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## 0. Introduction

An automorphism $\alpha$ of a von Neumann algebra $M$ is called freely acting if for any non-zero central projection $e$ of $M$ with $\alpha(e)=e, \alpha$ is outer on $M e$ [4]. We extend this notion to $C^{*}$-algebras, i.e., we call an automorphism $\alpha$ of a $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ freely acting if for any closed ideal $I$ of $A$ with $\alpha(I)=I$, the Borchers spectrum $\mathrm{T}_{B}(\alpha \mid I)$ of $\alpha \mid I$ is not trivial. Our main result is a $C^{*}$-analogue of $[2,1.2 .1]$ by Connes and improvement of [7, 1.1], which says that $\alpha$ is freely acting if and only if for any $\varepsilon>0$ and for any non-zero hereditary $C^{*}$-subalgebra $B$ of $A$, there exists $x \in B$ such that $x \geqslant 0,\|x\|=1$ and $\|x \alpha(x)\|<\varepsilon$. (This result has applications as in [7].)

If $A$ is separable, we can avoid using the Borchers spectrum in the definition of free action; in this case $\alpha$ is freely acting if and only if for any closed ideal $I$ of $A$ with $\alpha(I)=I, \alpha \mid I$ is not universally weakly inner.

In Section 1 we give a preliminary consideration concerning the Borchers spectrum and in Section 2 we give the main theorem.

In Section 3, for any pair $(A, \alpha)$ we construct a sequence of mutually orthogonal closed ideals $\left(I_{k}\right)$ indexed by $\mathbf{N} \cup\{\infty\}$, some of which may be ( 0 ), such that $\left(I_{k}\right)$ generates an essential ideal of $A$ and $\mathbf{T}\left(\alpha \mid I_{k}\right)=\mathrm{T}_{B}\left(\alpha \mid I_{k}\right)$ is the subgroup of order $k$ of T (T itself if $k=\infty$ ) for non-zero $I_{k}$. We show a property of $\alpha$ on $I_{k}$ in 3.3 (cf. [1]).

In Section 4 we make a simple remark on the strong Connes spectrum [5].

## 1. Borchers spectrum

Let $A$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra and $G$ a locally compact abelian group with action $\alpha$ on $A$. Let $\mathscr{H}^{\alpha}(A)$ denote the set of $\alpha$-invariant, hereditary, non-zero $C^{*}$-subalgebras of $A$, and let $\mathscr{H}_{B}{ }^{\alpha}(A)$ denote the subset consisting of algebras $B$ in $\mathscr{H}^{\alpha}(A)$ such that the closed ideal of $A$ generated by $B$ is essential in $A$. The Borchers spectrum of $\alpha$ is defined by

$$
\Gamma_{B}(\alpha)=\cap \mathrm{Sp}(\alpha \mid B) ; \quad B \in \mathscr{H}_{B}{ }^{\alpha}(A)
$$

whereas the Connes spectrum $\Gamma(\alpha)$ is defined by the same formula without subscript $B$ (see $[9,8.8]$ ). We characterize $\Gamma_{B}(\alpha)$ using ideals of the crossed product $A \times{ }_{\alpha} G$ and
the dual action $\hat{\alpha}$ of $\Gamma=\hat{G}$ on $A \times{ }_{\alpha} G$; similar results are known for the Connes spectrum [9, 8.11.8] and its variant [5, 3.4]. We adopt the notation in Pedersen's book $[9,7.8]$ throughout the following. In particular $\lambda(t), t \in G$ is the canonical unitary group in the multiplier algebra $M\left(A \times{ }_{\alpha} G\right)$ of $A \times{ }_{\alpha} G$ and $\lambda(f)$, for $f \in L^{1}(G)$, means

