

## A CRITERION OF ALMOST SURE CONVERGENCE OF ASYMPTOTIC MARTINGALES IN A BANACH SPACE

By

ŁUKASZ KRUK and WIESŁAW ZIĘBA

(Received September 7, 1994, Revised July 3, 1995)

**Abstract.** In this paper we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a  $L^1$ -bounded asymptotic martingale (amart) taking values in a Banach space to converge almost surely in norm: such an asymptotic martingale  $(X_n, F_n, n \geq 1)$  converges a.s. iff it is strongly tight, i.e. for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a compact set  $K_\varepsilon$  such that  $P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [X_n \in K_\varepsilon]\right) > 1 - \varepsilon$ . Moreover, we show that for realvalued martingales the well known theorem of Doob is, in some sense, the best possible—there exists a martingale  $(X_n, n \geq 1)$  such that  $\sup_n E|X_n|^\alpha < \infty$  for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and it diverges a.s. (in fact, it does not even converge in law, although it is strongly tight).

### 1. Introduction.

A classic problem in the theory of martingales is to give conditions which assure their almost sure (a.s.) convergence. The well known Doob's theorem states that every real-valued  $L^1$ -bounded submartingale converges a.s. [8]. It is, in general, false in case of  $L^1$ -bounded martingales taking values in a separable Banach space. Namely, the following is well known: for every separable Banach space  $E$  the fact that every  $L^1$ -bounded  $E$ -valued martingale converges a.s. in norm to an integrable  $X$ -valued random variable (r.v.) is equivalent to the Radon-Nikodym theorem for  $E$ -valued measures with a finite variation and absolutely continuous with respect to the probability measure [3], [8]. This theorem gives an exhaustive answer to the question in which spaces every  $L^1$ -bounded martingale converges a.s. But it may happen that a  $L^1$ -bounded martingale taking values in a space which does not fulfil the Radon-Nikodym condition converges a.s. (e.g. take the space  $E = c_0$  of all real sequences converging to zero with a sup norm,  $e \in c_0$  such that  $\|e\| = 1$  and an arbitrary real-valued martingale  $(X_n, F_n, n \geq 1)$ ; the sequence  $(X_n e, F_n, n \geq 1)$  obviously converges a.s., although an example in [8] shows that not every  $L^1$ -bounded martingale taking values in this space converges a.s.). Thus a question arises: can we

give a necessary and sufficient condition for a  $L^1$ -bounded martingale taking values in a Banach space to converge a.s.? Theorem V.3.9. in [4], which is due to Chatterji [3], answers this question completely in terms of decomposition of vector measures and Radon-Nikodym derivatives. A case of asymptotic martingales is more complicated: if  $E$  has the Radon-Nikodym property and a separable dual space, we need an assumption  $\sup_{\tau \in T} E\|X_\tau\| < \infty$ , where  $T$  is the set of bounded stopping times, to assure weak convergence of the sequence  $(X_n(\omega), n \geq 1)$  for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . It is known that the condition  $\sup_{\tau \in T} E\|X_\tau\| < \infty$  cannot be replaced by  $L^1$ -boundedness of the sequence  $(X_n)$  and none of the conditions concerning  $E$  cannot be omitted. Moreover, convergence in norm need not hold [6]. In this paper we solve the problem of a.s. convergence of amarts by giving a topological characterization: a  $L^1$  bounded asymptotic martingale  $X_n$  taking values in a Banach space  $E$  converges a.s. in norm iff it is strongly tight, i.e. for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a compact set  $K_\varepsilon$  such that  $P(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [X_n \in K_\varepsilon]) > 1 - \varepsilon$ . It can seem a little surprising because in an infinitely dimensional Banach space a ball is not compact. The Baire category theorem (see e.g. [5], theorem I.6.9) states that it is not even  $\sigma$ -compact (i.e. is not a sum of a countable family of compact sets) and thus compact sets in an infinitely dimensional Banach spaces can be regarded as "small".

