

ALMOST SURE CONVERGENCE OF SEQUENCES WITH RANDOM INDICES

By

Z. RYCHLIK and J. ZYGO

(Received February 15, 1990)

Summary. Let Z_+^d , where $d \geq 1$ is an integer, denote the positive integer d -dimensional lattice points. Let $\{Y_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ be a set of random variables. Let $\{N_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ be a set of Z_+^d -valued random variables. In this paper we study almost sure convergence of the random field $\{Y_{N_n}, n \in Z_+^d\}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We introduce an almost sure version of Anscombe condition and study its consequences in strong limit theorem.

1. Introduction

Let Z_+^d , where $d \geq 1$ is an integer, denote the positive integer d -dimensional lattice points. The points in Z_+^d will be denoted by m, n , etc., or sometimes, when necessary, more explicitly, by (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_d) , (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d) , etc. The set Z_+^d is partially ordered by stipulating $m \leq n$ iff $m_i \leq n_i$ for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq d$. Further, $|n|$ is used for $\prod_{i=1}^d n_i$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$ means that $\min_{1 \leq i \leq d} n_i \rightarrow \infty$. We write 1 for the point $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$.

Let $\{Y_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ be a random field, i.e., a set of random variables defined on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) . Let $\{N_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ and $\{M_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ be sets of Z_+^d -valued random variables defined on the same probability space (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) , i.e., for every $n \in Z_+^d$, $N_n = (N_n^{(1)}, \dots, N_n^{(d)})$ and $M_n = (M_n^{(1)}, \dots, M_n^{(d)})$ where $N_n^{(i)}$ and $M_n^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, are positive integer-valued variables.

In the present paper we study almost sure convergence of the random field $\{Y_{N_n}, n \in Z_+^d\}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We introduce some "generalized almost sure Anscombe conditions". The introduced versions of Anscombe condition play similar roles in the study of almost sure (a.s.) convergence of the random field $\{Y_{N_n}, n \in Z_+^d\}$, as the generalized Anscombe conditions (introduced in [1], [5] and [6]) in the study of weak convergence of $\{Y_{N_n}, n \in Z_+^d\}$. Recently many authors have been looking for sufficient conditions, concerning $\{N_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ and $\{Y_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$, under which $Y_{N_n} \rightarrow 0$ a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Mainly the case $d=1$ have been considered, (cf.

[2, p. 10], [3] and the references given there). The obtained results have the following form. Assume $Y_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then under "some additional assumptions" $Y_{N_n} \rightarrow 0$ a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the assumption $Y_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$ plays a fundamental role. We do not, in general, assume that $Y_n \rightarrow 0$ a.s. as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Our results can also be applied in the case when the random field $\{Y_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ does not converge almost surely. The main results, presented in this paper, are new even in the case $d=1$. We extend the main ideas presented in [1], [5] and [6].

In Section 2 "a generalized almost sure Anscombe condition" is introduced and its consequences in almost sure limit theorems with random indices are studied. In Section 3 we present short proofs of the theorems, while in Section 4 an example of application of Theorem 2 is given.

2. Almost sure versions of Anscombe condition and their applications

Let $\{N_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ and $\{M_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ be sets of Z_+^d -valued random variables. Let $\{Y_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ be a set of random variables.

Let $\{D(n), n \in Z_+^d\}$ and $\{d(n), n \in Z_+^d\}$ be sets of positive numbers such that $n, m \in Z_+^d$ and $n \leq m$ imply $D(n) \leq D(m)$.

Definition 1. A random field $\{Y_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ is said to satisfy an almost sure Anscombe condition

$$A(\{D(n)\}, \{M(n)\}, \{d(n)\})$$

with norming sets $\{D(n), n \in Z_+^d\}$ and $\{d(n), n \in Z_+^d\}$ iff

$$(2.1) \quad \max_{i \in G_n} |Y_i - Y_{M(n)}| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

where, here and in the sequel,

$$\begin{aligned} G_n &= G_n(\{D(n)\}, \{M(n)\}, \{d(n)\}) \\ &= \{k \in Z_+^d : |D(k) - D(M(n))| \leq d(M(n))\}. \end{aligned}$$

In what follows we consider the following condition concerning families $\{N_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ and $\{M_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$

$$(2.2) \quad P(\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} [|D(N_k) - D(M_k)| > d(M_k)]) = 0.$$

The set of all families $\{N_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ of Z_+^d -valued random variables satisfying the condition (2.2) we denote by $T(\{D(n)\}, \{M_n\}, \{d(n)\})$.

Let $C(\{X_n\})$ denote the set of limit points of the family $\{X_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$.

Theorem 1. Let $\{Y_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ be a family of random variables and let $\{M_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ and $\{N_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ be sets of Z_+^d -valued random variables. Assume

- (i) $C(\{Y_{M_n}, n \in Z_+^d\}) = E$ a.s., where $E \subset (-\infty, \infty)$,
- (ii) $\{Y_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ satisfies the condition $A(\{D(n)\}, \{M(n)\}, \{d(n)\})$.

