

THE ARENS PRODUCT AND QUASI-MULTIPLIERS

By

R. VASUDEVAN, SATYA GOEL[†] and S. TAKAHASI^{††}

(Received September 13, 1984; Revised July 16, 1985)

ABSTRACT. Let A be a Banach algebra satisfying conditions weaker than having a two-sided bounded approximate identity. Let $QM(A)$ denote the Banach space of all quasi-multipliers on A . We construct a Banach space B_0 whose conjugate space B_0^* is a left B_1^* -module and right B_2^* -module under modified Arens product. It is shown that for Banach algebras satisfying this weaker condition, $QM(A)$ can be embedded isometrically isomorphically into B_0^* . We also show that this embedding of $QM(A)$ in B_0^* is an extension of the embedding of $M_r(A)$ ($M_l(A)$) the algebra of all right multipliers (algebra of left multipliers) on A in B_1^* (B_2^*) [6]. It is also shown that if A is a dual A^* -algebra of the first kind, then $QM(A)$ is isometrically isomorphic to B_0^* .

1. Introduction. Let A be a Banach algebra. McKennon [7] studied quasi-multipliers of Banach algebras with two-sided bounded approximate identity and suggested the question of embedding $QM(A)$ in the second conjugate space A^{**} of A . In [14] for Banach algebras with two-sided bounded approximate identity an embedding of $QM(A)$ in A^{**} is obtained. A similar problem for multipliers was considered by Máté [6] for Banach algebras satisfying conditions weaker than a weak right identity. In Máté's paper [6] a Banach space B_1 is constructed whose conjugate space B_1^* is a Banach algebra under modified Arens product and it is shown that $M_r(A)$, the algebra of all right multipliers, is embedded isometrically isomorphically into B_1^* . In this paper a Banach space B_0 is constructed whose conjugate space B_0^* is a left B_1^* -module and right B_2^* -module under modified Arens product. For Banach algebras satisfying conditions (α) , (β) and (γ) which are stated in § 2, it is shown that $QM(A)$ is embedded isometrically isomorphically into B_0^* . We also show that this embedding is an extension of the embedding of $M_r(A)$ ($M_l(A)$) in B_1^* (B_2^*) due to Máté [6]. We also show that if A is a dual A^* -algebra of the first kind then $QM(A)$ can be

AMS(MOS) Subject Classification (1980): Primary 46 H 05, Secondary 46 L 05, 42 A 45, 43 A 15.

Key words and Phrases: Quasi-multiplier, group algebras, B^* -algebras, A^* -algebras.

[†] Research supported by the University Grants Commission, New Delhi.

^{††} Research partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research C-59540065 and C-60540007 from the Education Ministry of Japan.

identified with B_0^* . §5 deals with the Banach algebras with two-sided bounded approximate identity in which we prove that B_0^* is isometric and isomorphic with B^* and we give a sharper form of the main result of [14].

2. Definitions and Preliminaries. For any Banach algebra A McKennon [7] defined a quasi-multiplier as a bilinear continuous mapping $m: A \times A \rightarrow A$, satisfying

$$(1) \quad m(ab, cd) = am(b, c)d, \quad \forall a, b, c, d \in A.$$

We define a bilinear continuous mapping $m: A \times A \rightarrow A$, as a quasi-multiplier if

$$(2) \quad m(ab, c) = am(b, c) \quad \text{and} \quad m(a, bc) = m(a, b)c, \quad \forall a, b, c \in A.$$

If A is a Banach algebra with two-sided bounded approximate identity then obviously both definitions are equivalent [7]. It is shown in this paper that if A satisfies conditions weaker than two-sided bounded approximate identity then the two definitions coincide (See Remark 5.2). Throughout the paper we adopt the definition of quasi-multiplier as given by (2). Let $QM(A)$ denote the set of all quasi-multipliers on A . $QM(A)$ is a Banach space under usual operations for operators and operator bound norm. $QM(A)$ is also a two-sided Banach A -module under the bilinear operations, $\circ: A \times QM(A) \rightarrow QM(A)$ and $\circ': QM(A) \times A \rightarrow QM(A)$ as defined below:

For $m \in QM(A)$ and $x, y, a \in A$

$$(a \circ m)(x, y) = m(xa, y) \quad \text{and} \quad (m \circ' a)(x, y) = m(x, ay).$$

Following Máté [6] we call a bounded, linear operator T mapping A into itself, a right (left) multiplier if $T(xy) = xT(y)$ ($(Tx)y$) for all $x, y \in A$. The set $M_r(A)$ ($M_l(A)$) of all right (left) multipliers on A is a Banach algebra.

Arens [1] has defined two products on A^{**} which makes A^{**} into a Banach algebra. We denote them by \circ_1 and \circ_2 and for completeness we sketch them here. These are done in stages as follows: For $x, y \in A$, $f \in A^*$ and $F, G \in A^{**}$,

define $f \circ_1 x \in A^*$ by $(f \circ_1 x)(y) = f(xy)$,
 define $F \circ_1 f \in A^*$ by $(F \circ_1 f)(x) = F(f \circ_1 x)$,
 define $F \circ_1 G \in A^{**}$ by $(F \circ_1 G)(f) = F(G \circ_1 f)$,
 define $x \circ_2 f \in A^*$ by $(x \circ_2 f)(y) = f(yx)$,
 define $f \circ_2 F \in A^*$ by $(f \circ_2 F)(x) = F(x \circ_2 f)$,
 define $F \circ_2 G \in A^{**}$ by $(F \circ_2 G)(f) = G(f \circ_2 F)$.

A^{**} is a Banach algebra under the product \circ_1 as well as under \circ_2 such that the canonical mapping π of A into A^{**} is an isomorphism of A into (A^{**}, \circ_1) and (A^{**}, \circ_2) .

