

THE BERRY-ESSEEN THEOREMS FOR U -STATISTICS
GENERATED BY ABSOLUTELY
REGULAR PROCESSES

By

KEN-ICHI YOSHIHARA

(Received November 26, 1983)

1. Introduction

Let $\{\xi_i\}$ be a strictly stationary, absolutely regular process, i.e., the process satisfying the condition

$$(1.1) \quad \beta(n) = E\left\{ \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}_n^\infty} |P(A | M_n^{\infty}) - P(A)| \right\} \downarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

where \mathcal{M}_a^b ($a \leq b$) is the σ -algebra of events generated by ξ_a, \dots, ξ_b .

We denote the distribution function of ξ_i by $F(x)$, $x \in R^p$, the p -dimensional Euclidean space. Consider a functional

$$(1.2) \quad \theta(F) = \int \cdots \int_{R^{dp}} g(x_1, \dots, x_d) dF(x_1) \cdots dF(x_d)$$

defined over $\mathcal{F} = \{F: |\theta(F)| < \infty\}$, where $g(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is symmetric in its d (≥ 1) arguments. As an estimator of $\theta(F)$, we define a U -statistic

$$(1.3) \quad U_n = \binom{n}{d}^{-1} \sum_{\binom{n}{d}} g(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_d}), \quad n \geq d$$

where the summation $\sum_{\binom{n}{d}}$ extends over all possible $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_d \leq n$.

As in [6], for every c ($0 \leq c \leq d$) let

$$(1.4) \quad g_c(x_1, \dots, x_c) = \int \cdots \int_{R^{(d-c)p}} g(x_1, \dots, x_d) dF(x_{c+1}) \cdots dF(x_d)$$

so that $g_0 = \theta(F)$ and $g_d = g$. Let

$$(1.5) \quad \sigma^2 = \sigma^2(F) = \{Eg_1^2(\xi_1) - \theta^2(F)\} + 2 \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \{Eg_1(\xi_1)g_1(\xi_j) - \theta^2(F)\}.$$

In [1] Callaert and Janssen proved that the Berry-Esseen theorem for U -statistics constructed by i.i.d. random variables.

On the other hand, in [6] the author proved, among others, that under some

conditions

$$(1.6) \quad P\left(\frac{n^{1/2}(U_n - \theta(F))}{d\sigma} < z\right) \rightarrow \Phi(z) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

where

$$(1.7) \quad \Phi(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^z e^{-t^2/2} dt.$$

As a continuation of the above result, in this paper we show the Berry-Esseen theorems for U -statistics generated by an absolutely regular processes, i.e., we estimate the convergence rate of the quantities $\{A_n\}$ defined by

$$(1.8) \quad A_n = \sup_z \left| P\left(\frac{n^{1/2}(U_n - \theta(F))}{d\sigma} < z\right) - \Phi(z) \right|$$

using Tikhomirov's method in [4] (Theorems 1-4). Theorems 3 and 4 are generalizations of Callaert-Janssen's result in [1] and Takahata's one in [3], respectively.

2. Conditions and auxiliary results

In what follows, we denote by the letter K , with or without subscript, various absolute constants, and whenever the integrations are over all of the space, we shall agree to omit the region of integration. Further, let $\|\zeta\|_r = \{E|\zeta|^r\}^{1/r}$ if the right-hand side exists for $r (> 1)$.

Let $\{\xi_i\}$ be a strictly stationary, absolutely regular process with mixing coefficient $\beta(n)$. Let

$$(2.1) \quad U_n^{(c)} = \frac{1}{n(n-1) \cdots (n-c+1)} \sum_{(c)}^{(n)} \int \cdots \int g_c(x_1, \dots, x_c) \prod_{j=1}^c d[u(x_j - \xi_{i_j}) - F(x_j)]$$

where $u(v)$ is equal to one when all the p components of v are nonnegative; otherwise $u(v)$ is equal to zero. It is easily obtained that

$$(2.2) \quad U_n = \theta(F) + \sum_{c=1}^d \binom{d}{c} U_n^{(c)}.$$

We consider the following condition;

(C) For any $r (> 2)$ there exists an absolute constant M such that

$$(2.3) \quad \int \cdots \int |g(x_1, \dots, x_d)|^r dF(x_1) \cdots dF(x_d) \leq M$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad E|g(\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_d})|^r \leq M \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_d.$$

Let $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$ be arbitrary integers. For any j ($1 \leq j \leq k-1$) let

$$(2.5) \quad P_j^{(k)}(E^{(j)} \times E^{(k-j)}) = P((\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_j}) \in E^{(j)})P((\xi_{i_{j+1}}, \dots, \xi_{i_k}) \in E^{(k-j)})$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad P_0^{(k)}(E^{(k)}) = P((\xi_{i_1}, \dots, \xi_{i_k}) \in E^{(k)})$$

where $E^{(k)}$ is a Borel set in R^{kp} .

The following lemma was proved in [6].

Lemma A (Lemma in [6]). *Let $\{\xi_i\}$ be an absolutely regular, (not necessarily strictly stationary) sequence with mixing coefficient $\beta(n)$. For any j ($0 \leq j \leq k-1$), let $h(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ be a Borel function such that*

$$(2.7) \quad \int \dots \int |h(x_1, \dots, x_k)|^{1+\delta} dP_j^{(k)} \leq M < \infty$$

for some $\delta > 0$. Then

$$(2.8) \quad \left| \int \dots \int h(x_1, \dots, x_k) dP_0^{(k)} - \int \dots \int h(x_1, \dots, x_k) dP_j^{(k)} \right| \leq 4M^{1/(1+\delta)} \{B(i_{j+1}-i_j)\}^{\delta/(1+\delta)}.$$

Next, for any random vector $(\xi_\alpha, \xi_\beta, \dots, \xi_\gamma)$ and for any Borel set E define

$$(2.9) \quad P_{(\xi_\alpha, \xi_\beta, \dots, \xi_\gamma)}(E) = P((\xi_\alpha, \xi_\beta, \dots, \xi_\gamma) \in E).$$

From Lemma A we obtain the following lemma which plays a fundamental role in this paper.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\{\xi_i\}$ be an absolutely regular, (not necessarily strictly stationary) sequence with mixing coefficient $\beta(n)$. Let $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$ be integers such that $\min_{1 \leq j \leq k} (i_{j+1} - i_j) \geq m$ for some integer m . Put*

$$\zeta_j = (\xi_{i_{j+1}}, \dots, \xi_{i_{j+1}}) \quad (j=1, \dots, k)$$

and

$$z_j = (x_{i_{j+1}}, \dots, x_{i_{j+1}}) \quad (j=1, \dots, k).$$

Furthermore, let $h(z_1, \dots, z_k)$ be a Borel function such that

$$(2.10) \quad \int \dots \int |h(z_1, \dots, z_k)|^{1+\delta} dP_{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_j)} dP_{\zeta_{j+1}} \dots dP_{\zeta_k} \leq M < \infty$$

for some $\delta > 0$, and for any j ($1 \leq j \leq k$). Then

$$(2.11) \quad \left| Eh(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k) - \int \dots \int h(z_1, \dots, z_k) dP_{\zeta_1} \dots dP_{\zeta_k} \right| \leq 4kM^{1/(1+\delta)} \{\beta(m)\}^{\delta/(1+\delta)}.$$

Corollary. If η is $\mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^k$ -measurable and ζ is \mathcal{M}_{k+n}^∞ -measurable ($n \geq 0$), then

$$E|\eta|^r < \infty, \quad E|\zeta|^s < \infty, \quad r, s > 1, \quad \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} < 1$$

implies

$$(2.12) \quad |E\eta\zeta - E\eta E\zeta| \leq 4\{\beta(n)\}^{1-1/r-1/s} \|\eta\|_r \|\zeta\|_s.$$

From now on, we put

$$(2.13) \quad \eta_j = g_1(\xi_j) - \theta(F)$$

and

$$(2.14) \quad \zeta_{i,j} = G(\xi_i, \xi_j) = g_2(\xi_i, \xi_j) - g_1(\xi_i) - g_1(\xi_j) + \theta(F) \quad (i < j).$$

Furthermore, let

$$(2.15) \quad S_n = \frac{n^{1/2}(U_n - \theta(F))}{d\sigma}.$$

Lemma 2.2. Let $\{\xi_j\}$ be a strictly stationary, absolutely regular sequence. Suppose Condition (C) (with $r=2+\delta$) holds for some δ ($0 < \delta < 2$). If $\beta(n) = O(n^{-(2+\delta)/\delta})$, then the following relations hold:

(i) The series in (1.5) converges absolutely and

$$(2.16) \quad E \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j \right|^2 = n\sigma^2(1 + O(n^{-1})).$$

(ii) For any i and j ($i < j$)

$$(2.17) \quad |E\zeta_{i,j}| \leq K\{\beta(j-i)\}^{\delta/(2+\delta)}$$

and so

$$(2.18) \quad \left| \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} E\zeta_{i,j} \right| \leq Kn.$$

Furthermore, if $|i-\alpha| > m$ and $|j-\alpha| > m$, then

$$(2.19) \quad |E\zeta_{i,j}\eta_\alpha| \leq K\{\beta(m)\}^{\delta/(2+\delta)}.$$

(iii) For any positive integers m and l

$$(2.20) \quad E \left| \sum_{p=1}^{n-l-m-1} \sum_{q=p+l+1}^{p+l+m} \zeta_{p,q} \right|^2 = O(nm)$$

and

$$(2.21) \quad E \left| \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \sum_{q=p+1}^n \zeta_{p,q} \right|^2 = O(n^2).$$

Proof. (i) Since $E\eta_1=0$, so by Corollary to Lemma 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{n} E \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j \right|^2 - \sigma^2 \right| &\leq 2 \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n j |E\eta_1 \eta_{j+1}| + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} |E\eta_1 \eta_j| \right] \\ &\leq K \|\eta_1\|_{2+\delta}^2 \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n j \{\beta(j)\}^{\delta/(2+\delta)} + \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \{\beta(j)\}^{\delta/(2+\delta)} \right] \leq \frac{K}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have (i).

