

## ON THE ISOVARIANCY OBSTRUCTION OF SOME INVOLUTIONS ON HOMOTOPY SPHERES

Dedicated to Professor Seiya Sasao on his sixtieth birthday

By

YASUHIKO KITADA

(Received June 10, 1993)

**Abstract.** The present work treats certain orientation reversing involutions on  $(4k+1)$ -dimensional homotopy spheres with  $2k$ -dimensional fixed point sets. The author calculates the explicit obstruction for these involutions to be isovariantly homotopy equivalent to the linear involution on the standard sphere.

### 1. Introduction and statement of results

The purpose of this paper is to calculate the isovariancy obstruction for maps between certain homotopy spheres with smooth involutions. Throughout this paper  $n$  is a positive even integer. By a Kervaire manifold, we mean a compact framed  $(2n+2)$ -manifold with non-zero Arf-Kervaire invariant. A  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional homotopy sphere that bounds a Kervaire  $(2n+2)$ -manifold (with boundary) is called a Kervaire sphere, which is not diffeomorphic to the standard sphere  $S^{2n+1}$  unless  $n+2$  is a power of two. In this research we shall treat the involutions described below. In [4] there is another description of our involutions using the section of Brieskorn varieties around the origin. We shall denote the standard inner product of vectors  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  by  $\langle x, y \rangle$ . Let  $D^{n+1}$  be the closed unit disk centered at the origin of  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$  and its boundary is the unit sphere  $S^n$ . Given a unit vector  $x \in S^n$ , we define a linear transformation  $\theta_x \in O(n+1)$  by

$$\theta_x(y) = 2\langle x, y \rangle x - y, \quad y \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}.$$

Consider the diffeomorphism  $\phi$  of  $S^n \times S^n$  defined by

$$\phi(x, y) = (\theta_x \theta_y(x), \theta_x \theta_y(y)).$$

From the relation  $\theta_{Px} = P\theta_x P^{-1}$  for  $P \in O(n+1)$ , we can verify that  $\phi^q(x, y) = ((\theta_x \theta_y)^q x, (\theta_x \theta_y)^q y)$  holds. Suppose that we are given a map  $\varphi$  defined on a

---

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 57R91; Secondary 55S35.

Key words and phrases: involution, homotopy sphere, isovariancy, obstruction.

subset of  $C$  of a space  $B$  into another space  $A$ , then we shall denote by  $A \cup_{\phi} B$  the space obtained by attaching  $B$  to  $A$  via the map  $\phi$ . If the map  $\phi$  is an inclusion map of  $C$  into  $A$ , we shall simply write  $A \cup_C B$  instead of  $A \cup_{\phi} B$ . Consider the involution on  $S^n \times S^n$  which maps the point  $(x, y)$  to  $(x, -y)$ . Since the map  $\phi$  is equivariant with respect to this involution, we may introduce an involution on

$$\Sigma_q^{2n+1} = S^n \times D^{n+1} \cup_{\phi q} D^{n+1} \times S^n,$$

given by  $(x, y) \mapsto (x, -y)$ , where  $(x, y)$  is an element of  $S^n \times D^{n+1}$  or  $D^{n+1} \times S^n$ . It is clear that the involution on  $\Sigma_0^{2n+1}$  is equivariantly diffeomorphic to the linear involution on the standard sphere  $S^{2n+1}$ . Whereas the underlying space  $\Sigma_1^{2n+1}$  is a Kervaire sphere when we forget the involution. Among the involutions for all  $q$ , it is known that there are at most two equivariantly different diffeomorphism classes represented by  $\Sigma_0^{2n+1}$  and  $\Sigma_1^{2n+1}$  ([4]). That is,  $\Sigma_q^{2n+1}$  for  $q \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$  (resp.  $q \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$ ) is equivariantly diffeomorphic to  $\Sigma_0^{2n+1}$  (resp.  $\Sigma_1^{2n+1}$ ). Let us recall that an equivariant map is transverse linearly isovariant ( $t$ -isovariant for short) if the map keeps the isotropy group at each point and in addition the map can be regarded as a linear bundle map around the fixed point sets ([3]). It is known that when  $n+2$  is not a power of 2, that  $\Sigma_0$  and  $\Sigma_1$  are not  $t$ -isovariantly homotopy equivalent ([4]). In this paper, we shall calculate the explicit obstruction for the existence of a  $t$ -isovariant map of degree one from  $\Sigma_1$  to  $\Sigma_0$  by constructing a  $t$ -isovariant map up to a  $2n$ -skeleton of  $\Sigma_1$  under a certain equivariant cell complex structure. About the final obstruction for extending this  $t$ -isovariant map, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem.** *Under a certain construction of a  $t$ -isovariant map from  $\Sigma_1$  to  $\Sigma_0$  in lower dimensions, the final obstruction to building a degree one  $t$ -isovariant map is given by the Whitehead product  $[\iota_{n+1}, \iota_{n+1}] \in \pi_{2n+1}(S^{n+1})$ .*

