

MARTINGALE DECOMPOSITIONS OF STATIONARY PROCESSES

By

DALIBOR VOLNÝ

(Received February 23, 1987)

(Revised April 30, 1987)

I. Introduction and main results.

1. Two propositions from ergodic theory.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, T, \mu)$ be a dynamical system where \mathcal{A} is a σ -algebra of subsets of Ω , T is a surjective and measure preserving map of Ω onto itself and μ is a T -invariant probability measure on (Ω, \mathcal{A}) , i. e. $\mu(A) = \mu(T^{-1}A)$ for each A from \mathcal{A} . By a σ -algebra we shall always mean a sub- σ -algebra of \mathcal{A} . Any set or partition will be considered to be measurable as well. For an at most countable and measurable partition ξ of Ω and a σ -algebra \mathcal{C} let $H_\mu(\xi|\mathcal{C})$ denote the conditional entropy of ξ , i. e. $H_\mu(\xi|\mathcal{C}) = E \sum_{A \in \xi} \phi(\mu(A|\mathcal{C}))$ where $\mu(A|\mathcal{C})$ means the conditional probability and $\phi(t) = -t \cdot \log(t)$ for $0 < t < 1$, 0 otherwise. If there is no danger of confusion we shall omit the reference to μ and for \mathcal{C} trivial $H(\xi) = H(\xi|\mathcal{C})$ is called the entropy of ξ . A σ -algebra $T^{-1}\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$ will be called *invariant*. If the σ -algebras $T^i\mathcal{M}$ exist we denote by $\mathcal{M}_\infty = \bigvee_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} T^i\mathcal{M}$ the smallest σ -algebra containing all $T^i\mathcal{M}$. By \mathcal{M}_∞ we denote $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} T^{-i}\mathcal{M}$.

There exists a σ -algebra \mathcal{P} with the following properties

- i) \mathcal{P} is invariant and for each invariant σ -algebra $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{P}$ it is $\mathcal{M} = T^{-1}\mathcal{M} \bmod \mu$,
- ii) \mathcal{P} is the maximal σ -algebra with property (i),

We shall call \mathcal{P} the Pinsker σ -algebra (the definition is equivalent to the usual one as given in [9], see section III).

Theorem 1. *Let \mathcal{M} be an invariant σ -algebra, \mathcal{Q} an invariant sub- σ -algebra of the Pinsker σ -algebra \mathcal{P} and ξ be an \mathcal{M} -measurable partition of Ω with finite entropy. Then for $k=1, 2, \dots$*

$$H(\xi|T^{-k}\mathcal{M}) = H(\xi|\mathcal{Q} \vee T^{-k}\mathcal{M})$$

and

$$H(\xi|\mathcal{M}_\infty) = H(\xi|\mathcal{Q} \vee \mathcal{M}_\infty)$$

where $Q \vee \mathcal{M}$ denotes the smallest σ -algebra containing Q and \mathcal{M} . If \mathcal{M}_∞ exists then we can take ξ \mathcal{M}_∞ -measurable.

As a special case of Theorem 1 we shall obtain Theorems 10 and 11 on p. 67 in [9].

Theorem 2. Let \mathcal{M}, Q be given as in Theorem 1. The σ -algebras \mathcal{M} and Q are then conditionally independent with respect to $\mathcal{M}_\infty, T^{-k}\mathcal{M}, k=1, 2, \dots$. For any \mathcal{M} -measurable and integrable function f it holds

$$E(f|T^{-k}\mathcal{M})=E(f|Q \vee T^{-k}\mathcal{M}), \quad k=1, 2, \dots,$$

$$E(f|\mathcal{M}_\infty)=E(f|Q \vee \mathcal{M}_\infty).$$

If \mathcal{M}_∞ exists then the conditional independence holds for it (in the place of \mathcal{M}) and we can take f \mathcal{M}_∞ -measurable.

