# DIMENSION AND PRODUCTS OF TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS

Dedicated to Professor Akihiro Okuyama on his 60th birthday

By

### YASUNAO HATTORI

(Received August 27, 1993)

**Summary.** In this paper, we prove that, for each pair n, d of positive integers with  $n \le d$ , there is a subgroup  $G_{nd}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  satisfying dim  $G_{nd} = n$  and  $\dim(G_{nd})^{\omega} = d$ . We also prove that there is a separable metrizable precompact topological group  $H_{nd}$  satisfying the same property.

#### 1. Introduction

In the present paper we consider the topological dimension of products in separable metrizable topological groups. One of the most interesting parts in dimension theory is to investigate the behaviour of dimension functions in producing product spaces. It is known that for finite dimensional separable metrizable spaces X and Y with  $\dim X > 0$  and  $\dim Y > 0$ , the inequality  $\dim (X \times Y) > \max\{\dim X, \dim Y\}$  holds if X or Y is compact. In 1967, Anderson and Keisler [1] have shown that the compactness can not be dropped in the theorem above. Indeed, they have proved that for each positive integer n there is a subspace X of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  such that  $\dim X = \dim X^{\omega} = n$ . In 1985, Keesling [7] has shown that there is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  having the same properties. On the other hand, Kulesza [8] improves the theorem of Anderson and Keisler in a different direction with Keesling's one.

**Theorem** ([8, Theorem 3]). For each pair n, d of positive integers with  $n \le d$ , there is a subspace  $X_{nd}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  such that  $\dim X_{nd} = n$  and  $\dim (X_{nd})^{\omega} = d$ .

The purpose of the present paper is to show that there are a subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  and a separable metrizable precompact topological group which have the same properties as Kulesza's space. All spaces considered here will be separable metrizable spaces. By a dimension we mean covering dimension dim. We

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 54F45; Secondary 54B10, 54H11. Key words and phrases: dimension, topological group, product space.

refer the readers to [5] and [9] for dimension theory.

Let R, Q and Z denote the reals, rationals and integers respectively. Let I denote the closed interval [-1,1]. Let  $\omega$  be the first infinite ordinal and C denote the cardinality of the continuum that we think of as an initial ordinal. Follows from [1], by a hyperplane in  $R^n$  we mean a translation of a linear subspace of  $R^n$ . For a hyperplane H in  $R^n$ , let  $\hat{H}$  be the set of all translations of H. Hyperplanes H and K of dimensions  $d_H$  and  $d_K$  are said to be in general position if for  $H' \in \hat{H}$  and  $K' \in \hat{K}$ ,  $H' \cap K' \neq \phi$  implies  $H' \cap K'$  is a hyperplane in  $R^n$  such that  $\dim(H' \cap K') = \max\{0, d_H + d_K - n\}$ .

## 2. A subgroup of the Euclidean space $R^{n+1}$

The following theorem improves theorems due to Keesling and Kulesza mentioned in the introduction simultaneously.

**Theorem 2.1.** For each pair n, d of positive integers with  $n \le d$ , there is a subgroup  $G_{nd}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  such that  $\dim G_{nd} = n$  and  $\dim (G_{nd})^{\omega} = d$ .

**Proof.** Follows from [8], for given n,  $m \ge 2$  and  $n \le d \le nm - m$  we construct a subgroup G of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with dim G = n - 1 and dim  $G^q = d$  for all  $q \ge m$ . The proof proceedes in six steps.

Step 1. We define a bijection

$$\varphi: \{(j, i): j=1, 2, \dots, m-1, \text{ and } i=1, 2, \dots, n\} \cup \{(m, n)\}$$

$$\longrightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n(m-1)+1\}$$

as follows;

$$\varphi(j, i) = \begin{cases} j, & \text{if } i = n, \\ (m-1)(n-i)+j+1, & \text{if } i \leq n-1. \end{cases}$$