Let Y be the linear hull of the set $\{f \circ_1 x : f \in A^* \text{ and } x \in A\}$ and let B_1 be

the set

$$\{h = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k \circ_1 a_k : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|h_k\| \|a_k\| < \infty, h_k \in A^*, a_k \in A\}.$$

By [6, Theorem 1, p. 229], B_1 is a Banach space under the norm $\| \cdot \|_1$ defined by

$$\|h\|_1 = \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|h_k\| \|a_k\| : h = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k \circ_1 a_k \right\}$$

and $\|h\| \leq \|h\|_1, \forall h \in B_1$. If B_2 is the set

$$\{h = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \circ_2 h_k : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|a_k\| \|h_k\| < \infty, h_k \in A^*, a_k \in A\},$$

then B_2 is also a Banach space under the norm $\| \cdot \|_2$ defined by

$$\|h\|_2 = \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|a_k\| \|h_k\| : h = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \circ_2 h_k \right\}$$

and

$$\|h\| \leq \|h\|_2, \forall h \in B_2.$$

Máté [6] has introduced a product in B_1^* (called the modified Arens product) which makes B_1^* into a Banach algebra. This product is defined as follows: For $x, y \in A, h \in B_1$ and $F, G \in B_1^*$,

define $h \circ_1 x \in B_1$ by $(h \circ_1 x)(y) = h(xy)$,

define $F \circ_1 h \in B_1$ by $(F \circ_1 h)(x) = F(h \circ_1 x)$,

define $F \circ_1 G \in B_1^*$ by $(F \circ_1 G)(h) = F(G \circ_1 h)$.

Then (B_1^*, \circ_1) is a Banach algebra. Let $\rho_1(a) = \pi(a)|_{B_1} : B_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, \mathcal{C} being the field of complex numbers, such that $\rho_1(a)(h) = h(a), \forall h \in B_1$, then the mapping $a \rightarrow \rho_1(a)$ is an algebraic homomorphism of A into (B_1^*, \circ_1) , the kernel of the homomorphism being equal to $\{a \in A : aA = (0)\}$ [6].

Similarly B_2^* is a Banach algebra under modified Arens product $F \circ_2 G \in B_2^*$ such that $(F \circ_2 G)(f) = G(f \circ_2 F), \forall F, G \in B_2^*, \forall f \in B_2$. Define $\rho_2(a) = \pi(a)|_{B_2} : B_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ such that $\rho_2(a)(h) = h(a), \forall h \in B_2$. Then the mapping $a \rightarrow \rho_2(a)$ is also an algebraic homomorphism of A into (B_2^*, \circ_2) , the kernel of the homomorphism being equal to $\{a \in A : aA = (0)\}$.

In §4 we will consider Banach algebras with the following conditions:

Condition (α): $h_k \in A^*, a_k \in A, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|h_k\| \|a_k\| < \infty$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (h_k \circ_1 a_k) = 0$ implies that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k(a_k) = 0,$$

Condition (β): $h_k \in A^*, a_k \in A, \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|h_k\| \|a_k\| < \infty$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \circ_2 h_k = 0$ implies that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k(a_k) = 0,$$

Condition (γ): A is without a right and a left annihilator $\Leftrightarrow AxA = (0) \Rightarrow x = 0$.

These conditions together are weaker than the condition of having a two-sided bounded approximate identity. In fact if there is a two-sided bounded approximate identity then conditions (α) , (β) , (γ) are satisfied [6]. Máté [6] has also proved that if A has the condition (α) then (B_1^*, \circ_1) has a right identity

$$I_1 \text{ such that } I_1 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k \circ_1 a_k \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k(a_k).$$

Similarly it can be shown that if A has the condition (β) then (B_2^*, \circ_2) has a left identity I_2 such that $I_2 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \circ_2 h_k \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k(a_k)$.

Let $(A, \| \cdot \|, | \cdot |)$ be an A^* -algebra where $\| \cdot \|$ is a Banach algebra norm, and $| \cdot |$ is the auxiliary norm. The Banach algebra $A = (A, \| \cdot \|)$ is of the first kind if A is a two-sided ideal of its C^* -algebra completion $a = (a, | \cdot |)$. For further details on A^* -algebras see [9].

Lemma. *Let A be a dual A^* -algebra of the first kind. Then A has the properties (α) , (β) , (γ) .*

Proof. See [12, Lemma 3.1, p. 283].

3. If $\pi(A)$ is the canonical embedding of A into A^{**} and $F \in A^{**}$ is such that $\pi(a) \circ_1 F \circ_2 \pi(b) \in \pi(A)$ for every $a, b \in A$, then the mapping $m : A \times A \rightarrow A$ defined by

$$(3) \quad \pi(m(a, b)) = \pi(a) \circ_1 F \circ_2 \pi(b), \quad a, b \in A$$

is a quasi-multiplier.

Definition 3.1. B is the linear hull of the set $\{a \circ_2 h \circ_1 b : h \in A^*, a, b \in A\}$ and $B^\perp = \{F \in A^{**} : F(a \circ_2 h \circ_1 b) = 0, \forall h \in A^*, a, b \in A\}$ is the orthogonal complement of B in A^{**} .

Lemma 3.1. *Let $F \in A^{**}$ be such that $\pi(a) \circ_1 F \circ_2 \pi(b) \in \pi(A)$ for every $a, b \in A$ and let m be the quasi-multiplier determined by F . Then $m = 0 \Leftrightarrow F \in B^\perp$.*

Proof. $F \in B^\perp \Leftrightarrow F(b \circ_2 h \circ_1 a) = 0 \forall a, b \in A, \forall h \in A^*$
 $\Leftrightarrow [\pi(a) \circ_1 F \circ_2 \pi(b)](h) = 0 \forall a, b \in A, \forall h \in A^*$
 $\Leftrightarrow \pi(m(a, b))(h) = 0 \forall a, b \in A, \forall h \in A^*$
 $\Leftrightarrow \pi(m(a, b)) = 0 \forall a, b \in A$
 $\Leftrightarrow m = 0$.

Lemma 3.2. *In order for A to satisfy the condition (γ) , it is necessary and sufficient that $\pi(A) \cap B^\perp = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Proof is straightforward.

Definition 3.2. Let B be the set

$$(*) \quad \left\{ h = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|a_k\| \|h_k\| \|b_k\| < \infty, h_k \in A^*, a_k, b_k \in A \right\}.$$

Then B_0 becomes a linear subset of A^* .

Theorem 3.1. B_0 is a Banach space with the norm $\| \cdot \|'$ given by

$$\|h\|' = \inf \left\{ \sum_1^\infty \|a_k\| \|h_k\| \|b_k\| : h = \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k \right\}.$$

Moreover, $\|h\| \leq \|h\|'$ for each $h \in B_0$.

Proof. It is obvious that $\| \cdot \|'$ is a norm. If $\{h_n\}$ ($h_n \in B_0$) is a Cauchy sequence, then there is a subsequence $\{h_k\}$ such that

$$\|h_k - h_{k-1}\|' < (1/2^k) \forall k.$$

Hence if $h = h_1 + \sum_{k=2}^\infty (h_k - h_{k-1})$, then $\|h\|' < \infty$, h is in form (*) and considering

$$h - h_{n+1} = h - h_1 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n h_{i+1} - h_i \right) = \sum_{i=n+1}^\infty (h_{i+1} - h_i),$$

we get, $\|h - h_{n+1}\|' \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^\infty \|h_{i+1} - h_i\|' \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^\infty 1/2^i$. Hence $\lim h_n = h$.