The invariant σ -algebra \mathcal{M} is often defined by the relation $\mathcal{M} \subset T^{-1}\mathcal{M}$ (see [4], [6]). It is not difficult to get versions of Theorems 1,2 for that case. Notice that if $\mathcal{M} \subset T^{-1}\mathcal{M}$ then the σ -algebras $T^i\mathcal{M}$ all exist and we can consider T as a bijective and bimeasurable transformation.

2. Decomposition of stationary processes.

Let $L^p(\mathcal{A}, \mu), p=1, 2$ denote the spaces of integrable, resp. square integrable functions. If there is no danger of confusion we shall write $L^p(\mathcal{A})$. For an invariant σ -algebra \mathcal{M} we define

$$P_i f = E(f|T^{-i}\mathcal{M}) - E(f|T^{-i-1}\mathcal{M})$$

whenever f is integrable and the σ -algebras exist (are sub- σ -algebras of \mathcal{A}). The operators P_i will be called *difference projection operators* generated by \mathcal{M} ; notice that in $L^2(\mathcal{A})$, P_i is the projection operator onto $L^2(T^{-i}\mathcal{M}) \ominus L^2(T^{-i-1}\mathcal{M})$. As $E(f|\mathcal{M}) \circ T = E(f \circ T|T^{-1}\mathcal{M})$,

$$(P_i f) \circ T = P_{i+1}(f \circ T).$$

$(P_k f) \circ T^i$ is thus a reversed martingale difference sequence for any integrable f where $P_k f$ and $(P_k f) \circ T^i$ are defined. The σ -algebra $\sigma\{f \circ T^i: i \geq 0\}$ generated by functions $f \circ T^i, i \geq 0$ is invariant so each reversed martingale difference sequence can be expressed in this way. Let us suppose that T is invertible and bimeasurable.

Theorem 3. Let \mathcal{M} be an invariant σ -algebra, P_i the difference projection operators generated by \mathcal{M}, Q an invariant sub- σ -algebra of the Pinsker σ -algebra \mathcal{P} and \bar{P}_i the difference projection operators generated by the invariant σ -algebra

$\mathcal{M} \vee \mathcal{Q}$. Then for each function $f \in L^1(\mathcal{M})$ it holds

$$E(f | \mathcal{M}_{-\infty}) = E(f | \mathcal{Q} \wedge \mathcal{M}_{-\infty})$$

and

$$\bar{P}_i f = P_i f$$

whenever P_i are defined. If the σ -algebra \mathcal{M}_{∞} exists we can take $f \in L^1(\mathcal{M}_{\infty})$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Example. The assumption that f is \mathcal{M}_{∞} -measurable is important. Let $(X, \mathcal{F}, \lambda)$ be a probability space where $X = \{-1, 1\}$, \mathcal{F} is the collection of all subsets of X and $\lambda(-1) = 1/2 = \lambda(1)$. $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{A}_1, T_1, \mu_1)$ is the dynamical system where $\Omega_1 = X^{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\mu_1 = \lambda^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and T_1 is the shift on $X^{\mathbb{Z}}$, i.e. $(T_1 \omega)_i = \omega_{i+1}$. Let $T_2: X \rightarrow X$ be defined by $T_2(1) = -1$, $T_2(-1) = 1$. $(X, \mathcal{F}, T_2, \lambda)$ is a dynamical system. Let us put $\Omega = \Omega_1 \times X$, $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{F}$, $\mu = \mu_1 \otimes \lambda$ and for $(\omega', \omega'') \in \Omega$ let $T(\omega', \omega'') = (T_1 \omega', T_2 \omega'')$. By q_i , $i = 1, 2$, we denote the projections of Ω to Ω_1 , X and p_i is the projection of Ω_1 to the i -th coordinate, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $f = (p_0 \circ q_1) \cdot q_2$, $\mathcal{C}_1 = q_1^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_1)$, $\mathcal{C}_2 = q_2^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$, $\mathcal{M}_1 = \sigma\{p_i : i \geq 0\}$, $\mathcal{M} = q_1^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_1)$, P_i be the difference projection operators generated by \mathcal{M} and \bar{P}_i by $\mathcal{M} \vee \mathcal{C}_2$. We shall show that