Then for every family $\{N_n, n \in Z_+^d\} \in T(\{D(n)\}, \{M(n)\}, \{d(n)\})$

$$(2.3) \quad C(\{Y_{N_n}, n \in Z_+^d\}) = E \quad \text{a.s.}$$

Definition 2. A random field $\{Y_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ is said to satisfy a generalized almost sure Anscombe condition ($GA(\{D(n)\}, \{M(n)\}, \{d(n)\})$) with norming sets $\{D(n), n \in Z_+^d\}$ and $\{d(n), n \in Z_+^d\}$ iff for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad P(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{i \in G_n(\delta)} |Y_i - Y_{M_n}| > \varepsilon) = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} G_n(\delta) &= G_n(\delta, \{D(n)\}, \{M(n)\}, \{d(n)\}) \\ &= \{k \in Z_+^d : |D(k) - D(M_n)| < \delta d(M_n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us observe that $G_n(1) = G_n$, so that the condition $A(\{D(n)\}, \{M(n)\}, \{d(n)\})$ implies the condition $GA(\{D(n)\}, \{M(n)\}, \{d(n)\})$.

Theorem 2. Let $\{Y_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ be a family of random variables and let $\{M_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ and $\{N_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ be sets of Z_+^d -valued random variables. Assume

- (i) $C(\{Y_{M_n}, n \in Z_+^d\}) = E$ a.s., where $E \subset (-\infty, \infty)$,

and

- (ii) $\{Y_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ satisfies the condition $GA(\{D(n)\}, \{M(n)\}, \{d(n)\})$.

Then (2.3) holds for every set $\{N_n, n \in Z_+^d\}$ of Z_+^d -valued random variables such that

$$(2.5) \quad (D(N_n) - D(M_n))/d(M_n) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let us observe that the condition (2.5) is stronger than the condition (2.2) in Theorem 1, but on the other hand the condition (ii) of Theorem 2 is weaker than (ii) in Theorem 1. We also note that if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{n \in Z_+^d} P(\max_{i \in G_n(\delta)} |Y_i - Y_{M_n}| > \varepsilon) < \infty$$

then (2.4) holds too. The condition (2.6) is a weaker version of the so-called GALIL conditions introduced by A. Gut [3, p.5]. Moreover, if

$$(2.7) \quad |N_n|/|M_n| \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text{a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then (2.5) holds with $D(n) = d(n) = |n|$, $n \in Z_+^d$.

Let us now observe that the sufficient conditions presented in Theorem 1 or Theorem 2 are very close to the necessary ones (may be they even are necessary, but we were not able to prove it), since we have the following,

Theorem 3. *Let (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) be a probability space such that Ω is the union of at most countable many atoms. Let $\{Y_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of random variables and let $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of positive integer-valued random variables. If for every sequence $\{N_n, n \geq 1\} \in T(\{D(n)\}, \{M_n\}, \{d_n\})$*

$$(2.8) \quad Y_{N_n} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then

$$(2.9) \quad Y_{M_n} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad \max_{i \in G_n} |Y_i - Y_{M_n}| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We remark that the results presented in Theorems 1 and 2 can also be applied to families $\{Y_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d\}$ of random elements with values in separable Banach spaces. In such a case the symbol $|\cdot|$ denotes simply a norm of the space.

3. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1. We have

$$(3.1) \quad Y_{N_n} = Y_{M_n} + (Y_{N_n} - Y_{M_n}), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d.$$

Thus it is enough to prove that

$$(3.2) \quad Y_{N_n} - Y_{M_n} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

For every $\varepsilon > 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad & P\left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} \bigcup_{k \geq n} [|Y_{N_k} - Y_{M_k}| > \varepsilon]\right) \\ & \leq P\left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} \bigcup_{k \geq n} [|Y_{N_k} - Y_{M_k}| > \varepsilon] \cap [|D(N_k) - D(M_k)| \leq d(M_k)]\right) \\ & \quad + P\left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} \bigcup_{k \geq n} [|D(N_k) - D(M_k)| > d(M_k)]\right) \\ & \leq P\left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} \bigcup_{k \geq n} \bigcup_{i \in G_n} [\max |Y_i - Y_{M_k}| > \varepsilon]\right) \\ & \quad + P\left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} \bigcup_{k \geq n} [|D(N_k) - D(M_k)| > d(M_k)]\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (2.1), (2.2), and (3.3), we get (3.2). But (3.2), (3.1) and (i) give (2.3).

