

SOME GENERALIZATIONS OF SURJECTIVITY THEOREMS FOR COMPACT PERTURBATIONS OF M -ACCRETIVE OPERATORS

By

NAOKI SHIOJI

(Received October 3, 1993)

Abstract. In this paper, we show some conditions that the range of compact perturbation of an m -accretive operator contains $B_r(o)$ for some $r > 0$.

1. Introduction

Let E be a Banach space, let $A \subset E \times E$ be an m -accretive operator on E , and let C be a compact mapping. Several authors studied surjectivity problem for compact perturbation $A - C$ of the m -accretive operator A [2, 4-8, 11]. The following theorem [2] is one of them.

Theorem A (Chen). *Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space, let $A \subset E \times E$ be an m -accretive operator and let $C : \overline{D(A)} \rightarrow E$ be a compact mapping. Suppose that there exist $a, b, r > 0$ such that*

$$\inf_{x \in D(A), \|x\| \geq b} (|Ax - Cx| - a\|x\|) \geq r \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{x \in D(A), \|x\| \geq b} \frac{\|Cx\|}{\|x\|} < a.$$

If $(A - C)(D(A) \cap \overline{B_b(o)})$ is closed, then $B_r(o) \subset R(A - C)$.

The object of this paper is to obtain some generalizations of the above theorem. In our results, the region, $\{x \in D(A) : \|x\| \geq b\}$ in the above theorem, where the infimum of some expression is taken, will be a bounded set $\{x \in D(A) : \|x\| = b\}$. In Section 2, we give preliminary definitions. In Section 3, we study the surjectivity for compact perturbations of m -accretive operators under several conditions. Our proofs are very simple by using Browder-Potter's fixed point theorem, Rothe's fixed point theorem and Borsuck's theorem.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47H10, 47H17; Secondary 35B20, 47B07, 47H06.

Key words and phrases: surjectivity theorems, compact perturbations, accretive operators, fixed point theorems.

2. Preliminaries

Let E be a Banach space and let E^* be its dual, that is, the space of all continuous linear functionals on E . The value of $f \in E^*$ at $x \in E$ will be denoted by $\langle x, f \rangle$. The duality mapping J from E into E^* is defined by

$$Jx = \{x^* \in E^* : \langle x, x^* \rangle = \|x\| \|x^*\| = \|x\|^2\}.$$

Let $A \subseteq E \times E$ be a (multivalued) operator. Then we define

$$D(A) = \{x \in E : Ax \neq \emptyset\}, \quad R(A) = \cup \{Ax : x \in D(A)\},$$

and

$$|Ax| = \inf \{\|y\| : y \in Ax\} \quad \text{for each } x \in D(A).$$

A is said to be accretive if for each $x, y \in D(A)$ and for each $u \in Ax, v \in Ay$, there exists $j \in J(x-y)$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \langle u-v, j \rangle \geq 0.$$

An accretive operator A is said to be m -accretive if

$$R(I + \lambda A) = E, \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

It is well known that if E is a uniformly convex Banach space and $A \subseteq E \times E$ is an m -accretive operator then $\overline{D(A)}$ is a convex subset of E . Let A be an m -accretive operator on E . Then for any $\lambda > 0$, we can define a single valued operator $(\lambda I + A)^{-1} : E \rightarrow D(A)$. It is known that $(\lambda I + A)^{-1}$ is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant $1/\lambda$. Let K be a subset of E . The symbols $\partial K, \bar{K}, \operatorname{co}K, \overline{\operatorname{co}K}$ denote the boundary of K , the closure of K , the convex hull of K , and the closed convex hull of K , respectively. A mapping $C : K \rightarrow E$ is said to be compact if it is continuous and it maps every bounded subset of K to relatively compact subset of E . A mapping $T : K \rightarrow E$ is said to be nonexpansive if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in K.$$

It is well known [1, Theorem 8.4] that if K is a bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space E and $T : K \rightarrow E$ is a nonexpansive mapping $I - T$ is demiclosed, i.e., $x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly and $x_n - Tx_n \rightarrow y$ strongly imply $x - Tx = y$. It is also well known that in a uniformly convex Banach space, an m -accretive operator is demiclosed. As generalized demiclosedness conditions concerning accretive operators, we know the following [11, Theorem 1], [8, Lemma 1].