## 2. Notation and Definitions.

Let  $N$  denote a set of natural numbers, i.e.  $N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . Let  $(\Omega, A, P)$  be a probability space and let  $(F_n, n \geq 1)$  be an increasing sequence of sub- $\sigma$ -fields of  $A$  (i.e.  $F_n \subset F_{n+1} \subset A$  for every  $n \in N$ ). A mapping  $\tau: \Omega \rightarrow N \cup \{\infty\}$  will be called a stopping time with respect to  $(F_n)$  iff for every  $n \in N$  the event  $\{\tau = n\}$  belongs to  $F_n$ . A stopping time  $\tau$  will be called bounded iff there exists  $M \in N$  such that  $P\{\tau \leq M\} = 1$ . A set of all bounded stopping times will be denoted by  $T$ . Let  $E$  be a Banach space with a norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Let  $E'$  be its dual and let  $\|\cdot\|_*$  be a norm in  $E'$ . We shall say that a function  $X: \Omega \rightarrow E$  is weakly measurable iff for every  $x' \in E'$  a function  $x'(X)$  is measurable. A weakly measurable mapping  $X$  is said to be Pettis integrable iff for every  $B \in A$  there exists  $x_B \in E$  such that for every  $x' \in E'$  we have  $\int_B x'(X) dP = x'(x_B)$ . The element  $x_B$  is called a Pettis integral of  $X$  on the set  $B$  and denoted by  $\int_B X dP$ . Moreover, if  $X$  is measurable and  $E\|X\| < \infty$  a.s., then  $X$  is also Bochner integrable and the Bochner integral  $EX$  obviously coincides with the Pettis integral of  $X$  on  $\Omega$  [4]. The set of all Bochner integrable r.v.s. with values in  $E$  (more precisely, the set of all their equivalence classes) will be denoted by  $L_E^1$  or simply by  $L^1$ , where it does not lead to confusion. Let  $F$  be a sub- $\sigma$ -field of  $A$ . Definitions and basic properties of the Bochner integral  $EX$  and

the conditional expectation  $E^F X$  of a r.v.  $X \in L_E^1$  can be found e.g. in [8].

**Definition 1.** A sequence  $(X_n, F_n, n \geq 1)$  will be called a martingale if, for every  $n \in N$ , the following conditions are satisfied.

- (1)  $X_n$  is  $F_n$ -measurable and  $X_n \in L_E^1$ ,
- (2)  $E^{F_n} X_{n+1} = X_n$  a.s.

**Definition 2.** [6] A sequence  $(X_n, F_n, n \geq 1)$  of Pettis integrable r.v.s. is called an asymptotic martingale (amart) iff  $X_n$  is  $F_n$ -measurable for every  $n \in N$  and if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\tau_0 \in T$  such that for every  $\tau, \nu \in T, \tau, \nu \geq \tau_0$  we have

$$(3) \quad \left\| \int X_\tau dP - \int X_\nu dP \right\| < \varepsilon.$$

Obviously, every martingale is an asymptotic martingale.

It is well known that every (strongly) measurable r.v. with values in  $E$  is essentially separably valued (see [4], theorem 2.1.2). Thus, considering a sequence (indexed by elements of  $N$ ) of such r.v.s, we can always assume that they take values in a separable subspace of  $E$ . For simplicity, we assume that  $E$  is itself separable.

**Definition 3.** We shall say that a sequence  $(X_n, n \geq 1)$  of  $E$ -valued r.v.s. is  $L_E^1$  (or simply  $L^1$ )-bounded iff  $\sup_n E \|X_n\| < \infty$  and that it is strongly tight iff for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a compact subset  $K_\varepsilon$  of  $E$  such that

$$(4) \quad P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [X_n \in K_\varepsilon]\right) > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Let us recall that an indexed family  $\{\mu_t, t \in T\}$  of probability measures defined on the  $\sigma$ -field  $B(E)$  of the Borel subsets of  $E$  is called tight iff for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists compact set  $K \subset E$  such that for every  $t \in T$  we have  $\mu_t(K) > 1 - \varepsilon$ . A classic theorem of Prohorov ([2], p. 37) states that in a Polish (i.e. a complete and separable metric) space a family of probability measures is weakly relatively compact iff it is tight. Obviously if a sequence  $(X_n, n \in N)$  is strongly tight, the family of their distributions  $\{\mu_{X_n} : n \in N\}$  is tight, but the reverse implication does not hold, e.g. take a sequence of i.i.d. real r.v.s. having a standard normal distribution.

### 3. Main results.

The following theorem seems to be, in some sense, a counterpart of the mentioned theorem of Prohorov (for almost sure convergence instead of weak convergence) and is crucial to everything what follows.

**Theorem 1 [7].** Let  $S$  be a Polish space and let  $(X_n, n \geq 1)$  be a sequence of r.v.s taking values in  $S$ .

If  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X, n \rightarrow \infty$ , for some r.v.  $X$ , then the sequence  $X_n$  is strongly tight.