$$f = \bar{P}_0 f,$$

but for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$P_i f = 0.$$

The function f is $\mathcal{M} \vee \mathcal{C}_2$ -measurable; we shall prove that $E(f | T^{-1}(\mathcal{M} \vee \mathcal{C}_2)) = 0$. The function q_2 is \mathcal{C}_2 -measurable so $E(f | \mathcal{C}_2 \vee T^{-1}\mathcal{M}) = q_2 \cdot E(p_0 \circ q_1 | \mathcal{C}_2 \vee T^{-1}\mathcal{M})$. The σ -algebras \mathcal{C}_1 and \mathcal{C}_2 are independent so $E(p_0 \circ q_1 | \mathcal{C}_2 \vee T^{-1}\mathcal{M}) = E(p_0 \circ q_1 | T^{-1}\mathcal{M})$. For \mathcal{M}_1 it holds $E_{\mu}(p_0 \circ q_1 | T^{-1}\mathcal{M}) = E_{\mu_1}(p_0 | T^{-1}\mathcal{M}_1) \circ q_1$. The σ -algebras $\sigma\{p_0\}$ and $T^{-1}\mathcal{M}_1$ are independent (w.r. to μ_1) hence $E_{\mu_1}(p_0 | T^{-1}\mathcal{M}_1) = E_{\mu_1} p_0$. This proves the first equality.

The function $p_0 \circ q_1$ is \mathcal{M}_{∞} -measurable therefore $E(f | \mathcal{M}_{\infty}) = (p_0 \circ q_1) \cdot E(q_2 | \mathcal{M}_{\infty})$. The σ -algebras \mathcal{C}_2 and \mathcal{M}_{∞} are independent so $E(q_2 | \mathcal{M}_{\infty}) = E q_2 = 0$ which proves the second equality.

If f is an integrable function and if there exists an invariant σ -algebra $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{R}$ generating operators P_i such that

$$E(f | \mathcal{R}) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} P_i f$$

where $\mathcal{R} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T^{-n} \mathcal{A}$ is the Rohlin σ -algebra (compare [9]) we say that f is difference decomposable.

Theorem 4. For each integrable function f there exist uniquely determined integrable functions f' and f'' such that f' is difference decomposable, f'' is measurable with respect to the Pinsker σ -algebra and

$$f = f' + f''.$$

The process $(f \circ T^i)$ can be thus expressed as a sum of a process measurable with respect to the Pinsker σ -algebra and at most countably many reversed martingale difference sequences.

Corollary. *The set of all difference decomposable functions from $L^2(\mathcal{A})$ is equal to $L^2(\mathcal{A}) \ominus L^2(\mathcal{P})$.*

3. Decomposition of invariant measure.

Let the σ -algebra \mathcal{A} be separable (in the sense of [7]) i.e. there exists a countable collection \mathcal{G} such that $\mathcal{A} = \sigma\mathcal{G}$, the smallest σ -algebra containing \mathcal{G} . The set of all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A = TA$ forms a σ -algebra \mathcal{I} . If the measure μ of each set from \mathcal{I} is 0 or 1 we say that μ is ergodic.

Let us suppose that there exists a family of regular conditional probabilities $(m_\omega; \omega \in \Omega)$ induced by \mathcal{I} with respect to μ . Following [7] this family exists if $\Omega = R^Z$, \mathcal{A} is the σ -algebra of Borel sets and T is the shift $(T\omega)_i = \omega_{i+1}$. Choosing a finite set instead of R gives the same result. Using the technique of conditional probabilities we can prove the following proposition known from Kryloff-Bogoliouboff theory (compare [8]).

Theorem 5. *For almost all $(\mu) \omega \in \Omega$ the measure m_ω is T -invariant and ergodic.*

Let ξ be a finite partition of Ω and ξ^- be the σ -algebra generated by $T^{-k}\xi$, $k > 0$. From Theorem 2 we can easily derive (compare [2]):

$$\text{Theorem 6.} \quad H_\mu(\xi | \xi^-) = \int H_{m_\omega}(\xi | \xi^-) d\mu(\omega).$$

Remark. If f is an integrable function and if for each invariant σ -algebra \mathcal{M} it is $E(f | \mathcal{M}) = E(f | T^{-1}\mathcal{M})$ we say that f is absolutely undecomposable in $L^1(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$ (according to Theorem 4 these functions form $L^1(\mathcal{P}, \mu)$).