(ii) As

$$I_{i,j} = \int \cdots \int \{g_2(x_i, x_j) - g_1(x_i) - g_1(x_j) + \theta(F)\} dF(x_i) dF(x_j) = 0,$$

so by Condition (C) and Lemma 2.1

$$|E\zeta_{i,j}| = |E\zeta_{i,j} - I_{i,j}| \leq K \{\beta(j-i)\}^{(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)}$$

for all i and j ($i < j$). Thus, we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |E\zeta_{i,j}| \leq K \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \{\beta(j-i)\}^{(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)} \leq K.$$

Now, we note that by Condition (C) and Schwarz's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \cdots \int |(g_1(x_\alpha) - \theta(F))G(x_i, x_j)|^{(2+\delta)/2} dP_{(\xi_\alpha, \xi_i, \xi_j)} \\ &\leq \left\{ \int \cdots \int |g_1(x_\alpha) - \theta(F)|^{2+\delta} dF(x_\alpha) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int \cdots \int |G(x_i, x_j)|^{2+\delta} dP_{(\xi_i, \xi_j)} \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq K \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\int \cdots \int |(g_1(x_\alpha) - \theta(F))G(x_i, x_j)|^{(2+\delta)/2} dP_{\xi_\alpha} dP_{(\xi_i, \xi_j)} \leq K$$

and

$$\int \cdots \int |(g_1(x_\alpha) - \theta(F))G(x_i, x_j)|^{(2+\delta)/2} dP_{\xi_i} dP_{(\xi_\alpha, \xi_j)} \leq K.$$

So, by Lemma 2.1 and the fact $E\eta_\alpha=0$

$$|E\zeta_{i,j\alpha}| \leq |E\eta_\alpha| \left| \int \cdots \int G(x_i, x_j) dF(x_i) dF(x_j) \right| + K \{\beta(m)\}^{\delta/(2+\delta)} \leq K \{\beta(m)\}^{\delta/(2+\delta)}$$

if $|i-\alpha| > m$, $|j-\alpha| > m$ and $j-i > m$ and

$$|E\zeta_{i,j\alpha}| \leq |E\eta_\alpha| |E\zeta_{i,j}| + K \{\beta(m)\}^{\delta/(2+\delta)} \leq K \{\beta(m)\}^{\delta/(2+\delta)}$$

if $|i-\alpha| > m$, $|j-\alpha| > m$ and $0 < j-i \leq m$.

(iii) From the proof of Lemma 2 in [6] we have that if $\beta(n) = O(n^{-(2+\delta)/\delta})$

for some δ' ($0 < \delta' < \delta$), then

$$E \left| \sum_{p=1}^{n-l-m-1} \sum_{q=p+l+1}^{p+l+m} \zeta_{p,q} \right|^2 = O(nm)$$

and

$$E \left| \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \sum_{q=p+1}^n \zeta_{p,q} \right|^2 = O(n^2).$$

Thus, (2.18) and (2.19) are obtained by putting $\delta' = \delta/3$.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose conditions of Lemma 2.2 hold. Then*

$$(2.22) \quad E|U_n^{(c)}|^2 = O(n^{-2}) \quad (2 \leq c \leq d).$$

(For the proof of Lemma 2.3, see the proof of Lemma 2 in [6]).

Next, we shall say that $\{\xi_i\}$ satisfies the strong mixing condition if

$$\alpha(n) = \sup_{B \in \mathcal{A}_{-\infty}^0, A \in \mathcal{A}_n^\infty} |P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)| \downarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Since $\beta(n) \geq \alpha(n)$, so if $\{\xi_i\}$ is absolutely regular then it is strong mixing. Hence, all results proved under the strong mixing condition are applicable to our problem. The following lemma was proved by Yokoyama in [4].

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\{\xi_j\}$ be a strictly stationary, strong mixing sequence with $E\xi_1 = 0$ and $E|\xi_1|^{2+\delta+\epsilon} < \infty$ for some $\delta > 0$ and $\epsilon > 0$. If*

$$(2.23) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^{\delta/2-1} \{\alpha(j)\}^{\delta/(2+\delta+\epsilon)} < \infty$$

then there exists a constant M such that

$$(2.24) \quad E \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j \right|^{2+\delta} \leq Mn^{1+\delta/2} \quad (n \geq 1).$$

We often use the following lemma which is easily proved.

Lemma 2.5.

(i) (Lemma 1 in [2, p. 272]) *For $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and for any δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$)*

$$(2.25) \quad \left| e^{it} - \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(it)^j}{j!} \right| \leq \frac{2^{1-\delta} |t|^{n+\delta}}{(1+\delta)(2+\delta) \cdots (n+\delta)}$$

where the dominator of the right side of (2.25) is unity for $n=0$.

(ii) *For all $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$*

$$(2.26) \quad |e^{it} - 1| \leq 2$$

(iii) For all complex number z

$$(2.27) \quad |e^z - 1| \leq |z|e^{|z|}.$$

3. The Berry-Esseen theorems for U -statistics of order 2-(I)

In this section, we consider U -statistics of order 2 when $\beta(n) = O(e^{-\lambda n})$ for some $\lambda > 0$.

Theorem 1. Let $\{\xi_i\}$ be a strictly stationary, absolutely regular sequence. Suppose Condition (C) holds for $r = 2 + \delta$ ($0 < \delta \leq 1$) and $d = 2$. If $\beta(n) = O(e^{-\lambda n})$ for $\lambda > 0$ and σ^2 , defined by (1.5), is positive, then

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta_n \leq Kn^{-\delta/2}(\log n)^{1+\delta}.$$

To prove Theorem 1 we introduce some notations. Let

$$a_n = \frac{n^{1/2}}{\sigma n(n-1)},$$

$$T_n = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=1}^n \eta_j$$

and

$$V_n = \frac{n^{1/2}}{\sigma} U_n^{(2)} = a_n \sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} \zeta_{\alpha, \beta}.$$

Then

$$S_n = T_n + V_n.$$

Further, let $f_n(t)$ be the characteristic function of S_n and consider

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} f_n'(t) &= iES_n e^{itS_n} \\ &= i\{ET_n e^{itS_n} + EV_n e^{itS_n}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Firstly, we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. Suppose conditions of Theorem 1 hold. Let m and k be integers such that $\{\beta(m)\}^{\delta/(2+\delta)} = O(n^{-4})$, $k = O(\log n)$ and $m^{-(k-2)/4} \leq K_0 n^{-1}$. Let K_1 (≥ 1) be an arbitrary positive constant. Then for all t ($|t| < K_1 n^{1/2} m^{-\delta/4}$)

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} |ET_n e^{itS_n} - itf_n(t)| \\ \leq K[\{|t|n^{-1/2}m^{1/2} + |t|^{1+\delta}n^{-\delta/2}m^{1+\delta} + n^{-1}\}|f_n(t)| \\ + \{(|t|^2 n^{-1} m)^{(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)} m^{(1+\delta)/2} + |t|^{\delta/(2+\delta)} n^{-(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)} m^{\delta/(2(2+\delta))} + n^{-\delta}\}]. \end{aligned}$$

