

COMPLETE HYPERSURFACES IN A 4-DIMENSIONAL UNIT SPHERE WITH CONSTANT MEAN CURVATURE

By

QING-MING CHENG

(Received January 16, 1991)

1. Introduction

Let M be an n -dimensional hypersurface in a unit sphere $S^{n+1}(1)$. If M is compact, minimal and $0 \leq S \leq n$, then Simons [9] proved $S=0$ or $S=n$, where S is the square of length of second fundamental form. Chern, do Carmo and Kobayashi [5] proved that Clifford tori are the only minimal hypersurfaces with $S=n$. Peng and Terng [8] studied the case $S=\text{constant}$ and $n=3$, and proved if $S>3$, then $S \geq 6$. In Otsuki's examples of minimal hypersurfaces in $S^{n+1}(1)$, Hu proved that there exist complete and non-compact minimal hypersurfaces in $S^{n+1}(1)$. Hence it is interesting to research the complete minimal hypersurfaces in $S^{n+1}(1)$. The author [2] and [3] generalized Chern, do Carmo and Kobayashi's Theorem and Peng and Terng's Theorem to complete case. The author and Nakagawa [4] also studied the complete hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in $S^{n+1}(1)$.

In this paper, we generalize the result in [3] due to the author to complete hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in $S^4(1)$.

2. Preliminaries

Let M be an n -dimensional immersed hypersurface in an $n+1$ -dimensional unit sphere $S^{n+1}(1)$. We choose a local field of orthonormal frames e_1, \dots, e_{n+1} in $S^{n+1}(1)$ such that, restricted to M , the vectors e_1, \dots, e_n are tangent to M . We use the following convention on the range of indices unless otherwise stated: $A, B, C, \dots=1, 2, \dots, n+1$; $i, j, k, \dots=1, 2, \dots, n$. We agree that the repeated indices under a summation sign without indication are summed over the respective ranges. With respect to the frame field of $S^{n+1}(1)$ chosen above, let $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n+1}$ be the dual frame. Then structure equations of $S^{n+1}(1)$ are given by

$$d\omega_A = \sum \omega_{AB} \wedge \omega_B, \quad \omega_{AB} + \omega_{BA} = 0,$$

$$d\omega_{AB} = \sum \omega_{AC} \wedge \omega_{CB} + \Omega_{AB},$$

$$\Omega_{AB} = -(1/2) \sum K_{ABCD} \omega_C \wedge \omega_D.$$

Restricting these forms to M , we have the structure equations of M .

$$(2.1) \quad \omega_{n+1} = 0,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \omega_{n+1i} = \sum h_{ij} \omega_j, \quad h_{ij} = h_{ji},$$

$$(2.3) \quad d\omega_i = \sum \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_j, \quad \omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji} = 0,$$

$$(2.4) \quad d\omega_{ij} = \sum \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} - (1/2) \sum R_{ijkl} \omega_k \wedge \omega_l,$$

$$(2.5) \quad R_{ijkl} = (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} - \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}) + (h_{ik} h_{jl} - h_{il} h_{jk}).$$

The symmetric 2-form

$$h = \sum h_{ij} \omega_i \omega_j$$

and the scalar

$$(2.6) \quad H = \sum h_{ii}$$

are called the second fundamental form and the mean curvature of M respectively. If $H=0$, then M is said to be minimal. Define h_{ijk} , h_{ijkl} and $h_{ijkl\delta}$ by, respectively,

$$(2.7) \quad \sum h_{ijk} \omega_k = dh_{ij} + \sum h_{mj} \omega_{mi} + \sum h_{im} \omega_{mj},$$

$$(2.8) \quad \sum h_{ijkl} \omega_l = dh_{ijk} + \sum h_{mjk} \omega_{mi} + \sum h_{imk} \omega_{mj} + \sum h_{ijm} \omega_{mk},$$

$$(2.9) \quad \sum h_{ijkl\delta} \omega_\delta = dh_{ijkl} + \sum h_{mjkl} \omega_{mi} + \sum h_{imkl} \omega_{mj} + \sum h_{ijml} \omega_{mk} + \sum h_{ijk} \omega_{ml}.$$

Exterior differentiating (2.2) and using the structure equations, we obtain

$$\sum h_{ijk} \omega_j \wedge \omega_k = 0.$$

Hence

$$(2.10) \quad h_{ijk} = h_{ikj}.$$

Similarly, we obtain, by differentiating (2.7) and (2.8) respectively,

$$(2.11) \quad h_{ijkl} - h_{ijlk} = \sum h_{mj} R_{mikl} + \sum h_{im} R_{mjkl},$$

$$(2.12) \quad h_{ijkl\delta} - h_{ijk\delta l} = \sum h_{mjk} R_{mils} + \sum h_{imk} R_{mjls} + \sum h_{ijm} R_{mkls}.$$