**Proof.** It is easy to see that if  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X, n \rightarrow \infty$ , for some r.v.  $X$ , and  $F_n = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ , then  $X_n$  is randomly convergent in law to  $X$ , i.e. for every  $\tau_0 \in T$  such that for every  $\tau \geq \tau_0$  a.s.  $d(X_\tau, X) < \varepsilon$ , where  $d$  denotes the Prokhorov metric. Now we shall show that the family of distributions  $\{P_{X_\tau}, \tau \in T\}$  is tight.

Fix  $\delta > 0$  and a countable dense subset of  $S$ . Let

$$B_m(\delta) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m K(x_i, \delta),$$

where

$$K(x_i, \delta) = \{x \in S : \rho(x_i, x) < \delta\}.$$

Now we shall show that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $m$  such that for every  $\tau \in T$

$$P[X_\tau \in B_m(\delta)] > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Assume that the last statement is false, i.e. there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every  $m \in N$  we can choose  $\tau_m \in T$  such that  $P[X_{\tau_m} \in B_m(\delta)] \leq 1 - \varepsilon$ . For every  $n$  there exists a number  $m(n)$  such that

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n [X_i \notin B_{m(n)}(\delta)]\right) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Moreover, we can assume that  $m(n) > m(n-1)$  and that  $m(n) > n$ . If we put  $\tau'_{m(n)} = \max(\tau_{m(n)}, n+1)$ , then it is easy to see that

$$P[X_{\tau'_{m(n)}} \notin B_{m(n)}(\delta)] \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Thus, by theorem 2.1 [2], for every  $n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_X(B_{m(n)}(\delta)) &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} P_{X_{\tau'_{m(k)}}}(B_{m(n)}(\delta)) \\ &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} P_{X_{\tau'_{m(k)}}}(B_{m(k)}(\delta)) \leq 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

but, on the basis of the axiom of continuity,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_X(B_{m(n)}(\delta)) = 1,$$

contradiction.

Thus for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $k \geq 1$  we can choose a number  $n_k$  such that

$$P\left[X_\tau \in B_{m(n_k)}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)\right] > 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}.$$

Now let

$$K = \overline{\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{m(n_k)}\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)}.$$

It is easy to see that  $K$  is compact and  $P[X_\tau \in K] > 1 - \varepsilon$  for every  $\tau \in T$ . Thus the family  $\{P_{X_\tau}, \tau \in T\}$  is tight.

We are now ready to finish the proof. Assume that theorem 1 is false, i.e. there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for any compact set  $K$

$$P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [X_n \in K]\right) \leq 1 - 2\varepsilon.$$

By the other hand, there exists a compact set  $K_\varepsilon$  such that

$$P[X_\tau \in K_\varepsilon] > 1 - \varepsilon \quad \text{for every } \tau \in T.$$

Let  $\tau(\omega) = \inf\{s : X_s \notin K_\varepsilon\}$ . If  $\tau_n = \min(\tau, n)$ , then  $\tau_n \in T$  and

$$P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [X_n \notin K_\varepsilon]\right) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[X_{\tau_n} \notin K_\varepsilon] \leq \varepsilon.$$

The proof is complete.

Thus every a.s. convergent sequence of r.v.s. taking values in a Banach space is tight. Now we shall show that strong tightness assures a.s. convergence of a  $L^1$ -bounded asymptotic martingale.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space and let  $K \subset E$  be compact. There exists a countable family  $x'_k \in E'$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , such that for an arbitrary sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of elements of  $K$   $x_n \rightarrow x_\infty$  for some  $x_\infty$  iff for every  $k \in N$  the sequence  $\{x'_k(x_n), n \geq 1\}$  is convergent.*

**Remark.** Let us mention that in general if  $x_n$  is a sequence of elements of a Banach space  $E$ , convergence of all the sequences  $x'_k(x_n), k \geq 1, x'_k \in E'$ , does not even imply weak convergence of  $x_n$  to some  $x_\infty \in E$ , for example a sequence  $x_n = (\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_n, 0, 0, \dots)$  in the space  $c_0$  of real sequences converging to zero does not converge weakly.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space and let  $(X_n, n \geq 1)$  be a strongly tight sequence of  $E$ -valued r.v.s. There exists a countable subset  $\{x'_k, k \in N\} \subset E'$  such that  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$  for some r.v.  $X$  iff for every  $k \in N$  the sequence  $\{x'_k(X_n), n \geq 1\}$  converges a.s.*

**Proof.** It is obvious that if  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ , then for every  $x' \in E'$   $x'(X_n) \xrightarrow{a.s.} x'(X)$ . Conversely, let us, for  $p \in N$ , take a compact set  $K_{1/p}$  fulfilling (4) for  $\varepsilon =$