The vanishing of the Whitehead product obstruction is a sufficient condition for the existence of a  $t$ -isovariant map. Moreover, as we shall see later, we construct such a map starting from a homotopy equivalence around the fixed point set. So if the final obstruction vanishes, we have a  $t$ -isovariant homotopy equivalence. In particular when  $n=2$  or  $6$ ,  $S^{n+1}$  is an  $H$ -space and  $[\iota_{n+1}, \iota_{n+1}]$  vanishes. Thus we have the following corollary.

**Corollary.** *If  $n=2$  or  $n=6$ ,  $\Sigma_q^{2n+1}$  for all  $q$  are mutually  $t$ -isovariantly homotopy equivalent.*

## 2. Preliminary construction

Let  $\chi$  be an equivariant diffeomorphism of  $S^n \times S^n$  with respect to the involution  $(x, y) \mapsto (x, -y)$  and we assume that  $\chi$  induces the identity map on homology groups. We consider two homotopy spheres with involutions:

$$\Sigma_0 = S^n \times D^{n+1} \cup_{\text{id}} D^{n+1} \times S^n$$

$$\Sigma_\chi = S^n \times D^{n+1} \cup_\chi D^{n+1} \times S^n.$$

Given a map  $\rho: S^n \rightarrow SO(n+1)$ , we define a diffeomorphism  $b_\rho$  of  $S^n \times D^{n+1}$  by  $b_\rho(x, y) = (x, \rho(x)y)$ .

**Lemma 1.** *If there exists a  $t$ -isovariant homotopy equivalence  $\Sigma_\chi \rightarrow \Sigma_0$ , then there exists a  $t$ -isovariant homotopy equivalence  $f: \Sigma_\chi \rightarrow \Sigma_0$  such that  $f|_{S^n \times D^{n+1}}$  coincides with  $b_\rho: S^n \times D^{n+1} \rightarrow S^n \times D^{n+1} \subset \Sigma_0$  for some  $\rho: S^n \rightarrow SO(n+1)$  and  $f(D^{n+1} \times S^n) \subset D^{n+1} \times S^n$ .*

**Proof.** Since the  $t$ -isovariant homotopy equivalence induces a homotopy equivalence at the fixed point set, the map has degree one up to sign. If the degree at the fixed point set is minus one, we replace the  $t$ -isovariant map by its composition with an equivariant orientation preserving diffeomorphism of  $\Sigma_0$  which reverses the orientations of the fixed point set and its normal bundle. Then we have a  $t$ -isovariant homotopy equivalence which induces a map of degree one at the fixed point sphere. Since the degree one map of a sphere is homotopic to the identity, we may deform this map by homotopy to obtain a  $t$ -isovariant map which is the "identity" at the fixed point sphere  $S^n \times \{0\}$ . The  $t$ -isovariant map is a linear bundle map around the fixed point set and we may write  $f|_{S^n \times D^{n+1}} = b_\rho$  for some  $\rho: S^n \rightarrow SO(n+1)$ . Since the map  $f$  is isovariant,  $f(D^{n+1} \times S^n)$  does not touch  $S^n \times \{0\}$  and we may deform  $f|_{D^{n+1} \times S^n}$  rel. boundary to a map into  $D^{n+1} \times S^n \subset \Sigma_0$  since  $D^{n+1} \times S^n$  is an equivariant deformation retract of  $\Sigma_0 - S^n \times \{0\}$ .  $\square$