In the course of the proof of Proposition 3.1 we use the following notations; for any α ($1 \leq \alpha \leq n$) and j ($1 \leq j \leq k$)

$$T_\alpha^{(0)} = T_n, \quad V = V_n$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\alpha,0}^{(j)} &= a_n \sum_{\substack{|p-\alpha| \leq jm, |q-\alpha| \leq jm \\ 1 \leq p < q \leq n}} \zeta_{p,q} \\
V_{\alpha,1}^{(j)} &= a_n \sum_{|p-\alpha| > jm, |q-\alpha| > jm} \zeta_{p,q} \\
V_{\alpha,2}^{(j)} &= a_n \sum_{1 \leq p < \alpha - jm, \alpha - jm \leq q \leq \alpha + jm} \zeta_{p,q} \\
V_{\alpha,3}^{(j)} &= a_n \sum_{\alpha - jm \leq p \leq \alpha + jm, \alpha + jm < q \leq n} \zeta_{p,q} \\
T_{\alpha}^{(j)} &= \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} \sum_{|p-\alpha| > jm} \eta_{p,q}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by Lemma 2.2 the following relations are easily proved:

$$(3.4) \quad \|T_{\alpha}^{(j)} - T_{\alpha}^{(j+1)}\|_2 \leq C_0 n^{-1/2} m^{1/2} \quad (1 \leq \alpha \leq n; 0 \leq j \leq k-1)$$

$$(3.5) \quad \|V_{\alpha,0}^{(j)}\|_2 \leq K a_n j m \leq K n^{-3/2} j m \quad (1 \leq \alpha \leq n; 0 \leq j \leq k-1)$$

$$(3.6) \quad \|V_{\alpha,l}^{(j)}\|_2 \leq K a_n (nm)^{1/2} \leq K n^{-1} m^{1/2} \quad (1 \leq \alpha \leq n; l=2, 3)$$

$$(3.7) \quad \|V_{\alpha,1}^{(1)} - V_{\alpha,1}^{(k)}\|_2 \leq K a_n (nkm)^{1/2} \leq K n^{-1} k^{1/2} m^{1/2} \quad (1 \leq \alpha \leq n).$$

To prove Proposition 3.1 we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose conditions of Proposition 3.1 hold. Then for any α ($1 \leq \alpha \leq n$) and for all t*

$$(3.8) \quad \|\eta_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha}^{(0)}\|_2 \leq K |t|^{\delta/(2+\delta)} n^{-(\delta/2(2+\delta))} m^{\delta/2(2+\delta)}$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad E \left| \eta_{\alpha} \prod_{j=0}^r Z_{\alpha}^{(j)} \right| \leq \begin{cases} K [t^2 n^{-1} m + n^{-2}] & (r=1) \\ K \{ [C_0 |t| n^{-1/2} m^{1/2}]^r + n^{-2} \} & (2 \leq r \leq k) \end{cases}$$

where

$$Z_{\alpha}^{(0)} = \exp [it \{ (T_{\alpha}^{(0)} - T_{\alpha}^{(1)}) + V_{\alpha,0}^{(1)} \}] - 1$$

and

$$Z_{\alpha}^{(j)} = \exp \{ it (T_{\alpha}^{(j)} - T_{\alpha}^{(j+1)}) \} - 1 \quad (j=1, \dots, k-1).$$

Proof. We note first that by (2.25), (3.5) and (3.6)

$$\|Z_{\alpha}^{(0)}\|_2^2 \leq K |t|^2 \{ \|T_{\alpha}^{(0)} - T_{\alpha}^{(1)}\|_2^2 + \|V_{\alpha,0}^{(1)}\|_2^2 \} \leq K |t|^2 n^{-1} m$$

and

$$\|Z_{\alpha}^{(j)}\|_2^2 \leq t^2 \|T_{\alpha}^{(j)} - T_{\alpha}^{(j+1)}\|_2^2 \leq C_0^2 t^2 n^{-1} m \quad (j=1, \dots, k).$$

By Hölder's inequality and (2.26)

$$\begin{aligned}
E |\eta_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha}^{(0)}|^2 &\leq \|\eta_{\alpha}\|_{2+\delta}^2 \{ E |Z_{\alpha}^{(0)}|^{2(2+\delta)/\delta} \}^{\delta/(2+\delta)} \leq K \{ E |Z_{\alpha}^{(0)}|^2 \}^{\delta/(2+\delta)} \\
&\leq K |t|^{2\delta/(2+\delta)} n^{-(\delta/(2+\delta))} m^{\delta/(2+\delta)}.
\end{aligned}$$

So, (3.9) is obtained for $r=1$.

Next, for each j ($1 \leq j \leq r$) let

$$H_{\alpha}^{(j)} = \int \cdots \int \left| \exp \left\{ i \frac{t}{\sigma \sqrt{n}} \sum_{j_m < |l-\alpha| \leq j(m+1)} x_i \right\} - 1 \right|^2 dP_{\tau_{1,j}} dP_{\tau_{2,j}}$$

where $\tau_{1,j} = (\xi_{\alpha-(j+1)m}, \dots, \xi_{\alpha-jm-1})$ and $\tau_{2,j} = (\xi_{\alpha+jm+1}, \dots, \xi_{\alpha+(j+1)m})$. Then, it is obvious that from (2.16) and (2.25) that

$$H_{\alpha}^{(j)} \leq \frac{t^2}{\sigma^2 n} E \left| \sum_{j_m < |l-\alpha| \leq (j+1)m} x_i \right|^2 \leq K t^2 n^{-1} m \quad (j=1, \dots, r).$$

Since η_{α} is $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{\alpha}$ -measurable and $Z_{\alpha}^{(1)}$ is $\mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^{\alpha-m} \times \mathcal{M}_{\alpha+m}^{\infty}$ -measurable, so by Lemma 2.1, (2.26) and the definition of m

$$\begin{aligned} \|\eta_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha}^{(1)}\|_2^2 &= E |\eta_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha}^{(1)}|^2 \leq \|\eta_{\alpha}\|_2^2 H_{\alpha}^{(1)} + K \{\beta(m)\}^{\delta/(2+\delta)} \|\eta_{\alpha}\|_{2+\delta}^2 \\ &\leq K [t^2 n^{-1} m + K \{\beta(m)\}^{\delta/(2+\delta)}] \leq K [t^2 n^{-1} m + n^{-4}]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Schwarz's inequality

$$E |\eta_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha}^{(0)} Z_{\alpha}^{(1)}| \leq \|\eta_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha}^{(1)}\|_2 \|Z_{\alpha}^{(0)}\|_2 \leq K [t^2 n^{-1} m + n^{-2}].$$

Now, we proceed to prove (3.9) in the case $r \geq 2$. By Schwarz's inequality

$$E \left| \eta_{\alpha} \prod_{j=0}^r Z_{\alpha}^{(j)} \right| \leq \|\eta_{\alpha} \prod_{j'} Z_{\alpha}^{(j)}\|_2 \|\prod_{j''} Z_{\alpha}^{(j)}\|_2$$

where $\prod_{j'}$ is the product over all even number j such that $2 \leq j \leq r$ and $\prod_{j''}$ the product over all odd number j such that $1 \leq j \leq r$. Since for each j ($2 \leq j \leq k$) $Z_{\alpha}^{(j)}$ is $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha-(j+1)m}^{\alpha-jm} \times \mathcal{M}_{\alpha+jm}^{\alpha+(j+1)m}$ -measurable, so from Lemma 2.1, (2.25) and (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} E |\eta_{\alpha} \prod_{j'} Z_{\alpha}^{(j)}|^2 &\leq E |\eta_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha}^{(0)}|^2 \prod_{j'} H_{\alpha}^{(j)} + K r 2^r \|\eta_{\alpha}\|_{2+\delta}^2 \{\beta(m)\}^{\delta/(2+\delta)} \\ &\leq K \{ [C_0 t^2 n^{-1} m]^{[r/2]} + r 2^r n^{-4} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$E |\prod_{j''} Z_{\alpha}^{(j)}| \leq K \{ [C_0 t^2 n^{-1} m]^{[(r-1)/2]} + r 2^r n^{-4} \}.$$

Hence, by the definition of k we have (3.19) for r ($2 \leq r \leq k$) and so the proof is completed.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose conditions of Proposition 3.1 hold. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} (3.10) \quad & \left| \sum_{\alpha=1}^n E \eta_{\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} Z_{\alpha}^{(j)} \exp \{ it(T_{\alpha}^{(r)} + V_{\alpha,1}^{(k)}) \} \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n E \eta_{\alpha} \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} Z_{\alpha}^{(j)} \sum_{p=1}^n E \exp \{ it(T_p^{(r)} + V_{p,1}^{(k)}) \} \right| \\ & \leq \begin{cases} K(t^2 n^{-1} m)^{\delta/2(2+\delta)} & (r=1) \\ K[t^2 n^{-1} m + n^{-2}] & (r=2) \\ K\{ [C_0 |t| n^{-1/2} m^{1/2}]^r + n^{-2} \} & (3 \leq r \leq k) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for all t ($|t| < C_0^{-1}n^{1/2}m^{-1/2}$).