$$
\lambda(f)=\int_{G} f(t) \lambda(t) d t
$$

which is also a multiplier.
1.1. Proposition. In the above situation, let $n$ be a positive integer. Then $\sigma \in \Gamma$ belongs to $\Gamma_{B}(\alpha)$ if and only if for any neighbourhood $\Omega$ of $\sigma$, and for any closed ideal $J$ of $A \times{ }_{\alpha} G$ such that $J$ generates an essential $\hat{\alpha}$-invariant closed ideal of $A \times{ }_{\alpha} G$, there exist $\sigma_{k} \in \Omega+\cdots+\Omega(k$ terms $), k=1, \cdots, n$, such that

$$
J \cap \hat{\alpha}_{\sigma_{1}}(J) \cap \cdots \cap \hat{\alpha}_{\sigma_{n}}(J) \neq(0) .
$$

For the proof we prepare
1.2. Lemma. Let $x \in A$ and let $f, g \in L^{1}(G)$ be non-zero such that $\operatorname{supp} \hat{f}$ and $\operatorname{supp} \hat{g}$ are compact. Suppose that $\lambda(f) \cdot x \lambda(g) \neq 0$ (in $\left.A \times{ }_{\alpha} G\right)$. Then $\operatorname{Sp}_{\alpha} x \cap(\operatorname{supp} \hat{f}-\operatorname{supp} \hat{g}) \neq \varnothing$.

We omit the proof; this can be shown by standard techniques of spectral theory (cf. [9, chap. 8]).

Proof of 1.1. Let $\sigma \notin \Gamma_{B}(\alpha)$ and let $B \in \mathscr{H}_{B}{ }^{\alpha}(A)$ satisfy $\operatorname{Sp}(\alpha \mid B) \nexists \sigma$. Then there exist a neighbourhood $\Omega$ of $\sigma$ and a non-zero $f \in L^{1}(G)$ such that $\lambda\left(f_{\tau}\right)^{*} B \lambda(f)=(0)$ for $\tau \in \Omega$, where $f_{\tau}(t)=\langle t, \tau\rangle f(t)$. Let $J$ be the ideal of $A \times{ }_{\alpha} G$ generated by $B \lambda(f)$. Then the $\hat{\alpha}$-invariant ideal $\hat{J}$ of $A \times{ }_{\alpha} G$ generated by $J$ is essential in $A \times{ }_{\alpha} G$ because $B$ is essential in $A$. Since $\lambda\left(f_{\tau}\right) * B \lambda(f)=(0)$ for $\tau \in \Omega$, it follows that

$$
J \cap \hat{\alpha}_{\tau}(J)=(0) .
$$

Let $\sigma \in \Gamma_{B}(\alpha)$. Let $J$ be a closed ideal of $A \times{ }_{\alpha} G$ such that $\hat{J}$ is essential. It follows from [6] that there are $B_{i} \in \mathscr{H}^{\alpha}(A)$ and non-zero $f_{i} \in L^{1}(G)$ such that $B_{i} \lambda\left(f_{i}\right) \subset J$ and the family $\left\{B_{i} \lambda\left(f_{i}\right)\right\}$ generates $J$. Let $\left\{B_{i} \lambda\left(f_{i}\right)\right\}$ be a maximal family of these with $B_{i} A B_{j}=$ ( 0 ) for $i \neq j$. Then $\left\{B_{i}\right\}$ generates an essential ideal of $A$. For, otherwise, there is an ideal $I$ of $\mathscr{H}^{\alpha}(A)$ such that $I B_{i}=(0)$ for any $i$. The ideal $I_{1}$ of $A \times{ }_{\alpha} G$ generated by $I$ is $\hat{\alpha}$-invariant and so we obtain $I_{1} \cap \hat{J} \neq(0)$ which implies $I_{1} \cap J \neq(0)$. Then there is $B_{0} \in \mathscr{H}^{\alpha}(A)$ and non-zero $f_{0} \in L^{1}(G)$ such that $B_{0} \lambda\left(f_{0}\right) \subset I_{1} \cap J$. Since $I_{1} B_{i}=(0), B_{0}$ satisfies that $B_{0} A B_{i}=(0)$ which contradicts the maximality of $\left\{B_{i} \lambda\left(f_{i}\right)\right\}$.

