

WEAK CONVERGENCE THEOREM FOR FUNCTIONALS OF SUMS OF REVERSED MARTINGALE ARRAYS

By

Z. RYCHLIK and I. SZYSZKOWSKI

(Received February 22, 1984)

1. Introduction.

A reversed martingale (RMG) $\{(S_n, \mathcal{F}_n), n \geq 1\}$ is comprised of a sequence of random variables $\{S_n, n \geq 1\}$, defined on a fixed probability space (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) and a sequence of σ -fields $\{\mathcal{F}_n, n \geq 1\}$ satisfying

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{F}_{n+1} \subset \mathcal{F}_n, \quad n \geq 1,$$

(2) S_n is a random variable measurable with respect to \mathcal{F}_n and, for every $n \geq 1$, $E|S_n| < \infty$,

$$(3) \quad E(S_n | \mathcal{F}_{n+1}) = S_{n+1} \quad \text{a.s., for every } n \geq 1,$$

where "a.s." means "almost surely".

For any RMG $\{(S_n, \mathcal{F}_n), n \geq 1\}$, S_n converges a.s. and in mean of order one to a random variable S_∞ which is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$. In fact

$$(4) \quad S_\infty = E(S_n | \mathcal{F}_\infty)$$

and

$$ES_n = ES_{n+1} = ES_\infty.$$

Let us put

$$X_n = S_n - S_{n+1}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Then we may write

$$(5) \quad S_n - S_\infty = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} X_k.$$

As a result of representation (5), all of the results in this paper will be formulated for infinite sums of random variables.

Let $\{X_{nk}, k \geq n, n \geq 1\}$ be an array of random variables and let $\{\mathcal{F}_{nk}, k \geq n, n \geq 1\}$ be an array of σ -fields. Assume that X_{nk} is \mathcal{F}_{nk} measurable and $\mathcal{F}_{n, k+1} \subset \mathcal{F}_{nk}$ for all $k \geq n \geq 1$ and write

$$S_{nk} = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} X_{nj}, \quad S_n = S_{nn}.$$

Furthermore, we also assume that $E(X_{nk} | \mathcal{F}_{n,k+1}) = 0$ for all n and k . Thus, for each $n \geq 1$, $\{(S_{nk}, \mathcal{F}_{nk}), k \geq n\}$ is a reversed martingale.

If the second moments of the S_{nk} are all finite (iff $ES_{n1}^2 < \infty$, $n \geq 1$) we may define for all $k \geq n \geq 1$

$$\sigma_{nk}^2 = E(X_{nk}^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n,k+1}), \quad V_{nk}^2 = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \sigma_{nj}^2, \quad s_{nk}^2 = EV_{nk}^2, \quad V_{nn}^2 = V_n^2.$$

Further, for all $k \geq n \geq 1$, we have

$$s_{nk}^2 = EV_{nk}^2 = E \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} E(X_{nj}^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n,j+1}) = \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} EX_{nj}^2.$$

Let us observe that without loss of generality we may and do assume that for every $n=1$ $ES_{nn}^2 = 1$.

Let F be the space of functions $f(s, x)$ which are defined and have continuous first partial derivatives on $[0, 1] \times (-\infty, \infty)$. We assume that there exist positive constants Ω and α such that, for every $f \in F$,

$$|Df(t, x)| \leq \Omega(1 + |x|^\alpha), \quad (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times R,$$

where D denotes either the identity operator or a first partial derivative. Thus, if $f \in F$ and $f(s, x) = 0$ for every $s \in [0, 1]$ and $|x| > C$ for some $C > 0$, then

$$|f(s, x) - f(s_1, x_1)| \leq K_C(|s - s_1| + |x - x_1|),$$

where K_C is an absolute positive constant which depends only on C .

Assume that $f, f_n \in F$, $n \geq 1$, and define

$$(6) \quad \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} f_n(s_{nk}^2, S_{nk}) X_{n,k-1} = S(n).$$

The results which we shall give, correspond to ones presented in [4], [5] and [2] for (ordinary) martingales. That is, we are interested in the conditions under which $S(n)$ converges weakly to the following stochastic integral

$$(7) \quad \int_0^1 f(t, W(t)) dW(t),$$

where $\{W(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ is a standard Wiener process and the integral in (7) is taken in the L^2 sense.