Now it is obvious that $h = \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k \in A^*$ by definitions of \circ_1 and \circ_2 . Further

$$\begin{aligned} \|h\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k \right\| \\ &= \left\| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k \right\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k \right\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_1^n \|a_k\| \|h_k\| \|b_k\| \\ &= \sum_1^\infty \|a_k\| \|h_k\| \|b_k\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\|h\| \leq \sum_1^\infty \|a_k\| \|h_k\| \|b_k\|$ for every such representation of h . Therefore

$$\|h\| \leq \inf \left\{ \sum_1^\infty \|a_k\| \|h_k\| \|b_k\| = \|h\|' : h = \sum_1^\infty a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k \right\}.$$

Then $\|h\| \leq \|h\|'$.

Remark. It is obvious that B supplied with the norm $\| \cdot \|'$ is a dense subset of B_0 .

Let us consider the dual space B_0^* of B_0 . Máté [6] has defined a modified Arens product \circ_1 with the help of which we are going to show that B_0^* is a left B_1^* -module and similarly it can be shown that B_0^* is a right B_2^* -module under modified Arens product \circ_2 .

Theorem 3.2. If A is a Banach algebra then B_0^* is a left B_1^* -module under modified Arens product \circ_1 .

Proof. The proof depends on the following which can easily be verified :

- (i) $h \circ_1 a \in B_0$ and $\|h \circ_1 a\|' \leq \|a\| \|h\|'$
(ii) $F \circ_1 h \in B_1$ and $\|F \circ_1 h\|_1 \leq \|F\| \|h\|'$ for all $a \in A$, $h \in B_0$ and $F \in B_0^*$.

From (i) and (ii) it follows that for $F \in B_1^*$ and $G \in B_0^*$, $F \circ_1 G \in B_0^*$ and $\|F \circ_1 G\| \leq \|F\| \|G\|$. Hence it is shown that \circ_1 is a module multiplication and thus B_0^* is a left B_1^* -module.

Definition 3.3. Define a mapping $\rho : A \rightarrow B_0^*$ such that $\rho(a) = \pi(a)|_{B_0} : B_0 \rightarrow C$ and $\rho(a)(h) = h(a)$, $\forall a \in A$ and $\forall h \in B_0$.

Theorem 3.3. Let A be a Banach algebra satisfying condition (γ) then ρ is a continuous, linear module-isomorphism of A into B_0^* .

Proof. That ρ is continuous, linear and one-to-one is obvious. ρ is also a module-homomorphism for which we prove the following :

- (i) $\rho(ab) = \rho_1(a) \circ_1 \rho(b)$, for all $a, b \in A$.
(ii) $\rho(ab) = \rho(a) \circ_2 \rho_2(b)$, for all $a, b \in A$.

For $h \in B_0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} [\rho_1(a) \circ_1 \rho(b)](h) &= \rho_1(a)[\rho(b) \circ_1 h] \\ &= [\rho(b) \circ_1 h](a) \\ &= (h \circ_1 a)(b) \\ &= h(ab) \\ &= \rho(ab)(h), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (i). Similarly (ii) can be proved.

4. Embedding of $QM(A)$ in B_0^* . In this section we prove the main result of this paper that is, if A is a Banach algebra satisfying conditions (α) , (β) and (γ) , then $QM(A)$ can be embedded isometrically isomorphically into B_0^* . Before coming to this result, first we establish some of the results needed in its proof.

Theorem 4.1. If m is a quasi-multiplier on a Banach algebra A , then there exists a continuous bilinear mapping $S_1 : B_1^* \times B_2^* \rightarrow B_0^*$ satisfying

$$S_1(\rho_1(a) \circ_1 F, G \circ_2 \rho_2(b)) = \rho_1(a) \circ_1 S_1(F, G) \circ_2 \rho_2(b)$$

for all $a, b \in A$, $F \in B_1^*$ and $G \in B_2^*$. Further S_1 is an extension of m in the following sense

$$S_1(\rho_1(a), \rho_2(b)) = \rho(m(a, b)), \quad a, b \in A.$$

Proof. Let $m : A \times A \rightarrow A$ be a quasi-multiplier on A . Then $m^* : A^* \times A^* \rightarrow A^*$

is a continuous, bilinear mapping. Define $S = m^*|_{B_0 \times A} : (B_0, \|\cdot\|) \times A \rightarrow (B_2, \|\cdot\|_2)$. Let us prove that S is a well defined continuous bilinear mapping.

Let $h \in B_0$, then $h = \sum_1^\infty a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k$, $\forall a_k, b_k \in A$ and $\forall h_k \in A^*$. For $a, x \in A$, consider

$$\begin{aligned} S(h, a)(x) &= S\left(\sum_1^\infty a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k, a\right)(x) \\ &= \sum_1^\infty [(S(a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k), a)(x)] \\ &= \sum_1^\infty [(a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k)m(a, x)] \\ &= \sum_1^\infty [(h_k \circ_1 b_k)(m(a, x)a_k)] \\ &= \sum_1^\infty [(h_k \circ_1 b_k)m(a, xa_k)] \\ &= \sum_1^\infty [m^*(h_k \circ_1 b_k a)(xa_k)] \\ &= \sum_1^\infty [a_k \circ_1 m^*(h_k \circ_1 b_k, a)](x) \\ &= \left[\sum_1^\infty a_k \circ_2 m^*(h_k \circ_1 b_k, a)\right](x). \end{aligned}$$

Then $S(h, a) = \sum_1^\infty a_k \circ_2 m^*(h_k \circ_1 b_k, a)$. And

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^\infty \|a_k\| \|m^*(h_k \circ_1 b_k, a)\| &\leq \sum_1^\infty \|a_k\| \|m^*\| \|h_k \circ_1 b_k\| \|a\| \\ &\leq \|a\| \|m^*\| \sum_1^\infty \|a_k\| \|h_k\| \|b_k\| < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

then $S(h, a) \in B_2$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(h, a)\|_2 &= \inf \left\{ \sum_1^\infty \|c_k\| \|\phi_k\| : S(h, a) = \sum_1^\infty c_k \circ_2 \phi_k, c_k \in A, \phi_k \in A^* \right\} \\ &\leq \inf \left\{ \sum_1^\infty \|a_k\| \|m^*(h_k \circ_1 b_k, a)\| : h = \sum_1^\infty a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k \right\} \\ &\leq \inf \left\{ \sum_1^\infty \|a_k\| \|m^*\| \|h_k\| \|b_k\| \|a\| : h = \sum_1^\infty a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k \right\} \\ &= \|a\| \|m^*\| \inf \left\{ \sum_1^\infty \|a_k\| \|h_k\| \|b_k\| : h = \sum_1^\infty a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k \right\} \\ &= \|a\| \|m^*\| \|h\|'. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|S(h, a)\|_2 \leq \|a\| \|m^*\| \|h\|'$ implying that S is a continuous mapping.