We shall try to construct a  $t$ -isovariant map  $f: \Sigma_\chi \rightarrow \Sigma_0$  starting from a map  $b_\rho$  for some  $\rho: S^n \rightarrow SO(n+1)$ . Its restriction  $\phi_\rho = b_\rho|_{S^n \times S^n}$  to the boundary induces a diffeomorphism  $\bar{\phi}_\rho$  of the quotient space  $S^n \times P^n$ , where  $P^n$  is the  $n$ -dimensional real projective space.

**Lemma 2.** *The map  $b_\rho$  extends to a  $t$ -isovariant map  $f: \Sigma_\chi \rightarrow \Sigma_0$  if and only if  $p_2 \bar{\phi}_\rho \bar{\chi}$  is homotopic to  $p_2$ . Here  $p_2: S^n \times P^n \rightarrow P^n$  is the second projection and  $\bar{\chi}$  is the diffeomorphism of  $S^n \times P^n$  induced by  $\chi$ .*

**Proof.** The map  $f$  can be isovariant if and only if  $f(D^{n+1} \times S^n)$  does not

meet the fixed point set  $S^n \times \{0\} \subset \Sigma_0$ . This is possible if and only if the map  $\bar{\phi}_\rho: S^n \times P^n \rightarrow S^n \times P^n$  can be extended to a map

$$D^{n+1} \times P^n \longrightarrow (\Sigma_0 - S^n \times \{0\}) / \text{involution} \simeq D^{n+1} \times P^n \simeq P^n.$$

Since  $\chi$  induces the identity map on homology, we may assume that  $\chi(*, y) = (*, y)$  where  $*$  is the base point of  $S^n$ . Since we may assume that  $\rho(*) = 1 \in SO(n+1)$ ,  $\phi_\rho \chi$  is the identity map of  $\{*\} \times S^n$ . On the quotient space, this shows that  $p_2 \bar{\phi}_\rho \bar{\chi} | \{*\} \times P^n$  is the second projection, and the extended map  $D^{n+1} \times P^n \rightarrow P^n$  gives the homotopy between  $p_2 \bar{\phi}_\rho \bar{\chi}$  and  $p_2$ .  $\square$

In what follows the Hopf-construction for a map  $g: X \times Y \rightarrow W$  will be denoted by

$$\Gamma(g): X * Y \longrightarrow SW,$$

where  $X * Y$  is the reduced join of  $X$  and  $Y$  and  $SW$  is the reduced suspension of  $W$ . Points of  $X * Y$  and  $SW$  are written as  $[x, t, y]$  and  $[t, w]$  ( $t \in [0, 1]$ ) respectively. The homotopy class of  $\Gamma(g)$  is denoted by  $[\Gamma(g)]$ . The following lemma will be used in the proof of Lemma 4. Its proof follows directly from the definition of the sum of homotopy classes and will be omitted.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $f, g$  be maps  $S^m = D_+^m \cup_{S^{m-1}} D_-^m \rightarrow S^q$  where the base point of  $S^m$  is on the equator  $S^{m-1}$ . Suppose that  $f|D_+^m$  coincides with  $g|D_-^m$  under the canonical identification of  $D_+^m$  with  $D_-^m$ . Then the sum  $[f] + [g]$  of the homotopy classes  $[f]$  and  $[g]$  can be represented by a map  $h$  defined by  $h|D_-^m = f|D_-^m$  and  $h|D_+^m = g|D_+^m$ .*

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $J: \pi_n(O(n+1)) \rightarrow \pi_{2n+1}(S^{n+1})$  be the  $J$ -homomorphism. Then we have*

$$[\Gamma(p_2 \phi_\rho \chi)] = [\Gamma(p_2 \chi)] + J(\rho)$$

in  $\pi_{2n+1}(S^{n+1})$ .