2. Limit theorems.

The following limit theorem will be proven in Section 4. We shall adopt the notations of Section 1.

Theorem 1. *Let, for each $n \geq 1$, $\{(S_{nk}, \mathcal{F}_{nk}), k \geq n\}$ be a RMG with $ES_{nn}^2 = 1$.*

Assume that

$$(8) \quad V_n^2 \xrightarrow{P} 1$$

and

$$(9) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} EX_{n, n+k}^2 I(|X_{n, n+k}| \geq Ng_n(k)) = 0$$

for some double array of nonnegative numbers $\{g_n(k), k \geq 0, n \geq 1\}$ such that $\sup_{k \geq 0} g_n(k) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$(10) \quad \sup_n \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_n^2(k) < \infty.$$

If $f, f_n \in F, n \geq 1$, are functions such that for each $s \in [0, 1]$

$$(11) \quad Df_n(s, x) \longrightarrow Df(s, x) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly in x on every finite interval, where D denotes either the identity operator or a first partial derivative, then

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} f_n(s_{nk}^2, S_{nk}) X_{n, k-1} \xrightarrow{D} \int_0^1 f(t, W(t)) dW(t).$$

Here, and in what follows, we use \xrightarrow{P} "for converges in probability to" and \xrightarrow{D} "for converges in distribution to". Similarly " $\xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}}$ " means "converges almost surely to".

Let $\{(S_n, \mathcal{F}_n), n \geq 1\}$ be a RMG with $ES_1^2 < \infty$, which converges a. s. to S_∞ . Then we may define, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$\sigma_n^2 = E(X_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n+1}), \quad V_n^2 = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \sigma_i^2$$

and $s_n^2 = EV_n^2$ where $X_n = S_n - S_{n+1}$. Furthermore $E(S_n - S_\infty)^2 = EV_n^2 = s_n^2, n \geq 1$.

From Theorem 1 we immediately obtain the following.

Theorem 2. Let $\{(S_n, \mathcal{F}_n), n \geq 1\}$ be a RMG with $ES_1^2 < \infty$, which converges a. s. to $S_\infty = 0$, and suppose that $s_n^{-2} V_n^2 \xrightarrow{P} 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n^{-2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} EX_{n+k}^2 I(|X_{n+k}| \geq N s_n g_n(k)) = 0$$

where $\{g_n(k), k \geq 0\}$ is a double array of nonnegative numbers such that $\sup_{n \geq 1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_n^2(k) < \infty$, and $\sup_k g_n(k) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

If (11) of Theorem 1 holds, then

$$s_n^{-1} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} f_n(s_k^2/s_n^2, S_k/s_n) X_{k-1} \xrightarrow{D} \int_0^1 f(t, W(t)) dW(t)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

As an application of this let $Y_j, j \geq 0$, be independent and identically distributed random variables with mean zero and variance one. Let $\{g(k), k \geq 0\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g^2(k) < \infty$ and $\sup_k g(k+n)/s_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $s_n^2 = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} g^2(k)$. Let $X_{n,k} = g(k)Y_k/s_n$, $\mathcal{F}_{n,k} = \sigma\{Y_k, Y_{k+1}, \dots\}$, $k \geq 0$, $n \geq 1$. Then it is clear that, for every $n \geq 1$, $\{(\sum_{k=j}^{\infty} X_{n,k}, \mathcal{F}_{n,j}), j \geq n\}$ is a RMG and $s_{nn}^2 = V_n^2 = 1$. Furthermore, putting $g_n(k) = g(n+k)/s_n$, $k \geq 0$, $n \geq 1$, we get

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} EX_{n,n+k}^2 I(|X_{n,n+k}| \geq Ng_n(k)) = EY_1^2 I(|Y_1| \geq N),$$

so that the conditions of Theorem 1 hold. Thus, under the condition (11), Theorem 1 yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_n(s_{n,n+k}^2, S_{n,n+k}) X_{n,n+k-1} \\ &= s_n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_n(s_{n,n+k}^2/s_n^2, s_n^{-1} \sum_{i=n+k}^{\infty} g(i)Y_i) g(n+k-1) Y_{n+k-1} \\ & \xrightarrow{D} \int_0^1 f(t, W(t)) dW(t) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

3. Auxiliary lemmas

In this Section we state and prove some lemmas which are needed for the proof of Theorem 1. All lemmas are proved under the same assumptions on the RMG $\{(S_{n,k}, \mathcal{F}_{n,k}), k \geq n\}$, given in Theorem 1, therefore we do not repeat them explicitly in the formulations of lemmas.