$1/p$ . By lemma 1 there exist functionals  $\{x_l^p, l \geq 1\}$  such that for every sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of elements of  $K_{1/p}x_n \rightarrow x$  for some  $x$  iff all the sequences  $\{x_l^p(x_n), n \geq 1\}, l \geq 1$ , converge. Take  $\{x_k^l\} = \{x_l^p; p, l \in N\}$ . Let us suppose that all the sequences  $\{x_k^l(X_n), n \geq 1\}$  converge a.s. Let  $\Omega_0 = \{\omega \in \Omega: \text{the sequence } (x_k^l(X_n(\omega)), n \geq 1) \text{ converges for every } k \in N\}$ . We have  $P(\Omega_0) = 1$ . Let  $A_p = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [X_n \in K_{1/p}]$ . By (3),  $P(A_p) > 1 - 1/p$ , so if we put  $\Omega_1 = \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} A_p$ , we have  $P(\Omega_1) = 1$ . Let  $\omega \in \Omega_0 \cap \Omega_1$ . There exists  $p \in N$  such that  $\omega \in A_p$ , so  $X_n(\omega) \in K_{1/p}$  for all  $n \in N$  and, because  $\omega \in \Omega_0$ , the sequence  $x_l^p(X_n(\omega))$  converges for all  $l \in N$ . Thus  $X_n(\omega) \rightarrow X(\omega)$  for some  $X(\omega) \in E$ , so the sequence  $X_n$  converges a.s. and obviously its limit  $X$  is measurable. The proof is complete.

**Corollary.** *A sequence  $(X_n, n \geq 1)$  of r.v.s taking values in a Banach space converges a.s. iff it is strongly tight and for every  $x' \in E'$  the sequence  $x'(X_n)$  converges a.s.*

Now we are ready to prove our main result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(X_n, F_n, n \geq 1)$  be a  $L^1$ -bounded asymptotic martingale taking values in a Banach space  $E$ .  $X_n \rightarrow X$  for some integrable r.v.  $X$  if and only if the sequence  $X_n$  is strongly tight.*

**Proof.** Necessity of strong tightness of  $(X_n)$  for its a.s. convergence follows from theorem 1 (see also a remark after definition 1). Conversely, assume that  $(X_n)$  is strongly tight. For every  $x' \in E'$  the sequence  $(x'(X_n), F_n, n \geq 1)$  is a  $L^1$ -bounded real asymptotic martingale and thus converges a.s. [1]. Indeed, let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be arbitrary and let  $\tau_0 \in T$  be such that for every  $\tau, \sigma \in T, \tau, \sigma \geq \tau_0$  a.s., (3) holds. Thus

$$|Ex'(X_\tau) - Ex'(X_\sigma)| = |x'(EX_\tau) - x'(EX_\sigma)| \leq \|x'\|_* |EX - EX_\tau| \leq \|x'\|_* \varepsilon,$$

what proves the amart property.  $L^1$ -boundedness follows from

$$\sup_n E|x'(X_n)| \leq \|x'\|_* \sup_n E\|X_n\| < \infty.$$

By the last corollary  $X_n$  converges a.s. Integrability of its limit follows easily from  $L$ -boundedness of  $X_n$  and the Fatou lemma.

We shall also give another proof, which makes use of theorem 5 in [1].

Fix  $n$  and let  $S = K_{1/n}$  (see definition 3). Let  $C = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} [X_n \in S]$ , by hypothesis  $P(C) > 1 - 1/n$ . Lemma 1 says, in the terminology of [5], that there exists a determining set  $\mathcal{K}$  for  $S$  which consists of linear functionals from  $E'$  truncated to  $S$ . Let  $x' \in \mathcal{K}$ . Consider a probability space  $(C, C \cup A, P_1)$  and a sequence of real r.v.s  $(Y_n, C \cap F_n, n \geq 1)$ , where  $C \cap A = \{C \cap D: D \in A\}$ , similarly  $C \cap F_n = \{C \cap D: D \in F_n\}$ ,  $Y_n$  is the r.v.  $x'(X_n)$  truncated to  $C$ , and  $P_1$

is simply the measure  $P$  truncated to  $C \cap A$  and divided (normalized) by dividing by  $P(C)$ .  $x'(S)$  is compact, hence bounded, on the real axis, so, by definition,  $Y_n$  is bounded by some real constant. As in the previous proof, we check that  $x'(X_n)$  converges a.s., hence  $Y_n$  converges a.s. By corollary 1 from [1] ( $Y_n, C \cap F_n$ ) is an amart, of course  $L^1$ -bounded. Thus, by theorem 5 from [1],  $X_n$  converges a.s. on  $C$ , so, by an obvious argument, it converges a.s. on  $\Omega$ .