Let $B$ be the hereditary $C^{*}$-subalgebra of $A$ generated by $\left\{B_{i}\right\}$. Then $B \in \mathscr{H}_{B}{ }^{\alpha}(A)$. Since $\sigma \in \Gamma_{B}(\alpha)$, it follows from the proof of $[9,8.8 .5]$ that for any compact neighbourhood $\Omega$ of $\sigma$ there exist $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n+1}$ in $B$ such that $\mathrm{Sp}_{\alpha} x_{k} \subset \Omega$ for $k$ and the product $x_{n+1} \cdots x_{1}$ is non-zero. We may assume that all $x_{k}$ belong to some $B_{i}$, and we let $f$ be a non-zero element of $L^{1}(G)$ such that $\operatorname{supp} \hat{f}$ is a small compact subset of
$\operatorname{supp} \hat{f}_{i}$, and $\hat{f}$ is positive. We assert that there exist $\sigma_{1} \cdots, \sigma_{n+1}$ in $\Gamma$ such that

$$
\lambda\left(f_{\sigma_{n+1}}\right) x_{n+1} \lambda\left(f_{\sigma_{n}}\right) x_{n} \cdots \lambda\left(f_{\sigma_{1}}\right) x_{1} \neq 0 .
$$

Otherwise the integrations over $\sigma_{k}\left(\right.$ in $\left.M\left(A \times{ }_{\alpha} G\right)\right)$ would yield

$$
x_{n+1} \cdots x_{1} f(0)^{n}=0
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, since we may suppose $\sigma_{1}=0$, we obtain $\sigma_{2}, \cdots, \sigma_{n+1}$ such that

$$
\lambda\left(f_{\sigma_{n+1}}\right) x_{n+1} \cdots \lambda\left(f_{\sigma_{2}}\right) x_{2} \lambda(f) x_{1} \neq 0 .
$$

Then by Lemma 1.2,

$$
\sigma_{k}-\sigma_{k-1} \in \Omega+\operatorname{supp} \hat{f}-\operatorname{supp} \hat{f} \equiv \Omega_{1}
$$

Hence $\sigma_{k} \in \Omega_{1}+\cdots+\Omega_{1} \quad(k-1$ terms $)$. Since $\lambda\left(f_{\sigma_{k}}\right) x_{k} \in \hat{\alpha}_{\sigma_{k}}(J)$ due to $\lambda(f) x_{k} \in \lambda(f) B_{i} \subset J$, we obtain

$$
J \cap \hat{\alpha}_{\sigma_{2}}(J) \cdots \cap \hat{\alpha}_{\sigma_{n+1}}(J) \neq(0) .
$$

This completes the proof since $\Omega_{1}$ can be an arbitrarily small neighbourhood of $\sigma$.
1.3. Corollary. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be actions of a locally compact abelian group $G$ on $a$ $C^{*}$-algebra $A$. If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are exterior equivalent, then $\Gamma_{B}(\alpha)=\Gamma_{B}(\beta)$.

Proof. Since $\left(A \times{ }_{\alpha} G, \Gamma, \hat{\alpha}\right)$ and $\left(A \times{ }_{\beta} G, \Gamma, \hat{\beta}\right)$ are equivalent (cf. [9, 8.11]), this follows from 1.1.

## 2. Free action

Let $A$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra and $\alpha$ an automorphism of $A$. We say that $\alpha$ is freely acting on $A$ if for any non-zero $\alpha$-invariant closed ideal $J$ of $A$, the Borchers spectrum $\mathbf{T}_{B}(\alpha \mid J)$ does not equal $\{1\} \subset \mathbf{T}=\mathbf{Z}$. When $A$ is a $W^{*}$-algebra, this definition coincides with that given by Kalleman [4, 1.8] (see [9, 8.8.3, 8.9.3]).