For any point $p \in M$, we can choose a frame field e_1, \dots, e_n so that

$$(2.13) \quad h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}.$$

Let

$$(2.14) \quad \mu_i = H/n - \lambda_i,$$

$$(2.15) \quad B_k = \sum \mu_i^k, \quad f_k = \sum \lambda_i^k.$$

Then

$$(2.16) \quad B_1=0, \quad B_2=S-H^2/n, \quad B_3=(3HS)/n-(2H^3)/n^2-f_3.$$

If both S and H are constant, by a simple and direct calculation, we can obtain

$$(2.17) \quad -Hf_3 = S(n-S) - H^2 + \sum h_{ijk}^2,$$

$$(2.18) \quad (1/2)\Delta \sum h_{ijk}^2 = (2n+3-S)\sum h_{ijk}^2 - 3(\sum \lambda_i^2 h_{ijk}^2 - 2\sum \lambda_i \lambda_j h_{ijk}^2) \\ + 3H \sum \lambda_i h_{ijk}^2 + \sum h_{ijkl}^2,$$

$$(2.19) \quad -H\Delta f_3 = \Delta \sum h_{ijk}^2.$$

Especially, when $n=3$, we can also get

$$(2.20) \quad \Delta f_3 = 6\sum \lambda_i h_{ijk}^2 - 3Sf_3 + 3Hf_4 + 9f_3 - 3HS,$$

$$(2.21) \quad \Delta f_4 = -4Sf_4 - 4Hf_3 + 4Hf_5 + 12f_4 + 8\sum \lambda_i^2 h_{ijk}^2 + 4\sum \lambda_i \lambda_j h_{ijk}^2,$$

$$(2.22) \quad f_4 = -H^2S + H^4/6 + 4Hf_3/3 + S^2/2,$$

$$(2.23) \quad f_5 = (5Sf_3 + 5H^2f_3 + H^5 - 5H^3S)/6.$$

$$(2.24) \quad S^3 - 11H^2S^2/6 - 6S^2 + SH^4 + 9S + 6H^2S - H^6/6 - 3H^2 - 4H^4/3 \\ = (S - H^2/3)(S - 3H^2/4 - 3 + \sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2}/4)(S - 3H^2/4 - 3 - \sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2}/4).$$

Since $\sum \mu_i = 0$, we have

$$(2.25) \quad (-1/\sqrt{6})(S - H^2/3)^{3/2} \leq B_3 \leq (1/\sqrt{6})(S - H^2/3)^{3/2},$$

and equality is reached if and only if two of μ_1, μ_2 and μ_3 are equal. (cf. Okumura [6])

Lemma 1. *Let M be a 3-dimensional hypersurface in $S^4(1)$ with constant mean curvature. If $S = \text{constant}$, then*

$$(2.26) \quad \frac{1}{3}\Delta \sum h_{ijk}^2 = 2H \sum \mu_i h_{ijk}^2 - (S - \frac{2}{3}H^2 - 3)\sum h_{ijk}^2 + (S - \frac{1}{3}H^2)(S - \frac{3}{4}H^2 - 3 \\ + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2})(S - \frac{3}{4}H^2 - 3 - \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2}),$$

$$(2.27) \quad \sum h_{ijkl}^2 = -\frac{5}{3}\sum h_{ijk}^2(\mu_i + \mu_j + \mu_k)^2 + 8H \sum \mu_i h_{ijk}^2 + \frac{3}{2}(S - \frac{2}{3}H^2 - 3)\sum h_{ijk}^2 \\ + \frac{3}{2}(S - \frac{1}{3}H^2)(S - \frac{3}{4}H^2 - 3 + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2})(S - \frac{3}{4}H^2 - 3 - \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2}).$$

Proof. By (2.19) and (2.22), we get

$$(2.28) \quad \Delta f_4 = \frac{4}{3} H \Delta f_3 = -\frac{4}{3} \Delta \sum h_{ijk}^2.$$

According to (2.21) and (2.28), we obtain

$$(2.29) \quad 2 \sum \lambda_i^2 h_{ijk}^2 + \sum \lambda_i \lambda_j h_{ijk}^2 = -\frac{1}{3} \Delta \sum h_{ijk}^2 + S f_4 + H f_3 - H f_5 - 3 f_4.$$

(2.14) implies

$$(2.30) \quad \sum \lambda_i^2 h_{ijk}^2 + 2 \sum \lambda_i \lambda_j h_{ijk}^2 = \frac{H^2}{3} \sum h_{ijk}^2 - 2H \sum \mu_i h_{ijk}^2 + \frac{1}{3} \sum (\mu_i + \mu_j + \mu_k)^2 h_{ijk}^2.$$

From (2.14), (2.19) and (2.20), we have

$$(2.31) \quad 2H \sum \mu_i h_{ijk}^2 = \frac{2}{3} H^2 \sum h_{ijk}^2 + \frac{1}{3} \Delta \sum h_{ijk}^2 - H S f_3 - H^2 S + H^2 f_4 + 3H f_3.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{3} \Delta \sum h_{ijk}^2 &= 2H \sum \mu_i h_{ijk}^2 - \frac{2}{3} H^2 \sum h_{ijk}^2 + H S f_3 + H^2 S - H^2 f_4 - 3H f_3 \\ &= 2H \sum \mu_i h_{ijk}^2 - \frac{2}{3} H^2 \sum h_{ijk}^2 + H^2 S + (S-3)H f_3 \\ &\quad - H^2 \left(-H^2 S + \frac{1}{6} H^4 + \frac{4}{3} H f_3 + \frac{1}{2} S^2 \right) \quad (\text{by (2.22)}) \\ &= 2H \sum \mu_i h_{ijk}^2 - \frac{2}{3} H^2 \sum h_{ijk}^2 + H^4 S + H^2 S - \frac{1}{6} H^6 - \frac{1}{2} H^2 S^2 + \left(S-3-\frac{4}{3} H^2 \right) H f_3 \\ &= 2H \sum \mu_i h_{ijk}^2 - \frac{2}{3} H^2 \sum h_{ijk}^2 + H^4 S + H^2 S - \frac{1}{6} H^6 - \frac{1}{2} H^2 S^2 \\ &\quad - \left(S-3-\frac{4}{3} H^2 \right) [S(3-S) - H^2 + \sum h_{ijk}^2] \quad (\text{by (2.17)}) \\ &= 2H \sum \mu_i h_{ijk}^2 - \left(S-\frac{2}{3} H^2-3 \right) \sum h_{ijk}^2 + H^4 S + H^2 S - \frac{1}{6} H^6 - \frac{1}{2} H^2 S^2 \\ &\quad - \left(S-3-\frac{4}{3} H^2 \right) [S(3-S) - H^2] \\ &= 2H \sum \mu_i h_{ijk}^2 - \left(S-\frac{2}{3} H^2-3 \right) \sum h_{ijk}^2 \\ &\quad + \left(S-\frac{1}{3} H^2 \right) \left(S-\frac{3}{4} H^2-3 + \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{H^4+8H^2} \right) \left(S-\frac{3}{4} H^2-3 - \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{H^4+8H^2} \right) \\ &\hspace{15em} (\text{by (2.24)}), \end{aligned}$$