**4. Examples.**

1. If a  $L^1$ -bounded martingale  $(X_n, F_n, n \geq 1)$  takes values in a finite-dimensional subspace  $E_1$  of  $E$ , it converges a.s. Indeed, as it is mentioned in [8], p. 108, the sequence  $(\|X_n\|, F_n, n \geq 1)$  is a real-valued  $L^1$ -bounded submartingale and thus  $Z = \sup_n \|X_n\| < \infty$  a.s. This fact, in connection with compactness of a ball in  $E_1$ , yields (4).

2. In [8], p. 111, we can find an interesting example of a  $L^1$ -bounded martingale taking values in  $c_0$  which diverges a.s. We shall see how our criterion works in that case.

Let  $Y_n$  denote a sequence of i.i.d real r.v.s such that  $P[Y_n = \pm 1] = 1/2$  and let  $X_n = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n, 0, 0, \dots)$ .

Let us remark that if we put

$$A = \{y = (y_1, y_2, \dots) \in c_0 : \exists n_0(y) (\forall n > n_0(y) y_n = 0 \vee \forall n \leq n_0(y) y_n = \pm 1)\},$$

then for  $a, b \in A, a \neq b$ , we have  $\|a - b\| \geq 1$  and thus every compact subset of  $A$  is finite. Now it is obvious that in this case (4) cannot hold.

3. Let, for every  $k \in N, (X_n^k, F_n^k, n \geq 1)$  be a real martingale such that  $P(|X_n^k| > 1) = 0$  for all  $n \in N$  and  $\sigma$ -fields  $F_\infty^k = \sigma(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty F_n^k), k \in n$ , are independent on one another. Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  be a sequence of real numbers which converges to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Put

$$X_n = (a_1 X_n^1, a_2 X_n^2, a_3 X_n^3, \dots) \text{ and } F_n = \sigma\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty F_n^k\right).$$

$(X_n, F_n, n \geq 1)$  is a  $L^1$ -bounded martingale taking values in  $c_0$ . Indeed,  $X_n$  is  $F_n$ -measurable and  $\|X_n\| \leq \sup_m |a_m| < \infty$  for every  $n$ . It remains to verify the martingale property. Obviously  $E^{F_n} X_{n+1}$  exists. The only question is whether or not it equals to  $X_n$  a.s.

Let  $x'_i \in c'_0, x'_i((x_1, x_2, \dots)) = x_i$ , be the coordinate mappings in  $c_0$ . We have

$$(17) \quad x'_i(E^{F_n} X_{n+1}) = E^{F_n} x'_i(X_{n+1}) = a_i E^{F_n} X_{n+1}^i.$$

But

$$(18) \quad E^{F_n} X_{n+1}^i = E^{F_n^i} X_{n+1}^i.$$

Indeed,  $E^{F_n^i} X_{n+1}^i$  is  $F_n$ -measurable. It remains to check that

$$(19) \quad \forall A \in F_n \int_A X_{n+1}^l dP = \int_A E^{F_n^l} X_{n+1}^l dP.$$

It suffices to verify (19) for sets  $A = B \cap C$ , where  $B \in F_n^l$ ,  $C \in \sigma(\cup_{m \neq l} F_n^m)$ , because they form a  $\pi$ -system generating a  $\lambda$ -system  $F_n$  (see the Dynkin theorem e.g. in [2]). But

$$(20) \quad \int_{B \cap C} E^{F_n^l} X_{n+1}^l dP = P(C) \int_B E^{F_n^l} X_{n+1}^l dP = P(C) \int_B X_{n+1}^l dP = \int_{B \cap C} X_{n+1}^l dP,$$

(the first and the last equality follow from an easy to prove fact that if  $B \in F_n^l$ ,  $X$  is a  $F_n^l$ -measurable, integrable r.v. and  $C \in \sigma(\cup_{m \neq l} F_n^m)$ , then  $C$  is independent of  $F_n^l$  and thus

$$(21) \quad \int_{B \cap C} X dP = P(C) \int_B X dP.$$

We have proved (18).