Now $S^* : B_2^* \times (B_0, \|\cdot\|) \rightarrow A^*$ is a continuous bilinear mapping. Also, it can easily be shown that

$$S^*: B_2^* \times (B_0, \|\cdot\|) \rightarrow (B_1, \|\cdot\|_1)$$

is a well defined continuous mapping.

Therefore $S^{**}: B_1^* \times B_2^* \rightarrow B_0^*$ is obviously a continuous, bilinear mapping. Put $S_1 = S^{**}$, then we easily have

$$S_1(\rho_1(a) \circ_1 F, G \circ_2 \rho_2(b)) = \rho_1(a) \circ_1 S_1(F, G) \circ_2 \rho_2(b),$$

where $F \in B_1^*$, $G \in B_2^*$, in view of the following whose proofs are straightforward:

- (i) $S(b \circ_2 h, a) = b \circ_2 S(h, a)$
- (ii) $S^*(G \circ_2 \rho_2(b), h) = S^*(G, b \circ_2 h)$
- (iii) $S^*(G, h) \circ_1 a = S^*(G, h \circ_1 a)$
- (iv) $S^{**}(F, G \circ_2 \rho_2(b)) = S^{**}(F, G) \circ_2 \rho_2(b)$
- (v) $S^{**}(\rho_1(a) \circ_1 F, G) = \rho_1(a) \circ_1 S^{**}(F, G)$

for all $a, b \in A$, $h \in B_0$, $F \in B_1^*$ and $G \in B_2^*$. Also for any $h \in B_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(\rho_1(a), \rho_2(b))(h) &= S^{**}(\rho_1(a), \rho_2(b))(h) \\ &= S(h, a)(b) = h(m(a, b)) \\ &= \rho(m(a, b))(h), \end{aligned}$$

so that $S_1(\rho_1(a), \rho_2(b)) = \rho(m(a, b))$. Hence S_1 is an extension of m .

Theorem 4.2. *Let A be a Banach algebra satisfying conditions (α) and (β) . Then given $m \in QM(A)$, there exists F^m in B_0^* such that*

$$\rho(m(a, b)) = \rho_1(a) \circ_1 F^m \circ_2 \rho_2(b) \in \rho(A) \quad \text{for all } a, b \in A.$$

Proof. Given an $m \in QM(A)$, there exists an extension S_1 of m such that

$$\rho(m(a, b)) = S_1(\rho_1(a), \rho_2(b)) \quad (\text{by Theorem 4.1}).$$

Let I_1 be the right identity for B_1^* and I_2 the left identity of B_2^* , then

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(\rho_1(a), \rho_2(b)) &= S_1(\rho_1(a) \circ_1 I_1, I_2 \circ_2 \rho_2(b)) \\ &= \rho_1(a) \circ_1 S_1(I_1, I_2) \circ_2 \rho_2(b) \quad (\text{by Theorem 4.1}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\rho(m(a, b)) = \rho_1(a) \circ_1 S_1(I_1, I_2) \circ_2 \rho_2(b)$. Let $F^m = S_1(I_1, I_2) \in B_0^*$. Therefore $\rho(m(a, b)) = \rho_1(a) \circ_1 F^m \circ_2 \rho_2(b)$. Also $\rho(m(a, b)) \in \rho(A)$. Finally we have that there exists $F^m \in B_0^*$ such that

$$\rho(m(a, b)) = \rho_1(a) \circ_1 F^m \circ_2 \rho_2(b) \in \rho(A).$$

Theorem 4.3. *Let A be a Banach algebra satisfying conditions (α) , (β) and (γ) , then there exists an isometric isomorphism of $QM(A)$ onto the sub-module*

$$E = \{F \in B_0^* : \rho_1(a) \circ_1 F \circ_2 \rho_2(b) \in \rho(A) \text{ for all } a, b \in A\}$$

of B_0^* .

Proof. E is a linear subspace of B_0^* follows from the fact that \circ_1 and \circ_2 are bilinear mappings and ρ is a linear mapping. We define $a \circ_1 F = \rho_1(a) \circ_1 F$ and $F \circ_2 a = F \circ_2 \rho_2(a)$ for each $a \in A$ and $F \in B_0^*$. Then E is a two-sided A -module. In fact for $F \in E$, $a, b, a_1 \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1(a) \circ_1 (a_1 \circ_1 F) \circ_2 \rho_2(b) &= \rho_1(a) \circ_1 (\rho_1(a_1) \circ_1 F) \circ_2 \rho_2(b) \\ &= \rho_1(a a_1) \circ_1 F \circ_2 \rho_2(b) \in \rho(A), \end{aligned}$$

so that $a_1 \circ_1 F \in E$ and also $F \circ_2 a_1 \in E$ similarly.

Define $\sigma: QM(A) \rightarrow E$, by $\sigma(m) = S_1(I_1, I_2)$, for $m \in QM(A)$. Then σ is a one-to-one mapping because of Theorems 3.3 and 4.2. Also it is easy to prove that σ is linear. Let us prove that σ is an onto mapping.

σ is an onto mapping: Let $F \in E$. Then we have $\rho_1(a) \circ_1 F \circ_2 \rho_2(b) \in \rho(A)$ for all $a, b \in A$. Define $m: A \times A \rightarrow A$, by $\rho(m(a, b)) = \rho_1(a) \circ_1 F \circ_2 \rho_2(b)$ for every $a, b \in A$. Then it can easily be checked that m is a quasi-multiplier. By Theorem 4.2, $\rho(m(a, b)) = \rho_1(a) \circ_1 \sigma(m) \circ_2 \rho_2(b)$ for all $a, b \in A$. We now show $\sigma(m) = F$. But to do this, we have to prepare some lemmas.

In the following, we keep the notations: m, F and let S, S_1 be as defined in Theorem 4.1.