From Theorem 6 it follows that if f is absolutely undecomposable in $L^1(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$ then it is absolutely undecomposable in $L^1(\mathcal{A}, m_\omega)$ for almost all $(\mu) \omega$.

Let \mathcal{M} be a separable and invariant σ -algebra. In the spaces $L^2(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$ and $L^2(\mathcal{A}, m_\omega)$ where m_ω is an ergodic probability measure, \mathcal{M} generates difference projection operators P_i, P_i^ω .

Theorem 7. *Let $f \in L^1(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$ and P_i, P_i^ω be the difference projection operators defined above. Then for almost all $(\mu) \omega \in \Omega$*

$$P_i f = P_i^\omega f \quad \text{a. s. } (m_\omega)$$

whenever P_i exists and

$$E_{\mu}(f | \mathcal{M}_{-\infty}) = E_{m_{\omega}}(f | \mathcal{M}_{-\infty}) \quad a. s. (m_{\omega}).$$

II. Applications to the martingale limit theory.

Let $f \in L^2(\mathcal{A})$. Let us denote $\rho(f) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|s_n(f)\|$ where $s_n(f) = (n)^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^n f \circ T^j$. We shall say that f is *finitely approximable* if there exists a sequence of functions $f_k \in L^2(\mathcal{A})$ such that $(f_k \circ T^j)$ is a reversed martingale difference sequence and $\rho(f - f_k) \rightarrow 0$. If f is finitely approximable then the measures $\mu s_n^{-1}(f)$ weakly converge to a probability measure. This-CLT was given by M. I. Gordin in 1969 for μ ergodic ([4]) and in [3], [11] and [12] for a general invariant measure μ . The hard problem is to show which function f is finitely approximable. From Theorem 2 we can easily deduce the following statement (see [12]).

Theorem 8. *If f is finitely approximable then there exist a difference decomposable function f' and f'' measurable with respect to the Pinsker σ -algebra such that $f = f' + f''$ and $\rho(f'') = 0$.*

A more detailed investigation of the relation of $L^2(\mathcal{A})$ and the space of difference decomposable functions was done in [12].

Theorem 7 gives a lot of opportunities to derive limit theorems for $(f \circ T^i)$ from their ergodic versions.

Let $f \in L^2(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$ and $(f \circ T^i)$ be a reversed martingale difference sequence. Let us define a mapping $\psi: \Omega \rightarrow R^N$ by $\psi(\omega)_i = f(T^i \omega)$. $(R^N, \mathcal{F}, S, \nu)$ where \mathcal{F} is the Borel σ -algebra on R^N , $(Sx)_i = x_{i+1}$ and $\nu = \mu \psi^{-1}$, is a dynamical system. If p_i are the coordinate projections then $p_i = p_0 \circ S^i$ and the process (p_i) has the same distribution as $(f \circ T^i)$. Hence we can assume that \mathcal{A} is separable and the family of regular conditional probabilities $(m_{\omega}; \omega \in \Omega)$ induced by \mathcal{G} exists. From Theorem 7 we get:

Corollary. *For almost all $(\mu) \omega \in \Omega$, $(f \circ T^i)$ is a reversed martingale difference sequence in $L^2(\mathcal{A}, m_{\omega})$.*

From the Corollary we obtain nonergodic versions of limit theorems which are known in the ergodic case: the central limit theorem, law of iterated logarithm, invariance principle (see [11], [15]).

