

A GENERALIZED BLACKWELL RENEWAL THEOREM

BY

MAKOTO MAEJIMA AND EDWARD OMEY

(Received January 14, 1984)

1. Introduction and results

Let F be a nonlattice distribution function with finite and positive mean $\mu = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x dF(x)$. For sequences $\{a(n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive numbers we define the generalized renewal measure

$$V(I) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) F^{*n}(I),$$

where I is a bounded interval on \mathbb{R} and $F^{*n}(I) = F^{*n}(b) - F^{*n}(a)$ for $I = (a, b]$, $F^{*n}(x)$ being the n -th convolution of F . In this paper, we intend to give some asymptotic results of Blackwell type, i.e., we shall examine the asymptotic behaviour of

$$(1.1) \quad V((t, t+h]) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) F^{*n}((t, t+h]), \quad h > 0,$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us recall the following renewal theorem by Kalma [5].

Theorem A. ([5] Theorems 5.17 and 5.18).

(i) Let $a(n) = n^\theta$, $\theta > 0$, in (1.1), and suppose that when θ is an integer

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 |x|^{\theta+1} dF(x) < \infty$$

and when θ is not an integer

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{-\infty}^0 |x|^{[\theta]+2} dF(x) < \infty.$$

Then

$$(1.3) \quad V((t, t+h]) \sim \frac{h}{\mu^{\theta+1}} t^\theta \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

(ii) Let $a(n) = n^\theta$, $\theta < 0$, and suppose that

$$(1.4) \quad \int_0^{\infty} x^{|\theta|} dF(x) < \infty .$$

Then (1.3) holds.

In this paper, we shall generalize Theorem A (i) to the case where $\{a(n)\}$ is regularly varying at infinity, imposing similar conditions. We also improve and extend Theorem A (ii).

Theorem 1. Suppose $a(x) = x^{\theta}L(x)$, $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$, where $L(x)$ is a slowly varying function.

(i) Case $\theta > -1$. If

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{-\infty}^0 |x|^{[\theta]+2} dF(x) < \infty ,$$

then

$$(1.5) \quad V((t, t+h]) \sim \frac{h}{\mu^{\theta+1}} a(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty .$$

(ii) Case $\theta = -1$. Suppose that

$$(1.6) \quad \int_{-\infty}^0 |x|^2 dF(x) < \infty$$

and further

$$(a) \quad L \text{ is monotone decreasing and } \int_0^{\infty} \frac{x}{L(x)} dF(x) < \infty$$

or

$$(b) \quad x^{1+\delta}(1-F(x)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{for some } \delta > 0 .$$

Then we have (1.5).

(iii) Case $\theta < -1$, integer. Suppose L is constant or (1.6) holds, and further suppose

$$(1.7) \quad 1-F(x) = o(a(x)) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty .$$

Then have (1.5).

(iv) Case $\theta < -1$, non-integer. If (1.7) holds, then (1.5) holds.

Remarks. (1) In (i), if $-1 < \theta < 0$, then condition (1.2) is automatically satisfied because of the finite mean. Statement (i) means that if θ is non-integer, we can generalize $a(x) = x^{\theta}$ to general slowly varying functions under the same moment condition (1.2)

(2) Condition (1.7) is weaker than

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(x)} dF(x) < \infty,$$

which is corresponding to condition (1.4). Hence if $a(x)=x^\theta$, $\theta < -1$, our statements (iii) and (iv) also relax Kalma's moment condition (1.4) to get his result. If $\theta < -1$ and θ is non-integer, as seen in (iv), we can generalize $a(x)=x^\theta$ to general slowly varying functions under weaker condition (1.7).

(3) For lattice distribution, a similar theorem can be formulated and proved.

Generalized renewal measures $V(I)$ have been studied by many authors (e.g., Embrechts-Omey [2], Greenwood-Omey-Teugels [3], Heyde [4], Kalma [5], Kawata [6] and Smith [7]). Recently in [1] we have proved similar results for the distribution function F concentrated on $[0, \infty)$. Our present Theorem 1 generalizes some parts of the results in [1] to the general case where $F((-\infty, 0)) \geq 0$. The main idea of the proof is quite different.