that is, (2.26) is valid.

On the other hand, according to (2.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.32) \quad \Sigma h_{i,j,k}^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta \Sigma h_{i,j,k}^2 - 5(\Sigma \lambda_i^2 h_{i,j,k}^2 + 2 \Sigma \lambda_i \lambda_j h_{i,j,k}^2) + 4(2 \Sigma \lambda_i^2 h_{i,j,k}^2 + \\
 &\quad \Sigma \lambda_i \lambda_j h_{i,j,k}^2) + 3H \Sigma \mu_i h_{i,j,k}^2 + (S-9-H^2) \Sigma h_{i,j,k}^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta \Sigma h_{i,j,k}^2 - 5 \left[\frac{H^2}{3} \Sigma h_{i,j,k}^2 - 2H \Sigma \mu_i h_{i,j,k}^2 + \frac{1}{3} \Sigma (\mu_i + \mu_j + \mu_k)^2 h_{i,j,k}^2 \right] \\
 &\quad + 4 \left(-\frac{1}{3} \Delta \Sigma h_{i,j,k}^2 + S f_4 + H f_3 - H f_5 - 3 f_4 \right) + 3H \Sigma \mu_i h_{i,j,k}^2 + (S-9-H^2) \Sigma h_{i,j,k}^2 \\
 &\hspace{15em} \text{(by (2.29) and (2.30))} \\
 &= -\frac{5}{3} \Sigma (\mu_i + \mu_j + \mu_k)^2 h_{i,j,k}^2 + 13H \Sigma \mu_i h_{i,j,k}^2 - \frac{5}{6} \Delta \Sigma h_{i,j,k}^2 \\
 &\quad + \left(S-9-\frac{8}{3} H^2 \right) \Sigma h_{i,j,k}^2 + 4S f_4 + 4H f_3 - 4H f_5 - 12 f_4 \\
 &= -\frac{5}{3} \Sigma (\mu_i + \mu_j + \mu_k)^2 h_{i,j,k}^2 + 13H \Sigma \mu_i h_{i,j,k}^2 + 4(S-3) f_4 + 4H f_3 - 4H f_5 \\
 &\quad - \frac{5}{2} \left[2H \Sigma \mu_i h_{i,j,k}^2 - \left(S-\frac{2}{3} H^2-3 \right) \Sigma h_{i,j,k}^2 + \left(S-\frac{1}{3} H^2 \right) \left(S-\frac{3}{4} H^2-3 \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{H^4+8H^2} \right) \left(S-\frac{3}{4} H^2-3-\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{H^4+8H^2} \right) \right] + \left(S-9-\frac{8}{3} H^2 \right) \Sigma h_{i,j,k}^2 \\
 &\hspace{15em} \text{(by (2.26))} \\
 &= -\frac{5}{3} \Sigma (\mu_i + \mu_j + \mu_k)^2 h_{i,j,k}^2 + 8H \Sigma \mu_i h_{i,j,k}^2 \\
 &\quad - \frac{5}{2} \left(S-\frac{1}{3} H^2 \right) \left(S-\frac{3}{4} H^2-3+\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{H^4+8H^2} \right) \left(S-\frac{3}{4} H^2-3-\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{H^4+8H^2} \right) \\
 &\quad + 4(S-3) \left[-H^2 S + \frac{1}{6} H^4 + \frac{4}{3} H f_3 + \frac{1}{2} S^2 \right] + 4H f_3 \\
 &\quad - 4H \left(\frac{5}{6} f_3 S + \frac{5}{6} H^2 f_3 + \frac{1}{6} H^5 - \frac{5}{6} H^3 S \right) + \left(\frac{7}{2} S - \frac{13}{3} H^2 - \frac{33}{2} \right) \Sigma h_{i,j,k}^2 \\
 &\hspace{15em} \text{(by (2.22) and (2.23))} \\
 &= -\frac{5}{3} \Sigma (\mu_i + \mu_j + \mu_k)^2 h_{i,j,k}^2 + 8H \Sigma \mu_i h_{i,j,k}^2 \\
 &\quad - \frac{5}{2} \left(S-\frac{1}{3} H^2 \right) \left(S-\frac{3}{4} H^2-3+\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{H^4+8H^2} \right) \left(S-\frac{3}{4} H^2-3-\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{H^4+8H^2} \right) \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{7}{2} S - \frac{13}{3} H^2 - \frac{33}{2} \right) \Sigma h_{i,j,k}^2 + 4(S-3) \left(-H^2 S + \frac{1}{6} H^4 + \frac{1}{2} S^2 \right) \\
 &\quad - 4H \left(\frac{1}{6} H^5 - \frac{5}{6} H^3 S \right) + \left(2S-12-\frac{10}{3} H^2 \right) (S(S-3)+H^2-\Sigma h_{i,j,k}^2) \quad \text{(by (2.17))}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{5}{3} \sum (\mu_i + \mu_j + \mu_k)^2 h_{ijk}^2 + 8H \sum \mu_i h_{ijk}^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{5}{2} \left(S - \frac{1}{3} H^2 \right) \left(S - \frac{3}{4} H^2 - 3 + \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(S - \frac{3}{4} H^2 - 3 - \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2} \right) + \frac{3}{2} \left(S - \frac{2}{3} H^2 - 3 \right) \sum h_{ijk}^2 \\
&\quad + 4 \left(S^3 - 6S^2 + 9S - \frac{11}{6} H^2 S^2 + 6H^2 S + H^4 S - \frac{H^6}{6} - 3H^2 - \frac{4}{3} H^4 \right) \\
&= -\frac{5}{3} \sum (\mu_i + \mu_j + \mu_k)^2 h_{ijk}^2 + 8H \sum \mu_i h_{ijk}^2 + \frac{3}{2} \left(S - \frac{2}{3} H^2 - 3 \right) \sum h_{ijk}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{3}{2} \left(S - \frac{1}{3} H^2 \right) \left(S - \frac{3}{4} H^2 - 3 + \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2} \right) \left(S - \frac{3}{4} H^2 - 3 - \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2} \right) \\
&\hspace{15em} \text{(by (2.24)).}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (2.27) is true.