For  $j \leq m$ , we put

$$\lambda(j) = \begin{cases} \min \{i : \varphi(j, i) \leq nm - d\}, & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq m - 1, \\ n, & \text{if } j = m. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, we put

$$J_{j} = {\lambda(j), \lambda(j)+1, \dots, n},$$

$$M = \bigcup {\{j\} \times J_{j} : j=1, 2, \dots, m\}.}$$

We consider

$$R^{nm} = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} R_{(j,i)} \right)$$
 and  $I^{nm} = \prod_{j=1}^{m} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} I_{(j,i)} \right)$ ,

where  $R_{(j,i)}=R$  and  $I_{(j,i)}=I$  for each (j,i). Notice that we consider  $R^n=\prod_{i=1}^n R_{(j,i)}$  for a suitable j to the occasion. Let  $\{(A_{(j,i)}, B_{(j,i)}): j \leq m \text{ and } i \leq n\}$  be the standard essential family for  $I^{nm}$ , i.e.,

$$A_{(j,i)} = \pi_{(j,i)}^{-1}(-1)$$
 and  $B_{(j,i)} = \pi_{(j,i)}^{-1}(1)$ ,

where  $\pi_{(j,i)}: I^{nm} \to I_{(j,i)}$  is the projection. We put

$$\mathcal{K} = \{ \bigcap \{ K_{(j,i)} : (j,i) \notin M \} : K_{(j,i)} \text{ is a separator of } A_{(j,i)} \text{ and } B_{(j,i)} \text{ in } I^{nm} \}.$$

Then we have two lemmas follow from Kulesza [8]. (Notice that the cardinality of the complement of M is nm-(nm-d)=d in Lemma 2.2.)

**Lemma 2.2.** Let Y be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{nm}$  with  $Y \cap K \neq \phi$  for every  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ . Then  $\dim Y \geq d$ .

**Lemma 2.3.**  $\pi_M(K) = \prod \{I_{(j,i)} : (j,i) \in M\}$  for each  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , where  $\pi_M : I^{nm} \to \prod \{I_{(j,i)} : (j,i) \in M\}$  is the projection.

Step 2. Let

$$C = \{C : C \text{ is a continuum from } A_{(1,1)} \text{ to } B_{(1,1)} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

The following lemma is well known (cf. [1], [11]).

**Lemma 2.4.** Let Y be a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $Y \cap \mathbb{C} \neq \phi$  for every  $\mathbb{C} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then dim  $Y \geq n-1$ .

Step 3. For each q > m, we construct hyperplanes in  $\mathbb{R}^{nq}$ . Let

$$H_0 = \{(x, x, \dots, x) \in \mathbb{R}^{nq} : x = (x(1), x(2), \dots, x(n)) \in \mathbb{R}^n\},$$

and for each  $j=1, 2, \dots, m-1$ ,

$$H_i = \{(x, x, \dots, x) \in H_0 : x(k) = 0 \text{ for } k \in I_i\}.$$

Then  $H_0$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^{nq}$  with dim  $H_0=n$  and  $H_j$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^{nq}$  with dim  $H_j=\lambda(j)-1$  for  $j=1, 2, \dots, m-1$ . For each  $j=0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$  and each  $p=(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m)\in \mathbf{Q}^{qm}$ , where  $p_i=(p_i(1), p_i(2), \dots, p_i(q))\in \mathbf{Q}^q$ , let

$$p_{j+1}H_j = \{(p_{j+1}(1)x, p_{j+1}(2)x, \dots, p_{j+1}(q)x) : (x, x, \dots + x) \in H_j\}.$$

Let

$$H(p) = p_1 H_0 + p_2 H_1 + \cdots + p_m H_{m-1}$$
.

Then H(p) is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^{nq}$  satisfying

$$\dim H(p) \leq n + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (\lambda(j) - 1) = n + n(m-1) - (nm - d - 1) = d + 1.$$

Finally, we put

$$\mathcal{H} = \{H(p) : p \in \mathbb{Q}^{qm}\}.$$

Step 4. The following lemmas can be proved by arguments similar to that of [1] and [8].

**Lemma 2.5.** For each q>m there are countably many spheres  $S_i$ ,  $i\in\omega$ , in  $\mathbb{R}^{nq}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (0)  $S_i \cap \mathbb{Z}^{nq} = \phi$  for each  $i \in \omega$ .
- (1) dim  $S_i = nq d 1$  for each  $i \in \omega$ .
- (2) For each  $i \in \omega$ ,  $S_i$  is contained in a hyperplane  $H_i$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{nq}$  with dim  $H_i = nq d$ .
- (3)  $H_i$  is in general position with respect to each  $H' \in \hat{H}$  and each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ .
- (4)  $\dim (\mathbf{R}^{nq} \bigcup \{S_i : i \in \omega\}) \leq d$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** For each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $H' \in \hat{H}$  and each  $i \in \omega$ ,  $|H' \cap S_i| \leq 2$ .