Proof of Theorem 2. For every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.4) \quad & P\left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} \bigcup_{k \geq n} [|Y_{N_k} - Y_{M_k}| > \varepsilon]\right) \\
 & \leq P\left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} \bigcup_{k \geq n} [|Y_{N_k} - Y_{M_k}| > \varepsilon] \cap [|D(N_k) - D(M_k)| < \delta d(M_k)]\right) \\
 & \quad + P\left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} \bigcup_{k \geq n} [|D(N_k) - D(M_k)| > \delta d(M_k)]\right) \\
 & \leq P\left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} \bigcup_{k \geq n} \left[\max_{i \in G_n(\delta)} |Y_i - Y_{M_k}| > \varepsilon\right]\right) \\
 & \quad + P\left(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} \bigcup_{k \geq n} [|D(N_k) - D(M_k)| > \delta d(M_k)]\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (2.5), (ii), and (3.4) yield

$$Y_{N_k} - Y_{M_k} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s. as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

so that (i) and the equality

$$Y_{N_k} = Y_{M_k} + (Y_{N_k} - Y_{M_k}), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d$$

end the proof of Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 3. It is obvious that (2.9) holds since $\{M_n, n \geq 1\} \in T(\{D(n)\}, \{M_n\}, \{d(n)\})$. Thus it is enough to prove (2.10). But Ω is a sum of atoms so that

$$\max_{i \in G_n} |Y_i - Y_{M_n}| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

if and only if

$$\max_{i \in G_n} |Y_i - Y_{M_n}| \xrightarrow{P} 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

(cf. [Thomasian 7]). On the other hand, if the sequence $\{\max_{i \in G_n} |Y_i - Y_{M_n}|, n \geq 1\}$ does not converge in probability, then similarly as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 [6] we can prove that one can define a sequence $\{\tau_n^*, n \geq 1\}$ such that

$$P\left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [|D(\tau_n^*) - D(M_n)| > d(M_n)]\right) = 0$$

and the sequence $\{Y_{\tau_n^*}, n \geq 1\}$ does not converge almost surely and this contradicts (2.8). Thus the proof of Theorem 3 is completed.

4. Example

Let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables such that $EX_1=0$ and $0 < EX_1^2 = \sigma^2 < \infty$. Let $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n, n \geq 1$. Then the set of cluster points of the sequence $\{S_n/(n \log \log n)^{1/2}, n \geq 3\}$ coincides almost surely with $[-\sigma\sqrt{2}, \sigma\sqrt{2}]$, so that the sequence $\{Y_n = S_n/(n \log \log n)^{1/2}, n \geq 3\}$ does not converge almost surely to zero. But if, for example, $M_k = 2^{2^k}, k \geq 1$, then by Chebyshev's inequality and Borel-Cantelli lemma

$$Y_{M_n} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Furthermore, using Kolmogorov's inequality and Borel-Cantelli lemma, one can easily prove that the sequence $\{Y_{n_k}, k \geq 1\}$ satisfies the condition $GA(\{n\}, \{M_n\}, \{n\})$, so that by Theorem 2

$$Y_{N_n} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for every sequence $\{N_n, n \geq 1\}$ of positive integer-valued random variables such that

$$N_n/M_n \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text{a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Assume now that the distribution of the random variable X_1 is continuous. Let $\{L(n), n \geq 1\}$ be the sequence of record times, i.e., $L(1)=1$ and inductively for $n \geq 1$

$$L(n+1) = \inf\{j > L(n) : X_j > X_{L(n)}\},$$

and let $\mu(n) = \mu([1, n])$ be the number of records in the first n observations. Then (cf. [4, Chapter 4])

$$(\text{Log } L(n))/n \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text{a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\mu_n/\text{Log } n \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text{a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

so that putting $N_n^{(1)} = [\text{Log } L(n_k)]$ or $N_n^{(2)} = \mu([\exp(n_k)])$, where $n_k = M_k, k \geq 1$, we get

$$N_n^{(i)}/M_n \longrightarrow 1 \quad \text{a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for $i=1, 2$. Thus, for $i=1, 2$, we get

$$Y_{N_n^{(i)}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.s. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

References

- [1] Csörgő, M. and Rychlik, Z.: *Weak convergence of sequences of random elements with random indices*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 88 (1980), 171-174.

- [2] Gut, A.: *Stopped random walks. Limit theorems and applications*. Springer, New York (1988).
- [3] Gut, A.: *Anscombe laws of the iterated logarithm*. U.U.D.M. Report 1989:14.
- [4] Resnick, S.I.: *Extreme values, Regular variation and Point processes*. Springer, New York (1987).
- [5] Sugiman, I.: *A random central limit theorem for dependent random variables*. J. Multivariate Anal. 20 (1986), 321-329.
- [6] Sugiman, I.: *On a stochastic version of the Anscombe condition*. Bull. Central Res. Inst. Fukuoka Univ. no. 104 (1988).
- [7] Thomasian, A.J.: *Metrics and norms on spaces of random variables*. Ann. Math. Statist. 28 (1957), 512-514.

Institute of Mathematics
Maria Curie-Skłodowska University
Pl. M. Curie-Skłodowskiej 1
20-031 Lublin, Poland