The following is an improvement of [7,1.1]; a similar result was obtained by Connes [2, 1.2.1] in the $W^{*}$-case (where 'freely acting' is called 'properly outer').
2.1. Theorem. Let $A$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra and $\alpha$ an automorphism of $A$. Then $\alpha$ is freely acting on $A$ if and only if for any non-zero hereditary $C^{*}$-subalgebra $B$ of $A$ and for any multiplier a of $A$ (or for $a=1$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf \{\|x a \alpha(x)\|: 0 \leqslant x \in B,\|x\|=1\}=0 . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $\alpha$ is not freely acting, there is a non-zero $\alpha$-invariant closed ideal $J$ of. $A$ with $\mathrm{T}_{B}(\alpha \mid J)=\{1\}$. Then by $[9,8.8 .7]$ for any $\varepsilon>0$ there is $B \in \mathscr{H}_{B}{ }^{\alpha}(J)$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Sp}(\alpha \mid B) \subset\left\{e^{i \theta}:|\theta|<\varepsilon\right\}
$$

It follows from [9, 8.7.10] that $\|\alpha(a)-x\|<\varepsilon\|x\|$ for $x \in B$. Hence, for $x \in B$ with $0 \leqslant x,\|x\|=1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2\|\alpha(x) x\| & \geqslant\|\alpha(x) x+x \alpha(x)\| \\
& \geqslant\left\|\alpha\left(x^{2}\right)+x^{2}\right\|-\varepsilon^{2} \\
& \geqslant 2\left\|x^{2}\right\|-\left\|\alpha\left(x^{2}\right)-x^{2}\right\|-\varepsilon^{2} \\
& \geqslant 2-\varepsilon-\varepsilon^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the infimum in (*) is not zero.
Suppose that the infimum in (*) is positive, say $\delta$. We use the proof of $[7,1.1]$ without any alteration until the very last stage ( $a$ is allowed to be a multiplier as remarked after the proof of $[7,1.1]$ ).

Let $\phi$ be a pure state of $B$, which has a unique extension to a state of $A$, denoted by $\phi$ again. In the GNS representation space $\mathscr{H}_{\phi}$ we construct a unitary $V_{\phi}$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{\phi} \pi_{\phi}(x) V_{\phi}^{*}=\pi_{\phi} \circ \alpha(x), \quad x \in A  \tag{**}\\
& \operatorname{Re}\left(\bar{\pi}_{\phi}(e a) V_{\phi} \bar{\pi}_{\phi}(e) \Phi, \Phi\right) \geqslant \delta
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\Phi \in \bar{\pi}_{\phi}(e) \mathscr{H}_{\phi}$ with $\|\Phi\|=1$, where $e$ denotes the identity of $B^{* *}$ in $A^{* *}$ and $\bar{\pi}$ is the unique extension of $\pi$ to a representation of $A^{* *}$. Define $\pi$ as the direct sum of $\pi_{\phi}$ with all pure states $\phi$ of $B$. In the representation space of $\pi$ define $V$ as the direct sum of all $V_{\phi}$ corresponding to $\pi_{\phi}$. Then $(\pi, V)$ gives a covariant representation of $(A, \alpha)$ and satisfies the properties analogous to ( $* *$ ).

Let $N$ be the numerical range of $\bar{\pi}(e a) V \bar{\pi}(e)$ on the range of $\bar{\pi}(e)$, and let $r=$ $\sup \{|\sigma| ; \sigma \in N\}$. Then $r \geqslant \delta(>0)$. Let $\theta_{0}=\operatorname{arc} \sin \delta / r$. Let $(\rho, U)$ be the direct sum of $\left(\pi, e^{i \theta} V\right)$ with $|\theta|<\left(\pi+\theta_{0}\right) / 2$. Then the numerical range $N_{1}$ of $\bar{\rho}(e a) U \bar{\rho}(e)$ on the range of $\bar{\rho}(e)$ is the convex hull of $e^{i \theta} N$ with $|\theta|<\left(\pi+\theta_{0}\right) / 2$. In particular $N_{1}$ is contained in

$$
\left\{\lambda: \operatorname{Re} \lambda \geqslant-r \cos \theta_{0} / 2,|\lambda| \leqslant r\right\}
$$