Thus, by (17) and (18), we have

$$(22) \quad x_i'(E^{F_n} X_{n+1}) = a_i E^{F_n^l} X_{n+1}^l = a_i X_n^l,$$

so  $E^{F_n} X_{n+1} = (a_1 X_n^1, a_2 X_n^2, \dots) = X_n$ . We have proved the martingale property (in fact only integrability of  $X_n$ , the martingale property of their coordinates and independence of  $F_n^k$  have been used).

It is easy to see that a set  $K = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in c_0 : \forall k \in N \ |x_k| \leq |a_k|\}$  is compact in  $c_0$ , because  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in K} \|R_n x\| = 0$ , where  $R_n((x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots)) = (0, \dots, 0, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots)$ . Thus the martingale  $X_n$  converges a.s., because  $P(X_n \in K) = 1$  for every  $n \in N$  and thus this sequence is strongly tight.

It is natural to pose a question: is strong tightness sufficient for a.s. convergence of an arbitrary asymptotic martingale (not necessarily  $L^1$ -bounded)? Unfortunately, the answer is negative even in the case of real martingales which are  $L^\alpha$ -bounded for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ; a counter-example is given below.

Let  $(\Omega, A, P) = ([0, 1], B([0, 1]), \mu)$ , where  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure on the unit interval and let  $F_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ . We construct the  $\sigma$ -field  $F_{n+1}$  from  $F_n$  by dividing each atom of  $F_n$  into two parts, one of which has  $1/2^{n+1}$  of the mass of the previous one and the second one has  $1 - 1/2^{n+1}$  of it.

Let  $X_0 = 0$  a.s. and let  $P(X_1 = \pm 1) = 1/2$ . We construct  $X_{n+1}$  from  $X_n$  in the following way. If  $n$  is even (odd), we take each atom of  $F_n$ , we divide it into parts as above, we put  $X_{n+1} = 1$  (0) on the bigger one and such (constant) number on the second one, that the martingale property is retained. In what follows we shall call this procedure balancing to 1 (0). E.g.  $P(X_2 = 0) = 3/4$  and  $P(X_2 = \pm 4) = 1/8$ .  $(X_n, F_n, n \geq 0)$  is a real martingale. It is easy to see that in the real case strong tightness of the sequence  $X_n$  is equivalent to

$$(23) \quad \sup_n |X_n| < \infty \quad \text{a.s.}$$



and that the martingale defined above fulfils this condition (it suffices to use the Borel-Cantelli lemma to verify that  $P(X_n \notin \{0, 1\} \text{ for infinitely many } n) = 0$ ).

But  $X_{2n} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0$  and  $X_{2n+1} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so the martingale  $X_n$  does not even converge in law.

We shall show that  $X_n$  exhibits one more interesting property: for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  it is  $L^\alpha$ -bounded, i.e.

$$(24) \quad \sup_n E|X_n|^\alpha < \infty.$$

Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Consider the way in which we construct  $X_{n+1}$  from  $X_n$ . We have two types of atoms:

i) Atoms, for which  $X_n = 0$  (if  $n$  is even) or  $X_n = 1$  (if  $n$  is odd) by the basic construction principle. Probability of the sum of these atoms is equal to  $1 - 1/2^n$ .

ii) Atoms for which  $|X_n| = a = \text{const}$ ,  $|a| > 1$  (in fact,  $X_n$  takes only integer values and thus  $|a| \geq 2$ ).

iii) Atoms for which  $X_n = 1$  if  $n$  is even) or  $X_n = 0$  (if  $n$  is odd). It is easy to see that on these atoms  $X_{n+1} = X_n$  a.s., thus if we compare  $E|X_{n+1}|^\alpha$  to  $E|X_n|^\alpha$ , we can take into account only atoms of type i) and ii).

You can ask whether  $X_n = -1$  on some atom of  $F_n$  (none of the cases i)-iii) covers this situation). It really happens if  $n = 1$ , but for  $n \geq 2$  it is impossible, because it is impossible to balance an integer on  $1 - 1/2^{n+1}$  of an atom to another integer putting  $X_{n+1} = -1$  on the remaining  $1/2^{n+1}$  of it.

We shall estimate the change of  $E|X_n|^\alpha$  on the atoms of types i) and ii).

i) a)  $n$  is even, so we balance 0 to 1.

Let  $B$  be an atom of  $F_n$  such that  $X_n = 0$  on  $B$ . We divide  $B$  into  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ ,  $P(B_1) = (1 - 1/2^{n+1})P(B)$ ,  $P(B_2) = 1/2^{n+1}P(B)$ .