Lemma 4.1. Let $a, b \in A$, $f \in A^*$ and $G \in B_2^*$. Then $S^*(G, a \circ_2 f \circ_1 b) = m^{**}(G \times a, f) \circ_1 b$, where $G \times a$ is an element of A^{**} such that $(G \times a)(g) = G(a \circ_2 g)$ for all $g \in A^*$.

Proof. It is easily checked that $G \times a$ is well-defined and $G \times a \in A^{**}$. Note also that for each $x \in A$, $S(a \circ_2 f \circ_1 b, x) = a \circ_2 m^*(f, bx)$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} S^*(G, a \circ_2 f \circ_1 b)(x) &= G(S(a \circ_2 f \circ_1 b, x)) \\ &= G(a \circ_2 m^*(f, bx)) \\ &= (G \times a)(m^*(f, bx)) \\ &= m^{**}(G \times a, f)(bx) \\ &= (m^{**}(G \times a, f) \circ_1 b)(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A$ and the proof is complete.

Lemma 4.2. Let $a, b \in A$ and $f \in A^*$. Then $I_1(S^*(I_2, a \circ_2 f \circ_1 b)) = m^*(f, b)(a)$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.1 and the definitions of \circ_1 and \circ_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(S^*(I_2, a \circ_2 f \circ_1 b)) &= I_1(m^{**}(I_2 \times a, f) \circ_1 b) \\ &= m^{**}(I_2 \times a, f)(b) \\ &= (I_2 \times a)(m^*(f, b)) \\ &= I_2(a \circ_2 m^*(f, b)) \\ &= m^*(f, b)(a). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $A^2 = \{ab : a, b \in A\}$. If either A satisfies the condition (α) or (β) , then the linear hull of A^2 is dense in A .*

Proof. Suppose that A satisfies (α) . If $f \in A^*$ is such that $f(ab) = 0$ for all $a, b \in A$, then $f \circ_1 a = 0$ for all $a \in A$. So (α) implies that $f(a) = 0$ for all $a \in A$, that is $f = 0$. Then it follows from the Hahn-Banach separation theorem that the linear hull of A^2 is dense in A . Similarly for the case of (β) .

Lemma 4.4. *For $a, b, x, y \in A$ and $f \in A^*$, $F((xy) \circ_2 f \circ_1(ab)) = f(m(ab, xy))$.*

Proof. It can easily be checked that $(\rho_1(c) \circ_1 F \circ_2 \rho_2(d))(g) = F(d \circ_2 g \circ_1 c)$ for each $c, d \in A$ and $g \in B_0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} F((xy) \circ_2 f \circ_1(ab)) &= F(x \circ_2 (y \circ_2 f \circ_1 a) \circ_1 b) \\ &= (\rho_1(b) \circ_1 F \circ_2 \rho_2(x))(y \circ_2 f \circ_1 a) \\ &= \rho(m(b, x))(y \circ_2 f \circ_1 a) \\ &= (y \circ_2 f \circ_1 a)(m(b, x)) \\ &= f(m(ab, xy)). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.5. *For $a, b \in A$ and $f \in A^*$, $f(m(a, b)) = F(b \circ_2 f \circ_1 a)$.*

Proof. Define $\phi : A \times A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ by $\phi(a, b) = f(m(a, b))$ and define $\psi : A \times A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ by $\psi(a, b) = F(b \circ_2 f \circ_1 a)$. Then ϕ and ψ are bilinear continuous mappings. Moreover by Lemma 4.4, $\phi|_{A^2 \times A^2} = \psi|_{A^2 \times A^2}$ and hence $\phi = \psi$ because the linear hull of A^2 is dense in A from Lemma 4.3, and the proof is complete.

As some of the lemmas needed in the proof has been prepared, we shall continue the original proof.

Let $h \in B_0$, then $h = \sum_1^\infty a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k$ for $a_k, b_k \in A$ and $h_k \in A^*$. Of course $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|h - \sum_1^n a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k\| = 0$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(m)(h) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (S_1(I_1, I_2)) \left(\sum_1^n a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k \right) \\ &= \sum_1^\infty (S_1(I_1, I_2))(a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k) \\ &= \sum_1^\infty I_1(S^*(I_2, a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k)) \\ &= \sum_1^\infty m^*(h_k, b_k)(a_k) && \text{(by Lemma 4.2)} \\ &= \sum_1^\infty h_k(m(b_k, a_k)) \\ &= \sum_1^\infty F(a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k) && \text{(by Lemma 4.5)} \\ &= F(h), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $F = \sigma(m)$. Thus it has been shown that σ is an onto mapping.

σ is a module-homomorphism: For $m \in QM(A)$ and $a \in A$, $a \circ m \in QM(A)$. Let $\sigma(a \circ m) = S^{**}(I_1, I_2)$ and $\sigma(m) = T^{**}(I_1, I_2)$. For $h \in B_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma(a \circ m)(h) &= S^{**}(I_1, I_2) \left[\sum_1^{\infty} a_{k \circ 2} h_{k \circ 1} b_k \right] \\
 &= \sum_1^{\infty} h_k [(a \circ m)(b_k, a_k)] \\
 &= \sum_1^{\infty} (h_{k \circ 1} b_k) m(a, a_k) \\
 &= \sum_1^{\infty} m^*(h_{k \circ 1} b_k, a)(a_k) \\
 &= I_2 \left(\sum_1^{\infty} [a_{k \circ 2} m^*(h_{k \circ 1} b_k, a)] \right) \\
 &= \sum_1^{\infty} T^*(I_2, a_{k \circ 2} h_{k \circ 1} b_k)(a) \\
 &= I_1 \left(\sum_1^{\infty} [T^*(I_2, a_{k \circ 2} h_{k \circ 1} b_k) \circ_1 a] \right) \\
 &= T^{**}(I_1, I_2) \left(\sum_1^{\infty} a_{k \circ 2} h_{k \circ 1} b_k a \right) \\
 &= [a \circ_1 \sigma(m)](h).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\sigma(a \circ m) = a \circ_1 \sigma(m)$ which proves that σ is a left A -module homomorphism. Similarly, we can show that σ is a right A -module homomorphism.