Further the closure $\bar{N}_{1}$ of $N_{1}$ contains $r$, since $\bar{N}$ contains re for some $\theta$ with $|\theta| \leqslant \pi / 2-\theta_{0}$. Hence the norm of $T \equiv 2^{-1}\left(\bar{\rho}(e a) U \bar{\rho}(e)+\bar{\rho}(e) U^{*} \bar{\rho}\left(a^{*} e\right)\right)$ is equal to $r$, since the closure of the numerical range of $T$ contains $r$ and is included in [ $-r \cos \theta_{0} / 2, r$ ], and $T$ is self-adjoint.

Let $\rho \times U$ be the representation of the crossed product $A \times{ }_{\alpha} \mathbf{Z}$ corresponding to ( $\rho, U$ ). From the above fact on $T$ we can conclude that $\rho \times U$ is not faithful, as follows.

For any $b \in B$ we obtain

$$
\rho\left(b^{*} a\right) U \rho(b)+\rho\left(b^{*}\right) U^{*} \rho\left(a^{*} b\right) \geqslant-2 r \cos \theta_{0} / 2 \rho\left(b^{*} b\right) .
$$

If $\rho \times U$ were faithful, the dual automorphism $\hat{\alpha}$ would induce automorphisms of $(\rho \times U)\left(A \times{ }_{\alpha} Z\right)$. By applying $\hat{\alpha}_{-1}$, we obtain

$$
-\rho\left(b^{*} a\right) U \rho(b)-\rho\left(b^{*}\right) U^{*} \rho\left(a^{*} b\right) \geqslant-2 r \cos \theta_{0} / 2 \rho\left(b^{*} b\right)
$$

Since the above inequalities are valid for any $b \in B$, they are valid for $b=e$ with $\bar{\rho}$ in
place of $\rho$. Thus

$$
-r \cos \theta_{0} / 2 \bar{\rho}(e) \leqslant T \leqslant r \cos \theta_{0} / 2 \bar{\rho}(e)
$$

which implies that $\|T\| \leqslant r \cos \theta_{0} / 2$, a contradiction.
Let $I$ be the $\alpha$-invariant closed ideal of $A$ generated by $B$. Since ker $\rho \cap B=(0)$, it follows that $\operatorname{ker} \rho \cap I=(0)$. Let $J=\operatorname{ker} \rho \times U \mid I \times{ }_{\alpha} Z$. Then the $\hat{\alpha}$-invariant closed ideal $\hat{J}$ of $I \times{ }_{\alpha} \mathbf{Z}$ generated by $J$ is essential in $I \times{ }_{\alpha} \mathbf{Z}$. Because otherwise there is a non-zero $\alpha$-invariant closed ideal $K$ of $I$ with $\hat{J} \cap K=(0)$. Then, since $K \cap B \neq(0)$, we can repeat the argument for $K \cap B$ instead of $B$ to yield that $\rho \times U$ is not faithful on $K \times{ }_{\alpha} \mathbf{Z}$, i.e., $J \cap K \times{ }_{\alpha} \mathbf{Z} \neq 0$, a contradiction. Thus $\hat{J}$ is essential in $I \times{ }_{\alpha} \mathbf{Z}$. Let $\lambda \in \mathbf{T}_{B}(\alpha \mid I)$ with $\lambda \neq 1$. There exist $\varepsilon>0$ and $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$
\left\{\lambda_{k}^{k} e^{i \theta}:|\theta|<\left(\pi+\theta_{0}\right) / 2, k=0,1, \cdots, n\right\}=\mathbf{T}
$$

for any $\lambda_{k} \in \mathbf{T}$ with $\left|\lambda_{k}-\lambda\right|<\varepsilon$. Then