Proposition 1 (Takahashi and Zhang). *Let E be a Banach space and $f \in E$.*

Let $A \subset E \times E$ be an accretive operator such that $\overline{\text{co}}D(A) + f \subset R(I + A)$ and each bounded closed convex subset of $\overline{\text{co}}D(A)$ has the fixed point property for non-expansive self-mappings. If there exist $(x_n, y_n) \in A$ such that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and $y_n \rightarrow f$ strongly, then there exists $v \in D(A)$ such that $f \in Av$.

Proposition 2 (Kartsatos). *Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space, let $A \subset E \times E$ be an m -accretive operator and let $C : \overline{D(A)} \rightarrow E$ be a continuous mapping from the weak topology of E to the strong topology of E . Let $y \in E$ and let $b > 0$. If there are a positive sequence $\{\beta_n\}$ with $\beta_n \rightarrow 0$ and a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset D(A) \cap \overline{B_b(o)}$ such that*

$$Cx_n + y \in \beta_n x_n + Ax_n, \quad n=1, 2, \dots,$$

then there exists $x_0 \in D(A) \cap \overline{B_b(o)}$ such that $y \in (A - C)x_0$.

The following is a special case of Dugundji's extension theorem (cf. [3, Theorem 7.2]), which is used in our proof.

Proposition 3. *Let X and Y be normed linear spaces, let $K \subset X$ be a closed subset and let $F : K \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous mapping. Then F has a continuous extension $\tilde{F} : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\tilde{F}(X) \subset \text{co}F(K)$.*

Throughout this paper, o denotes the origin of a Banach space and $B_r(o)$ denotes the open ball with center o and radius $r > 0$.

3. Main results

In the following theorem, we show several conditions which guarantee surjectivity for compact perturbations of m -accretive operators.

Theorem 1. *Let E be a Banach space, let $A \subset E \times E$ be an m -accretive operator and let $C : \overline{\text{co}}D(A) \rightarrow E$ be a compact mapping. Suppose that one of the following conditions is satisfied:*

(i) $o \in D(A)$, and there exist positive constants b and r such that

$$|Ao| < r \leq \inf_{\substack{x \in D(A) \\ \|x\|=b}} (\max_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} |Ax - \alpha Cx| - \|Cx\|);$$

(ii) $o \in D(A)$, and there exist positive constants b and r such that

$$|Ao| < r \leq \inf_{\substack{x \in D(A) \\ \|x\|=b}} (|Ax| - \|Cx\|);$$

(iii) there exist positive constants a , b and r such that

$$\inf_{\substack{x \in D(A) \\ \|x\|=b}} (\max_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} |Ax - \alpha Cx| - \|Cx\|) \geq r \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{\substack{x \in D(A) \\ \|x\|>b}} (|Ax| - a\|x\|) \geq 0;$$

(iv) $D(A)$ is symmetric, A and C are odd, and there exist positive constants b and r such that

$$r \leq \inf_{\substack{x \in D(A) \\ \|x\|=b}} |Ax - Cx|;$$

(v) $x \in D(A)$ implies $\mu x \in D(A)$ for any $\mu \in (0, 1)$, and there exist positive constants b and r such that

$$\inf_{\substack{x \in D(A) \\ \|x\|=b \\ j \in J_x}} \sup_{w \in Ax} \operatorname{Re} \langle w - Cx, j \rangle \geq rb.$$

Then for any $y \in B_r(o)$ and for any sufficiently small $\beta > 0$, there exists $x \in D(A) \cap \overline{B_b(o)}$ such that $y - \beta x \in (A - C)x$.