Lemma 2 (cf. [1]). *Let M be a hypersurface in $S^{n+1}(1)$ with constant principal curvatures. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p$ be the distinct principal curvatures of M and m_1, \dots, m_p their multiplicities. Then*

$$\sum_{j \neq i} m_j \frac{1 + \lambda_i \lambda_j}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} = 0.$$

Especially, when $n=3$, we have

$$S = H^2/3, 3H^2/4 - \sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2}/4 + 3, 3H^2/4 + \sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2}/4 + 3 \text{ or } H^2 + 6.$$

Lemma 3 (cf. Omori [7] or Yau [10]). *Let M be an n -dimensional complete Riemannian manifold whose Ricci curvature is bounded from below. Let F be a C^2 -function bounded from below on M , then there exists a sequence $\{p_m\}$ in M such that*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F(p_m) = \inf F, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\nabla F(p_m)| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Delta F(p_m) \geq 0.$$

3. Theorems and their proofs

Theorem 1. *Let M be a complete hypersurface in $S^4(1)$ with constant mean curvature. If $S = \text{constant}$ and*

$$3H^2/4 - \sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2}/4 + 3 < S \leq 3H^2/4 + \sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2}/4 + 3,$$

then

$$S = 3H^2/4 + \sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2}/4 + 3.$$

Theorem 2. *Let M be a complete hypersurface in $S^4(1)$ with constant mean*

curvature. If $S=\text{constant}$ and

$$3H^2/4 + \sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2}/4 + 3 < S \leq H^2 + 6,$$

then $S=H^2+6$ and M is an isoparametric hypersurface if $H \neq 0$.

Corollary. Let M be a complete hypersurface in $S^4(1)$ with constant mean curvature. If $S=\text{constant}$ and $S \leq H^2 + 6$, then $S=H^2/3$, $3H^2/4 - \sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2}/4 + 3$, $3H^2/4 + \sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2}/4 + 3$ or $H^2 + 6$.

Proof. According to Theorem 1, Theorem 2 and the result due to the author and Nakagawa [4], Corollary is valid obviously.

Since H is constant, we may suppose $H \neq 0$. In fact, if $H=0$, then M is minimal. From the result in [3] due to the author, we know that Theorems 1 and 2 are true. Without loss of generality, we may assume $H > 0$.

Proof of Theorem 1. If $f_3=\text{constant}$, then M is a hypersurface in $S^4(1)$ with constant principal curvatures. Hence Theorem 1 is valid from Lemma 2. Next we will only consider the case $f_3 \neq \text{constant}$. Since S is constant, we know that f_3 , $\sum h_{ijk}^2$ and $\sum h_{ijkl}^2$ are bounded from (2.17) and (2.27). And the Ricci curvature of M is bounded from below. Let $F = \sum h_{ijk}^2$. We can apply Lemma 3 to F . Hence there exists a sequence $\{p_m\}$ in M such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F(p_m) = \inf F, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\nabla F(p_m)| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Delta F(p_m) \geq 0,$$

that is,

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum h_{ijk}^2(p_m) = \inf \sum h_{ijk}^2, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\nabla \sum h_{ijk}^2|(p_m) = 0, \\ \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \Delta \sum h_{ijk}^2(p_m) \geq 0.$$

According to (2.16) and (2.17), we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_3(p_m) = \sup f_3, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\nabla f_3| = 0, \quad (\text{by } H > 0)$$

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} B_3(p_m) = \inf B_3, \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |\nabla B_3| = 0.$$

If $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} B_3(p_m) = (1/\sqrt{6})(S - H^2/3)^{3/2}$, then $B_3 = (1/\sqrt{6})(S - H^2/3)^{3/2}$ from (2.25) and

(3.3). Hence f_3 is constant. This is a contradiction. Thus

$$(3.4) \quad \lim B_3(p_m) < (1/\sqrt{6})(S - H^2/3)^{3/2}.$$

Next we will prove $\lim B_3(p_m) = -(1/\sqrt{6})(S - H^2/3)^{3/2}$. In fact,

if $\lim B_3(p_m) > -(1/\sqrt{6})(S - H^2/3)^{3/2}$, then

$$(3.5) \quad |\lim B_s(p_m)| < (1/\sqrt{6})(S-H^2/3)^{3/2}.$$

Because λ_i , h_{ijk} and h_{ijkl} are bounded from $S=\text{constant}$, we may suppose

$$(3.6) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i(p_m) = \lambda_i^\circ,$$