**Proof.** Using the hemisphere decomposition  $S^n \times S^n = (D_+^n \cup_{S^{n-1}} D_-^n) \times S^n$ , we have an identification

$$S^{2n+1} = D_+^{2n+1} \cup_{S^{2n}} D_-^{2n+1} = D_+^n * S^n \cup_{S^{n-1} * S^n} D_-^n * S^n.$$

We may assume that  $\rho(D_-^n) = 1 \in O(n+1)$  and  $p_2 \chi(D_+^n, y) = y$  for all  $y \in S^n$ . Then  $J(\rho)$  maps  $[x, t, y] \in D_-^n * S^n$  to  $[t, y] \in SS^n = S^{n+1}$  and  $p_2 \chi$  maps  $[x, t, y] \in D_+^n * S^n$  to  $[t, y] \in S^{n+1}$ . From the definition of Hopf-construction,  $\Gamma(p_2 \phi_\rho \chi)$  is represented by a map which coincides with  $J(\rho)$  on  $D_+^{2n+1}$  and with  $\Gamma(p_2 \chi)$  on  $D_-^{2n+1}$ . In view of Lemma 3, this shows our assertion.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.**  $\Sigma_0^{2n+1}$  and  $\Sigma_1^{2n+1}$  are  $t$ -isovariantly homotopy equivalent if

and only if the map

$$h : S^n \times P^n \longrightarrow P^n, \quad h(x, [y]) = [(\theta_x \theta_y)^2 y]$$

is homotopic to the second projection  $p_2 : S^n \times P^n \rightarrow P^n$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that there exists a  $t$ -isovariant homotopy equivalence  $f$  for  $\chi = \phi$

$$f : \Sigma_\chi = \Sigma_1 = S^n \times D^{n+1} \cup_\phi D^{n+1} \times S^n \longrightarrow \Sigma_0 = S^n \times D^{n+1} \cup_{id} D^{n+1} \times S^n$$

where  $f|_{S^n \times D^{n+1}} = b_\rho$  for some  $\rho \in \pi_n(O(n+1))$  and  $f$  maps  $D^{n+1} \times S^n$  into  $D^{n+1} \times S^n$ . When we forget the involution, the map  $p_2 \phi_\rho \phi : S^n \times S^n \rightarrow S^n$  has an extension  $D^{n+1} \times S^n \rightarrow S^n$ . Therefore its Hopf-construction  $\Gamma(p_2 \phi_\rho \phi)$  must be null homotopic. By Lemma 4, we must have  $[\Gamma(p_2 \phi)] + [J(\rho)] = 0$  in  $\pi_{2n+1}(S^{n+1})$ . It is well-known that  $[\Gamma(p_2 \phi)] = [J(\theta)] = [\iota_{n+1}, \iota_{n+1}]$  is a nonzero element of order two unless  $n=0, 2$  or  $6$  and it vanishes under suspension. The homotopy group  $\pi_n(O(n+1))$  vanishes for  $n=2$  or  $6$ , and in other cases for even  $n$ , the group is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2$  if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$  and to  $\mathbf{Z}/2$  otherwise. When  $n \neq 2, 6$ ,  $\theta$  gives one generator, and when  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ , there is another  $\mathbf{Z}/2$  generator that survives to a nonzero stable class of  $\pi_n(O)$ . But since the stable  $J$ -map  $\pi_n(O) \rightarrow \pi_n^S$  is injective when  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ , we cannot take this element as  $\rho$ . Thus the only choice for  $\rho$  is  $\theta$ . It is not hard to verify that  $p_2 \phi_\theta \phi : S^n \times S^n \rightarrow S^n$  is given by  $(x, y) \mapsto (\theta_x \theta_y)^2 y$ . If we consider the map on the quotient space, we get the conclusion by Lemma 2.  $\square$