$$
\bigcap_{k=0}^{n} \hat{\alpha}_{\lambda_{k^{k}}(J)}
$$

is $\hat{\alpha}$-invariant and so is $(0)$ since $J \cap I=(0)$. By 1.1 , this contradicts $\lambda \in \mathbf{T}_{B}(\alpha \mid I)$.
As a corollary to the above theorem, we obtain [2, 1.2.1] due to Connes:
2.2. Corollary. Let $M$ be a von Neumann algebra and $\alpha$ an automorphism of $M$. Then $\alpha$ is freely acting if and only if for any projection $e$ of $M$ and for any $a \in M$ (or for $a=1$ )

$$
\left.\inf \|p a \alpha(p)\|: p \in M, p=p^{*}=p^{2} \neq 0, p \leqslant e\right\}=0 .
$$

We omit the proof (cf. [9, 8.8.3]).
2.3. Remark. Theorem 2.1 can be used to strengthen 3.1 in [7] in an obvious way.
2.4. Remark. From the proof of [7,2.1] we obtain: If $\alpha$ is a freely acting automorphism of a separable $C^{*}$-algebra, then there exist uncountably many equivalence classes of pure states $\phi$ of $A$ such that $\phi \circ \alpha$ is disjoint from $\phi$. To prove this it is enough to make uncountably many pure states $\phi$ of $A$ such that the support projections of $\phi$ are mutually orthogonal and $\phi \circ \alpha$ is disjoint from $\phi$, since $A$ is separable.
For this purpose, on each induction step in the proof of [7, 2.1], we split $\overline{a_{n-1} A a_{n-1}}$ into two non-zero orthogonal hereditary $C^{*}$-subalgebras (this is possible because $A$ does not have a minimal projection) and construct a pair ( $e_{n}, a_{n}$ ) for each.
2.5. Remark. The notation of properly outer was defined by Elliott [3]. It easily follows that a freely acting automorphism is properly outer (cf. [7]]).
2.6. Remark. Let $\alpha$ be an element of the connected component $\mathrm{Aut}_{0}(A)$ of the identity in the automorphism group of the $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ equipped with the uniform
topology. Then $\mathbf{T}(\alpha)=\{1\}$. To prove this, it suffices to show that if $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}_{0}(A)$ satisfies that $\mathbf{T}(\alpha \mid J)=\{1\}$ for any closed ideal $J$, then $\mathbf{T}\left(e^{\delta} \circ \alpha \mid J\right)=\{1\}$ for any such $J$ for any *-derivation $\delta$ of $A$ with $e^{\|\delta\|}-1<\frac{1}{2}$ (noting that any closed ideal is left invariant under $\left.\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}_{0}(A)\right)([9,8.7 .7-8])$. By the assumption on $\alpha$, we have $\mathrm{T}_{B}(\alpha)=$ $\{1\}$ (cf. 3.1 below) and so $B \in \mathscr{H}_{B}{ }^{\alpha}(A)$ such that $\|(\alpha-1) \mid B\|<\frac{1}{2}$. Then

$$
\left\|e^{\delta} \circ \alpha(x)-x\right\| \leqslant e^{\|\delta\|}-1+\|(\alpha-1) \mid B\|<1
$$

for $x \in B$ with $\|x\|=1$. It follows from the first part of the proof of 2.1 that the infimum in (*) is positive for $a=1$. Hence $T\left(e^{\delta} \circ \alpha\right)=\{1\}$. Since this reasoning applies for any closed ideal of $A$, we get the conclusion.