2. Preliminaries.

Lemma 1. Let $a(x)=x^\theta L(x)$, $\theta < 0$. If

$$1-F(x)=o(a(x)) \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

then for each n ,

$$1-F^{*n}(x)=o(a(x)) \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be independent and identically distributed random variables having common distribution function F . Then for $x > 0$, since

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n \left\{ X_i \leq \frac{x}{n} \right\} \subset \{ S_n \leq x \},$$

where $S_n = X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_n$, we have

$$0 \leq 1 - F^{*n}(x) = P\{S_n > x\} \leq n \left(1 - F\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \right).$$

Hence $1-F(x)=o(a(x))$ implies $1-F^{*n}(x)=o(a(x))$.

Lemma 2. Let $b(x)$ be a regularly varying function at infinity with index θ , $\theta \in \mathbf{R}$ and suppose that a stochastic process $\eta(t)$ converges to c ($0 < c < \infty$) in probability as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\frac{b(\eta(t)t)}{b(t)} \rightarrow c^\theta \text{ in probability as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. We have for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$

$$P \left\{ \left| \frac{b(\eta(t)t)}{b(t)} - c^\theta \right| > \varepsilon \right\} = P \left\{ \left| \frac{b(\eta(t)t)}{b(t)} - c^\theta \right| > \varepsilon, |\eta(t) - c| \leq \delta \right\} \\ + P \left\{ \left| \frac{b(\eta(t)t)}{b(t)} - c^\theta \right| > \varepsilon, |\eta(t) - c| > \delta \right\} = I_1 + I_2,$$

say. Since $\eta(t) \rightarrow c$ in probability, $I_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for any $\delta > 0$.

Next consider I_1 . For fixed $0 < \alpha < \beta < \infty$, we know that

$$\frac{b(yt)}{b(t)} \rightarrow y^\theta$$

uniformly in $y \in [\alpha, \beta]$. Hence, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ and $t_0 = t_0(\delta(\varepsilon), \varepsilon)$ such that

$$|y^\theta - c^\theta| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for } |y - c| \leq \delta$$

and

$$\left| \frac{b(yt)}{b(t)} - y^\theta \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_0.$$

Therefore

$$\left| \frac{b(yt)}{b(t)} - c^\theta \right| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } |y - c| \leq \delta.$$

This argument shows that $I_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

In proving the theorem, we may and do assume that the slowly varying function $L(x)$ in $a(x)$ is bounded on any bounded intervals. The reason is as follows. For any slowly varying function $L(x)$, we can find a slowly varying function $L_1(x)$ such that $L_1(x)$ is bounded on any bounded intervals and $L(x)/L_1(x) \rightarrow 1$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. That is, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(1 - \varepsilon)L_1(n) \leq L(n) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)L_1(n)$$

for all $n \geq n_0(\varepsilon)$, say. Since the first moment of F is finite, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{n_0} n^\theta L(n) F^{*n}((x, x+h]) = o\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{n_0} n^\theta L_1(n) F^{*n}((x, x+h]) = o\left(\frac{1}{x}\right).$$

Therefore in case $\theta > -1$, if statement (1.5) is true for $L_1(n)$, then it is also true

for $L(n)$. Also, in case $\theta \leq -1$, because of assumption (1.7) and Lemma 1,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{n_0} n^\theta L(n) F^{*n}((x, x+h]) = o(a(x))$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{n_0} n^\theta L_1(n) F^{*n}((x, x+h]) = o(a(x)).$$

We have used here that (1.7) is also satisfied in case $\theta = -1$ under condition (a) or (b) in statement (ii). So again, if (1.5) holds for $L_1(n)$, so it does for $L(n)$.

In the following proof, we assume that $L(x)$ is bounded on any bounded intervals.

We also note that the convergence of the series $V(I)$ for bounded intervals I is assured under our moment conditions.

3. Proof of Theorem 1 (i)

Our proof is based on the following result due to Kalma ([5], Theorem 1.15).