Now, for each q > m, we put

$$T_{nq} = \bigcup \{S_i : i \in \omega\}.$$

Step 5. We enumerate  $\mathcal{K}$  by  $\mathcal{K} = \{K_{\alpha} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_{\alpha} : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  such that both  $K_0$  and  $C_0$  contain the origin. We shall inductively construct subgroups  $Y_{\alpha}$  and  $G_{\alpha}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying the following conditions: For each  $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ ,

- (5)  $Y_{\alpha} \cap C_{\alpha} \neq \phi$ ,
- (6)  $(G_{\alpha})^m \cap K_{\alpha} \neq \phi$ ,
- (7)  $(G_{\alpha})^q \cap T_{nq} = \phi$  for each q > m,
- (8)  $|G_{\alpha}| \leq \omega \cdot |\alpha+1|$ , and
- (9)  $Z^n \subset G_\beta \subset Y_\alpha \subset G_\alpha$  if  $\beta < \alpha$ .

We put  $Y_0 = G_0 = \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Then it is clear that  $Y_0$  and  $G_0$  satisfy the conditions (5)-(9) for  $\alpha = 0$ . Suppose that  $\alpha > 0$  and for each  $\beta < \alpha$  subgroups  $Y_\beta$  and  $G_\beta$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying the conditions above are constructed. First, we shall construct  $Y_\alpha$ . We put

$$U_{\alpha} = \bigcup \{G_{\beta} : \beta < \alpha\}.$$

It follows that  $U_{\alpha}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying

- (10)  $(U_{\alpha})^q \cap T_{nq} = \phi$  for each q > m,
- (11)  $|U_{\alpha}| \leq \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot |\alpha+1|$ .

For each q > m, we put

$$B_q = \bigcup \{ \pi_k(\mathbf{Q} \cdot (H(\mathfrak{p}) \cap (S_i + (U_q)^q))) : \mathfrak{p} \in \mathbf{Q}^{qm}, i \in \omega, \text{ and } k \leq q \},$$

where  $\pi_k: \mathbf{R}^{nq} = \prod_{k=1}^q (\mathbf{R}^n)_k \to (\mathbf{R}^n)_k$  is the projection. If follows from Lemma 2.6 that

$$|H(p) \cap (S_i + u)| \le 2$$
 for each  $p \in Q^{qm}$  and each  $u \in (U_a)^q$ .

Hence

$$|H(p) \cap (S_i + (U_\alpha)^q)| \leq |(U_\alpha)^q| \leq \omega \cdot |\alpha + 1|$$
.

Therefore  $|B_q| \le \omega \cdot |\alpha+1| < c$ . Thus there is  $y_\alpha \in C_\alpha - \bigcup \{B_q : q > m\}$ . Let

$$Y_{\alpha}=U_{\alpha}+\mathbf{Q}y_{\alpha}$$
.

Then  $Y_{\alpha}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfying the condition (5). Furthermore, we have the following claim.

Claim 1.  $(Y_{\alpha})^q \cap T_{nq} = \phi$  for each q > m.

**Proof.** Fix q > m. Suppose that  $(Y_a)^q \cap T_{nq} \neq \phi$ . Then there are

$$u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_q) \in (U_\alpha)^q, \quad p_1 = (p_1(1), p_1(2), \dots, p_1(q)) \in Q_b \text{ and } i \in \omega$$

such that  $u+p_1y_{\alpha}^* \in S_i$ , where  $y_{\alpha}^* = (y_{\alpha}, y_{\alpha}, \dots, y_{\alpha}) \in H_0$ . Hence

$$p_1 y_{\alpha}^* \in S_i - u \subset S_i + (U_{\alpha})^q$$
.

We put  $p=(p_1, 0, 0, \cdots, 0) \in \mathbb{Q}^{qm}$ . Then we have  $p_1 y_{\alpha}^* \in p_1 H_0 \subset H(p)$ . Hence  $p_1 y_{\alpha}^* \in H(p) \cap (S_i + (U_{\alpha})^q)$ .

