

ON A COVERING THEOREM OF WICKE AND WORRELL

By

JINGCHENG TONG

(Received October 11, 1984; Revised September 25, 1985)

ABSTRACT. A generalization of Wicke and Worrell's theorem (Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1976), 427–431) on covering property of topological spaces is provided.

The following theorem was first mentioned by Worrell and Wicke in [2] as Theorem (iv), but the proof was given twelve years later in [1].

Theorem 1. *Suppose that X is a countably compact topological space and \mathcal{U} is the union of a countable collection $\{\mathcal{V}_n; n < \omega\}$ of collections of open subsets of X such that each $x \in X$ is in at least one element but not in more than countably many elements of some \mathcal{V}_n . Then some finite sub-collection of \mathcal{U} covers X .*

The purpose of this note is to generalize this theorem.

We need some notations. If \mathcal{U} is a collection of subsets of a topological space X , then define $\mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{U}) = \{U \in \mathcal{U}; x \in U\}$; $\text{St}(x, \mathcal{U}) = \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{U})} U$. $|\mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{U})|$ denotes the cardinality of $\mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{U})$.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that X is a countably compact topological space and \mathcal{U} is an open cover. If \mathcal{U} is the union of a countable collection $\{\mathcal{U}_n; n \leq \omega\}$ of collections of open subsets of X such that for each uncountable closed subset $S \subset X$, there is an $x \in S$ and an n satisfying $1 \leq |\mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{V}_n)| \leq \omega$, then \mathcal{U} has a finite subcover.*

Proof. Assume the contrary. Since X is countably compact, \mathcal{U} has no countable subcover. So X must be uncountable. Let $C = \{x \in X; 1 \leq |\mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{V}_n)| \leq \omega \text{ for some } n\}$. Then C is nonempty. Let $N(x)$ be the set of all positive integer n such that $1 \leq |\mathcal{D}(x, \mathcal{V}_n)| \leq \omega$. Note that $\bigcup_{n \in N(x)} \text{St}(x, \mathcal{V}_n)$ is a countable union of members of \mathcal{U} for each $x \in X$. Let $R = X \setminus \bigcup_{x \in C} \bigcup_{n \in N(x)} \text{St}(x, \mathcal{V}_n)$. Since R is a countable closed set, it is contained in a countable union U of members of \mathcal{U} . Now assume that there is a finite set $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\} \subset C$ such that $C \setminus U \subset \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq k} \bigcup_{n \in N(x_i)} \text{St}(x_i, \mathcal{V}_n)$. Let $W = U \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq k} \bigcup_{n \in N(x_i)} \text{St}(x_i, \mathcal{V}_n)$. Then W is a countable union of members of \mathcal{U} and $W \supset C$. Since $X \setminus W$ is countable, \mathcal{U} has a countable subcover. This contradicts the first assumption of this proof. Hence there is no such a finite set, we can find a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ in C such that $x_k \in (C \setminus U) \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq k} \bigcup_{n \in N(x_i)} \text{St}(x_i, \mathcal{V}_n)$.

Now we prove that the sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ has no ω -limit point. Let $x \in X$ be an arbitrary point. If $x \in U$, then U is an open neighborhood of x containing no points of $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. If $x \in X \setminus U$, since $X \setminus U \subset X \setminus R = \bigcup_{x \in C} \bigcup_{n \in N(x)} \text{St}(x, \mathcal{V}_n)$, there is an $\tilde{x} \in C$ such that $x \in \bigcup_{n \in N(\tilde{x})} \text{St}(\tilde{x}, \mathcal{V}_n)$. Hence $x \in \text{St}(\tilde{x}, \mathcal{V}_{n_0})$ for some n_0 . Therefore $x \in V$ for an open set $V \in \mathcal{V}_{n_0}$. If $V \cap (\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{x_k\}) = \emptyset$, V is an open neighborhood of x containing no points of $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. If $V \cap (\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \{x_k\}) \neq \emptyset$, then $x_p \in V$ for some integer p and $V \subset \text{St}(x_p, \mathcal{V}_{n_0})$. For $k > p$, since $x_k \in (C \setminus U) \setminus (\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq k} \bigcup_{n \in N(x_i)} \text{St}(x_p, \mathcal{V}_n))$, we have $x_k \notin \text{St}(x_p, \mathcal{V}_{n_0})$, hence $x_k \notin V$, V is an open neighborhood of x containing at most p points of the sequence $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Therefore $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ has no ω -limit point, X is not countably compact, a contradiction.

Acknowledgement. The author thanks the referee for his valuable suggestion to improve this note.

References

- [1] H. H. Wicke and J. M. Worrell, Jr.: *Point-countability and compactness*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **55** (1976), 427–431.
- [2] J. M. Worrell, Jr. and H. H. Wicke: *Characterizations of developable topological spaces*, Canad. J. Math., **17** (1965), 820–830.

Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of North Florida
Jacksonville, FL 32216
USA

and

Institute of Applied Mathematics
Academia Sinica
Peking
China