σ is an isometry: Let $m \in QM(A)$ and $\sigma(m) = S^{**}(I_1, I_2)$, then for $h \in B_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\sigma(m)(h)| &= \left| \sigma(m) \left(\sum_1^{\infty} a_{k \circ 2} h_{k \circ 1} b_k \right) \right| \\
 &= \left| S^{**}(I_1, I_2) \left(\sum_1^{\infty} a_{k \circ 2} h_{k \circ 1} b_k \right) \right| \\
 &= \left| \sum_1^{\infty} h_k [m(b_k, a_k)] \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_1^{\infty} |h_k [m(b_k, a_k)]| \\
 &\leq \|m\| \left(\sum_1^{\infty} \|a_k\| \|h_k\| \|b_k\| \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since it is true for every such representation of h , therefore

$$|\sigma(m)(h)| \leq \inf \|m\| \left(\sum_1^{\infty} \|a_k\| \|h_k\| \|b_k\| \right) = \|m\| \|h\|,$$

then $\|\sigma(m)\| \leq \|m\|$.

Further since $S^{**}(I_1, I_2)(b \circ_2 h \circ_1 a) = h[m(a, b)]$ as can be observed in the proof of the surjectivity of σ , with the help of it one can show that

$$\|m\| \leq \|\sigma(m)\|.$$

Thus σ is an isometric isomorphism of $QM(A)$ onto the submodule E of B_0^* .

We now prove that this embedding σ is an extension of the following embeddings due to Máté.

Máté [6] has proved that if A is a Banach algebra without a right annihilator and satisfying condition (α) then $M_r(A)$ can be embedded into B_1^* by the mapping $\sigma_1: M_r(A) \rightarrow B_1^*$ such that for each $T \in M_r(A)$, $\sigma_1(T) = (T^*|_{B_1})^*(I_1)$, where I_1 is the right identity for B_1^* .

Similarly it can be shown that when A is a Banach algebra without a left annihilator and satisfying condition (β) then $M_l(A)$ can be embedded into B_2^* by the mapping $\sigma_2: M_l(A) \rightarrow B_2^*$ such that for each $T \in M_l(A)$, $\sigma_2(T) = (T^*|_{B_2})^*(I_2)$, where I_2 is the left identity for B_2^* .

Let us now define the mappings $\lambda: M_l(A) \rightarrow QM(A)$, and $\mu: M_r(A) \rightarrow QM(A)$ by

$$\lambda(T)(x, y) = xTy \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(T)(x, y) = (Tx)y$$

for all $x, y \in A$.

Theorem 4.4. *If A is a Banach algebra without a right annihilator, then λ is a linear, one-to-one and continuous mapping.*

Proof. That λ is linear is evident. Let T be in $M_l(A)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda(T)\| &= \sup \{\|xTy\| : \|x\| = \|y\| = 1\} \\ &= \sup \{\|Ty\| : \|y\| = 1\} = \|T\|, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that λ is continuous. Next let $T_1, T_2 \in M_l(A)$ such that $\lambda(T_1) = \lambda(T_2)$. Then $xT_1y = xT_2y$ for all $x, y \in A$, so that $T_1 = T_2$ because $T_1y - T_2y$ is a right annihilator of A for each $y \in A$.

Similarly it can be shown that when A is a Banach algebra without a left annihilator then μ is a linear one-to-one continuous mapping. Hence $M_l(A)$ and $M_r(A)$ can be identified algebraically with the subspace $\lambda(M_l(A))$ and $\mu(M_r(A))$ of $QM(A)$, respectively.

Theorem 4.5. *Let A be a Banach algebra satisfying conditions (α) , (β) and (γ) . Let $\sigma, \sigma_1, \sigma_2$ and λ, μ are as defined above, then $\sigma(\mu(T)) = \sigma_1(T)|_{B_0}$ and $\sigma(\lambda(T)) = \sigma_2(T)|_{B_0}$.*

Proof. Let $T \in M_r(A)$ and set $m = \mu(T)$. Construct m^*, S, S^* and S_1 as in Theorem 4.1. Further given $a \in A, h \in B_0, G \in B_2^*$ and $F \in B_1^*$, define $(G \circ_1 h)(a) = G(h \circ_1 a)$ and $(F \circ_1 G)(h) = F(G \circ_1 h)$. We can easily see that these are well-

defined and so $B_2^* \circ_1 B_0 \subset B_1$ and $B_1^* \circ_1 B_2^* \subset B_0^*$. Note that $T^*(B_1) \subset B_1$, so one can establish that the followings hold:

- (i) $S(h, a) = h \circ_1 T a,$
- (ii) $S^*(G, h) = T^*(G \circ_1 h),$
- (iii) $S_1(F, G) = (T^*|_{B_1})^*(F) \circ_1 G$

for all $a \in A, h \in B_0, F \in B_1^*$ and $G \in B_2^*$. Now if we put $F = I_1$ and $G = I_2$ in (iii), then $S_1(I_1, I_2) = (T^*|_{B_1})^*(I_1) \circ_1 I_2$. Furthermore noting that $I_2 \circ_1 h = h$ for all $h \in B_0$, we have

$$(T^*|_{B_1})^*(I_1) \circ_1 I_2 = (T^*|_{B_1})^*(I_1)|_{B_0}.$$

Therefore

$$\sigma(\mu(T)) = \sigma(m) = S_1(I_1, I_2) = (T^*|_{B_1})^*(I_1)|_{B_0} = \sigma_1(T)|_{B_0},$$

which implies that σ is an extension of σ_1 to $QM(A)$. Similarly, we can prove that $\sigma(\lambda(T)) = \sigma_2(T)|_{B_0}$ for all $T \in M_i(A)$, which in turn implies that σ is also an extension of σ_2 .

Theorem 4.6. *Let A be a dual A^* -algebra of the first kind, then $\sigma : QM(A) \rightarrow B_0^*$ as defined in Theorem 4.3 is an onto mapping.*

Proof. Let $F \in B_0^*$. Define $T_F : A \times A \rightarrow A^{**}$ by $T_F(a, b)(f) = F(b \circ_2 f \circ_1 a)$ for all $a, b \in A$ and $f \in A^*$. The mapping T_F is obviously linear and continuous. Also it can be proved easily that

$$T_F(ab, c) = \pi(a) \circ_1 T_F(b, c), \quad \forall a, b, c \in A.$$

We claim that $T_F(a, b) \in \pi(A)$. Since every $a \in A$ can be expressed in the form $a = \sum_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} a$, where $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ is a maximal orthogonal family of self adjoint minimal idempotents in A [8, Theorem 16, p. 30] and $\pi(A)$ is a two-sided ideal of A^{**} [15], it follows that $T_F(a, b) \in \pi(A), \forall a, b \in A$. Define $m : A \times A \rightarrow A$, by $\pi(m(a, b)) = T_F(a, b), \forall a, b \in A$. It can now easily be shown that m is a quasi-multiplier. Let us now show that $\sigma(m) = F$. Let $\sigma(m) = S_1(I_1, I_2)$. Then for every $h = \sum_1^{\infty} a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k \in B_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(m)(h) &= S_1(I_1, I_2) \left(\sum_1^{\infty} a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k \right) \\ &= \sum_1^{\infty} h_k m(b_k, a_k) \\ &= \sum_1^{\infty} \pi(m(b_k, a_k))(h_k) \\ &= \sum_1^{\infty} T_F(b_k, a_k)(h_k) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_1^{\infty} F(a_{k \circ_2} h_{k \circ_1} b_k) \\
&= F\left(\sum_1^{\infty} a_{k \circ_2} h_{k \circ_1} b_k\right) \\
&= F(h),
\end{aligned}$$

and so $\sigma(m)=F$. Hence σ is an onto mapping.