Lemma 3. *Let F be nonlattice and $U(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F^{*n}(x)$. For $h > 0$ and $t \geq t_0$, t_0 being some positive number, define a family of integer-valued random variables $\xi(t)$ by*

$$P\{\xi(t) = m\} = \frac{F^{*m}((t, t+h])}{U((t, t+h])}.$$

Then as $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{\xi(t)}{t} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mu} \text{ in probability.}$$

By the definition of $\xi(t)$, we have

$$(3.1) \quad V((t, t+h]) = a(t) E \left[\frac{a(\xi(t))}{a(t)} \right] U((t, t+h]),$$

so that by the ordinary Blackwell renewal theorem for $U(t)$

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a(t)} V((t, t+h]) = \frac{h}{\mu} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E \left[\frac{a(\xi(t))}{a(t)} \right].$$

It follows from Lemmas 2 and 3 that

$$\frac{a(\xi(t))}{a(t)} = \frac{a\left(\frac{\xi(t)}{t} \cdot t\right)}{a(t)} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mu^\theta} \text{ in probability}$$

as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence the proof of the statement will be completed if on the right-hand side of (3.2) we can interchange the expectation and the limit.

Since we have assumed that L is bounded on bounded intervals, for any $\gamma > 0$, $y_0 > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $t_0 = t_0(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\frac{a(yt)}{a(t)} \leq (\varepsilon + y_0^\gamma) y^{\theta-\gamma} \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0, 0 < y \leq y_0$$

and

$$\frac{a(yt)}{a(t)} \leq (\varepsilon + y_0^{-\gamma}) y^{\theta+\gamma} \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0, y \geq y_0,$$

(see Taqqu [8] Lemma 4.1). Therefore we have

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{a(\xi(t))}{a(t)} \leq C \left\{ \left(\frac{\xi(t)}{t} \right)^{\theta+\gamma} + \left(\frac{\xi(t)}{t} \right)^{\theta-\gamma} \right\} \quad \text{for } t \geq t_0.$$

Here and below C denotes some absolute positive constant. Take γ such that $[\theta+\gamma] = [\theta]$ and $\theta-\gamma > -1$ (which is possible since $\theta > -1$).

If we apply Theorem A to case $a(n) = n^{\theta+\gamma}$ or $= n^{\theta-\gamma}$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\theta+\gamma} F^{*n}((t, t+h]) \sim \frac{t^{\theta+\gamma}}{\mu^{\theta+\gamma+1}} h \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\theta-\gamma} F^{*n}((t, t+h]) \sim \frac{t^{\theta-\gamma}}{\mu^{\theta-\gamma+1}} h \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

because of condition (1.2). This together with relation (3.1) and the ordinary Blackwell renewal theorem for U implies

$$(3.4) \quad E \left[\left(\frac{\xi(t)}{t} \right)^{\theta+\gamma} \right] \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mu^{\theta+\gamma}} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad E \left[\left(\frac{\xi(t)}{t} \right)^{\theta-\gamma} \right] \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mu^{\theta-\gamma}} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note that if $0 \leq X_n \leq Y_n$, $X_n \rightarrow X$ and $Y_n \rightarrow Y$ in probability respectively and if $EY_n \rightarrow EY < \infty$, then $EX_n \rightarrow EX$. Hence it follows from (3.3)–(3.5), Lemmas 2 and 3 that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E \left[\frac{a(\xi(t))}{a(t)} \right] = \frac{1}{\mu^\theta}.$$

The proof is thus completed.

4. Proof of Theorem 1 (ii), (iii), (iv)

Let $a(x) = x^\theta L(x)$, where $\theta \leq -1$. We prove the conclusion by induction method with respect to θ similarly to [1].

The following lemma was stated in [1] for F with $F((-\infty, 0)) = 0$, and is similarly proved for general F .