In a similar way, nonergodic versions of limit theorems for other processes can be derived as well (see [13] for the nonergodic version of Gordin's CLT for integrable random variables). It is not difficult to derive a limit theorem in $L^2(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$ if the convergence takes place in almost all $(\mu) L^2(\mathcal{A}, m_{\omega})$. In the case of Gordin's central limit theorem [5] the assumptions which are given in $L^1(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$ are in $L^1(\mathcal{A}, m_{\omega})$ preserved (the \mathcal{G} -measurable functions become con-

stants). On the other hand, however, there exists a function $f \in L^2(\mathcal{A}, \mu)$ such that $\mu s_n^{-1}(f)$ weakly converge to a probability measure but $m_\omega s_n^{-1}(f)$ does not converge for any ergodic component m_ω of μ ([14]).

III. Proofs.

First let us give some comments on the existence of the Pinsker σ -algebra. The Pinsker σ -algebra was originally defined as the σ -algebra generated by all finite measurable partitions ξ such that $H(\xi|\xi^-)=0$. In [9] it is proved that a finite partition ξ is measurable w.r. to so defined σ -algebra \mathcal{P} if and only if $H(\xi|\xi^-)=0$. It holds that $H(\xi|\xi^-)=0$ if and only if $\xi \subset \xi^- \pmod{\mu}$ (this means that for each $A \in \xi$ there is a set $A' \in \xi^-$ such that $\mu(A \Delta A')=0$ where Δ means the symmetric difference). From this it follows that the Pinsker σ -algebra as defined in [9] is characterized by the properties (i) and (ii) given in section I.

Let us recall some other properties of entropy H .

Lemma 1. *Let \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} be σ -algebras and ξ, ζ, η be at most countable partitions of Ω with finite entropy. Then*

- a) *if $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D} \pmod{\mu}$ then $H(\xi|\mathcal{C}) \geq H(\xi|\mathcal{D}) \geq 0$,*
- b) *if \mathcal{C}_n are σ -algebras and $\mathcal{C}_n \downarrow \mathcal{C}$ or $\mathcal{C}_n \uparrow \mathcal{C}$ then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(\xi|\mathcal{C}_n) = H(\xi|\mathcal{C}),$$

c) *if $\zeta \geq \xi$ (ζ is finer than ξ) then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(\xi|\zeta^- \vee T^{-n}\eta^-) = H(\xi|\zeta^-)$ where $\mathcal{C} \vee \mathcal{D}$ denotes $\sigma(\mathcal{C} \cup \mathcal{D})$; if η is \mathcal{P} -measurable we thus have $H(\xi|\zeta^- \vee T^{-n}\eta^-) = H(\xi|\zeta^-)$ for each $n=1, 2, \dots$*

d) *if η is \mathcal{P} -measurable, k is a positive integer and $\eta^{(k)}$ denotes the σ -algebra generated by $T^{-n \cdot k}\eta$, $n=1, 2, \dots$, then $H(\eta|\eta^{(k)})=0$,*

e) *$H(\xi \vee \zeta|\mathcal{C}) = H(\zeta|\mathcal{C}) + H(\xi|\hat{\xi} \vee \mathcal{C})$ where $\xi \vee \zeta$ means the common refinement of ξ, ζ and $\hat{\xi}$ means the σ -algebra generated by ξ (i.e. $H(\xi|\mathcal{C}) \leq H(\eta|\mathcal{C})$ for $\xi \leq \eta$),*

f) *$H(\xi \vee \zeta|\mathcal{C}) = H(\xi|\mathcal{C}) + H(\zeta|\mathcal{C})$ if and only if ξ and ζ are conditionally independent with respect to \mathcal{C} .*

The proofs of (a)-(e) can be found in standard textbooks dealing with ergodic theory (for example [1], [9]). We shall give the proof of (f) here.