On the other hand, it follows from (10) that there is  $k \le q$  such that  $p_1(k) \ne 0$ . Thus

$$y_{\alpha} = \pi_k(p_1 y_{\alpha}^*/p_1(k)) \in \pi_k(\mathbf{Q} \cdot (H(p) \cap (S_i + (U_{\alpha})^q))) \subset B_q$$
.

This completes the proof of the claim.

Now, we shall construct  $G_{\alpha}$ . We inductively find, for  $j=1, 2, \dots, m$ ,

$$r_j = (r_j(\lambda(j)), r_j(\lambda(j)+1), \cdots, r_j(n)) \in \prod_{i=\lambda(j)}^n I_{(j,i)}$$

such that, at each stage  $k \leq m$ , letting

$$E_j = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \pi_{J_j}(x) = r_j\}$$
 for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ,

where

$$\pi_{J_i}: R^n = \prod_{i=1}^n R_{(j,i)} \longrightarrow \prod_{i=\lambda(j)}^n R_{(j,i)}$$

is the projection, and choosing  $x_j \in E_j$  arbitrarily, for all q > m,

$$(Y_{\alpha}+Qx_1+Qx_2+\cdots+Qx_k)^q \cap T_{nq}=\phi.$$

Assume that  $t \leq m$  and that we have  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{t-1}$ .

Claim 2. There is a subfamily  $\mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{q}}$  of  $\bigcup \{\hat{H} : H \in \mathcal{H}\}$  such that

- (12)  $|\mathcal{H}^{\dagger}| \leq \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot |\alpha + 1|$ , and
- (13)  $\bigcup \{ (Y_{\alpha} + \mathbf{Q}x + \mathbf{Q}x_1 + \mathbf{Q}x_2 + \dots + \mathbf{Q}x_{t-1})^q : x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x_j \in E_j, 1 \leq j \leq t-1 \}$   $\subset \bigcup \{ H' : H' \in \mathcal{H}^k \}.$

## Proof. We put

$$\mathcal{H}^{\natural} = \Big\{ H(p) + y + \delta : p \in \mathbb{Q}^{qm}, \ y \in (Y_{\alpha})^{q}, \text{ and } \delta \in \Big( \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} \sum_{i=\lambda(k)}^{n} \mathbb{Q}r_{k}(i) \Big)^{nq} \Big\}.$$

It is clear that  $|\mathcal{H}^{\natural}| \leq \omega \cdot |\alpha+1|$ . To show that  $\mathcal{H}^{\natural}$  satisfies the condition (13), let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x_j \in E_j$  for each  $j \leq t-1$ , and

$$\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \cdots, \sigma_q) \in (Y_q + Qx + Qx_1 + Qx_2 + \cdots + Qx_{t-1})^q$$

where

$$\sigma_k = y_k + s_k x + s_k^1 x_1 + s_k^2 x_2 + \cdots + s_k^{t-1} x_{t-1}$$

for some  $y_k \in Y_\alpha$  and  $s_k$ ,  $s_k^1$ ,  $s_k^2$ , ...,  $s_k^{t-1} \in Q$ . Then we put

$$y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_q) \in (Y_\alpha)^q,$$

$$p_1 = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_q) \in \mathbf{Q}^q,$$

$$p_{j+1} = \begin{cases} (s_1^j, s_2^j, \dots, s_q^j) \in \mathbf{Q}^q, & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq t-1, \\ (0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbf{Q}^q, & \text{if } t \leq j \leq m-1, \end{cases}$$

$$p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m) \in \mathbf{Q}^{qm},$$

$$x^* = (x, x, \dots, x) \in H_0, \text{ and}$$

$$x^*_j = \begin{cases} (0, 0, \dots, 0) \in H_j, & \text{if } t \leq j \leq m-1, \\ (x'_j, x'_j, \dots, x'_j) \in H_j, & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq t-1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$x'_{j}(i) = \begin{cases} x_{j}(i), & \text{for } i \leq \lambda(j) - 1, \\ 0, & \text{for } \lambda(j) \leq i \leq n. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore we define

$$\delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_q) \in \left(\sum_{k=1}^{t-1} \sum_{i=l(k)}^{n} Qr_k(i)\right)^{nq}$$