Proof. For brevity, we put

$$X_\alpha^{(r)} = \eta_\alpha \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} Z_\alpha^{(j)} \quad (1 \leq \alpha \leq n, 1 \leq r \leq k-1)$$

and

$$W_\alpha^{(r)} = \exp\{it(T_\alpha^{(r+1)} + V_{\alpha,1}^{(k)})\} \quad (1 \leq \alpha \leq n, 1 \leq r \leq k-1).$$

Since for each r ($3 \leq r \leq k-1$) $X_\alpha^{(r)}$ is $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha-rm}^{\alpha+rm}$ -measurable and $W_\alpha^{(r)}$ is $\mathcal{M}_{-\alpha}^{\alpha-(r+1)m} \times \mathcal{M}_{\alpha+(r+1)m}^\infty$ -measurable, so by Lemma 3.1, (2.26) and the definition of m

$$\begin{aligned} (3.11) \quad & |EX_\alpha^{(r)} W_\alpha^{(r)} - EX_\alpha^{(r)} EW_\alpha^{(r)}| \\ & \leq K\{\beta(m)\}^{\delta/(2+\delta)} \|X_\alpha^{(r)}\|_{(2+\delta)/2} \leq Kn^{-4} \left\| \eta_\alpha \prod_{\alpha=1}^{r-1} Z_\alpha^{(j)} \right\|_{(2+\delta)/2} \\ & \leq Kn^{-4} 2^r \|\eta\|_{2+\delta} \leq K2^r n^{-4}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, since for each α ($rm \leq \alpha \leq n-rm$) $X_\alpha^{(r)}$ has the same distribution as that of $X_{\alpha_0}^{(r)}$ where $\alpha_0 = rm+1$, which implies

$$EX_\alpha^{(r)} = EX_{\alpha_0}^{(r)} \quad (rm \leq \alpha \leq n-rm),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} (3.12) \quad & \sum_{\alpha=1}^n EX_\alpha^{(r)} EW_\alpha^{(r)} = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha_0-1} + \sum_{\alpha=n-\alpha_0+1}^n \right\} EX_\alpha^{(r)} EW_\alpha^{(r)} + EX_{\alpha_0}^{(r)} \sum_{\alpha=\alpha_0}^{n-\alpha_0} EW_\alpha^{(r)} \\ & = EX_{\alpha_0}^{(r)} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n EW_\alpha^{(r)} + \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha_0-1} + \sum_{\alpha=n-\alpha_0+1}^n \right\} EX_\alpha^{(r)} EW_\alpha^{(r)} \\ & \quad - \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha_0-1} + \sum_{\alpha=n-\alpha_0+1}^n \right\} EX_{\alpha_0}^{(r)} EW_\alpha^{(r)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.13) \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^n EX_\alpha^{(r)} = \{n-2rm\} EX_{\alpha_0}^{(r)} + \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha_0-1} + \sum_{\alpha=n-\alpha_0+1}^n \right\} EX_\alpha^{(r)}.$$

From (3.11)-(3.13) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha=1}^n EX_\alpha^{(r)} EW_\alpha^{(r)} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n EX_\alpha^{(r)} \sum_{p=1}^n EW_p^{(r)} \\ & = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha_0-1} + \sum_{\alpha=n-\alpha_0+1}^n \right\} EX_\alpha^{(r)} \left[EW_\alpha^{(r)} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n EW_p^{(r)} \right] \\ & \quad - \left\{ \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha_0-1} + \sum_{\alpha=n-\alpha_0+1}^n \right\} EX_{\alpha_0}^{(r)} \left[EW_\alpha^{(r)} - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n EW_p^{(r)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.1 we have the lemma for r ($3 \leq r \leq k$). The proofs in the cases $r=1$ and 2 are analogous and so is omitted.

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose conditions of Proposition 3.1 hold. Then for each r ($1 \leq r \leq k-1$)*

$$(3.14) \quad \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n E \exp \{it(T_p^{(r+1)} + V_{p,1}^{(k)})\} - f_n(t) \right| \leq K[|t|n^{-1}m + n^{-2} + |t|n^{-1/2}m|f_n(t)|].$$

Proof. We remark that for all t

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{LHS of (3.14)} &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n |E \exp \{it(T_p^{(r+1)} + V_{p,1}^{(k)})\} - E \exp \{it(T_p^{(r+1)} + V_n)\}| \\ &\quad + \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n E \exp \{it(T_p^{(r+1)} + V_n)\} - E e^{its_n} \right| \\ &= I_1 + I_2, \quad (\text{say}). \end{aligned}$$

Since by Lemma 2.4, (3.5) and (3.6)

$$\begin{aligned} &|E \exp \{it(T_p^{(r+1)} + V_{p,1}^{(k)})\} - E \exp \{it(T_p^{(r+1)} + V_n)\}| \\ &\leq E |\exp \{it(V_n - V_{p,1}^{(k)})\} - 1| \leq |t| E |V_n - V_{p,1}^{(k)}| \leq |t| E \{|V_{p,0}^{(k)}| + |V_{p,2}^{(k)}| + |V_{p,3}^{(k)}|\} \\ &\leq |t| (\|V_{p,0}^{(k)}\|_2 + \|V_{p,2}^{(k)}\|_2 + \|V_{p,3}^{(k)}\|_2) \leq K|t|n^{-1}m, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(3.16) \quad I_1 \leq K|t|n^{-1}m.$$

Next, let

$$Y_p^{(r)} = 1 - \exp \{-it(T_p^{(0)} - T_p^{(r+1)})\} \quad (1 \leq p \leq n).$$

Then

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq \left| E e^{its_n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n E Y_p^{(r)} + E \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n (Y_p^{(r)} - E Y_p^{(r)}) e^{its_n} \right] \right| \\ &\leq |f_n(t)| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n |t| E |T_p^{(0)} - T_p^{(r+1)}| + \frac{1}{n} \left\| \sum_{p=1}^n (Y_p^{(r)} - E Y_p^{(r)}) \right\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.4)

$$(3.18) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n E |T_p^{(0)} - T_p^{(r+1)}| \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n \|T_p^{(0)} - T_p^{(r+1)}\|_2 \leq K n^{-1/2} (mk)^{1/2} \leq K n^{-1/2} m.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} E \left| \sum_{p=1}^n (Y_p^{(r)} - E Y_p^{(r)}) \right|^2 &= \sum_{p=1}^n E |Y_p^{(r)} - E Y_p^{(r)}|^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} |E(Y_p^{(r)} - E Y_p^{(r)})(Y_q^{(r)} - E Y_q^{(r)})|. \end{aligned}$$

As $Y_p^{(r)}$ is $\mathcal{M}_{p-rm}^{p+rm}$ -measurable, so by Corollary to Lemma 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{1 \leq p < q \leq n \\ q-p > (2r+1)m}} |E(Y_p^{(r)} - E Y_p^{(r)})(Y_q^{(r)} - E Y_q^{(r)})| \\ &\leq K n^2 \{\beta(m)\}^{\delta/(2+\delta)} \|Y_p^{(r)} - E Y_p^{(r)}\|_{2+\delta}^2 \leq K n^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, by Schwarz's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |E(Y_p^{(r)} - EY_p^{(r)})(Y_q^{(r)} - EY_q^{(r)})| &\leq \max_{1 \leq p \leq n} \|Y_p^{(r)} - EY_p^{(r)}\|_2^2 \leq 4 \max_{1 \leq p \leq n} \|Y_p^{(r)}\|_2^2 \\ &\leq 4|t|^2 \max_{1 \leq p \leq n} \|T_p^{(0)} - T_p^{(r+1)}\|_2^2 \leq K|t|^2 n^{-1} r m \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq p < q \leq n \\ q-p \leq (2r+1)m}} |E(Y_p^{(r)} - EY_p^{(r)})(Y_q^{(r)} - EY_q^{(r)})| \leq K|t|^2 r^2 m^2.$$

Hence, using the relations

$$\sum_{p=1}^n E|Y_p^{(r)} - EY_p^{(r)}|^2 \leq 4n \max_{1 \leq p \leq n} \|Y_p^{(r)}\|_2^2 \leq K|t|^2 r m,$$

we have

$$(3.19) \quad E \left| \sum_{p=1}^n (Y_p^{(r)} - EY_p^{(r)}) \right|^2 \leq K[|t|^2 r^2 m^2 + n^{-2}].$$

Thus, by (3.17)-(3.19)

$$(3.20) \quad I_2 \leq K[|t|n^{-1/2}m|f_n(t)| + n^{-1}\{|t|rm + n^{-1}\}].$$

Now, (3.14) follows from (3.15), (3.16) and (3.20) and the proof is completed.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose conditions of Proposition 3.1 hold. Then*

$$(3.21) \quad \left| \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \eta_\alpha Z_\alpha^{(0)} - it \right| \leq K\{|t|n^{-1}m + n^{-1} + |t|^{1+\delta}n^{-\delta/2}m^{1+\delta}\}.$$