### 3. The last isovariancy obstruction

Now we have come to a quite concrete problem about the extensibility of the map

$$h : S^n \times P^n \longrightarrow P^n, \quad h(x, [y]) = [(\theta_x \theta_y)^2 y]$$

over  $D^{n+1} \times P^n$ . Our next step is to calculate this obstruction. To do this we shall introduce the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= D^{n+1} \times P^n, & \tilde{X} &= D^{n+1} \times S^n, & X^\perp &= \{(x, [y]) \in X \mid \langle x, y \rangle = 0\}, \\ \tilde{X}_+ &= \{(x, y) \in \tilde{X} \mid \langle x, y \rangle \geq 0\}, & \tilde{X}_- &= \{(x, y) \in \tilde{X} \mid \langle x, y \rangle \leq 0\}, \\ Y &= S^n \times P^n, & \tilde{Y} &= S^n \times S^n, \\ \tilde{Y}_+ &= \{(x, y) \in \tilde{Y} \mid \langle x, y \rangle \geq 0\}, & \tilde{Y}_- &= \{(x, y) \in \tilde{Y} \mid \langle x, y \rangle \leq 0\}, \\ Y^\perp &= Y \cap X^\perp, & \tilde{Y}^\perp &= \tilde{Y}_+ \cap \tilde{Y}_-. \end{aligned}$$

The next proposition says that the map  $h$  is 'almost' extensible and the

suspension of the extension obstruction is given by a Whitehead product.

**Proposition 6.** *The map  $h: Y \rightarrow P^n$  has a  $t$ -isovariant extension to a  $2n$ -skeleton of  $X$  and the last obstruction  $\zeta$  that lies in  $\pi_{2n}(P^n)$  may be regarded as an element of  $\pi_{2n}(S^n)$ . We can find an extension of  $h$  in such a way that the suspension of the last obstruction  $\zeta$  is equal to  $[\iota_{n+1}, \iota_{n+1}]$ .*

**Proof.** On  $Y^\perp$  we have  $h(x, [y]) = [y]$ . Hence we have an extension  $h'$  over  $X^\perp$  by defining  $h'(x, [y]) = [y]$ . The given involution exchanges  $\tilde{Y}_+$  with  $\tilde{Y}_-$  on  $\tilde{Y}$  and  $\tilde{X}_+$  with  $\tilde{X}_-$  on  $\tilde{X}$  that are separated by  $\tilde{X}^\perp$ . The map  $h'$  maps the point  $(x, y) \in \partial\tilde{X}_+ = \tilde{Y}_+ \cup \tilde{Y}_\perp \tilde{X}^\perp$  to  $[(\theta_x \theta_y)^2 y]$  if  $(x, y) \in \tilde{Y}_+$  and to  $[y]$  if  $(x, y) \in \tilde{X}^\perp$ . When  $x$  and  $y$  are linearly independent vectors, we may write

$$y = (\cos u)x + (\sin u)e$$

using the unit vector  $e$  which is normal to  $x$  and is contained in the plane spanned by  $x$  and  $y$ . Then we can write

$$(\theta_x \theta_y)^2 y = \cos(-3u)x + \sin(-3u)e.$$

This formula facilitates our understanding of the map  $(\theta_x \theta_y)^2$ . If we could extend the map  $h'$  to the interior of  $\tilde{X}_+$ , then the map  $h$  has an extension to the whole  $X$ . We should remark that this extension problem is equivalent to the following extension problem without actions: Is the map  $h_1: S^n \times S^n \rightarrow S^n$  defined by

$$h_1(x, y) = \begin{cases} (\theta_x \theta_y)^2 y & (\text{if } \langle x, y \rangle \geq 0) \\ y & (\text{if } \langle x, y \rangle \leq 0) \end{cases}$$

extendible to whole  $D^{n+1} \times S^n$ ?