as follows: For each  $j \le q$  and  $i \le n$ ,

$$\delta_{j}(i) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k} \{s_{j}^{k} r_{k}(i) : i \in J_{k}\}, & \text{if } i \in J_{k} \text{ for some } k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since  $x_j \in E_j$  for each  $j \le t-1$ , it follows that

$$\sigma = p_1 x^* + p_2 x_1^* + \dots + p_m x_{m-1}^* + y + \delta$$

$$\in p_1 H_0 + p_2 H_1 + \dots + p_m H_{m-1} + y + \delta$$

$$= H(p) + y + \delta \in \mathcal{H}^{\mathfrak{h}}.$$

This completes the proof of the claim.

For each q > m, we put

$$F_{qt} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (Y_\alpha + Qx + Qx_1 + Qx_2 + \dots + Qx_{t-1})^q \cap T_{nq} \neq \emptyset$$
for some  $x_j \in E_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, t-1\}$ ,

and

$$B_{qt} = \pi_{J_t}(F_{qt})$$
,

where

$$\pi_{J_t}: R^n = \prod_{i=1}^n R_{(t,i)} \longrightarrow \prod_{i=\lambda(t)}^n R_{(t,i)}$$

is the projection. Then we have

Claim 3.  $|B_{qt}| \leq \omega \cdot |\alpha+1|$  for each q > m.

Proof. Let

$$F_{qt}^{\natural} = \bigcup \{ (Y_{\alpha} + Qx + Qx_1 + Qx_2 + \dots + Qx_{t-1})^q \cap T_{nq} : x \in \mathbb{R}^n, x_j \in E_j, 1 \le j \le t-1 \}$$

and  $\psi: F_{qt} \rightarrow F_{qt}^{\mu}$  be a mapping satisfying

$$\phi(x) \in (Y_a + Qx + Qx_1 + Qx_2 + \cdots + Qx_{t-1})^q \cap T_{nq}$$

for some  $x_j \in E_j$ ,  $j=1, 2, \dots, t-1$ . For each  $a=(a(\lambda(t)), a(\lambda(t)+1), \dots, a(n)) \in B_{qt}$ , there is  $x_a \in F_{qt}$  such that  $\pi_{J_t}(x_a) = a$ . We define a mapping  $\Psi: B_{qt} \to F_{qt}^n$  as

$$\Psi(a) = \psi(x_a)$$
 for each  $a \in B_{qt}$ .

To show that

$$|\Psi^{-1}\Psi(a)| \leq \omega \cdot |\alpha+1|$$
 for each  $a \in B_{qt}$ ,

let  $a, b \in B_{qt}$  with  $\Psi(a) = \Psi(b)$ . Let  $\Psi(a) = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_q)$  and  $\Psi(b) = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_q)$ , where for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots, q$ ,

$$\sigma_{k} = y_{k} + s_{k} x_{a} + s_{k}^{1} x_{1} + s_{k}^{2} x_{2} + \dots + s_{k}^{t-1} x_{t-1},$$

$$\tau_{k} = y_{k}' + v_{k} x_{b} + v_{k}^{1} z_{1} + v_{k}^{2} z_{2} + \dots + v_{k}^{t-1} z_{t-1},$$

for some  $y_k$ ,  $y_k' \in Y_\alpha$ ,  $x_j$ ,  $z_j \in E_j$  and  $s_k$ ,  $v_k$ ,  $s_k^j$ ,  $v_k^j \in Q$ . It follows from the inductive assumption (\*) and Claim 1 that there is  $k \leq q$  such that  $v_k \neq 0$ . Since  $x_j$ ,  $z_j \in E_j$  for each  $j=1, 2, \dots, t-1$ , it follows that

$$\begin{split} \pi_{J_t}(\sigma_k) &= (\pi_{J_t}(y_k) + s_k a + \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} s_k^j (r_j(\lambda(t)), \ r_j(\lambda(t) + 1), \ \cdots, \ r_j(n)), \quad \text{and} \\ \pi_{J_t}(\tau_k) &= (\pi_{J_t}(y_k') + v_k b + \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} v_k^j (r_j(\lambda(t)), \ r_j(\lambda(t) + 1), \ \cdots, \ r_j(n)). \end{split}$$