Proof. By (2.25) and Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |E\eta_\alpha Z_\alpha^{(0)} - itE\eta_\alpha\{(T_\alpha^{(0)} - T_\alpha^{(1)}) + V_{\alpha,0}^{(1)}\}| \\ \leq K|t|^{1+\delta}E|\eta_\alpha| |(T_\alpha^{(0)} - T_\alpha^{(1)}) + V_{\alpha,0}^{(1)}|^{1+\delta} \\ \leq K|t|^{1+\delta}\{E|\eta_\alpha| |T_\alpha^{(0)} - T_\alpha^{(1)}|^{1+\delta} + E|\eta_\alpha| |V_{\alpha,0}^{(1)}|^{1+\delta}\} \\ \leq K|t|^{1+\delta}\{\|\eta_\alpha\|_{2+\delta} \|T_\alpha^{(0)} - T_\alpha^{(1)}\|_{\frac{2+\delta}{2+\delta}} + \|\eta_\alpha\|_{2+\delta} \|V_{\alpha,0}^{(1)}\|_{\frac{2+\delta}{2+\delta}}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since by Minkowski's inequality

$$\|T_\alpha^{(0)} - T_\alpha^{(1)}\|_{2+\delta} \leq \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \sum_{|j-\alpha| \leq m} \|\eta_\alpha\|_{2+\delta} \leq Kn^{-1/2}m$$

and

$$\|V_{\alpha,0}^{(1)}\|_{2+\delta} \leq a_n \sum_{|p-\alpha| \leq m, |q-\alpha| \leq m} \|\zeta_{p,q}\|_{2+\delta} \leq Kn^{-3/2}m^2$$

so

$$|E\eta_\alpha Z_\alpha^{(0)} - itE\eta_\alpha\{(T_\alpha^{(0)} - T_\alpha^{(1)}) + V_{\alpha,0}^{(1)}\}| \leq K|t|^{1+\delta}n^{-(1+\delta)/2}m^{1+\delta}.$$

We note here that by (2.12) and the fact $E\eta_\alpha = 0$

$$|E\eta_\alpha T_\alpha^{(1)}| \leq Kn^{-1/2} \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j < \alpha - m} + \sum_{\alpha + m < j \leq n} \right\} E\eta_\alpha \eta_j$$

$$\leq Kn^{-1/2} \sum_{l=m+1}^{\infty} \{\beta(l)\}^{\delta/(2+\delta)} \|\eta_{l1}\|_{2+\delta}^2 \leq Kn^{-1/2} \sum_{l=m+1}^{\infty} \{\beta(l)\}^{\delta/(2+\delta)} \leq Kn^{-7/2}$$

and

$$|E\eta_\alpha V_{\alpha,0}^{(1)}| \leq \|\eta_\alpha\|_2 \|V_{\alpha,0}^{(1)}\|_2 \leq Ka_n m \leq Kn^{-3/2} m.$$

Hence

$$(3.22) \quad |E\eta_\alpha Z_\alpha^{(0)} - itE\eta_\alpha T_\alpha^{(0)}| \leq |t| \{ |E\eta_\alpha T_\alpha^{(1)}| + |E\eta_\alpha V_{\alpha,0}^{(1)}| \} + K|t|^{1+\delta} n^{-(1+\delta)/2} m^{1+\delta}$$

$$\leq K\{ |t| n^{-3/2} m + |t|^{1+\delta} n^{-(1+\delta)/2} m^{1+\delta} \}.$$

Now, (3.21) follows from (3.22) since by Lemma 2.2 (i)

$$\left| \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n E\eta_\alpha T_\alpha^{(0)} - 1 \right| = |ET_n^2 - 1| = \frac{1}{n\sigma^2} \left| E \left| \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \eta_\alpha \right|^2 - n\sigma^2 \right| \leq Kn^{-1}.$$

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Firstly, we rewrite $ET_n e^{itS_n}$ as follows:

$$(3.23) \quad ET_n e^{itS_n} = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \left[\sum_{\alpha=1}^n E\eta_\alpha \exp \{it(T_\alpha^{(1)} + V_{\alpha,1}^{(1)})\} Z_\alpha^{(0)} [\exp \{it(V_{\alpha,2}^{(1)} + V_{\alpha,3}^{(1)})\} - 1] \right.$$

$$+ \sum_{\alpha=1}^n E\eta_\alpha Z_\alpha^{(0)} \exp \{it(T_\alpha^{(1)} + V_{\alpha,1}^{(k)})\} [\exp \{it(V_{\alpha,1}^{(1)} - V_{\alpha,1}^{(k)})\} - 1]$$

$$+ \sum_{r=2}^{k-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n E\eta_\alpha \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} Z_\alpha^{(j)} \exp \{it(T_\alpha^{(r+1)} + V_{\alpha,1}^{(k)})\}$$

$$+ \sum_{\alpha=1}^n E\eta_\alpha \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} Z_\alpha^{(j)} \exp \{it(T_\alpha^{(k)} + V_{\alpha,1}^{(k)})\}$$

$$+ \sum_{\alpha=1}^n E\eta_\alpha Z_\alpha^{(0)} \exp \{it(T_\alpha^{(2)} + V_{\alpha,1}^{(k)})\}$$

$$+ \sum_{\alpha=1}^n E\eta_\alpha \exp \{it(T_\alpha^{(1)} + V_{\alpha,1}^{(1)})\} [\exp \{it(V_{\alpha,2}^{(1)} + V_{\alpha,3}^{(1)})\} - 1]$$

$$+ \sum_{\alpha=1}^n E\eta_\alpha \exp \{it(T_\alpha^{(1)} + V_{\alpha,1}^{(1)} + V_{\alpha,2}^{(1)} + V_{\alpha,3}^{(1)})\} \left. \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^7 I_j, \quad (\text{say}).$$

By Schwarz's inequality, (2.24), (3.6) and (3.8)

$$(3.24) \quad |I_1| \leq \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n E|\eta_\alpha Z_\alpha^{(0)}| |\exp \{it(V_{\alpha,2}^{(1)} + V_{\alpha,3}^{(1)})\} - 1|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \|\eta_\alpha Z_\alpha^{(0)}\|_2 \|\exp \{it(V_{\alpha,2}^{(1)} + V_{\alpha,3}^{(1)})\} - 1\|_2$$

$$\leq Kn^{-1/2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n |t|^{\delta/(2+\delta)} n^{-\delta/2(2+\delta)} m^{\delta/2(2+\delta)} |t| \|V_{\alpha,2}^{(1)} + V_{\alpha,3}^{(1)}\|_2$$

$$\leq Kn^{1/2} |t|^{2(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)} m^{\delta/2(2+\delta)} n^{-\delta/2(1+\delta)} a_n (nm)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq K|t|^{2(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)} n^{-(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)} m^{(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.25) \quad |I_2| &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n E|\eta_\alpha Z_\alpha^{(0)}| |\exp\{it(V_{\alpha,1}^{(1)} - V_{\alpha,1}^{(k)})\} - 1| \\
 &\leq Kn^{-1/2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \|\eta_\alpha Z_\alpha^{(0)}\|_2 \|\exp\{it(V_{\alpha,1}^{(1)} - V_{\alpha,1}^{(k)})\} - 1\|_2 \\
 &\leq K|t|n^{-(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)} |t|^{\delta/(2+\delta)} m^{\delta/(2+\delta)} na_n(nkm)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq K|t|^{2(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)} n^{-(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)} m^{2(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1 and the fact $E\eta_\alpha = 0$

$$(3.26) \quad |I_6| \leq Kn^{1/2} \{\beta(m)\}^{(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)} \|\eta_\alpha\|_{2+\delta} \leq Kn^{1/2} n^{-8} \leq Kn^{-7}$$

and

$$(3.27) \quad |I_7| \leq Kn^{1/2} \{\beta(m)\}^{(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)} \|\eta_\alpha\|_{2+\delta} \leq Kn^{-7}.$$

Let $X_\alpha^{(r)}$ and $W_\alpha^{(r)}$ be as in the proof of Lemma 3.2.

By Lemmas 3.1-3.4 we have that for all t ($|t| < n^{1/2}m^{-1/2}$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.28) \quad |I_3| &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \sum_{r=2}^{k-1} \left| \sum_{\alpha=1}^n EX_\alpha^{(r)} W_\alpha^{(r)} \right| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \sum_{r=2}^{k-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n |EX_\alpha^{(r)}| \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n EW_p^{(r)} \right| \\
 &\quad + Kn^{-1/2} \left[\sum_{r=2}^{k-1} rm(C_0|t|n^{-1/2}m^{1/2})^r + 2^r n^{-4} \right] \\
 &\leq Kn^{-1/2} \sum_{r=2}^{k-1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n |EX_\alpha^{(r)}| \{ (1+|t|n^{-1/2}m) |f_n(t)| + |t|n^{-1}m + n^{-2} \} \\
 &\quad + Kn^{-1/2} [km(C_0|t|n^{-1/2}m^{1/2})^2 + n^{-2}] \\
 &\leq Kn^{1/2} \left[\{t^2 n^{-1}m + n^{-2}\} + \sum_{r=3}^{k-1} \{ (C_0|t|n^{-1/2}m^{1/2})^r + n^{-2} \} \right] \\
 &\quad \times \{ (1+|t|n^{-1/2}m^{1/2}) |f_n(t)| + |t|n^{-1}m + n^{-2} \} + K[t^2 n^{-3/2}m^3 + n^{-2}] \\
 &\leq K\{ (t^2 n^{-1/2}m + n^{-1}) |f_n(t)| + t^2 n^{-3/2}m^3 + n^{-2} \}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by Lemmas 3.1-3.3