Lemma 4. Let $Q(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x y dF(y)$. Then for $n \geq 1$ and all $h > 0$,

$$tF^{*n}((t, t+h]) \leq nF^{*(n-1)*}Q((t, t+h]) \leq (t+h)F^{*n}((t, t+h]) .$$

We have proved that (1.5) holds for $-1 < \theta \leq 0$, under condition $\int_{-\infty}^0 |x|^2 dF(x) < \infty$ when $\theta = 0$. Let $\beta \leq 0$, and suppose (1.5) holds for $\theta = \beta$:

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^\beta L(n) F^{*n}((t, t+h]) \sim \frac{h}{\mu^{\beta+1}} t^\beta L(t) .$$

Then we shall prove (1.5) holds for $\theta = \beta - 1$:

$$(4.2) \quad G((t, t+h]) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\beta-1} L(n) F^{*n}((t, t+h]) \sim \frac{h}{\mu^\beta} t^{\beta-1} L(t) .$$

By Lemma 4,

$$G((t, t+h]) \leq \frac{1}{t} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^\beta L(n) F^{*(n-1)*}Q((t, t+h])$$

and

$$G((t, t+h]) \geq \frac{1}{t+h} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^\beta L(n) F^{*(n-1)*}Q((t, t+h]) .$$

Let

$$W(I) \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^\beta L(n) F^{*(n-1)}(I) .$$

Then to prove (4.2) it is enough to show that

$$(4.3) \quad W * Q((t, t+h]) \sim \frac{h}{\mu^\beta} t^\beta L(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty .$$

By Lemma 1 and by exactly the same argument as in [1], we get, under the induction hypothesis (4.1) that

$$(4.4) \quad W((t, t+h]) \sim \frac{h}{\mu^{\beta+1}} t^\beta L(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty .$$

First consider case $\beta < 0$. We have

$$(4.5) \quad W * Q((t, t+h]) = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{t/2} + \int_{t/2}^{\infty} \right) (W(t+h-s) - W(t-s)) dQ(s) \\ \equiv I_1 + I_2,$$

say. In I_1 , we have $t-s \geq t/2$ so that by (4.4) the integrand in I_1 is less than, for large x ,

$$C(t-s)^\beta L(t-s) \leq C \left(\frac{t}{2} \right)^\beta L \left(\frac{t}{2} \right) \leq Ct^\beta L(t).$$

Then it follows from Lebesgue's theorem that

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{I_1}{t^\beta L(t)} \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{h}{\mu^{\beta+1}} dQ(s) = \frac{t}{\mu^{\beta+1}} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

In I_2 , we have

$$W(t+h-s) - W(t-s) \leq CU((t-s, t+h-s]) \leq Ch$$

so that

$$I_2 \leq Ch \int_{t/2}^{\infty} dQ(s) = Ch \int_{t/2}^{\infty} s dF(s).$$

Recall that $a(x) = x^{\beta-1}L(x)$, $\beta < 0$, and condition (1.7), then

$$(4.7) \quad \frac{I_2}{t^\beta L(t)} \leq \frac{Ch}{ta(t)} \int_{t/2}^{\infty} s dF(s) \\ = \frac{Ch}{ta(t)} \left\{ \frac{t}{2} \left(1 - F \left(\frac{t}{2} \right) \right) + o \left(\int_{t/2}^{\infty} a(s) ds \right) \right\} \\ \sim \frac{Ch}{ta(t)} \left\{ o(ta(t)) + o \left(\frac{t}{2} a \left(\frac{t}{2} \right) \right) \right\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

From (4.5)–(4.7) we conclude that (4.3) holds.

Next consider case $\beta = 0$. Consider I_1 as in (4.5). Again by (4.4), in I_1 ,

$$\frac{W(t+h-s) - W(t-s)}{L(t-s)}$$

is bounded. Also note that

$$\frac{(t-s)^{-1}L(t-s)}{t^{-1}L(t)}$$

is bounded. Now

$$(4.8) \quad I_1 = \int_{-\infty}^0 + \int_0^{t/2} \equiv I_{11} + I_{12},$$

say. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{I_{11}}{L(t)} &= \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{W(t+h-s) - W(t-s)}{L(t-s)} \cdot \frac{(t-s)^{-1}L(t-s)}{t^{-1}L(t)} \cdot \frac{t-s}{t} dQ(s) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^0 f_i(s) dQ(s), \end{aligned}$$

say. Since

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq f_i(s) &\leq C \frac{t-s}{t} \leq C(1-s) \quad \text{for large } t, \\ \int_{-\infty}^0 (1-s) dQ(s) &< \infty \quad (\text{because of (1.6)}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$f_i(s) \rightarrow h \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

we have

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{I_{11}}{L(t)} \rightarrow h \int_{-\infty}^0 dQ(s).$$