Let, for every $t \in (0, 1]$, $m(t) = m_n(t) = \min\{i \geq n : s_{ni}^2 \leq t\}$, and for every function $f \in F$

$$(12) \quad f^C(s, x) = f(s, x) I([0, 1] \times [-C, C])(s, x),$$

where C is a positive constant and $I(A \times B)(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the indicator function of the set $A \times B$.

Lemma 1. *Let $\{f_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of functions such that $f_n \in F$, $n \geq 1$, and let $0 = t_b < t_{b-1} < \dots < t_1 < t_0 = 1$ be a partition of the interval $[0, 1]$. Assume that for each n a RMG $\{(S_{n,k}, \mathcal{F}_{n,k}), k \geq n\}$ satisfies the assumption of Theorem 1. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and each $C > 0$*

$$(13) \quad \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_1(\varepsilon, \gamma, n, C) = 0,$$

where $\gamma = \max_{1 \leq i \leq b} (t_{i-1} - t_i)$ and

$$P_1(\varepsilon, \gamma, n, C) = P\left(\left|\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} f_n^C(s_{ni}^2, S_{ni})X_{n, i-1} - \sum_{i=1}^b f_n^C(t_i, S_{n, m(t_i)})(S_{n, m(t_{i-1})} - S_{n, m(t_i)})\right| > \varepsilon\right).$$

Proof. For a given $N > 0$ and every $i, i \geq 0$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} X'_{n, n+i} &= X_{n, n+i} I(|X_{n, n+i}| \leq Ng_n(i)), \\ Y_{n, n+i} &= X'_{n, n+i} - E(X'_{n, n+i} | \mathcal{F}_{n, n+i+1}), \\ Z_{n, n+i} &= X_{n, n+i} - Y_{n, n+i} \\ &= X_{n, n+i} - X'_{n, n+i} - E([X_{n, n+i} - X'_{n, n+i}] | \mathcal{F}_{n, n+i+1}), \\ W_{ij}^{(n)} &= f_n^C(s_{ni}^2, S_{ni}) - f_n^C(t_j, S_{n, m(t_j)}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\{g_n(i), i \geq 0, n \geq 1\}$ is a double array of nonnegative numbers satisfying (9) and (10), and $S_{n, m(0)} = 0, n \geq 1$.

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \quad P_1(\varepsilon, \gamma, n, C) &\leq P\left(\left|\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} f_n^C(s_{ni}^2, S_{ni})Y_{n, i-1} - \sum_{j=1}^b f_n^C(t_j, S_{n, m(t_j)})\left(\sum_{i=m(t_{j-1})}^{m(t_j)-1} Y_{ni}\right)\right| \geq \varepsilon/2\right) \\ &\quad + P\left(\left|\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} f_n^C(s_{ni}^2, S_{ni})Z_{n, i-1} - \sum_{j=1}^b f_n^C(t_j, S_{n, m(t_j)})\left(\sum_{i=m(t_{j-1})}^{m(t_j)-1} Z_{ni}\right)\right| \geq \varepsilon/2\right) \\ &= I_1(\varepsilon, \gamma, n, C) + I_2(\varepsilon, \gamma, n, C). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for every i, i' such that $m(t_{j-1}) \leq i < m(t_j), m(t_{j'-1}) \leq i' < m(t_{j'}), j < j', j \geq 1$, we have

$$EW_{ij}^{(n)} Y_{n, i-1} W_{i'j'}^{(n)} Y_{n, i'-1} = E(W_{ij}^{(n)} W_{i'j'}^{(n)} Y_{n, i-1} E[Y_{n, i'-1} | \mathcal{F}_{n, i'}]) = 0,$$