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.29) \quad |I_4| &\leq \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n |EX_\alpha^{(k)}| \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n EW_\alpha^{(k-1)} \right| + Kn^{-1/2} km(C_0|t|n^{-1/2}m^{1/2})^k \\
 &\leq Kn^{1/2} (C_0|t|n^{-1/2}m^{1/2})^{k-1} [(1+|t|n^{-1/2}m) |f_n(t)| + |t|n^{-1/2}m^{1/2} + n^{-2}] \\
 &\quad + Kn^{-1/2} km(C_0|t|n^{-1/2}m^{1/2})^k.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since by the definition of k

$$(C_0|t|n^{-1/2}m^{1/2})^{k-2} \leq Kn^{-1} \quad \text{for all } t \text{ } (|t| < K_1 n^{1/2} m^{-3/4})$$

so for all t ($|t| < K_1 n^{1/2} m^{-3/4}$)

$$(3.30) \quad |I_4| \leq K[|t|n^{-1}m^{1/2}\{(1+|t|n^{-1/2}m)|f_n(t)|+|t|n^{-1/2}m^{1/2}+n^{-2}\}+t^2n^{-\delta/2}km^2] \\ \leq K[|t|n^{-1}m^{1/2}|f_n(t)|+|t|n^{-1}m^{1/2}].$$

Finally, by Lemmas 3.1-3.4 and (3.9)

$$(3.31) \quad |I_5-itf_n(t)| \leq \left| I_5 - \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n EX_{\alpha}^{(1)} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n EW_p^{(1)} \right| \\ + \left| \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n EX_{\alpha}^{(1)} \right| \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=1}^n EW_p^{(1)} - f_n(t) \right| \\ + \left| \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n EX_{\alpha}^{(1)} - it \right| |f_n(t)| \\ \leq K[|t|^{\delta/(2+\delta)}n^{-(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)}m^{\delta/2(2+\delta)} + \{|t|+n^{-1}+|t|^{1+\delta}n^{-\delta/2}m^{1+\delta}\} \\ \times \{|t|n^{-1}m+n^{-2}+|t|n^{-1/2}m|f_n(t)|\} \\ + \{|t|n^{-1}m+n^{-1}+|t|^{1+\delta}n^{-\delta/2}m^{1+\delta}\}|f_n(t)|] \\ \text{for all } t \text{ } (|t| < K_1n^{1/2}m^{-3/4}).$$

Hence, (3.3) follows from (3.23)-(3.31).

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose conditions of Proposition 3.1 hold. Then, for all t ($|t| < K_1n^{1/2}m^{-3/4}$)*

$$(3.32) \quad |EV_n e^{itS_n}| \leq K[\{|t|n^{-1/2}m^{1/2}+|t|^{1+\delta}n^{-\delta/2}m^{1+\delta}+n^{-1}\}|f_n(t)| \\ + \{(t^2n^{-1}m)^{(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)}m^{(1+\delta)/2}+|t|^{\delta/(2+\delta)}n^{-(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)}m^{\delta/2(2+\delta)}+n^{-2}\}].$$

To prove Proposition 3.2, we introduce the following notations:

$$T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)} = T_n \\ T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\substack{|p-\alpha|>m, |p-\beta|>jm \\ 1 \leq p \leq n}} \eta_p \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, k) \\ V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)} = a_n \sum_{\substack{\max\{|p-\alpha|, |p-\beta|, |q-\alpha|, |q-\beta|\} \leq m \\ 1 \leq p < q \leq n}} \zeta_{p,q} \\ V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(j)} = a_n \sum_{\substack{\max\{|p-\alpha|, |p-\beta|, |q-\alpha|, |q-\beta|\} > jm \\ 1 \leq p < q \leq n}} \zeta_{p,q} \quad (j=1, k).$$

Then by Lemma 2.2 (i) and (ii) the following inequalities are easily proved:

$$(3.33) \quad \|T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(j)} - T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(j+1)}\|_2 \leq C_0n^{-1/2}m^{1/2} \quad (j=0, 1, \dots, k-1),$$

$$(3.34) \quad \|V_n - V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}\|_2 \leq Kn^{-1}k^{1/2}m^{1/2},$$

$$(3.35) \quad \|V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)} - V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}\|_2 \leq Kn^{-1}k^{1/2}m^{1/2}.$$

Here, α and β are arbitrary integers such that $1 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq n$ and C_0 is the constant in (3.4).

As before, we need some lemmas.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose conditions of Proposition 3.2 hold. Then, for all t and for all α and β ($1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n$)*

$$(3.36) \quad \|\zeta_{\alpha,\beta} Z_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)}\|_2 \leq K(t^2 n^{-1} m)^{\delta/2(2+\delta)}$$

and

$$(3.37) \quad E \left| \zeta_{\alpha,\beta} \prod_{j=0}^r Z_{\alpha,\beta}^{(j)} \right| \leq \begin{cases} K[t^2 n^{-1} m + n^{-2}] & (r=1) \\ K[(C_0 |t| n^{-1/2} m^{1/2})^r + n^{-2}] & (2 \leq r \leq k-1) \end{cases}$$

where

$$Z_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)} = \exp[it\{(T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)} - T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)}) + V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)}\}] - 1$$

and

$$Z_{\alpha,\beta}^{(j)} = \exp[it\{(T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(j)} - T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(j+1)})\}] - 1 \quad (j=1, \dots, k-1).$$

The proof of Lemma 3.5 is identical to that of Lemma 3.1 and so is omitted.

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose conditions of Proposition 3.1 hold. Then*

$$(3.38) \quad \left| \sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} E \zeta_{\alpha,\beta} \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} Z_{\alpha,\beta}^{(j)} \exp[it(T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(r)} + V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)})] \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} E \zeta_{\alpha,\beta} \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} Z_{\alpha,\beta}^{(j)} \sum_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} E \exp[it(T_{p,q}^{(r)} + V_{p,q}^{(k)})] \right| \\ \leq \begin{cases} K(t^2 n^{-1} m)^{\delta/2(2+\delta)} & (r=1) \\ K[t^2 n^{-1} m + n^{-2}] & (r=2) \\ K[(C_0 |t| n^{-1/2} m^{1/2})^r + n^{-2}] & (3 \leq r \leq k) \end{cases}$$

for all t ($|t| < K_1 n^{1/2} m^{-3/4}$).

Proof. We note that for each r ($1 \leq r \leq k-1$) $\zeta_{\alpha,\beta} \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} Z_{\alpha,\beta}^{(j)}$ is $M_{\alpha-rm}^{\alpha+rm} \times M_{\beta-rm}^{\beta+rm}$ -measurable and $T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(r+1)} + V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)}$ is $M_{\alpha-(r+1)m}^{\alpha-(r+1)m} \times M_{\alpha+(r+1)m}^{\beta-(r+1)m} \times M_{\beta+(r+1)m}^{\infty}$ -measurable where $M_{\alpha+(r+1)m}^{\beta-(r+1)m}$ must be dropped if $\beta - (r+1)m < \alpha + (r+1)m$. Hence, the proof is identical to that of Lemma 3.2 and so is omitted.

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose conditions of Proposition 3.2 hold. Then for each r ($1 \leq r \leq k-1$) and t*

$$(3.39) \quad \left| \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq p < q \leq n} E \exp[it(T_{p,q}^{(r+1)} + V_{p,q}^{(k)})] - f_n(t) \right| \\ \leq K\{|t| n^{-1} m + n^{-2} + |t| n^{-1/2} m |f_n(t)|\}.$$

The proof is obtained by the same method as that of Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose conditions of Proposition 3.1 hold. Then*

$$(3.40) \quad |a_n \sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} E \zeta_{\alpha,\beta} Z_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)}| \leq K\{|t| n^{-1/2} m + |t|^{1+\delta} n^{-\delta/2} m^{1+\delta}\}.$$

Proof. By (2.24) and Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & |E\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}Z_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)} - itE\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}\{(T_n - T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)}) + V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)}\}| \\ & \leq K|t|^{1+\delta}E|\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}| |(T_n - T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)}) + V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)}|^{1+\delta} \\ & \leq K|t|^{1+\delta}\{E|\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}| |T_n - T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)}|^{1+\delta} + E|\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}| |T_n - T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)}|^{1+\delta}\} \\ & \leq K|t|^{1+\delta}\{\|\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}\|_{2+\delta}\|T_n - T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)}\|_{2+\delta}^{1+\delta} + \|\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}\|_{2+\delta}\|T_n - T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)}\|_{2+\delta}^{1+\delta}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since by Minkowski's inequality

$$\|T_n - T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)}\|_{2+\delta} \leq Kn^{-1/2}m$$

and

$$\|V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)}\|_{2+\delta} \leq Kn^{-3/2}m^2,$$

so

$$|E\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}Z_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)} - itE\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}\{(T_n - T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)}) + V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)}\}| \leq K|t|^{1+\delta}n^{-(1+\delta)/2}m^{1+\delta}.$$