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} h_{ijk}(p_m) = h_{ijk}^\circ,$$

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} h_{ijkl}(p_m) = h_{ijkl}^\circ,$$

by taking subsequence of $\{p_m\}$ if necessary. Hence

$$(3.9) \quad \lim \mu_i(p_m) = H/3 - \lambda_i^\circ = \mu_i^\circ.$$

Thus, from (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \mu_i^\circ &= 0, \\ \sum (\mu_i^\circ)^2 &= S - H^2/3, \\ |\sum (\mu_i^\circ)^3| &< (1/\sqrt{6})(S - H^2/3)^{3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

From $\sum \mu_i = 0$, $\sum \mu_i^2 = S - H^2/3$, we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad \sum_i h_{iik} = 0,$$

$$(3.11) \quad \sum_i \mu_i h_{iik} = 0.$$

(3.9) and (3.7) imply

$$(3.12) \quad \sum_i h_{iik}^\circ = 0,$$

$$(3.13) \quad \sum_i \mu_i^\circ h_{iik}^\circ = 0.$$

According to (3.3), we have

$$\lim |\nabla B_s| = \lim \sum_k (\sum_i \mu_i^2 h_{iik})^2 = 0.$$

Hence

$$(3.14) \quad \sum_i (\mu_i^\circ)^2 h_{iik}^\circ = 0.$$

Since μ_i° are distinct from (3.5), (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14) imply

$$(3.15) \quad h_{iik}^\circ = 0 \quad \text{for any } i \text{ and } k.$$

From Lemma 1, (3.1), (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), we obtain

$$(3.16) \quad (S-2H^2/3-3)\sum h_{ijk}^2 \leq 2H\sum \mu_i^2 h_{ijk}^2 + \left(S-\frac{1}{3}H^2\right)(S-3H^2/4-3+\sqrt{H^4+8H^2/4}) \times (S-3H^2/4-3-\sqrt{H^4+8H^2/4}),$$

$$(3.17) \quad \sum h_{ijk}^2 = -\frac{5}{3}\sum(\mu_i^2+\mu_j^2+\mu_k^2)h_{ijk}^2 + 8H\sum \mu_i^2 h_{ijk}^2 + \frac{3}{2}\left(S-\frac{2}{3}H^2-3\right)\sum h_{ijk}^2 + \frac{3}{2}\left(S-\frac{1}{3}H^2\right)(S-3H^2/4-3+\sqrt{H^4+8H^2/4})(S-3H^2/4-3-\sqrt{H^4+8H^2/4}).$$

From (3.15) and $\sum \mu_i^2 = 0$, we have

$$(3.18) \quad \sum(\mu_i^2+\mu_j^2+\mu_k^2)h_{ijk}^2 = 0, \quad \sum \mu_i^2 h_{ijk}^2 = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum h_{ijk}^2 \geq 3\sum_{i \neq j} h_{ijj}^2 = 3\sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ijj} - (1/2)t_{ij})^2 + (3/4)\sum_{i \neq j} t_{ij}^2,$$

where $t_{ij}^2 = (h_{ijj} - h_{jji})^2 = (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2(1 + \lambda_i \lambda_j)^2$. Hence

$$(3.19) \quad \sum h_{ijk}^2 \geq (3/4)\sum(\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_j^2)^2(1 + \lambda_i \lambda_j)^2 > 0,$$

since λ_1^2, λ_2^2 and λ_3^2 are distinct. (3.16), (3.17) and (3.18) yield

$$(3.20) \quad \sum h_{ijk}^2 \leq 0 \quad \text{from } S > 3H^2/4 - \sqrt{H^4+8H^2/4} + 3.$$

(3.19) and (3.20) are a contradiction. Hence

$$(3.21) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} B_s(p_m) = -(1/\sqrt{6})(S-H^2/3)^{3/2}.$$

By (2.16) and (2.17), we obtain

$$(3.22) \quad \sum h_{ijk}^2 = S(S-3) + H^2 - H \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_s(p_m) \quad (\text{by (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8)}) \\ = (S-H^2)/3[\sqrt{S-H^2/3} - H/(2\sqrt{6}) + \sqrt{(3/8)H^2+3}][\sqrt{S-H^2/3} - H/(2\sqrt{6}) - \sqrt{(3/8)H^2+3}] \quad (\text{by (3.21) and (2.16)}).$$

Since $S > 3H^2/4 - \sqrt{H^4+8H^2/4} + 3$, we have

$$\sqrt{S-H^2/3} - H/(2\sqrt{6}) + \sqrt{(3/8)H^2+3} > 0, \quad S-H^2/3 > 0.$$

Hence,

$$\sqrt{S-H^2/3} - H/(2\sqrt{6}) - \sqrt{(3/8)H^2+3} \geq 0 \quad (\text{by (3.22)}).$$

Thus $S \geq 3H^2/4 + \sqrt{H^4+8H^2/4} + 3$. Therefore,

$$S=3H^2/4+\sqrt{H^4+8H^2}/4+3.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

To give the proof of Theorem 2, at first, we show that the following two propositions.

Proposition 1. *Let M be a hypersurface in $S^4(1)$ with constant mean curvature. If $S=\text{constant}$, $\inf B_3 \cdot \sup B_3=0$ and*

$$3H^2/4+\sqrt{H^4+8H^2}/4+3<S\leq H^2+6,$$

then $S=H^2+6$ and M is an isoparametric hypersurface if $H\neq 0$.