## 3. Universally weakly inner automorphisms

Let $A$ be a $C^{*}$-algebra and $\alpha$ an automorphism of $A$. For $k \in \mathbf{N}$ let $\mathbf{T}_{k}$ be the subgroup of $\mathbf{T}$ of order $k$ and let $\mathbf{T}_{\infty}=\mathbf{T}$. Let $F_{k}$ be the set of all $\alpha$-invariant closed ideals $I$ of $A$ such that $\mathbf{T}(\alpha \mid I)=\mathbf{T}_{B}(\alpha \mid I)=\mathbf{T}_{k}$. If $I \in F_{k}$ and $J$ is a non-zero $\alpha$-invariant closed ideal of $I$, then $J \in F_{k}$. Let $I_{k}$ be the closed ideal generated by all $I \in F_{k}$. Then we shall show that $I_{k} \in F_{k}$. It is obvious that $\mathbf{T}\left(\alpha \mid I_{k}\right) \supset \mathrm{T}_{k}$. To prove that $\mathrm{T}_{B}\left(\alpha \mid I_{k}\right) \subset \mathrm{T}_{k}$, let ( $I_{i}$ ) be a maximal family in $F_{k}$ such that $I_{i} \cap I_{j}=(0)$ for $i \neq j$. Then ( $I_{i}$ ) generates an essential ideal of $I_{k}$. For any neighbourhood $\Omega$ of 1 in T there exist $B_{i} \in \mathscr{H}_{B}{ }^{\alpha}\left(I_{i}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{Sp}\left(\alpha \mid B_{i}\right) \subset \mathrm{T}_{k} \cdot \Omega[9,8.8 .7]$. Let $B$ be the direct sum of $\left(B_{i}\right)$. Then $B \in \mathscr{H}_{B}{ }^{\alpha}\left(I_{k}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Sp}(\alpha \mid B) \subset \mathbf{T}_{k} \cdot \Omega$. This completes the proof.
3.1. Proposition. Let A be a $C^{*}$-algebra and $\alpha$ an automorphism of $A$. For each $k \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{\infty\}$ let $I_{k}$ be the maximal $\alpha$-invariant closed ideal of $I$ such that $\mathbf{T}\left(\alpha \mid I_{k}\right)=$ $\mathrm{T}_{B}\left(\alpha \mid I_{k}\right)=\mathbf{T}_{k}$ (if there are no such ideals, set $I_{k}=(0)$ ). Then $\left(I_{k}\right)$ are mutally orthogonal and generate an essential ideal of $A$.