5. Let us suppose that there is a two-sided bounded approximate identity $\{e_\alpha\}$ in A . It will be shown that the Banach space $QM(A)$ in this case is closely related to B^* .

Theorem 5.1. *Let A be a Banach algebra with two-sided bounded approximate identity $\{e_\alpha\}$ bounded by one, then B_0^* is isometrically isomorphic to B^* .*

Proof. Let $F \in B^*$. Since $(B, \|\cdot\|)$ is dense in $(B_0, \|\cdot\|)$, F can be extended to \hat{F} on $(B_0, \|\cdot\|)$ and it is easy to see that $\|\hat{F}\|' \leq \|F\|$.

Conversely if $F \in B_0^*$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
|F(e_{\alpha \circ_2} h_{\circ_1} e_\alpha)| &\leq \|F\|' \|e_{\alpha \circ_2} h_{\circ_1} e_\alpha\|' \\
&\leq \|F\|' \|e_\alpha\| \|h\| \|e_\alpha\| \\
&= \|F\|' \|h\| \quad (h \in A^*). \quad \dots\dots\dots (I)
\end{aligned}$$

Define $\psi: A \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $\psi(a, b) = F(a_{\circ_2} \phi_{\circ_1} b)$ for fixed $\phi \in A^*$. Since $|\psi(a, b)| \leq \|F\| \|a\| \|b\| \|\phi\|$ for all $a, b \in A$, ψ is jointly continuous. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\alpha} F(e_{\alpha \circ_2} [a_{\circ_2} \phi_{\circ_1} b]_{\circ_1} e_\alpha) &= \lim_{\alpha} F(e_\alpha a_{\circ_2} \phi_{\circ_1} b e_\alpha) \\
&= \lim_{\alpha} \psi(e_\alpha a, b e_\alpha) = \psi(a, b) \\
&= F(a_{\circ_2} \phi_{\circ_1} b)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and $\phi \in A^*$. Thus $\lim_{\alpha} F(e_{\alpha \circ_2} h_{\circ_1} e_\alpha) = F(h)$ for every $h \in B$. Moreover, taking into account (I), we have

$$|F(h)| \leq \|F\|' \|h\|, \quad h \in B, \text{ i.e. } \|F\|_B \leq \|F\|' < \infty$$

implying that $F \in B^*$ and $\|F|_B\| \leq \|F\|'$. Hence B_0^* is isometrically isomorphic to B^* .

Corollary 5.1. *Let A be a Banach algebra with two-sided bounded approximate identity bounded by one, then there exists an isometric isomorphism of $QM(A)$ onto the submodule*

$$E = \{F|_B \in B^* : F \in B_0^* \text{ and } \rho_1(a)_{\circ_1} F_{\circ_2} \rho_2(b) \in \rho(A) \text{ for all } a, b \in A\}$$

of B^* .

Proof. Proof follows from Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 5.1.

Corollary 5.2. *Let A be a B^* -algebra. Then there exists an isometric isomorphism of $QM(A)$ onto the submodule*

$$E = \{F \in A^{**} : \pi(a) \circ_1 F \circ_2 \pi(b) \in \pi(A) \text{ for all } a, b \in A\}$$

of A^{**} .

Proof. Since A is a B^* -algebra, $A^* = B$ [13]. Now rest follows from Corollary 5.1.

Corollary 5.3. *Let A be a dual B^* -algebra. Then $QM(A)$ is isometrically isomorphic to A^{**} .*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.6 and Corollary 5.2.

Example 1. Let $A = LC(H)$, the algebra of compact operators. Then $QM(A) \approx L(H)$, the algebra of all bounded operators, where $[LC(H)]^{**} = L(H)$ [11].

Let G be an arbitrary locally compact topological group, abelian or non-abelian, with left Haar measure m . For $p \in [1, \infty)$, we let, as is customary, $L_p(G)$ be the Banach space of m -measurable functions on G whose p^{th} powers are absolutely integrable. Now $[L_1(G)]^* = L_\infty(G)$, the space of measurable essentially bounded functions on G . Also for $p \in (1, \infty)$, $[L_p(G)]^* = L_q(G)$, where $1/p + 1/q = 1$.

Example 2. Let G be a compact topological group. Then $L_p(G)$ is a dual Banach algebra [9]. For $p > 1$, $L_p(G) \subset L_1(G)$.

Consider the special Hilbert space $L_2(G)$. There exists a one-to-one left regular $*$ -representation of $L_1(G)$ on $L_2(G)$ [9]. The restriction of the left regular $*$ -representation to $L_p(G)$ is a one-to-one left regular $*$ -representation and so $L_p(G)$ is an A^* -algebra. Thus $L_p(G)$ is a dual A^* -algebra. By Theorem 4.3 $QM(L_p(G))$ can be embedded isometrically isomorphically into B_0^* .

Let us now identify the space B_0 . Let $f \in L_p(G)$ and $F \in [L_p(G)]^*$. Then for $h \in L_p(G)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [f \circ_2 F](h) &= F(h * f) \\ &= \int_G F(t)(h * f)(t) dt \\ &= \int_G F(t) \left[\int_G h(y) f(y^{-1}t) dy \right] dt \\ &= \int_G h(y) \left[\int_G F(t) f(y^{-1}t) dt \right] dy, \end{aligned}$$

so that for $y \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} [f \circ_2 F](y) &= \int_G F(t) f(y^{-1}t) dt \\ &= \int_G F(t) \check{f}(t^{-1}y) dt \\ &= (F * f)(y), \end{aligned}$$

where $\check{f}(t) = f(t^{-1})$. We then conclude that $f \circ_2 F = F * \check{f}$. Also

$$\begin{aligned} (F \circ_1 f)(h) &= F(f * h) \\ &= \int_G F(t) \left[\int_G f(s) h(s^{-1}t) ds \right] dt \\ &= \int_G h(t) \left[\int_G f(s) F(st) ds \right] dt, \end{aligned}$$

so that for $t \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} (F \circ_1 f)(t) &= \int_G f(s) F(st) ds \\ &= \int_G f(s^{-1}) F(s^{-1}t) ds \\ &= (\check{f} * F)(t). \end{aligned}$$