Further, by (2.19)

$$\begin{aligned} |E\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)}| & \leq Kn^{-1/2} \sum_{|p-\alpha|>m, |p-\beta|>m} |E\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}\eta_p| \leq Kn^{-1/2} \sum_{|p-\alpha|>m, |p-\beta|>m} \{\beta(m)\}^{\delta/(2+\delta)} \\ & \leq Kn^{-1/2}n^2n^{-4} \leq Kn^{-5/2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|E\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)}| \leq \|\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}\|_2 \|V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)}\|_2 \leq Ka_n m \leq Kn^{-3/2}m.$$

Hence

$$(3.41) \quad |E\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}Z_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)} - itE\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}T_n| \leq K\{|t|n^{-3/2}m + |t|^{1+\delta}n^{-(1+\delta)/2}m^{1+\delta}\}.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.2 (i) and (ii)

$$(3.42) \quad |a_n \sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} E\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}T_n| \leq a_n \sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} \|\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}\|_2 \|T_n\|_2 \leq Kn^{-3/2}n = Kn^{-1/2}.$$

Thus, (3.40) follows from (3.41) and (3.42).

Proof of Proposition 3.2. We can rewrite $EV_n e^{itS_n}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (3.43) \quad EV_n e^{itS_n} & = a_n \left[\sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} E\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}Z_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)} \exp\{it(T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)} + V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)})\} [\exp\{it(V_n - V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)} - V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)})\} - 1] \right. \\ & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} E\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}Z_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)} \exp\{it(T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)} + V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)})\} [\exp\{it(V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)} - V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)})\} - 1] \\ & \quad + \sum_{r=2}^{k-1} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} E\zeta_{\alpha,\beta} \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} Z_{\alpha,\beta}^{(j)} \exp\{it(T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(r+1)} + V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)})\} \\ & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} E\zeta_{\alpha,\beta} \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} Z_{\alpha,\beta}^{(j)} \exp\{it(T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} + V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)})\} \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} E\zeta_{\alpha,\beta}Z_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)} \exp\{it(T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)} + V_{\alpha,\beta}^{(k)})\} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} E \zeta_{\alpha, \beta} \exp \{it(T_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)} + V_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)})\} [\exp \{it(V_n - V_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)} - V_{\alpha, \beta}^{(0)})\} - 1] \\
& + \sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} E \zeta_{\alpha, \beta} \exp \{it(T_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)} + V_{\alpha, \beta}^{(1)})\} \Big].
\end{aligned}$$

So, by the method used in the proof of Proposition 3.1 (using Lemmas 3.5-3.8 instead of Lemmas 3.1-3.4 and noting (2.18)) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.44) \quad |EV_n e^{itS_n - ita_n} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} (E \zeta_{\alpha, \beta} T_n) f_n(t)| \\
\leq K[\{n^{-1} + |t|^{1+\delta} n^{-\delta/2} m^{1+\delta}\} |f_n(t)| + (t^2 n^{-1} m)^{(1+\delta)/2(2+\delta)} m^{1/2} \\
+ |t|^{\delta/(2+\delta)} n^{-(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)} m^{\delta/2(2+\delta)}].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (3.32) follows from (3.42)-(3.44).

Proof of Theorem 1. In what follows, we denote by $\theta_j(t)$ some function such that $|\theta_j(u)| \leq 1$ and by C_j an absolute constant.

Let $m = [(4(2+\delta)/\lambda\delta) \log n]$ and $k = [(4/3) \log n / \log \log n]$ where $[s]$ denotes the largest integer not exceeding s . Then, by Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 we can rewrite (3.2) as

$$(3.45) \quad f_n'(t) = \{-t + h_n(t)\} f_n(t) + Q_n(t)$$

for all t ($|t| < K_1 n^{1/2} m^{-3/4}$) where

$$h_n(t) = C_1 \theta_1(t) |t|^{1+\delta} n^{-\delta/2} m^{1+\delta} + C_2 \theta_2(t) |t| n^{-1/2} m^{1/2} + C_3 \theta_3(t) n^{-1}$$

and

$$Q_n(t) = C_4 \theta_4(t) \{(t^2 n^{-1} m)^{(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)} m^{(1+\delta)/2} + |t|^{\delta/(2+\delta)} n^{-(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)} m^{\delta/2(2+\delta)}\} + C_5 \theta_5(t) n^{-2}.$$

Solving the differential equation (3.45) we have

$$(3.46) \quad f_n(t) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{t^2}{2} + \int_0^t h_n(z) dz \right\} \left[1 + \int_0^t Q_n(u) \exp \left\{ \frac{u^2}{2} - \int_0^u h_n(z) dz \right\} du \right]$$

for all t ($|t| < K_1 n^{1/2} m^{-3/4}$).

Since there exists a constant K_8 such that for all t ($K_8 n^{-1/2} < |t| < K_1 n^{1/2} m^{-3/4}$)

$$\left| \operatorname{Re} \left(\int_0^t h_n(z) dz \right) \right| \leq \frac{t^2}{4},$$

so

$$(3.47) \quad \left| \exp \left(-\frac{t^2}{2} + \int_0^t h_n(z) dz \right) \right| \leq e^{-t^2/4}.$$

Further, if $|u| \leq |t|$

$$\left| \int_u^t \theta_1(z) |z|^{1+\delta} dz \right| \leq |t|^\delta \int_{|u|}^{|t|} |z| dz \leq |t|^\delta (t^2 - u^2)$$

and if $n^{-1/2} \leq |u| \leq |t|$

$$\left| \int_u^t \theta_3(z) n^{-1} dz \right| \leq n^{-1} \int_{|u|}^{|t|} dz = n^{-1} (|t| - |u|) \leq n^{-1/2} (t^2 - u^2).$$

Thus, there exist positive constants K_4 and K_5 such that for all t and u ($K_4 n^{-1/2} \leq |u| \leq |t| \leq K_5 n^{1/2} m^{-(1+\delta)/\delta}$)

$$\left| \int_u^t h_n(z) dz \right| \leq \frac{1}{4} (t^2 - u^2),$$

which implies

$$(3.48) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ -\frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{u^2}{2} + \int_u^t h_n(z) dz \right\} \leq -\frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{u^2}{2} + \frac{1}{4} (t^2 - u^2) = -\frac{t^2}{4} + \frac{u^2}{4}.$$

Hence, if $K_4 n^{-1/2} \leq |t| \leq K_5 n^{1/2} m^{-(1+\delta)/\delta}$, then by (3.47) and (3.48)

$$\begin{aligned} B_1(t) &= \left| \exp \left\{ -\frac{t^2}{2} + \int_0^t h_n(z) dz \right\} \right| \left| \int_0^t Q_n(u) \exp \left\{ \frac{u^2}{2} - \int_0^u h_n(z) dz \right\} du \right| \\ &\leq K e^{-t^2/4} \int_0^{K_4 n^{-1/2}} n^{-1} du + \int_{K_4 n^{-1/2}}^{|t|} |Q_n(u)| \left| \exp \left\{ -\frac{t^2}{2} + \frac{u^2}{2} + \int_u^t h_n(z) dz \right\} \right| du \\ &\leq K \left[n^{-3/2} e^{-t^2/4} + \int_0^t \{ n^{-(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)} m^{(4+3\delta)/2(2+\delta)} (|u|^{2(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)} + |u|^{\delta/(2+\delta)} + n^{-2}) \} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times e^{-t^2/4 + u^2/4} du \right] \\ &\leq K [n^{-3/2} e^{-t^2/4} + n^{-(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)} m^{(4+3\delta)/2(2+\delta)} \min(|t|^{\delta/(2+\delta)}, |t|^{(4+3\delta)/(2+\delta)}) + n^{-2} |t|], \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\left| \int_0^t u^r e^{u^2/4} du \right| = K\theta(t) e^{t^2/4} \min(|t|^{r+1}, |t|^{r-1}) \quad (r > 0).$$

On the other hand, if $0 < |t| < K_4 n^{-1/2}$, then

$$(3.49) \quad B_1(t) = K n^{-1} m^{(1+\delta)/\delta} |t|.$$

Finally, we remark that there exists a constant K_6 such that for all t ($|t| < K_6 n^{1/2} m^{-(1+\delta)/\delta}$)

$$\left| \int_0^t h_n(z) dz \right| \leq C_6 |t|^{2+\delta} n^{-\delta/2} m^{1+\delta} + C_7 |t|^2 n^{-1/2} m + C_8 |t| n^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{6} t^2 + C_8 |t| n^{-1}.$$