Thus we have the following:

$$b = \frac{1}{v_k} \Big( \pi_{J_t}(y_k) - \pi_{J_t}(y_k') + s_k a + \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} (s_k^j - v_k^j)(r_j(\lambda(t)), r_j(\lambda(t) + 1), \dots, r_j(n)) \Big).$$

Therefore, it follows that

(14) 
$$|\Psi^{-1}\Psi(a)| \leq \omega \cdot |a+1| \quad \text{for each } a \in B_{at}.$$

On the other hand, it follows from (13) that

$$F_{qt}^{\sharp} \subset \bigcup \{H \cap T_{nq} : H \in \mathcal{H}^{\sharp}\}$$

$$= \bigcup \{H \cap S_t : H \in \mathcal{H}^{\sharp} \text{ and } i \in \omega\}.$$

Thus it follows that  $|F_{qt}^{\parallel}| \leq \omega \cdot |\alpha+1|$  by Lemma 2.6 and (12). Hence we have  $|B_{qt}| \leq \omega \cdot |\alpha+1|$ .

It follows from Claim 3 that there is

$$r_t \in \prod_{i=\lambda(t)}^n I_{(t,i)} - \bigcup \{B_{qt}: q > m\}.$$

It is clear that the condition (\*) is satisfied for  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t$ . Therefore we complete the inductive procedure for finding  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_m$ .

It follows from Lemma 2.3 that there is  $x=(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_m) \in K_\alpha$  such that  $\pi_{J_t}(x_j)=r_j$  for each  $j=1, 2, \cdots, m$ . Let

$$G_{\alpha}=Y_{\alpha}+Qx_1+Qx_2+\cdots+Qx_m$$
.

Then  $G_{\alpha}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|G_{\alpha}| \leq \omega \cdot |\alpha+1|$ . Since  $x_{j} \in E_{j}$  for each j, it follows that  $(G_{\alpha})^q \cap T_{nq} = \phi$ . Furthermore it is clear that  $(G_{\alpha})^m \cap K_{\alpha} \ni x$ . The subgroups  $Y_{\alpha}$  and  $G_{\alpha}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  satisfy the conditions (5)-(9).

Step 6. Finally we put

$$G=\bigcup\{G_{\alpha}: \alpha < \emptyset\}.$$

Then G is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and it follows from (7) that  $G^q \cap T_{nq} = \phi$  for all q > m. Hence dim  $G^q \le d$  by (4) in Lemma 2.5. It follows from (6) and Lemma 2.2 that dim  $G^m \ge d$ . Hence dim  $G^q = d$  for all  $q \ge m$  and hence dim  $G^\omega = d$  by [1, Lemma 4] or [10].

It follows from (5) and Lemma 2.4 that dim  $G \ge n-1$ . On the other hand, since G has no non-empty open set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , dim  $G \le n-1$ . Hence the theorem is

proved.

**Remark.** If we do not require that the group G is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , then there is another way for finding a separable metrizable topological group G satisfying dim G=dim  $G^{\omega}$ =n. Indeed, let X be a separable metrizable space satisfying dim X=dim  $X^{\omega}$ =n ([1]). Let  $F_a(X)$  be the free topological group of X equipped with the Graev's metric topology (see [6] or [2]). It follows from [2] and [3] that  $F_a(X)$  is a separable metric topological group satisfying

(a) 
$$\dim F_a(X) = \sup \{\dim X^m : m \in \omega\} = n.$$

But we can not apply this argument to find a separable metric topological group  $G_{nd}$  satisfying dim  $G_{nd}=n$  and dim  $(G_{nd})^\omega=d$  for n< d. Because, it follows from the condition (a) that dim  $F_a(X_{nd})=\dim (F_a(X_{nd}))^\omega=d$  for a space  $X_{nd}$  with dim  $X_{nd}=n$  and dim  $(X_{nd})^\omega=d$ . Thus the topological group  $G_{nd}$  described in Theorem 2.1 is the first example of an n-dimensional separable metrizable topological group satisfying dim  $(G_{nd})^\omega=d$  even if one does not require the additional condition 'to be a subgroup of  $R^{n+1}$ '.