We then conclude that $F \circ_1 f = \check{f} * F$. Therefore for $a_k, b_k \in L_p(G)$ and $h_k \in [L_p(G)]^* = L_q(G)$,

$$a_k \circ_2 h_k \circ_1 b_k = a_k \circ_2 (\check{b}_k * h_k) = (\check{b}_k * h_k) * \check{a}_k = \check{b}_k * h_k * \check{a}_k.$$

Also $a_k \in L_p(G) \Leftrightarrow \check{a}_k \in L_p(G)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} C_p &= \{h = \sum_1^\infty a_k * h_k * b_k : \sum_1^\infty \|a_k\| \|h_k\| \|b_k\| < \infty \\ &\text{for } a_k, b_k \in L_p(G) \text{ and } h_k \in L_q(G)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $C_p = B_0$. Hence $QM(L_p(G))$ can be embedded isometrically isomorphically into $(C_p)^*$.

Remark. The above result is similar to the one proved by Figa-Talamanca for multipliers.

Example 3. Let G be a locally compact abelian topological group. Then $L_1(G)$ is a Banach algebra with two-sided bounded approximate identity. By Theorem 5.1, $QM(L_1(G))$ can be embedded isometrically isomorphically into B^* . Let us now identify B . For $f \in L_1(G)$ and $F \in [L_1(G)]^*$, as in Example 2, $f \circ_2 F = F * \check{f}$, and $F \circ_1 f = \check{f} * F$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} L_\infty(G) \circ_1 L_1(G) &= [L_1(G)]^* * L_\infty(G) \\ &= L_1(G) * L_\infty(G) = C_u(G), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_u(G)$ is the space of uniformly continuous, bounded complex-valued functions on G . And

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(G) \circ_2 C_u(G) &= C_u(G) * [L_1(G)]^\sim \\ &= C_u(G) * L_1(G) = C_u(G). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $B = L_1(G) \circ_2 L_\infty(G) \circ_1 L_1(G) = C_u(G)$. Therefore if G is a compact abelian topological group, then $QM(L_1(G))$ can be embedded isometrically isomorphically onto $M(G)$, the measure algebra of G , with the help of Theorem 4.5 and the fact that $(C(G))^* \cong M(G) \cong M(L_1(G))$, the multiplier algebra of $L_1(G)$ (cf. [4, p. 9]).

Remark 5.1. If a Banach algebra A satisfies the conditions (α) and (β) , then A necessarily satisfies the condition (γ) : $(\alpha) + (\beta) \Rightarrow (\gamma)$.

Proof. Suppose that A satisfies (α) and (β) and let $x \in A$ such that $Ax = (0)$. Then $f(axb) = 0$ for all $a, b \in A$ and $f \in A^*$. Therefore $(xb) \circ_2 f = 0$, so that by (β) $f(xb) = 0$ for all $b \in A$ and $f \in A^*$. Hence $f \circ_1 x = 0$, so that by (α) $f(x) = 0$ for all $f \in A^*$, that is $x = 0$. Then A satisfies (γ) .

Remark 5.2. If a Banach algebra A satisfies the conditions (α) and (β) , then both definitions of quasi-multipliers on A given by (1) and (2) are equivalent.

Proof. In fact, let $m: A \times A \rightarrow A$ be a continuous bilinear mapping. Suppose that A satisfies (α) and (β) and m has the property (1). Given $a, b, c, d, x \in A$, we have

$$m(ab, cd)x = am(b, c)dx = m(ab, cd)x = am(b, cd)x.$$

Then $[m(ab, cd) - am(b, cd)]A = (0)$, so that $m(ab, cd) = am(b, cd)$ because A satisfies (γ) from Remark 5.1. This means that $m(ab, y) = am(b, y)$ for all $a, b \in A$ and $y \in A^2$. Note also that the linear hull of A^2 is dense in A from Lemma 4.3. Therefore $m(ab, c) = am(b, c)$ for all $a, b, c \in A$ from the continuity of m . Similarly we see that $m(a, bc) = m(a, b)c$ for all $a, b, c \in A$. Then m has the property (2). It is obvious that $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$.

References

- [1] R.F. Arens: *The adjoint of a bilinear operation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 2 (1951), 839-848.
- [2] S.L. Gulick, T.S. Liu, A.C.M. Van Rooij: *Group algebra Modules I*, Cand. J. Math. 19 (1967), 135-150.
- [3] E. Hewitt and K.A. Ross: *Abstract Harmonic Analysis I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Göttingen, Heidelberg, 1963.
- [4] R. Larsen: *The Multiplier Problem*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, Verlag Berlin, 1969.
- [5] L. Máté: *Embedding Multiplier Operators of a Banach algebra B into its second conjugate space B^{**}* , Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 13

- (1965), 809-812.
- [6] L. Máté: *The Arens product and multiplier operators*, *Studia Math.* **28** (1966/67), 227-234.
 - [7] Kelly Mckennon: *Quasi-multipliers*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **233** (1977), 105-123.
 - [8] T. Ogasawara and K. Yoshinaga: *Weakly completely continuous Banach *-algebras*, *J. Sci. Hiroshima Univ. Ser. A* **18** (1954), 15-36.
 - [9] C.E. Rickart: *General Theory of Banach Algebras*, University series in Higher Math., Van Nostrand Princeton, 1960.
 - [10] H. Reiter: *Classical Harmonic Analysis and locally compact groups*, Oxford University Press, 1968.
 - [11] R. Schatten: *Norm ideales of completely continuous operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
 - [12] B.J. Tomiuk: *Multiplier and duality in A^* -algebras*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **50** (1975), 281-288.
 - [13] D.C. Taylor: *The strict topology for double centralizers algebras*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **150** (1970), 633-643.
 - [14] R. Vasudevan and Satya Goel: *Embedding of Quasi-multipliers of a Banach algebra in its second dual*, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **95** (1984), 457-466.
 - [15] P.K. Wong: *Modular Annihilator A^* -algebras*, *Pacific. J. Math.* **37** (1971), 825-834.

Department of Mathematics,
University of Delhi,
Delhi-110007,
INDIA.

and

Department of Mathematics
Ibaraki University
2-1-1 Bunkyo, Mito
310 Japan.