Remark. If $\{x \in D(A) : \|x\|=b\} = \emptyset$, then the conditions (i), (ii), (iv) and (v) are satisfied for any sufficiently large r .

Proof. Let $I: \overline{\operatorname{co}}D(A) \rightarrow E$ be the identity mapping. By Proposition 3, I has a continuous extension $\tilde{I}: E \rightarrow E$ such that $\tilde{I}(E) \subset \overline{\operatorname{co}}D(A)$. We denote $C\tilde{I}$ by \tilde{C} .

Case (i): Let $y \in B_r(o)$. Choose $s > 0$ such that

$$\max\{|Ao|, \|y\|\} < s < r.$$

Let β be a positive number with $\beta < (r - s)/b$. From the definition of $|\cdot|$, there exists a point $z \in Ao$ with $\|z\| < s$. Let $S_1: \overline{B_b(o)} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow E$ be a compact mapping defined by

$$S_1(x, t) = (\beta I + A)^{-1}(tCx + ty + (1-t)z), \quad (x, t) \in \overline{B_b(o)} \times [0, 1].$$

First we show that

$$S_1(x, 0) = o, \quad \forall x \in \partial \overline{B_b(o)}.$$

In fact, $z \in Ao$ implies $z \in (\beta I + A)o$, and hence for any $x \in \partial \overline{B_b(o)}$,

$$S_1(x, 0) = (\beta I + A)^{-1}z = o.$$

Next we show that for any $x \in \partial \overline{B_b(o)}$,

$$S_1(x, t) \neq x, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Suppose that there exists a point $(x, t) \in \partial \overline{B_b(o)} \times [0, 1]$ such that $S_1(x, t) = x$. Then we have $\|x\| = b$, $x \in D(A)$ and

$$tCx + ty + (1-t)z \in \beta x + Ax. \quad (*)$$

On the other hand, from (i), we get

$$\begin{aligned} s &\leq \max_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} |Ax - \alpha Cx| - \|Cx\| + s - r \\ &\leq |\beta x + Ax - tCx| + \beta \|x\| + \max_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} |t - \alpha| \|Cx\| - \|Cx\| + s - r \\ &\leq |\beta x + Ax - tCx| + \beta b + s - r \\ &< |\beta x + Ax - tCx|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|ty + (1-t)z\| < s$, the inequality contradicts (*). Hence, by Browder-Potter's fixed point theorem [9, Theorem 4.3.3], there exists a point $x \in \overline{B_b(o)}$ such that $S_1(x, 1) = x$. This implies that $x \in D(A) \cap \overline{B_b(o)}$ and $y + Cx \in \beta x + Ax$.

Case (ii): The condition (ii) is a special case of the condition (i).

Case (iii): Let $y \in B_r(o)$ and let β be a positive number with $\beta < \min\{a, (r - \|y\|)/b\}$. We define a compact mapping $S_3: \overline{B_b(o)} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow E$ by

$$S_3(x, t) = (\beta I + A)^{-1}(t\tilde{C}x + ty), \quad (x, t) \in \overline{B_b(o)} \times [0, 1].$$

First we show that if $x \in \partial \overline{B_b(o)}$ then $\|S_3(x, 0)\| \leq b$. Suppose that there exists a point $x \in \partial \overline{B_b(o)}$ such that $\|S_3(x, 0)\| > b$. From (iii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq |AS_3(x, 0)| - a\|S_3(x, 0)\| \\ &\leq |(\beta I + A)S_3(x, 0)| + (\beta - a)\|S_3(x, 0)\| \\ &< |(\beta I + A)S_3(x, 0)|. \end{aligned}$$