If  $\langle x, y \rangle \leq 1/2$ , then  $\langle x, h_1(y) \rangle \leq 1/2$  holds. Therefore  $h_1$  is homotopic to  $h_2$  defined by

$$h_2(x, y) = \begin{cases} (\theta_x \theta_y)^2 y & (\text{if } \langle x, y \rangle \geq 1/2) \\ -x & (\text{if } \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1/2). \end{cases}$$

since the set  $\{(x, y) | \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1/2\}$  has  $\{(x, -x) | x \in S^n\}$  as a deformation retract. The well-definedness of  $h_2$  is clear from the definition of  $\theta$  or from the explicit formula for  $(\theta_x \theta_y)^2 y$  mentioned above. Using the notation  $y = \cos u x + \sin u e$ , we find that the homotopy

$$H(x, y, t) = \cos(2t-3)ux + \sin(2t-3)ue, \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1)$$

deforms  $h_2$  to  $h_3$  which is defined by  $h_3(x, y) = -\theta_x y$ . Since  $h_3|_{S^n \times \{pt\}}$  is null homotopic, we take one of its null homotopies as an extension over  $D^{n+1} \times \{pt\}$ . Thus we have obtained an extension over a  $2n$ -skeleton of  $D^{n+1} \times S^n$ .

This defines the last obstruction  $\zeta \in \pi_{2n}(S^n)$ . If we take another choice of null homotopies as an extension over  $D^{n+1} \times \{pt\}$  by an element of  $\pi_{n+1}(S^n) \cong \mathbf{Z}/2$  generated by a stable class  $\eta$ , the obstruction  $\zeta$  is changed by  $[\eta, \iota_n]$  from the definition of Whitehead products. From the *EHP* exact sequence

$$\pi_{2n+2}(S^{2n+1}) \xrightarrow{P} \pi_{2n}(S^{2n}) \xrightarrow{E} \pi_{2n+1}(S^{n+1}) \xrightarrow{H} \pi_{2n+1}(S^{2n+1}) \cong \mathbf{Z},$$

we find that the obstruction of extending  $h_1$  over  $D^{n+1} \times S^n$  is equal to the suspension  $E\zeta \in \pi_{2n+1}(S^{n+1})$  and this is equal to the final obstruction of extending  $h_3$ , which is equal to  $J(-\theta) = [\iota_{n+1}, \iota_{n+1}]$  by the property of the *J*-homomorphism.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem.** The obstruction  $[\iota_{n+1}, \iota_{n+1}]$  in Proposition 5 was calculated fixing an extension on  $X^1$ . This obstruction might vanish if other extensions were to be chosen on  $X^1$ . However, if  $n+2$  is not a power of two, this obstruction cannot vanish from the result of [5], Corollary 5. This completes the proof when  $n+2$  is not a power of two. Even when  $n+2$  is a power of two, the same argument applies, but in this case, if the Whitehead product vanishes, the obstruction is zero and we can construct a *t*-isovariant homotopy equivalence from  $\Sigma_1$  to  $\Sigma_0$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The author wishes to express deep gratitude to the referee for careful reading of the manuscript and suggestions about the arrangement of the text.

### References

- [1] J.F. Adams, On the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one, *Ann. of Math.*, **72** (1960), 20-104.
- [2] W. Browder, The Kervaire invariant of framed manifolds and its generalizations, *Ann. of Math.*, **90** (1969), 157-186.
- [3] W. Browder and F. Quinn, A surgery theory for *G*-manifolds and stratified sets, in "Manifolds-Tokyo 1973", *Proceedings of the International Conference on Manifolds and Related Topics in Topology*, Math. Soc. Japan, Univ of Tokyo Press, 27-36.
- [4] Y. Kitada, Orientation reversing involutions on Brieskorn spheres, *Kodai Math. J.*, **9** (1986), 361-367.
- [5] ———, Relations among smooth Kervaire classes and smooth involutions on homotopy spheres, *Kodai Math. J.*, **11** (1988), 387-402.
- [6] S.O. Kochman, *Stable homotopy groups of spheres*, Lecture Notes in Math., **1423**, Springer.

Department of Applied Mathematics  
Faculty of Engineering  
Yokohama National University  
Tokiwadai, Hodogaya-ku  
Yokohama, 240 Japan