and

$$EW_{ij}^{(n)} Z_{n, i-1} W_{i'j'}^{(n)} Z_{n, i'-1} = E(W_{ij}^{(n)} Z_{n, i-1} W_{i'j'}^{(n)} E[Z_{n, i'-1} | \mathcal{F}_{n, i'}]) = 0.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &E\left[\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} f_n^C(s_{ni}^2, S_{ni})Y_{n, i-1} - \sum_{j=1}^b f_n^C(t_j, S_{n, m(t_j)})\sum_{i=m(t_{j-1})}^{m(t_j)-1} Y_{n, i-1}\right]^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=m(t_{j-1})}^{m(t_j)-1} E(W_{i+1, j}^{(n)} Y_{ni})^2 = \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=m(t_{j-1})}^{m(t_j)-1} E(W_{i+1, j}^{(n)} Y_{ni})^2 = K \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
K &\leq C_1 N^2 \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=m(t_{j-1})}^{m(t_j)-1} g_n^2(i) E(W_{i+1,j}^{(n)})^2 \\
&\leq C_1 N^2 \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=m(t_{j-1})}^{m(t_j)-1} g_n^2(i) \{ |s_{n,i+1}^2 - t_j|^2 + E(S_{n,i+1} - S_{n,m(t_j)})^2 \} \\
&\leq 2C_1 N^2 \sum_{j=1}^b (t_{j-1} - t_j + \sup_{k \geq 0} EX_{n,n+k}^2) \sum_{i=m(t_{j-1})}^{m(t_j)-1} g_n^2(i) \\
&\leq 2C_1 N^2 (\gamma + \sup_{k \geq 0} EX_{n,n+k}^2) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} g_n^2(i)
\end{aligned}$$

where C_1 is an absolute constant. Thus by (9) and (10)

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_1(\varepsilon, \gamma, n, C) = 0$$

On the other hand

$$I_2(\varepsilon, \gamma, n, C) \leq 4\varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=m(t_{j-1})}^{m(t_j)-1} E(W_{i+1,j}^{(n)} Z_{ni})^2$$

and, by (11) and (12), there exists a positive constant C_2 such that $|W_{ij}^{(n)}| \leq C_2$, so that

$$I_2(\varepsilon, \gamma, n, C) \leq 4\varepsilon^{-2} C_2^2 \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} EX_{nk}^2 I(|X_{nk}| \geq Ng_n(k-n)).$$

Consequently, in view of (9), we get

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_2(\varepsilon, \gamma, n, C) = 0$$

what ends the proof of Lemma 1.

Lemma 2. *Let $f, f_n, n \geq 1$, be functions satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 1. If the assumptions of Lemma 1 are satisfied, then for every $C > 0$*

$$(15) \quad \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_2(\varepsilon, \gamma, n, C) = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
P_2(\varepsilon, \gamma, n, C) &= P\left(\left|\sum_{j=1}^b \{f_n^C(t_j, S_{n,m(t_j)})\right.\right. \\
&\quad \left.\left. - f^C(t_j, S_{n,m(t_j)})\} (S_{n,m(t_{j-1})} - S_{n,m(t_j)})\right| > \varepsilon\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us put

$$U_{nj}(x) = f_n^C(t_j, x) - f^C(t_j, x), \quad 0 \leq j \leq b.$$

Note that

$$E\{(S_{n,m(t_{j-1})} - S_{n,m(t_j)})^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,m(t_j)}\} = \sum_{i=m(t_{j-1})}^{m(t_j)-1} E(X_{ni}^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{n,m(t_j)}).$$

Thus

$$E\left[\sum_{j=1}^b \{f_n^c(t_j, S_{n, m(t_j)}) - f^c(t_j, S_{n, m(t_j)})\} (S_{n, m(t_{j-1})} - S_{n, m(t_j)})\right]^2$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^b E\{U_{nj}^2(S_{n, m(t_j)}) \sum_{i=m(t_{j-1})}^{m(t_j)-1} E(X_{ni}^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n, m(t_j)})\}.$$

Let $b_n = \max_{1 \leq j \leq b} \sup_x U_{nj}^2(x)$. Then by (11) $b_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently

$$\sum_{j=1}^b E\{U_{nj}^2(S_{n, m(t_j)}) \sum_{i=m(t_{j-1})}^{m(t_j)-1} E(X_{ni}^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n, m(t_j)})\}$$

$$\leq b_n \sum_{j=1}^b \sum_{i=m(t_{j-1})}^{m(t_j)-1} EX_{ni}^2 = b_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

so that the Chebyshev-type inequality ends the proof of Lemma 2.