But this inequality contradicts $o \in (\beta I + A)S_3(x, 0)$. Next we show that for any $x \in \partial \overline{B_b(o)}$,

$$S_3(x, t) \neq x, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Suppose that there exists a point $(x, t) \in \partial \overline{B_b(o)} \times [0, 1]$ such that $S_3(x, t) = x$. Then we have $\|x\| = b$, $x \in D(A)$ and

$$ty + tCx \in \beta x + Ax. \tag{**}$$

On the other hand, from (iii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\| &\leq \max_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} |Ax - \alpha Cx| - \|Cx\| + \|y\| - r \\ &\leq |\beta x + Ax - tCx| + \beta \|x\| + \max_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} |t - \alpha| \|Cx\| - \|Cx\| + \|y\| - r \\ &\leq |\beta x + Ax - tCx| + \beta b + \|y\| - r \\ &< |\beta x + Ax - tCx|. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality contradicts (**). So from Browder-Potter's fixed point theorem, there exists a point $x \in \overline{B_b(o)}$ such that $S_3(x, 1) = x$. This implies that $x \in D(A) \cap \overline{B_b(o)}$ and $y + Cx \in \beta x + Ax$.

Case (iv): Let $y \in B_r(o)$, let β be a positive number with $\beta < (r - \|y\|)/b$

and let $\tilde{C}' : E \rightarrow \overline{\text{co}}D(A)$ be a mapping defined by $\tilde{C}'x = (\tilde{C}x - \tilde{C}(-x))/2$ for $x \in E$. We define a mapping $S_4 : \overline{B_b(o)} \times [0, 1] \rightarrow E$ by

$$S_4(x, t) = (\beta I + A)^{-1}(\tilde{C}'x + ty), \quad (x, t) \in \overline{B_b(o)} \times [0, 1].$$

Suppose that there is a point $(x, t) \in \partial \overline{B_b(o)} \times [0, 1]$ such that $S_4(x, t) = x$. Then we have $\|x\| = b$, $x \in D(A)$ and

$$Cx + ty \in \beta x + Ax. \quad (***)$$

Since

$$\|ty - \beta x\| \leq \|y\| + \beta \|x\| < \|y\| + r - \|y\| = r,$$

(***) contradicts (iv). Hence for any $(x, t) \in \partial \overline{B_b(o)} \times [0, 1]$, we have $S_4(x, t) \neq x$. Here we proved that $d(I - S_4(\cdot, 0), B_b(o), o) = d(I - S_4(\cdot, 1), B_b(o), o)$, where $d(I - S_4(\cdot, t), B_b(o), o)$ is the Leray-Schauder degree of $I - S_4(\cdot, t)$ at o . Since $S_4(\cdot, 0)$ is odd and it has no fixed points on $\partial \overline{B_b(o)}$, by Borsuck's theorem [3, Theorem 8.3], $d(I - S_4(\cdot, 0), B_b(o), o)$ is an odd number. Hence there exists a point $x \in \overline{B_b(o)}$ such that $S_4(x, 1) = x$. This implies that $x \in D(A) \cap \overline{B_b(o)}$ and

$$y + Cx \in \beta x + Ax.$$

Case (v): Let $y \in \overline{B_r(o)}$ and let β be any positive number. Define a compact mapping $S_5 : \overline{B_b(o)} \rightarrow E$ by

$$S_5(x) = (\beta I + A)^{-1}(\tilde{C}'x + y), \quad x \in \overline{B_b(o)}.$$

We show that if $x \in \partial \overline{B_b(o)}$, then $S_5(x) \neq \lambda x$ for any $\lambda > 1$. Suppose that there exist a point x and $\lambda > 1$ such that $\|x\| = b$ and $S_5(x) = \lambda x$. From (v), we have $x \in D(A)$, and hence

$$Cx + y \in \beta \lambda x + A(\lambda x).$$

Then there is a point $z \in A(\lambda x)$ such that

$$\beta \lambda x + z = Cx + y.$$

By (v) and Takahashi's minimax theorem [10, Theorem 8], we have

$$\sup_{w \in Ax} \inf_{j \in Jx} \text{Re} \langle w - Cx, j \rangle = \inf_{j \in Jx} \sup_{w \in Ax} \text{Re} \langle w - Cx, j \rangle \geq rb.$$

So, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $w \in Ax$ such that

$$\inf_{j \in Jx} \text{Re} \langle w - Cx, j \rangle \geq rb - \varepsilon.$$

Since A is accretive, there also exists $j \in Jx$ such that

$$\text{Re} \langle z - w, j \rangle \geq 0.$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|y\|b &\geq \operatorname{Re}\langle y, j \rangle = \operatorname{Re}\langle \beta\lambda x + z - Cx, j \rangle \\ &\geq \beta\lambda b^2 + \operatorname{Re}\langle w - Cx, j \rangle \geq \beta\lambda b^2 + rb - \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we have $\|y\| \geq r + \beta\lambda b$. This contradicts $\|y\| \leq r$. By Rothe's fixed point theorem [9, Theorem 4.2.3], there is a point $x \in \overline{B_b(o)}$ such that $S_b(x) = x$. This implies that $x \in D(A) \cap \overline{B_b(o)}$ and $y - \beta x \in (A - C)x$. \square

The condition (v) is weaker than the relevant condition of Theorem 2 in [4]. Using Theorem 1, we obtain some results. The following is Theorem 2 in [11].

Corollary 1 (Takahashi and Zhang). *Let E be a Banach space and let $A \subset E \times E$ be an m -accretive operator such that each nonempty bounded closed convex subset of $\overline{\operatorname{co}}D(A)$ has the fixed point property for nonexpansive self-mappings. Suppose that for some $x_0 \in D(A)$ and $r > 0$,*

$$|Ax_0| < r \leq \liminf_{\substack{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty \\ x \in D(A)}} |Ax|.$$

Then $B_r(o) \subset R(A)$.

Proof. Let $B \subset E \times E$ be an m -accretive operator defined by

$$D(B) = D(A) - x_0 \quad \text{and} \quad Bx = A(x + x_0), \quad x \in D(B).$$

Then it is easy to see that $o \in D(B)$ and

$$|B(o)| < r \leq \liminf_{\substack{\|x\| \rightarrow \infty \\ x \in D(B)}} |Bx|.$$

For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $b > 0$ such that

$$\inf_{\substack{\|x\| \geq b \\ x \in D(B)}} |Bx| \geq r - \varepsilon.$$

Let $y \in B_{r-\varepsilon}(o)$. By Theorem 1 with (ii), we have that for sufficiently small $\beta > 0$, there exists $x \in D(A) \cap \overline{B_b(o)}$ such that $y - \beta x \in Ax$. Hence, by Proposition 1, we get $y \in R(B) = R(A)$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we obtain the stated result. \square

The following is a slight generalization of Theorem A.

Corollary 2 (Chen). *Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space and let $A \subset E \times E$ be an m -accretive operator and let $C : \overline{D(A)} \rightarrow E$ be an compact mapping. Suppose that there exist positive constants a, b and r such that*

$$\inf_{\substack{\|x\| \geq b \\ x \in D(A)}} (|Ax - Cx| - a\|x\|) \geq r \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\substack{\|x\| \geq b \\ x \in D(A)}} \frac{\|Cx\|}{\|x\|} < a.$$

If $(A-C)(D(A) \cap \overline{B_b(o)})$ is closed, then $B_{r+(a-\gamma)b}(o) \subset R(A-C)$, where

$$\gamma = \sup_{\substack{\|x\|=b \\ x \in D(A)}} \frac{\|Cx\|}{\|x\|}.$$

Proof. Note that in a uniformly convex Banach space, $\overline{D(A)}$ is convex. Let

$$\beta = \sup_{\substack{\|x\| \geq b \\ x \in D(A)}} \frac{\|Cx\|}{\|x\|} < a.$$

Then $a - \beta > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} r &\leq \inf_{\substack{\|x\| \geq b \\ x \in D(A)}} (|Ax - Cx| - a\|x\|) \\ &\leq \inf_{\substack{\|x\| \geq b \\ x \in D(A)}} (|Ax| + \|Cx\| - a\|x\|) \\ &\leq \inf_{\substack{\|x\| \geq b \\ x \in D(A)}} (|Ax| - (a - \beta)\|x\|). \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} r + (a - \gamma)b &\leq \inf_{\substack{\|x\|=b \\ x \in D(A)}} (|Ax - Cx| - \gamma\|x\|) \\ &\leq \inf_{\substack{\|x\|=b \\ x \in D(A)}} (|Ax - Cx| - \|Cx\|). \end{aligned}$$