For \mathcal{C} trivial the proposition is given e.g. in [10]. We can suppose that for each $\omega \in \Omega$ there exists a probability measure m_ω on the σ -algebra generated by $\xi \vee \zeta$ such that $m_\omega(\cdot) = \mu(\cdot|\mathcal{C})(\omega)$. We have $H_\mu(\xi|\mathcal{C}) = \int H_{m_\omega}(\xi) d\mu(\omega)$ and similarly for $\zeta, \xi \vee \zeta$. Let $H(\xi \vee \zeta|\mathcal{C}) = H(\xi|\mathcal{C}) + H(\zeta|\mathcal{C})$. Then $\int H_{m_\omega}(\xi \vee \zeta) d\mu(\omega) = \int [H_{m_\omega}(\xi) + H_{m_\omega}(\zeta)] d\mu(\omega)$. As $0 \leq H_{m_\omega}(\xi \vee \zeta) \leq H_{m_\omega}(\xi) + H_{m_\omega}(\zeta)$ (see (a), (e)) the

partitions are independent w.r. to m_ω . So, for $A \in \xi$ and $B \in \zeta$ we have $\mu(A \cap B | \mathcal{C}) = \mu(A | \mathcal{C}) \cdot \mu(B | \mathcal{C})$. Let ξ and ζ be conditionally independent with respect to \mathcal{C} . Then $H_{m_\omega}(\xi \vee \zeta) = H_{m_\omega}(\xi) + H_{m_\omega}(\zeta)$ hence $H(\xi \langle \zeta | \mathcal{C}) = H(\xi | \mathcal{C}) + H(\zeta | \mathcal{C})$.

Proof of Theorem 1. First, we shall suppose that ξ is \mathcal{M} -measurable and \mathcal{M}, Q are separable. Let $\xi = \xi_1 \leq \xi_2 \leq \dots, \pi_1 \leq \pi_2 \leq \dots$ (where $\eta \leq \zeta$ means that ζ refines η) be at most countable partitions with finite entropies generating \mathcal{M} , resp. Q . By Lemma 1 (c), (b) we get

$$H(\xi | T^{-1} \mathcal{M}) = H(\xi | Q \vee T^{-1} \mathcal{M}).$$

From (d) we obtain the statement for $T^{-k} \mathcal{M}$.

In the case of general σ -algebras \mathcal{M}, Q it is not difficult to find invariant separable σ -algebras \mathcal{M}^* and Q^* such that $\mu(A | T^{-k} \mathcal{M}) = \mu(A | T^{-k} \mathcal{M}^*), \mu(A | Q \vee T^{-k} \mathcal{M}) = \mu(A | Q^* \vee T^{-k} \mathcal{M}^*), A \in \xi$. So, we can restrict ourselves to the case of separable \mathcal{M} and Q .

We shall prove $H(\xi | \mathcal{M}_\infty) = H(\xi | Q \vee \mathcal{M}_\infty)$. Let us denote $\mathcal{D} = \bigcap_{k=0}^\infty T^{-k}(\mathcal{M} \vee Q)$.

From Lemma 1 (a) it follows that $H(\xi | \mathcal{M}_\infty) \geq H(\xi | Q \vee \mathcal{M}_\infty) \geq H(\xi | \mathcal{D})$ and from (b) we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(\xi | T^{-n} \mathcal{M}) = H(\xi | \mathcal{D})$ from which we obtain the desired equality.

Let all σ -algebras $T^i \mathcal{M}, i \in \mathbb{Z}$, exist and ξ be \mathcal{M}_∞ -measurable. Let $\xi = \{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$, partition ξ' be generated by A_1, \dots, A_n and η' be generated by $A_j, j \geq n+1$. It is $\xi = \xi' \vee \eta'$ and for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists n large enough so that $H(\eta') < \varepsilon$. For each $\delta > 0$ there exists k , a $T^k \mathcal{M}$ -measurable partition ξ'' and a partition η'' such that $\xi' \leq \xi'' \vee \eta'', \xi'' \leq \xi' \vee \eta'', H(\eta'') \leq \delta$,

Following Lemma 1 it holds $0 \leq H(\xi | \mathcal{C}) - H(\xi' | \mathcal{C}) \leq H(\eta') < \varepsilon, H(\xi'' | \mathcal{C}) \leq H(\xi' | \mathcal{C}) + \delta, H(\xi' | \mathcal{C}) \leq H(\xi'' | \mathcal{C}) + \delta$. So we have

$$|H(\xi | \mathcal{C}) - H(\xi'' | \mathcal{C})| < \varepsilon + \delta$$

for each σ -algebra \mathcal{C} .