We put  $X_{n+1} = 1$  on  $B_1$  and thus we must put  $X_{n+1} = 1 - 2^{n+1}$  on  $B_2$  to retain the martingale property. Thus

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} E|X_{n+1}|^\alpha I_B &= P(B) \left[ 1^\alpha \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \right) + (2^{n+1} - 1)^\alpha \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \right] \\ &= P(B) \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{(2^{n+1} - 1)^\alpha}{2^{n+1}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over all atoms  $B$  of type i) we obtain

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} S_1^{n+1} &= \sum_B E|X_{n+1}|^\alpha I_B = \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^n} \right) \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{(2^{n+1} - 1)^\alpha}{2^{n+1}} \right] \\ &< \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2^n} \right) \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} + \left( \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \right)^{1-\alpha} \right] < 2. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that on these atoms  $S_1^n = E|X_n|^\alpha I_B = 0$ , so the total increase of  $S_1^n$  is in this case less than 2.

b) We balance 1 to 0,  $n$  is odd.

We take an atom  $B \in F_n$  such that  $X_n=1$  on  $B$ . We divide it into  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  like in the point a) and we put  $X_{n+1}=0$  on  $B_1$  and  $X_{n+1}=2^{n+1}$  on  $B_2$ . Similarly like in a)

$$(27) \quad S_2^{n+1} = \sum_B E |X_{n+1}|^\alpha I_B = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}\right) \frac{1}{2^{(n+1)(1-\alpha)}}$$

and

$$(28) \quad S_2^n = \sum_B E |X_n|^\alpha I_B = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}\right) \cdot 1 = 1 - \frac{1}{2^n},$$

thus here  $S_2^n$  decreases.

Let us suppose that  $n$  is even and figure the increase from  $E|X_n|^\alpha$  to  $E|X_{n+2}|^\alpha$  only on the atoms of type i).

From  $X_n$  to  $X_{n+1}$  the increase of  $E|\cdot|^\alpha$  is less than

$$(29) \quad \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} + \left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right)^{1-\alpha}\right)$$

and from  $X_{n+1}$  to  $X_{n+2}$  we have a decrease

$$(30) \quad \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{2^{n+2}}\right)^{1-\alpha}\right).$$

Thus the increase of  $E|\cdot|^\alpha$  from  $X_n$  to  $X_{n+2}$  is less than

$$(31) \quad \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right) \left[\left(\frac{1}{2^{n+2}}\right)^{1-\alpha} - \frac{1}{2^n}\right] + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^n}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right)^{1-\alpha} \\ < \left(\frac{1}{2^{1-\alpha}}\right)^{n+2} + \left(\frac{1}{2^{1-\alpha}}\right)^{n+1} - \frac{1}{2^n}.$$

The series  $\sum (1/2^{1-\alpha})^n$  and  $\sum 1/2^n$  both converge and thus the increase of  $E|\cdot|^\alpha$  only on all atoms of type i) after an arbitrary even number of steps is bounded above by some integer  $M$  and so, by a), the increase after an odd (and thus an arbitrary) number of steps is bounded above by  $M+2$ .

ii) a) We balance from  $a$  to 0,  $n$  is odd,  $|a| \geq 2$ .

We take an atom  $B \in F_n$  such that  $X_n=a$  on  $B$ , divide it into  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  like in i) a) and put  $X_{n+1}=0$  on  $B_1$  and  $X_{n+1}=2^{n+1}a$  on  $B_2$ .

$$(32) \quad B |X_n|^\alpha I_B = |a|^\alpha P(B)$$

and

$$(33) \quad E |X_{n+1}|^\alpha I_B = P(B) \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} 2^{(n+1)\alpha} |a|^\alpha,$$

$$\text{so } \frac{E |X_{n+1}|^\alpha I_B}{E |X_n|^\alpha I_B} = \frac{1}{2^{(n+1)(1-\alpha)}} < 1,$$

thus on these atoms  $E|\cdot|^\alpha$  decreases.

b) We balance from  $a$  to 1,  $|a| \geq 2$ ,  $n$  is even.