Since the condition (iii) of Theorem 1 holds, we get the stated result. \square

From Theorem 1 and Proposition 2, we obtain the following theorem, since in a uniformly convex Banach space, the closure of the domain of an m -accretive operator is convex.

Theorem 2. Let E be a uniformly convex Banach space, let $A \subset E \times E$ be an m -accretive operator and let $C: \overline{D(A)} \rightarrow E$ be a continuous mapping from the weak topology of E to the strong topology of E . Suppose that one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(i) $o \in D(A)$, and there exist positive constants b and r such that

$$|Ao| < r \leq \inf_{\substack{x \in D(A) \\ \|x\|=b}} (\max_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} |Ax - \alpha Cx| - \|Cx\|);$$

(ii) $o \in D(A)$, and there exist positive constants b and r such that

$$|Ao| < r \leq \inf_{\substack{x \in D(A) \\ \|x\|=b}} (|Ax| - \|Cx\|);$$

(iii) there exist positive constants a , b and r such that

$$\inf_{\substack{x \in D(A) \\ \|x\|=b}} (\max_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} |Ax - \alpha Cx| - \|Cx\|) \geq r \quad \text{and} \quad \inf_{\substack{x \in D(A) \\ \|x\| > b}} (|Ax| - a\|x\|) \geq 0;$$

(iv) $D(A)$ is symmetric, A and C are odd, and there exist positive constants b and r such that

$$r \leq \inf_{\substack{x \in D(A) \\ \|x\|=b}} |Ax - Cx|;$$

(v) $x \in D(A)$ implies $\mu x \in D(A)$ for any $\mu \in (0, 1)$, and there exist positive constants b and r such that

$$\inf_{\substack{x \in D(A) \\ \|x\|=b}} \sup_{w \in Ax} \operatorname{Re} \langle w - Cx, Jx \rangle \geq rb.$$

Then

$$B_r(o) \subset (A - C)(D(A) \cap \overline{B_b(o)}).$$

Acknowledgement The author would like to express his hearty thanks to Professor Wataru Takahashi for encouragement and many helpful comments.

References

- [1] F.E. Browder, Nonlinear operators and nonlinear equations of evolution in Banach spaces, *Proc. Symp. pure Math.*, 18 (1976).
- [2] Y.-Z. Chen, The generalized degree for compact perturbations of m -accretive operators and applications, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 13 (1989), 393-403.
- [3] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear functional analysis*, Springer-Verlag Berlin/Heidelberg, 1985.
- [4] N. Hirano, Some surjectivity theorems for compact perturbations of accretive operators, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 8 (1984), 765-774.
- [5] A.G. Kartsatos, Surjectivity results for compact perturbations of m -accretive operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 78 (1980), 1-16.
- [6] ———, Mapping theorems involving compact perturbations and compact resolvents of nonlinear operators in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 80 (1981), 131-146.
- [7] ———, Some mapping theorems for accretive operators in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 82 (1981), 169-183.
- [8] ———, On the solvability of abstract operator equations involving compact perturbations of m -accretive operators, *Nonlinear Analysis*, 11 (1987), 997-1004.
- [9] D.R. Smart, *Fixed point theorems*, Cambridge University Press, 1974.
- [10] W. Takahashi, Fixed point, minimax, and Hahn-Banach theorems, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, 45 (1986), 419-427.
- [11] W. Takahashi and P.J. Zhang, The closedness property and the pseudo- A -properness of accretive operators, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 132 (1988), 548-557.

Faculty of Engineering
Tamagawa University
6-1-1 Tamagawa Gakuen, Machida
Tokyo, 194 Japan