Lemma 3. Let, for each $n \geq 1$, $\{(S_{nk}, \mathcal{F}_{nk}), k \geq n\}$ be a RMG with $ES_{nn}^2 = 1$. Assume that (8) holds and for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(16) \quad \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} E\{X_{nk}^2 I(|X_{nk}| > \varepsilon) | \mathcal{F}_{n, k+1}\} \xrightarrow{P} 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

If $f \in F$, then for every finite partition $0 = t_b < t_{b-1} < \dots < t_0 = 1$ of the interval $[0, 1]$ and any given $C > 0$

$$(17) \quad \sum_{j=1}^b f^c(t_j, S_{n, m(t_j)}) (S_{n, m(t_{j-1})} - S_{n, m(t_j)})$$

$$\xrightarrow{d} \sum_{j=1}^b f^c(t_j, W(t_j)) (W(t_{j-1}) - W(t_j)) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\{W(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ is a standard Wiener process on $D[0, 1]$.

Proof. By the assumptions of Lemma 3 and Theorem 2 [3] $W_n \rightarrow W$ in $D[0, 1]$ where W_n is a sequence of random elements defined as follows

$$W_n(0) = 0, \quad W_n(1) = S_{nn}, \quad W_n(t) = S_{n, k+1}, \quad s_{n, k+1}^2 \leq t < s_{n, k}^2,$$

$k \geq n$. But f^c is a continuous and bounded function so that Lemma 3 follows.

For the sake of completeness we give the following

Lemma 4. If $f \in F$, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and any given $C > 0$

$$(18) \quad P\left(\left|\sum_{j=1}^b f^c(t_j, W(t_j)) (W(t_{j-1}) - W(t_j)) - \int_0^1 f^c(t, W(t)) dW(t)\right| > \varepsilon\right) \rightarrow 0$$

as $\gamma = \max_{1 \leq i \leq b} (t_{i-1} - t_i) \rightarrow 0$, where $0 = t_b < t_{b-1} < \dots < t_1 = 0$ is a partition of the interval $[0, 1]$.

Proof. See Lemma 4 [4].

4. Proof of Theorem 1.

Let $f, f_n \in F$, $n \geq 1$, be functions satisfying (11). Let us take an arbitrary $C > 0$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} & P\left(\left|\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} f_n(s_{nk}^2, S_{nk})X_{n,k-1} - \int_0^1 f(t, W(t))dW(t)\right| > \varepsilon\right) \\ & \leq P\left(\left|\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} f_n^C(s_{nk}^2, S_{nk})X_{n,k-1} - \int_0^1 f^C(t, W(t))dW(t)\right| > \varepsilon\right) \\ & \quad + P(\sup_{k \geq n} |S_{nk}| > C) + P(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |W(t)| > C). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by Theorem 2 of [3] and results given in [1] (cf. Sec's 10, 11 and Theorem 5.1) we have

$$\lim_{C \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\sup_{k \geq n} |S_{nk}| > C) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{C \rightarrow \infty} P(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |W(t)| > C) = 0.$$

Consequently Theorem 1 follows (13), (15), (17), (18) and (19).

References

- [1] P. Billingsley: *Convergence of probability measures*, Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [2] Z. Rychlik and I. Szyszkowski, *Weak convergence of functionals of sums for martingale differences*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 31 (1983), 407-413.
- [3] D.J. Scott: *An invariance principle for reversed martingales*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verm. Geb., 20(1971), 9-27.
- [4] K. Yoshihara: *A weak convergence theorem for functionals of sums of martingale differences*, Yokohama Math. J., 26 (1978), 101-107.
- [5] K. Yoshihara: *A weak convergence theorem for functionals of sums of independent random variables*, Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 12(1982), 293-299.

Institute of Mathematics
Maria Curie-Skłodowska University
Pl. M. Curie-Skłodowskiej 1
20-031 Lublin, Poland