Thus, by (2.26) we have that for all t ($|t| < K_6 n^{1/2} m^{-(1+\delta)/\delta}$)

$$(3.50) \quad \begin{aligned} A(t) &= \left| \exp \left(-\frac{t^2}{2} \right) - \exp \left(-\frac{t^2}{2} + \int_0^t h_n(z) dz \right) \right| \\ &\leq e^{-t^2/2} \left| \exp \left(\int_0^t h_n(z) dz \right) - 1 \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq e^{-t^2/2} \left| \int_0^t h_n(z) dz \right| \exp \left| \int_0^t h_n(z) dz \right| \\
&\leq K e^{-t^2/2} \{ |t|^{2+\delta} n^{-\delta/2} m^{1+\delta} + |t|^2 n^{-1} m^{1/2} + |t| n^{-1} \} \exp \left\{ \frac{t^2}{6} + C_8 \frac{|t|}{n} \right\} \\
&\leq K \{ |t|^{2+\delta} n^{-\delta/2} m^{1+\delta} + |t|^2 n^{-1} m^{1/2} + |t| n^{-1} \} \exp \left\{ -\frac{t^2}{3} + C_8 \frac{|t|}{n} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let

$$L = \min(K_5, K_6) n^{1/2} m^{-(1+\delta)/\delta}.$$

Then, by Lemma 2 in [2, p. 297] and (3.46)–(3.50) we have that for δ ($0 < \delta \leq 1$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_n &\leq \int_0^L \frac{|f_n(t) - e^{-t^2/2}|}{|t|} dt + KL^{-1} \\
&\leq \int_0^L \frac{A(t)}{|t|} dt + \left\{ \int_0^{K_4 n^{-1/2}} + \int_{K_4 n^{-1/2}}^L \right\} \frac{B_1(t)}{|t|} dt + KL^{-1} \\
&\leq K \left[n^{-\delta/2} m^{1+\delta} + \left\{ \int_0^{K_4 n^{-1/2}} n^{-1} m^{(1+\delta)/2} dt \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \int_{K_4 n^{-1/2}}^L \frac{1}{|t|} (n^{-3/2} e^{-t^2/4} + n^{-(1+\delta)/(2+\delta)} m^{(4+3\delta)/2(2+\delta)} |t|^{\delta/(2+\delta)} + n^{-2} |t|) dt \right\} \right] + KL^{-1} \\
&\leq K \max \{ n^{-1/2} m^{1+\delta}, n^{-1/2} m^{(1+\delta)/\delta} \} \\
&\leq K n^{-\delta/2} m^{1+\delta}
\end{aligned}$$

since $L = O(n^{1/2} m^{-(1+\delta)/\delta})$ and $m = O(\log n)$. Thus, the proof is completed.

4. The Berry-Esseen theorems for U -statistics of order 2-(II)

Theorem 2. Let $\{\xi_i\}$ be a strictly stationary, absolutely regular sequence with mixing coefficient $\beta(n)$. Suppose Condition (C) holds with $r=2+\delta$ ($0 < \delta \leq 1$) and $d=2$. If $\beta(n) = O(n^{-4(2+\delta)(1+\delta)(\gamma+1)/\delta^2})$ for some $\gamma > 1$, then

$$(4.1) \quad \Delta_n \leq K n^{-(\delta/2)((\gamma-1)/(\gamma+1))}.$$

Proof. Let $m = [n^{\delta/(1+\delta)(\gamma+1)}]$ and $k = [5(1+\delta)(\gamma+1)/\delta]$. Then it is obvious that $\{\beta(m)\}^{\delta/(2+\delta)} = O(n^{-4})$ and $(m^{1/4})^k = O(n^{-1})$. So, from Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 (4.1) follows.

The following theorem is a generalization of Callaert-Janssen's results in [1].

Theorem 3. Let $\{\xi_i\}$ be a strictly stationary sequence of m -dependent random variables. Suppose Condition (C) holds with $r=2+\delta$ ($0 < \delta \leq 1$) and $d=2$. If $\sigma_0^2 > 0$, then

$$(4.2) \quad \Delta_n \leq K n^{-\delta/2},$$

where

$$\sigma_0^2 = \{Eg_1^2(\xi_1) - \theta^2(F)\} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (Eg_1(\xi_1)g_1(\xi_{j+1}) - \theta^2(F)).$$

The proof is obvious from the proof of Theorem 1 in the preceding section. The following theorem is a generalization of Takahata's result in [3].

Theorem 4. Let $\{\xi_i\}$ be a strictly stationary, absolutely regular sequence with mixing coefficient $\beta(n)$. Suppose Condition (C) holds with $r=3+\gamma$ ($\gamma>0$) and $d=2$. If $\beta(n)=O(e^{-\lambda n})$ for some $\lambda>0$, then

$$(4.3) \quad \Delta_n \leq Kn^{-1/2} \log n$$

and for all x

$$(4.4) \quad \left| P_n \left(\frac{n^{1/2}(U_n - \theta(F))}{2\sigma} < x \right) - \Phi(x) \right| \leq \frac{K \log^3 n}{\sqrt{n} (1+|x|^3)}.$$

Proof. Let $T_{\alpha}^{(j)}$ and $T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(j)}$ be the ones defined in the preceding section. Then by Lemma 2.4

$$\|T_{\alpha}^{(0)} - T_{\alpha}^{(1)}\|_s^2 \leq Kn^{-1}m$$

and

$$\|T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)} - T_{\alpha,\beta}^{(1)}\|_s^2 \leq Kn^{-1}m.$$

So, corresponding to Lemmas 3.4 and 3.8 the following holds; under the conditions of Theorem 4

$$\left| \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \eta_{\alpha} Z_{\alpha}^{(0)} - it \right| \leq K\{|t|n^{-1}m + t^2n^{-1/2}m\}$$

and

$$|a_n \sum_{1 \leq \alpha < \beta \leq n} E \zeta_{\alpha,\beta} Z_{\alpha,\beta}^{(0)}| \leq K\{|t|n^{-1/2}m + t^2n^{-1/2}m\}.$$

Hence, (4.3) follows from the method of the proof of Theorem 1. (4.4) is obvious by (4.3) and the proof is completed.

5. Remarks.

Remark 5.1. Results in Sections 3 and 4 may be extended to the general U -statistics of order d (≥ 2) using the same technique of proofs and Lemma 2.3.

Remark 5.2. For every c ($1 \leq c \leq d$) let

$$V_n^{(c)} = \int \cdots \int g_c(x_1, \dots, x_c) \prod_{j=1}^c d(F_n(x_j) - F(x_j))$$

where F_n is the empirical distribution. Then a von Mises' differentiable statistical functional $\theta(F_n)$ may be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(F_n) &= \int \cdots \int g(x_1, \cdots, x_d) dF_n(x_1) \cdots dF_n(x_d) \\ &= \theta(F) + \sum_{c=1}^d \binom{d}{c} V_n^{(c)} \quad (n \geq 1).\end{aligned}$$

Since under the conditions of Lemma 2.3

$$E(V_n^{(c)}) = O(n^{-2}) \quad (1 \leq c \leq d)$$

(see, Lemma 4 in [6]), so we can prove the analogous results to theorems in Sections 3 and 4 by the same method.

Remark 5.3. By the way, we remark that Theorem 4 in [3] is modified slightly as follows:

Let $\{X_j\}$ be a strictly stationary, strong mixing sequence of random variables with $EX_i = 0$ and $E|X_i|^{3+\varepsilon} < \infty$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose $\alpha(n) = O(e^{-\lambda n})$ for some $\lambda > 0$. Then

$$\sup \left| P\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j < x\right) - \Phi(x) \right| \leq Kn^{-1/2} \log n$$

where

$$\sigma_n^2 = E\left(\sum_{j=1}^n X_j\right)^2 \uparrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

To prove this, it is only enough to remark that by Lemma 2.4

$$b_n^3 = E\left|\sum_{j=1}^n X_j\right|^3 \leq Kn^{3/2}$$

under the assumptions described above. The rest of the proof of Theorem 4 is identical and so is omitted.

References

- [1] H. Callaert and P. Janssen, *The Berry-Esseen theorem for U-statistics*, Ann. Statist., **6** (1978), 417-421.
- [2] Y. S. Chow and H. Teicher, *Probability theory*, Berlin-Heiderberg-New York: Springer-Verlag 1978.
- [3] H. Takahata, *L_∞ -bound for asymptotic normality of weakly dependent summands using Stein's result*, Ann. Probab., **9** (1981), 000-000.
- [4] A. N. Tikhomirov, *On the rate of convergence in the central limit theorem for weakly dependent random variables*, Teo. Ver. Prim., **25** (1980), 800-813.

- [5] R. Yokoyama, *Moment bounds for stationary mixing sequences*, *Zeit Wahrscheinlichkeits theorie verw. Gebiete*, **52** (1980), 45-58.
- [6] K. Yoshihara, *Limiting behavior of U -statistics for stationary, absolutely regular processes*, *Zeit Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete*, **35** (1976), 237-252.

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Engineering
Yokohama National University
156, Tokiwadai, Hodogaya
Yokohama, Japan