Also in I_{12} , since

$$0 \leq f_i(s) \leq C \frac{t-s}{t} \leq C,$$

we have

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{I_{12}}{L(t)} \rightarrow h \int_0^{\infty} dQ(s).$$

Combining (4.8)-(4.10), we have

$$I_1 \sim h\mu L(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next consider I_2 in (4.5). First suppose (a) in (ii). Then we have

$$\frac{I_2}{L(t)} \leq \frac{Ch}{L(t)} \int_{t/2}^{\infty} s dF(s) \leq Ch \int_{t/2}^{\infty} \frac{s}{L(s)} dF(s) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Next suppose (b) in (ii). We have for fixed large $t_0 > 0$,

$$I_2 = \int_{t/2}^{t-t_0} + \int_{t-t_0}^{\infty} \equiv I_{21} + I_{22},$$

say. As to I_{21} , for large t

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{I_{21}}{L(t)} &\leq C \int_{t/2}^{t-t_0} \frac{L(t-s)}{L(t)} dQ(s) \leq Ct^{\delta/2} \int_{t/2}^{t-t_0} (t-s)^{\delta/2} dQ(s) \\ &\leq Ct^{\delta/2} \int_{t/2}^{t-t_0} dQ(s) \leq Ct^{1+\delta} \left(1 - F\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

As to I_{22} , for large t again

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{I_{22}}{L(t)} &\leq \frac{C}{L(t)} \int_{t-t_0}^t dQ(s) \leq Ct^\delta \int_{t-t_0}^\infty s dF(s) \\ &= Ct^\delta \left\{ (t-t_0)(1-F(t-t_0)) + \int_{t-t_0}^\infty (1-F(s)) ds \right\} \\ &= Ct^\delta \left\{ (t-t_0)(1-F(t-t_0)) + o\left(\int_{t-t_0}^\infty s^{-1-\delta} ds\right) \right\} \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (4.3) for $\beta=0$.

If $\theta < -1$ and is integer, condition (1.7) includes condition (b). So, in statements (iii) and (iv), we do not have to state condition (b) explicitly. The proof of the theorem is thus completed.

Acknowledgement

This paper was written while the first author was visiting the Katholieke Universiteit te Leuven. He would like to thank the Department of Mathematics for its financial support and kind hospitality.

References

- [1] P. Embrechts, M. Maejima and E. Omey, *A renewal theorem of Blackwell type*, Ann. Probability, **12** (1984), 561-570.
- [2] P. Embrechts and E. Omey, *On subordinated distributions and random record processes*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **93** (1983), 339-353.
- [3] P. Greenwood, E. Omey and J. L. Teugels, *Harmonic renewal measures*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete, **59**, (1982), 391-409.
- [4] C. C. Heyde, *Some renewal theorems with applications to a first passage problem*, Ann. Math. Statist., **37** (1966).
- [5] J. M. Kalma, *Generalized renewal measures*, Thesis, Groningen University 1972.
- [6] T. Kawata, *A theorem of renewal type*, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., **13** (1961), 185-194.
- [7] W. L. Smith, *On the elementary renewal theorem for non-identically distributed random variables*, Pacific J. Math., **14** (1964), 673-699.
- [8] M. S. Taqqu, *Convergence of integrated processes of arbitrary Hermite rank*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete, **50** (1979), 53-83.

Department of Mathematics
Keio University
Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku
Yokohama 223, Japan

Economische Hogeschool Sint-Aloysius
Broekstraat 113
1000 Brussel
Belgium