The partition ξ'' is $T^k \mathcal{M}$ -measurable hence $H(\xi'' | T^i \mathcal{M}) = H(\xi'' | Q \vee T^i \mathcal{M}), i < k, H(\xi'' | \mathcal{M}_\infty) = H(\xi'' | Q \vee \mathcal{M}_\infty)$. The numbers ε, δ can be chosen arbitrarily small so the equations hold for ξ as well.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let A be a set from \mathcal{M} (resp. \mathcal{M}_∞) and let B be a set from Q, ξ be the partition generated by A and η be the partition generated by B . Let \mathcal{C} be one from the σ -algebras $T^{-k} \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}_\infty, k=1, 2, \dots$. By Lemma 1 (a) we have $H(\xi | \mathcal{C} \vee Q) \leq H(\xi | \mathcal{C} \vee \hat{\eta}) \leq H(\xi | \mathcal{C})$ and by Theorem 1 $H(\xi | \mathcal{C} \vee Q) = H(\xi | \mathcal{C})$ so $H(\xi | \mathcal{C} \vee \hat{\eta}) = H(\xi | \mathcal{C})$. From Lemma 1 (e), (f) it follows that ξ, η are conditionally independent w.r. to \mathcal{C} so Q and \mathcal{M} (resp. \mathcal{M}_∞) are conditionally independent w.r. to \mathcal{C} . The second statement of the theorem now follows from [7].

Proof of Theorem 3. From Theorem 2 it follows that $\bar{P}_i P_i f = P_i f$,

$\bar{P}_i E(f|\mathcal{M}_{-\infty})=0$. Theorem 3 is an immediate consequence of this and of the mutual orthogonality of operators \bar{P}_i .

Proof of Theorem 4. We can restrict ourselves to $g=E(f|\mathcal{R})$. For $\mathcal{C}=\sigma\{g\circ T^k: k\geq 0\}$, \mathcal{C}_∞ is a sub- σ -algebra of \mathcal{R} and is separable. Following the Rohlin-Sinai theorem (see [9]) there exists an invariant σ -algebra \mathcal{M} such that $\mathcal{M}_{-\infty}$ is an invariant sub- σ -algebra of the Pinsker σ -algebra \mathcal{P} and $\mathcal{M}_\infty=\mathcal{C}_\infty$. Hence the decomposition $f=f'+f''$ exists. From Theorem 2 we have $E(f'|\mathcal{P})=0$ so the decomposition is unique.

Proof of Theorem 5. For each \mathcal{G} -measurable function f we have $f\circ T=f$. Let \mathcal{G} be a countable set algebra generating \mathcal{A} . For each $A\in\mathcal{G}$ it holds $\mu(A|\mathcal{G})=\mu(A|\mathcal{G})\circ T=\mu(T^{-1}A|\mathcal{G})$. As $m_\omega(A)=\mu(A|\mathcal{G})(\omega)$, the measures m_ω are T -invariant.

By Birkhoff's pointwise ergodic theorem we have $n^{-1}\cdot\sum_{j=1}^n 1_A\circ T^j\rightarrow\mu(A|\mathcal{G})$ a. s. (μ) and following [7] $\mu(A|\mathcal{G})=m_\omega(A)$ a. s. (m_ω). The averages $n^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^n 1_A\circ T^j$ thus converge to a constant a. s. (m_ω) for each A from \mathcal{G} , so m_ω is ergodic (see [1], p. 17).

Lemma 2. Let \mathcal{C} be a separable σ -algebra, $\mathcal{G}\subset\mathcal{C}\text{ mod } \mu$ and f be an integrable function. Then for almost all (μ) $\omega\in\Omega$ it holds

$$E_\mu(f|\mathcal{C})=E_{m_\omega}(f|\mathcal{C}) \text{ a. s. } (m_\omega)$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{G} be a countable set algebra generating \mathcal{C} . For each $A\in\mathcal{G}$ it is $E(1_A\cdot E(f|\mathcal{C})|\mathcal{G})=E(1_A\cdot f|\mathcal{G})$, so $\int_A E(f|\mathcal{C})dm_\omega=\int_A f dm_\omega$.