#### 3. A separable metrizable, precompact group

We shall turn to the precompact topological groups. A topological group is said to be *precompact* if it is isomorphic to a subgroup of a compact group. Shakhmatov has proved in [12] that for each positive integer n there is an n-dimensional separable metrizable, precompact topological group G satisfying dim  $G^{\omega}=n$  by use of an argument of free topological groups. W shall improve the Shakhmatov's theorem.

A continuous mapping f of a space X onto a space Y is said to be a *local homeomorphism* if each point x of X has an open neighborhood U such that f(U) is an open set of Y and the restriction of f to U is a homeomorphism of U onto f(U). Let  $T^n = S^1 \times S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1$  be the n-dimensional torus. Let  $h: \mathbf{R} \to S^1$  be a mapping defined by  $h(t) = (\sin 2\pi t, \cos 2\pi t)$  for  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , and  $h^n = h \times h \times \cdots \times h: \mathbf{R}^n \to T^n$  be the product mapping. It is clear that  $h^n$  is a local homeomorphism. Notice that for a subgroup G of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  the restriction of  $h^n$  to G need not be a local homeomorphism. However, we have the following simple lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let G be a subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  containing  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . Then the restriction of  $h^n$  to G is a local homeomorphism.

**Theorem 3.2.** For each pair n, d of positive integers with  $n \le d$ , there is a separable metrizable, precompact topological group  $H_{nd}$  such that  $\dim H_{nd} = n$  and  $\dim (H_{nd})^{\omega} = d$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G_{nd}$  be a subgroup of  $R^{n+1}$  constructed in Theorem 2.1. We put  $H_{nd} = h^{n+1}(G_{nd})$ . Since  $h^{n+1}$  is a homeomorphism,  $H_{nd}$  is a subgroup of  $T^{n+1}$ . Hence  $H_{nd}$  is precompact. If follows from the condition (9) in the proof of Theorem 2.1 that  $G_{nd}$  contains  $Z^{n+1}$ . By Lemma 3.1, it follows that the restriction of  $h^{n+1}$  to  $G_{nd}$  is a local homeomorphism. Hence locdim  $G_{nd} = 1$  locdim  $G_{nd}$ , where locdim  $G_{nd}$  is a local dimension of a space  $G_{nd}$  introduced by Dowker [4]. Since  $G_{nd}$  and  $G_{nd}$  are metrizable, their covering dimension and local dimension coincide. Thus dim  $G_{nd} = 1$  is similarly we can show that dim  $G_{nd} = 1$  for every  $G_{nd} = 1$ . Thus it follows from [10] that

$$\dim (H_{nd})^{\omega} = \max \{\dim (H_{nd})^m : m \in \omega\} = \max \{\dim (G_{nd})^m : m \in \omega\}$$
$$= \dim (G_{nd})^{\omega} = d.$$

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank J. Kulesza, D.B. Shakhmatov and T. Watanabe for their valuable advices.

#### References

- [1] R.D. Anderson and J.E. Keisler, An example in dimension theory, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 18 (1967), 709-713.
- [2] A.V. Arhangel'skii, Classes of topological groups, Russian Math. Surveys, 36 (1981), 151-174.
- [3] V.K. Bel'nov, On zero-dimensional topological groups, Soviet Math. Dokl., 17 (1976), 164-168.
- [4] C. H. Dowker, Local dimension of normal spaces, Qurt. J. Math. Oxford (2), 6 (1955), 101-120.
- [5] R. Engelking, Dimension Theory, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.
- [6] M. I. Graev, Free topological groups, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., 8 (1962), 305-364.
- [7] J. Keesling, An *n*-dimensional subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 95 (1985), 106-108.
- [8] J. Kulesza, Dimension and infinite products in separable metric spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 110 (1990), 557-563.
- [9] J. Nagata, Modern Dimension Theory, revised and extended edition, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [10] E. Pol, On the dimension of the products of metrizable spaces, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Math., 26 (1978), 525-533.
- [11] L. Rubin, R. Schori and J. Walsh, New dimension theory techniques for constructing infinite dimensional examples, Gen. Topology Appl., 10 (1979), 93-102.
- [12] D.B. Shakhmatov, A problem of coinciding of dimensions in topological groups, Topology Appl., 33 (1989), 105-113.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Shimane University Matsue, 690 Japan