}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{k}} k \in\{1,2, \cdots, N\}$ and for any multi-index $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \cdots, \alpha_{N}\right)$ of positive integers let $D^{\alpha}=D_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots D_{N}^{\alpha_{N}}$. Also let $|\alpha|$ be the length of the multi-index. Let $N_{m}=\frac{(N+m)!}{N!m!}$. Given $x \in W_{0}^{m, p}(Z)$ by $\eta(x)$ we denote the $N_{m}$-tuple of partial derivatives of $x(\cdot)$ up to order $m$, i.e. $\eta(x)=\left\{D^{\alpha} x:|\alpha| \leq m\right\} \subseteq L^{2}(Z) \times \cdots \times L^{2}(Z)$ ( $N_{m}$-times). We consider the following problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\int_{z} \xi(z, x(b, z)) d z \rightarrow \inf =\xi  \tag{10}\\
\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}+\sum_{|\alpha| \leq \mathrm{m}}(-1)^{|\alpha|} A_{\alpha}(t, z, \eta(x(t, z)))=u(t, z) \text { a.e on } T \times Z \\
D^{\beta}|x|_{T \times \Gamma}=0 \text { a.e. for }|\beta| \leq m-1, x(0, z)=x_{0}(z) \text { a.e on } Z \\
\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{2} \leq r(t, x(t,)) \text { a.e. on } T
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Here $A_{\alpha}(t, z, \eta)|\alpha| \leq m$ are $R$-valued functions defined on $T \times Z \times R^{N_{m}}$ and $r: T \times L^{2}(Z) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}_{+}$. Problem (10) corresponds to a terminal optimal control of a parabolic distributed parameter systems with a priori feedback (i.e. statedependent control constraints).

Our hypotheses on the data of (10) are the following: $\underline{H(A)_{1}}: A_{\alpha}: T \times Z \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}^{N_{m}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ is a function such that
(i) $(t, z) \rightarrow A_{\alpha}(t, z, \eta)$ is measurable,
(ii) $\eta \rightarrow A_{\alpha}(t, z, \eta)$ is continuous,
(iii) $\sum_{|\alpha| \leq \mathrm{m}}\left(A_{\alpha}(t, z, \eta)-A_{\alpha}\left(t, z, \eta^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(\eta_{\alpha}-\eta_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right) \geq 0$ a.e. on $Z$,
(iv) $\left|A_{\alpha}(t, z, \eta)\right| \leq a_{1}(t, z)+c_{\|}\|\eta\|^{p-1}$ a.e. on $T \times Z$ with $a_{1} \in L^{q}(T \times Z), c_{1}>0$, $2 \leq p<\infty, \frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{q}=1$,
(v) $\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} A_{\alpha}(t, z, \eta) \eta_{\alpha} \geq c\|\eta\|^{p}$ a.e. on $Z$ with $c>0$.
$\underline{H(r)}: r: T \times L^{2}(Z) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}_{+}$is a function such that
(i) $t \rightarrow r(t, x)$ is measurable,
(ii) $|r(t, x)-r(t, y)| \leq k(t)\|x-y\|_{2} \quad$ a.e. with $k \in L^{1}(T)$,
(iii) $r(t, x) \leq a_{2}(t)+c_{2}\|x\|_{2}$ a.e. with $a_{2} \in L^{2}(T), c_{2}>0$.
$\underline{H(\xi)}: \boldsymbol{\xi}: Z \times R \rightarrow R$ is a function such that
(i) $z \rightarrow \xi(z, r)$ is measurable,
(ii) $r \rightarrow \xi(z, r)$ is continuous,
(iii) $|\xi(z, r)| \leq a_{3}(z)+c_{3}|r|$ a.e. with $a_{2} \in L^{2}(Z), c_{3}>0$.

In this case the evolution triple is $X=W_{0}^{m, p}(Z), H=L^{2}(Z)$ and $X^{*}=W^{-m, q}$ (Z). From the Sobolev embedding theorem we know that all the embeddings are compact. Let $a: T \times W_{0}^{m, p}(Z) \times W_{0}^{m, p}(Z) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ be the time-varying Dirichlet form defined by $a(t, x, y)=\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{z} A_{\alpha}(t, z, \eta(x(z))) D^{\alpha} y(z) d z$. Via Hölder's inequality we can show that

$$
|a(t, x, y)| \leq \gamma_{1}\left(\left\|a_{1}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{q}+\|x\|_{m, p}^{p-1}\right)\|y\|_{m, p}
$$