We take an atom  $B \in F_n$  such that  $X_n = a$  on it, divide it into  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  as above and put  $X_{n+1} = 1$  on  $B_1$ ,  $X_{n+1} = 2^{n+1}(a-1)+1$  on  $B_2$ .

$$(34) \quad E|X_n|^\alpha I_B = P(B)|a|^\alpha$$

and

$$(35) \quad E|X_{n+1}|^\alpha I_B = P(B) \left[ |2^{n+1}(a-1)+1|^\alpha \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} + 1^\alpha \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right) \right] \\ \leq P(B) \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} + (2^{n+2}|a|)^\alpha \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \right] < P(B) [1 + |a|^{\alpha(2^{n+1}(a-1)+1)}],$$

the inequality follows from  $2^{n+1}(a-1)+1 < 2^{n+1}a < 2^{n+2}a$  and  $|a-1| = -a+1 < 2(-a) = 2|a|$  (and thus, because all the numbers used are integers,  $2^{n+1}|a-1|+1 < 2^{n+2}|a|$ ) for  $a \leq -2$ , so for every integer  $a$  such that  $|a| \geq 2$  we have  $|2^{n+1}(a-1)+1| < 2^{n+2}|a|$ .

But  $(n+1)(\alpha-1)+\alpha \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , thus there exists  $n_0$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$  we have

$$(36) \quad \frac{E|X_{n+1}|^\alpha I_B}{E|X_n|^\alpha I_B} < \frac{1 + |a|^{\alpha(1-1/2^n)}}{|a|^\alpha} < \frac{1}{|a|^\alpha} + 1 - \frac{1}{2^\alpha} \leq 1.$$

Thus for  $n \geq n_0$  (not dependent on  $a$ )  $E|\cdot|^\alpha$  decreases.

Finally, taking into account i), ii) and iii) we can state that the sequence  $E|X_n|^\alpha$  is bounded.

The above example shows that the well known Doob's theorem stating that every  $L^1$ -bounded real martingale converges a.s. is, in some sense, the best possible: it cannot be extended to any  $L^\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .

Using the martingale  $(X_n, F_n, n \geq 1)$  described above we can easily construct an example of a martingale which converges in law and does not converge in probability.

Let  $(\Omega, A, P) = ([0, 2], B([0, 2]), \mu/2)$ , i.e.  $\mu/2(A) = \mu(A)/2$  for every  $A \in B([0, 2])$ , where  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure, and let  $Y_n(\omega) = X_n(\omega)$  for  $\omega \in [0, 1]$  and  $Y_n(\omega) = 1 - X_n(\omega - 1)$  for  $\omega \in (1, 2]$ ,  $B_n = \sigma(F_n, F_{n+1})$ , where  $F_{n+1} = \{A+1 : A \in F_n\}$  and  $A+1 = \{\omega+1 : \omega \in A\}$ .

It is obvious that  $(Y_n, B_n, n \geq 0)$  is an integrable martingale. Let us remark that  $Y_{2n+1} \rightarrow Y_\infty$  and  $Y_{2n} \rightarrow 1 - Y_\infty$ , where  $Y_\infty = 1$  on  $[0, 1]$  and  $Y_\infty = 0$  on  $(1, 2]$ . Thus  $Y_n$  clearly does not converge in probability, although it converges in law, because the laws of  $Y_\infty$  and  $1 - Y_\infty$  are equal.

**Acknowledgements.** The authors thank the referee for all his comments, especially for the idea of the second proof of Theorem 2.

### References

- [1] Austin, D.G., Edgar, G.A. and Ionescu Tulcea, A. (1974), Pointwise convergence in terms of expectations. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Gebiete* 30, 17-26.
- [2] Billingsley, P. (1979), *Probability and Measure*, Wiley, New York.
- [3] Chatterji, S.D. (1968), Martingale convergence and the Radon-Nikodym theorem in Banach spaces. *Math. Scand.* 22, 21-41.
- [4] Diestel, J. and Uhl, J.J. (1977). *Vector Measures*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island.
- [5] Dunford, N. and Schwartz, J.T. (1957). *Linear Operators Part I*. Interscience, New York.
- [6] Edgar, G.A. and Sucheston, L. (1976), Amarts: a class of asymptotic martingales. A. Discrete parameter. *Journ. of Multivar. Anal.* 6, No. 2, 193-221.
- [7] Kruk, Ł. and Zięba, W. (1994), On tightness of randomly indexed sequences of random elements. *Bull. Pol. Ac.: Math.* 42, 237-241.
- [8] Neveu, J. (1975), *Discrete-Parameter Martingales*. North Holland/American Elsevier.

Institute of Mathematics,  
Maria Curie-Skłodowska University,  
pl. Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej 1,  
20-031 Lublin,  
Poland  
e-mail: lkruk@golem.umcs.lublin.pl

Institute of Mathematics,  
Maria Curie-Skłodowska University,  
pl. Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej 1,  
20-031 Lublin,  
Poland  
e-mail: zieba@golem.umcs.lublin.pl