Proof of Theorem 6. From the separability of \mathcal{A} it follows that there exists a separable σ -algebra $\mathcal{G}'\subset\mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathcal{G}'=\mathcal{G}\text{ mod } \mu$. From Theorem 2 and Lemma 2 it follows that for each $A\in\xi$ $\int\phi(\mu(A|\xi^-))d\mu=\int\phi(\mu(A|\mathcal{G}'\vee\xi^-))d\mu=\iint\phi(m_\omega(A|\mathcal{G}'\vee\xi^-))dm_\omega d\mu(\omega)=\iint\phi(m_\omega(A|\xi^-))dm_\omega d\mu(\omega)$ from which we obtain the statement of Theorem 6.

Proof of Theorem 7. According to Theorem 3 we can suppose that $\mathcal{G}\subset\mathcal{M}\text{ mod } \mu$. Now, the theorem follows from Lemma 2.

Remark. R. Yokoyama ([15]) has shown that for the proof of Theorem 7 the equation $E(f|\mathcal{M}_{-\infty})=E(f|\mathcal{G}\vee\mathcal{M}_{-\infty})$ where $f\in L^1(\mathcal{M}_\infty)$ is sufficient: Using properties of conditional expectations we can deduce that $E(E(f|T^i\mathcal{M})|\mathcal{G})=E(f|\mathcal{G})$ hence $E(1_A\cdot E(f|T^i\mathcal{M})|\mathcal{G})=E(1_A\cdot f|\mathcal{G})$ for each $A\in T^i\mathcal{M}$. Therefore $E_\mu(f|T^i\mathcal{M})=E_{m_\omega}(f|T^i\mathcal{M})$ a. s. (m_ω).

The proof of Theorem 8. Follows from the fact that for $f' \in L^2(\mathcal{A})$ difference decomposable and $f'' \in L^2(\mathcal{G})$, $f = f' + f''$, it holds $Es_n^2(f) = Es_n^2(f') + Es_n^2(f'')$ (see [12] for more details).

References

- [1] Billingsley, P.: *Ergodic Theory and Information*. Wiley, New York, (1965)
- [2] Denker, M., Grillenberger, Ch. and Sigmund, K.: *Ergodic theory on compact sets*. Springer LN 527 (1976).
- [3] Eagleson, G.K.: On Gordin's central limit theorem for stationary processes. *J. Appl. Probab.* 12 (1975), 176-179.
- [4] Gordin, M.I.: The central limit theorem for stationary processes. *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 10 (1969), 1174-1176.
- [5] Gordin, M.I.: Abstracts of communications, T.1: A-K. International conference on probability theory, Vilnius.
- [6] Hall, P. and Heyde, C.C.: *Martingale Limit Theory and its Application*. Academic Press, New York, (1980).
- [7] Loève, M.: *Probability Theory*. Van Nostrand, Princeton (1960).
- [8] Oxtoby, J.C.: Ergodic sets. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 58 (1952), 116-136.
- [9] Parry, W.: *Topics in Ergodic Theory*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1980).
- [10] Shields, P.: *The Theory of Bernoulli Shifts*. The Chicago Univ. Press, Chicago (1973)
- [11] Volný, D.: The central limit problem for strictly stationary sequences. Ph.D. Thesis, Math. Institute of Charles Univ., Prague (1984).
- [12] Volný, D.: Approximation of stationary processes and the central limit problem To appear in the Proceedings of 5th. Japan—USSR symposium on probability theory Springer LN.
- [13] Volný, D.: A non ergodic version of Gordin's CLT for integrable stationary processes. *Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae* 28,3 (1987), 413-419.
- [14] Volný, D.: Counterexamples in the central limit problem for stationary processes. Submitted for publication.
- [15] Volný, D. and Yokoyama, R.: On the law of iterated logarithm for martingales. Submitted for publication.

The Mathematical Institute of
Charles University
Sokolovská 83, 18600
Praha 8, Czechoslovakia