Proposition 2. *Let M be a hypersurface in $S^4(1)$ with constant mean curvature. If $S=\text{constant}$, $\inf B_3 \cdot \sup B_3\neq 0$ and*

$$3H^2/4+\sqrt{H^4+8H^2}/4+3<S\leq H^2+6,$$

then $S=H^2+6$ and M is an isoparametric hypersurface if $H\neq 0$.

Proof of Proposition 1. If $\inf B_3=\sup B_3=0$, then $B_3\equiv 0$, that is, $f_3=\text{constant}$. Hence M is a hypersurface with constant principal curvature. Lemma 2 implies that Proposition 2 is true.

Next we only consider the case $f_3\neq\text{constant}$. And we will prove that it can not occur. In fact, without loss of generality, we may suppose $\inf B_3=0$. According to Lemma 3, there exists a sequence $\{p_m\}$ in M such that

$$(3.23) \quad \lim B_3(p_m)=\inf B_3=0, \quad \lim |\nabla B_3(p_m)|=0, \quad \lim \inf \Delta B_3(p_m)\geq 0.$$

By (2.16), we have

$$\lim f_3(p_m)=HS-2H^3/9, \quad \lim |\nabla f_3(p_m)|=0, \quad \lim \sup \Delta f_3\leq 0.$$

Making use of same proof as in Theorem 1, we obtain

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim \lambda_i(p_m) &= \lambda_i^\circ, \\ \lim h_{ijk}(p_m) &= h_{ijk}^\circ, \\ \lim h_{ijkl}(p_m) &= h_{ijkl}^\circ. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(3.25) \quad \sum \mu_i^\circ=0, \quad \sum (\mu_i^\circ)^2=B=(S-H^2/3) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum (\mu_i^\circ)^3=0.$$

Thus

$$(3.26) \quad \mu_1^\circ=-\sqrt{B/2}, \quad \mu_2^\circ=0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_3^\circ=\sqrt{B/2}.$$

Here we assume $\mu_1 \leq \mu_2 \leq \mu_3$. Since μ_1°, μ_2° and μ_3° are distinct, by the same proof as in Theorem 1, we can get

$$(3.27) \quad h_{iik}^\circ = 0 \quad \text{for any } i \text{ and } k,$$

$$(3.28) \quad \sum \mu_i^\circ h_{ijk}^{\circ 2} = 0.$$

Because $H\Delta B_3 = \Delta \sum h_{ijk}^{\circ 2}$ from (2.16) and (2.17), (2.26), (3.23) and $H > 0$ imply

$$(3.29) \quad 0 \leq -(S - 2H^2/3 - 3) \sum h_{ijk}^{\circ 2} \\ + (3/2)(S - H^2/3)(S - 3H^2/4 - 3 + \sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2/4}) \\ \times (S - 3H^2/4 - 3 - \sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2/4}).$$

Since $\lim f_3(p_m) = HS - 2H^3/9$, we obtain

$$(3.30) \quad \sum h_{ijk}^{\circ 2} = (S - H^2/3)(S - 2H^2/3 - 3) \quad (\text{by (2.17)}).$$

(3.29) and (3.30) imply

$$0 \leq -(S - H^2/3)(S - 2H^2/3 - 3)^2 + (S - H^2/3)[(S - 3H^2/4 - 3)^2 - (H^4 + 8H^2)/16] \\ = (S - H^2/3)[- (S - 2H^2/3 - 3)H^2/6 + H^4/12^2 - (H^4 + 8H^2)/16] \\ \leq -(S - H^2/3)[(S - 2H^2/3 - 3)H^2/6 + H^2/2] < 0.$$

This is a contradiction. Hence, Proposition 1 is valid.

Proof of Proposition 2. If $f_3 = \text{constant}$, then M is a hypersurface with constant principal curvature since H and S are constant. Hence Proposition 2 is valid from Lemma 2. Next we only consider the case $f_3 \neq \text{constant}$. And we prove that it can not occur. In fact, since $\inf B_3 \cdot \sup B_3 \neq 0$, we have

(1) If $\inf B_3 \cdot \sup B_3 < 0$, then, from the continuation of B_3 , we have that there exists a point $p \in M$ such that

$$(3.31) \quad B_3(p) = 0.$$

(2) If $\inf B_3 \cdot \sup B_3 > 0$, then $\inf B_3$ and $\sup B_3$ are same sign. We shall prove that this cases do not occur. In fact, without loss of generality, we may assume $\inf B_3 > 0$. According to (2.25), we have

$$(3.32) \quad 0 < \inf B_3 < (1/\sqrt{6})(S - H^2/3)^{3/2}.$$

We apply Lemma 3 to B_3 , then

$$(3.33) \quad \lim B_3(p_m) = \inf B_3, \quad \lim |\nabla B_3(p_m)| = 0, \quad \lim \inf \Delta B_3(p_m) \geq 0.$$

Therefore,

$$(3.34) \quad \lim f_3(p_m) = \sup f_3, \quad \lim |\nabla f_3(p_m)| = 0, \quad \lim \sup \Delta f_3(p_m) \leq 0.$$