for some $\gamma_{1}>0$ (here $\|\cdot\|_{m, p}$ denotes the norm of $W_{0}^{m, p}(Z)$ ) So we can find $A: T \times W_{0}^{m, p}(Z) \rightarrow W^{-m, q}(Z)$ such that $a(t, x, y)=\langle A(t, x), y\rangle$. Using hypothesis $H(A)_{1}$ we can easily check that $H(A)$ holds. Also let $F: T \times L^{2}(Z) \rightarrow P_{a k c}\left(L^{2}(Z)\right)$ be defined by $F(t, x)=\left\{u \in L^{2}(Z):\|u\|_{2} \leq r(t, x)\right\}$. By virtue of $H(r)$ we immediately see that $H(F)_{2}$ holds. Finally let $\hat{\xi}: L^{2}(Z) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ be defined by $\hat{\xi}(x)=\int_{z} \xi(z, x(z)) d z$. Because of $H(\xi), \hat{\xi}(\cdot)$ is continuous. Rewrite (10) in the following equivalent abstract form:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\hat{\xi}(x) \rightarrow \inf =m \\
\text { s.t. } \dot{x}(t)+A(t, x(t)) \in F(t, x(t)) \text { a.e. } \\
x(0)=x_{0}(\cdot)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Using Theorems 1 and 6 we get:
Theorem 9. If hypotheses $H\left(A_{1}\right), H(r), H(\xi)$ hold and $x(0, \cdot) \in L^{2}(Z)$ then
problem (10) has a solution $x \in C\left(T, L^{2}(Z)\right) \cap L^{2}\left(T, W_{0}^{m, p}(Z)\right)$ with $\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} \in L^{2}$ $\left(T, W^{-m, q}(Z)\right)$ and also given any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a state $y_{\varepsilon} \in C\left(T, L^{2}(Z)\right)$ $\cap L^{2}\left(T, W_{0}^{m, p}(Z)\right)$ with $\frac{\partial y_{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} \in L^{2}\left(T, W_{0}^{-m, p}(Z)\right)$ generated by a control function $v \in \operatorname{ext} F\left(t, y_{\varepsilon}\right)$ ("bang-bang control") such that $\hat{\xi}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)-\hat{\xi}(x)=\hat{\xi}\left(y_{\varepsilon}\right)-\xi \leq \varepsilon$.

Now consider a problem with a discontinuous nonlinearity $u: Z \times \boldsymbol{R} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$. Following Rauch [12] we define $\underline{u}(z, x)=\varliminf_{y \rightarrow x} u(x, y)$ and $\bar{u}(z, x)=\varlimsup_{y \rightarrow x} u(z, y)$. We know (see Rauch [12]) that $\underline{u}(z, \cdot)$ is lsc and $\bar{u}(z, \cdot)$ is usc. So if we set $F_{0}(t, z, x)=\{v \in R: \quad \xi(t) \underline{u}(z, x) \leq v \leq \xi(t) \bar{u}(z, x)\}, \quad \xi(t) \geq 0 \quad t \in T$, we have that $F_{0}(t, z, \cdot)$ is usc (see Klein-Thompson [8]). Consider the following multivalued p.d.e.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left.\frac{\partial x}{\partial t}-\sum_{k=1}^{N} D_{k}\left(\left|D_{k} x\right|^{p-2} D_{k} x\right) \in F_{0}(t, z, x(t, z))\right) \text { a.e. on } T \times Z  \tag{11}\\
\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left|D_{k} x\right|^{p-2} D_{k} x \cos \left(n, z_{k}\right)_{R^{N}}=v(t, z) \text { on } T \times \Gamma, p \geq 2 \\
x(0, z)=x_{0}(z) \text { a.e. on } Z
\end{array}\right\}
$$

We need the following hypotheses on the data of (11).
$\underline{H(u)}: u: Z \times \boldsymbol{R} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$ is a function such that both $\underline{u}$ and $\bar{u}$ are superpositionally measurable (i.e. for every $x: Z \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R}$.measurable, $z \rightarrow \underline{u}(z, x(z)$ ) and $z \rightarrow$ $\bar{u}(z, x(z))$ are both measurable) and $|u(z, x)| \leq a_{2}(z)+c_{2}|x|$ a.e. with $a_{2} \in L^{2}(z)$, $c_{2}>0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underline{H(\xi)_{1}}: \xi \in L^{2}(T), \xi(t) \geq 0 \text { a.e. } \\
& \underline{H(v)_{1}}: v \in L^{2}\left(T, W^{\frac{1}{q}, p}(\Gamma)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case the evolution triple is $X=W^{1, p}(Z), H=L^{2}(Z)$ and $X^{*}=\left[W^{1, p}(Z)\right]^{*}$. As before by Sobolev's embedding theorem, all embeddings are compact. Let $A: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be defined by

$$
\langle A(x), y\rangle=\sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{z}\left|D_{k} x\right|^{p-2} D_{k} x D_{k} y d z
$$

Using the seminorm $[x]=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{Z}\left|D_{k} x\right|^{p} d z\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ on $W^{1, p}(Z)$, we see that $A(\cdot)$ satisfies hypothesis $H(A)$. Let $F(t, x)=S_{F_{0}(t, x(\cdot))}^{2}$. Hypothesis $H(u)$ guarantees that $H(F)$ holds. So we can apply Theorem 1 and get:

Theorem 10. If hypotheses $H(u), H(\xi)_{1}$ hold and $x_{0}(\cdot) \in L^{2}(Z)$, then problem (11) has a solution $x \in C\left(T, L^{2}(Z)\right) \cap L^{2}\left(T, W^{1 . p}(Z)\right.$ with $\frac{\partial x}{\partial t} \in L^{2}\left(T,\left[W^{1, p}(Z)\right]^{*}\right)$ and the solution set is compact in $C\left(T, L^{2}(Z)\right)$.
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