By the same proof as in Theorem 1, we can get

$$(3.35) \quad \lim \mu_i(p_m) = \mu_i^\circ,$$

$$(3.36) \quad \lim h_{ijk}(p_m) = h_{ijk}^\circ,$$

$$(3.37) \quad \mu_1^\circ + \mu_2^\circ + \mu_3^\circ = 0,$$

$$(3.38) \quad \mu_1^{\circ 2} + \mu_2^{\circ 2} + \mu_3^{\circ 2} = B,$$

$$(3.39) \quad \mu_1^{\circ 3} + \mu_2^{\circ 3} + \mu_3^{\circ 3} = \inf B_s.$$

(3.32), (3.33), (3.38) and (3.39) imply that μ_1° , μ_2° and μ_3° are distinct. By the same proof as in Theorem 1, we can obtain

$$(3.40) \quad h_{iik}^\circ = 0 \quad \text{for any } i \text{ and } k,$$

$$(3.41) \quad \sum \mu_i^\circ h_{ijk}^\circ = 0.$$

By (2.14), (2.20) and (2.22), we get

$$(3.42) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta f_s &= -6 \sum \mu_i h_{ijk}^2 + 2H \sum h_{ijk}^2 - 3S f_s - 3HS + 9f_s \\ &\quad + 3H \left(-H^2 S + \frac{1}{6} H^4 + \frac{4}{3} H f_s + \frac{1}{2} S^2 \right) \\ &= -6 \sum \mu_i h_{ijk}^2 - 3 \left(S - \frac{2}{3} H^2 - 3 \right) f_s + H \left(\frac{7}{2} S^2 - 9S + 2H^2 - 3H^2 S + \frac{H^4}{2} \right) \\ &\quad \text{(by (2.17))} \\ &\geq -6 \sum \mu_i h_{ijk}^2 - 3 \left(S - \frac{2}{3} H^2 - 3 \right) \left(HS - \frac{2H^3}{9} \right) \\ &\quad + H \left(\frac{7}{2} S^2 - 9S + 2H^2 - 3H^2 S + \frac{1}{2} H^4 \right) \quad \text{(by (2.16) and (3.32)).} \end{aligned}$$

(3.34), (3.41) and (3.42) imply

$$0 \geq H(S - H^2/3)^2 > 0.$$

This is impossible. Hence (2) can not occur.

Next we will prove that (1) can not also occur. From (1) we know $B_s(p) = 0$, that is,

$$(3.43) \quad f_s(p) = HS - 2H^3/9.$$

At point p , we have

$$\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 = 0,$$

$$\mu_1^2 + \mu_2^2 + \mu_3^2 = B = S - H^2/3,$$

$$\mu_1^3 + \mu_2^3 + \mu_3^3 = 0.$$

Hence

$$(3.44) \quad \mu_1 = -\sqrt{B/2}, \quad \mu_2 = 0, \quad \mu_3 = \sqrt{B/2}.$$

$$(3.45) \quad \lambda_1 = H/3 + \sqrt{B/2}, \quad \lambda_2 = H/3, \quad \lambda_3 = H/3 - \sqrt{B/2}.$$

According to $\sum \lambda_i = H$ and $\sum \lambda_i^2 = S$, we have

$$\sum h_{iik} = 0, \quad \sum \lambda_i h_{iik} = 0.$$

Hence,

$$(3.46) \quad h_{11k} = h_{33k}, \quad h_{22k} = -2h_{11k} \quad \text{for } k=1, 2, 3.$$

On the other hand,

$$(3.47) \quad \sum h_{ijk}^2 = B(S - 2H^2/3 - 3) \quad (\text{by (2.16) and } B_3(p)=0).$$

From (3.46), we get

$$\sum h_{ijk}^2 = 6h_{123}^2 + 16h_{111}^2 + (5/2)h_{222}^2 + 16h_{333}^2 = B(S - 2H^2/3 - 3).$$

Therefore,

$$(3.48) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum h_{ij2}^2 &= 2h_{123}^2 + 8h_{111}^2 + (3/2)h_{222}^2 + 8h_{333}^2 \\ &\geq (1/3)\sum h_{ijk}^2 = (1/3)B(S - 2H^2/3 - 3), \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.49) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i \neq j} t_{ij}^2 &= \sum (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 (1 + \lambda_i \lambda_j)^2 \\ &= 2B(S^2/2 - H^2S/2 + 4H^4/27 - 2S + 4H^2/3 + 3) \quad (\text{by (3.45)}), \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.50) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ijij} - t_{ij}/2)^2 &\geq 2[(h_{1212} - t_{12}/2)^2 + (h_{2323} - t_{23}/2)^2] \\ &= [h_{1212} + h_{2323} - (t_{12} + t_{23})/2]^2 + [h_{1212} - h_{2323} - (t_{12} - t_{23})/2]^2 \\ &\geq [h_{1212} - h_{2323} - (t_{12} - t_{23})/2]^2 \\ &= [h_{1212} - h_{3232} - t_{23} - (t_{12} - t_{23})/2]^2. \end{aligned}$$

By differentiating $S = \sum h_{ij}^2$, we obtain

$$\sum h_{iik} \lambda_i + \sum h_{ijk}^2 = 0 \quad \text{for any } k=1, 2, 3.$$

Hence

$$(3.51) \quad \sqrt{B/2}(h_{3232} - h_{1212}) = \sum h_{ij2}^2 \quad (\text{by (3.45)}),$$

$$(3.52) \quad t_{12} - t_{23} = HB/3, \quad t_{23} = \sqrt{B/2} + H^2\sqrt{B/2}/9 - HB/6 \quad (\text{by (3.45)}),$$

$$(3.53) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i \neq j} h_{ijk}^2 &\geq 3 \sum_{i \neq j} (h_{ijij} - t_{ij}/2)^2 + (3/4)\sum t_{ij}^2 \\ &\geq (3/2)B(S^2/2 - H^2S/2 + 4H^4/27 - 2S + 4H^2/3 + 3) \\ &\quad + 6B(S/3 - 1/2 - H^2/6)^2 \end{aligned}$$

(by (3.49), (3.50), (3.51), (3.52) and (3.48)).

(2.27), (3.47) and (3.53) imply

$$\begin{aligned} & (3/2)B(S^2/2 - H^2S/2 + 4H^4/27 - 2S + 4H^2/3 + 3) + 6B(S/3 - 1/2 - H^2/6)^2 \\ & \leq (-5/3)\sum(\mu_i + \mu_j + \mu_k)^2 h_{ijk}^2 + 8H\sum\mu_i h_{ijk}^2 + (3/2)B(S - 2H^2/3 - 3)^2 \\ & \quad + (3/2)(S - H^2/3)[(S - 3H^2/4 - 3)^2 - (H^4 + 8H^2)/16], \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$(3.54) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \leq & - (5/3)\sum(\mu_i + \mu_j + \mu_k)^2 h_{ijk}^2 + 8H\sum\mu_i h_{ijk}^2 \\ & + [19S^2/12 - (17H^2/6 + 13)S + 37H^4/36 + 9H^2 + 21]B. \end{aligned}$$

(a) If $-(5/3)\sum(\mu_i + \mu_j + \mu_k)^2 h_{ijk}^2 + 8H\sum\mu_i h_{ijk}^2 \leq 0$, then

$$19S^2/12 - (17H^2/6 + 13)S + 37H^4/36 + 9H^2 + 21 \geq 0.$$

According to $S > 3H^2/4 + \sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2}/4 + 3$, we obtain $S > H^2 + 6$. This is a contradiction.

(b) If $-(5/3)\sum(\mu_i + \mu_j + \mu_k)^2 h_{ijk}^2 + 8H\sum\mu_i h_{ijk}^2 \geq 0$, then, since

$$-\sum(\mu_i + \mu_j + \mu_k)^2 h_{ijk}^2 \leq -12Bh_{333}^2, \quad 8H\sum\mu_i h_{ijk}^2 \leq 32H\sqrt{B/2}h_{333}^2,$$

we obtain

$$(3.55) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \leq & (5/3)\sum(\mu_i + \mu_j + \mu_k)^2 h_{ijk}^2 + 8H\sum\mu_i h_{ijk}^2 \\ \leq & (32H - 40\sqrt{B/2})\sqrt{B/2}h_{333}^2 \\ \leq & (1/16)(32H - 40\sqrt{B/2})\sqrt{B/2}\sum h_{ijk}^2 \\ = & (1/16)(32H - 40\sqrt{B/2})\sqrt{B/2}B(S - 2H^2/3 - 3) \\ \leq & B(H^2 + B/2 - 5B/4)(S - 2H^2/3 - 3) \\ = & B[-3S^2/4 + 9S/4 + 7H^2S/4 - 5H^4/6 - 15H^2/4]. \end{aligned}$$

We get, from (3.54) and (3.55),

$$(3.56) \quad 5S^2/6 - (13H^2/12 + 43/4)S + 7H^4/36 + 21H^2/4 + 21 \geq 0.$$

Let $F(S) = 5S^2/6 - (13H^2/12 + 43/4)S + 7H^4/36 + 21H^2/4 + 21$. As a function of S , $F(S)$ reaches its minimum at $S = (3/5)(13H^2/12 + 43/4)$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose

$$3H^2/4 + 3 + \sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2}/4 \leq (3/5)(13H^2/12 + 43/4) < H^2 + 6.$$

Since $F(3H^2/4 + 3 + \sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2}/4) < 0$, $F(H^2 + 6) < 0$ and $F[(3/5)(13H^2/12 + 43/4)]$ is only a minimum of F , we obtain $F < 0$ when

$$3H^2/4 + 3 + \sqrt{H^4 + 8H^2}/4 \leq S \leq H^2 + 6.$$

This is a contradiction. Hence (1) can not also occur. Thus, we complete the

proof of Proposition 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. According to Propositions 1 and 2, Theorem 2 is valid obviously.

References

- [1] E. Cartan, Familles de surfaces isoparametriques dans les espaces a courbure constante, *Annali di Mat.*, 17 (1938), 177-191.
- [2] Q.M. Cheng, A characterization of complete Riemannian manifold minimally immersed in a unit sphere, to appear in *Nagoya Math. J.*.
- [3] Q.M. Cheng, Complete minimal hypersurfaces in $S^4(1)$ with constant scalar curvature, *Osaka Math. J.*, 27 (1990), 855-892.
- [4] Q.M. Cheng and H. Nakagawa, Totally umbilic hypersurfaces, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 20 (1990), 1-10.
- [5] S.S. Chern, M. do Carmo and S. Kobayashi, Minimal submanifold of a sphere with second fundamental form of constant length, *Functional analysis and related fields*, Springer, 1970, 59-75.
- [6] M. Okumura, Hypersurfaces and a pinching problem on the second fundamental tensor, *Amer. J. of Math.*, 97 (1974), 207-213.
- [7] H. Omori, Isometric immersion of Riemannian manifolds, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 19 (1967), 205-214.
- [8] C.K. Peng and C.L. Terng, Minimal hypersurfaces of spheres with constant scalar curvature, *Seminar on minimal submanifolds*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1983, 177-198.
- [9] J. Simons, Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds, *Ann of Math.*, 88 (1968), 62-105.
- [10] S.T. Yau, Harmonic functions on complete Riemannian manifolds, *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 28 (1975), 201-228.

Department of Mathematics
Northeast University of Technology
Shenyang, Liaoning, P.R. China