Proof. The orthogonality is trivial.
Let $I$ be the closed ideal generated by $\left\{I_{k}\right\}$. Suppose that the ideal $J \equiv\{x \in A: x I=$ $(0)\}$ is non-zero. Then $\mathbf{T}(\alpha \mid J) \varsubsetneqq \mathbf{T}_{B}(\alpha \mid J)$. Hence in particular $\mathbf{T}(\alpha \mid J) \neq \mathbf{T}$ and $\mathbf{T}_{B}(\alpha \mid J) \neq\{1\}$. If $\mathbf{T}_{B}(\alpha \mid J)=\mathbf{T}$, choose $B \in \mathscr{H}^{\alpha}(I)$ such that $\mathrm{Sp}(\alpha \mid B) \neq \mathbf{T}$ and let $J^{\prime}$ be the ideal generated by $B$. Then since $B \in \mathscr{H}_{B}{ }^{\alpha}\left(J^{\prime}\right), \mathbf{T}_{B}\left(\alpha \mid J^{\prime}\right) \neq \mathbf{T}$. Since $\mathbf{T}_{B}\left(\alpha \mid J^{\prime}\right)$ is a closed subset of $\mathbf{T}$ and satisfies that if $\lambda \in \mathbf{T}_{B}\left(\alpha \mid J^{\prime}\right)$ and $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, then $\lambda^{n} \in \mathbf{T}_{B}\left(\alpha \mid J^{\prime}\right)$ [9, 8.8.5] $\mathrm{T}_{B}\left(\alpha \mid J^{\prime}\right)$ has the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{T}_{B}\left(\alpha \mid J^{\prime}\right)=\mathbf{T}_{k_{1}} \cup \cdots \cup \mathbf{T}_{k_{n}} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}<k_{2}<\cdots<k_{n}$ and no $k_{i}$ divides $k_{j}$ for $i<j$.
Let $J_{1}=J$ or $J^{\prime}$ and suppose that $\mathrm{T}_{B}\left(\alpha \mid J_{1}\right)$ is of the form (*). If $\mathbf{T}\left(\alpha \mid J_{1}\right)=$ $\mathrm{T}_{B}\left(\alpha \mid J_{1}\right)$, then $n=1$ and $J_{1} \in F_{k_{1}}$, a contradiction. If $\mathbf{T}\left(\alpha \mid J_{1}\right) \varsubsetneqq \mathrm{T}_{B}\left(\alpha \mid J_{1}\right)$, then there exist $B \in \mathscr{H}^{\alpha}\left(J_{1}\right)$ and $i$ such that $\mathrm{Sp}(\alpha \mid B)$ does not contain $\mathrm{T}_{k_{i}}$. Let $J_{2}$ be the ideal generated by $B$. Then, since $T_{B}\left(\alpha \mid J_{2}\right)$ does not contain $T_{k_{i}}$, the total number of subgroups contained in $\mathbf{T}_{B}\left(\alpha \mid J_{2}\right)$ is smaller than that for $\mathrm{T}_{B}\left(\alpha \mid J_{1}\right)$. After a finite number of steps we find a non-zero $\alpha$-invariant closed ideal $J_{m}$ such that $\mathrm{T}\left(\alpha \mid J_{m}\right)=$
$\mathrm{T}_{B}\left(\alpha \mid J_{m}\right)$. Hence $J_{m}$ should have been in some $F_{k}$, a contradiction.
3.2. Remark. An automorphism $\alpha$ on the $C^{*}$-algebra $A$ is freely acting if and only if $I_{1}=(0)$, i.e., if and only if the set of $\alpha$-invariant closed ideals $I$ with $T(\alpha \mid I) \neq\{1\}$ generates an essential ideal.
3.3. Proposition. Let $A$ be a separable $C^{*}$-algebra and $\alpha$ an automorphism of A. If $\mathbf{T}(\alpha)=\mathbf{T}_{B}(\alpha)=\mathbf{T}_{k}$, then there exists an $\alpha$-invariant essential closed ideal I of $A$ such that $\alpha^{n} \mid I$ is implemented by a unitary in the $\alpha^{* *}$-fixed point algebra of $I^{* *}$ if and only if $n \equiv 0 \bmod k$ (when $k=\infty$, if and only if $n=0)$.

Proof. Let $\Omega$ be a sufficiently small neighbourhood of $1 \in \mathbf{T}$ and let $B \in \mathscr{H}_{B}{ }^{\alpha}(A)$ satisfy $\operatorname{Sp}(\alpha \mid B) \subset \mathbf{T}_{k} \cdot \Omega$. Let $I$ be the closed ideal generated by $B$. Then, when $k$ is finite, $\alpha^{n k} \mid I$ satisfies the required property (cf. [9, 8.9]).

Assume that $n \neq 0 \bmod k$ and $\alpha^{n} \mid I$ is universally weakly inner. Then $\alpha^{n} \mid J$ is not freely acting (cf. [7, 2.1], 2.4), for any non-zero ( $\alpha^{n}$-invariant) closed ideal $J$ of $A$. Hence $\mathbf{T}_{B}\left(\alpha^{n} \mid I\right)=\{1\}$ and so for any $\varepsilon>0$ there is $B \in \mathscr{H}_{B}{ }^{\alpha^{n}}(I)$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Sp}\left(\alpha^{n} \mid B\right) \subset\left\{e^{i \theta}:|\theta|<\varepsilon\right\} .
$$

Then there is a unitary $u$ in $I^{* *}$ such that $\alpha^{n}=\operatorname{Ad} u$ on $I, u e=e u$, and

$$
\mathrm{Sp}(u e) \subset\left\{e^{i \theta}:|\theta|<\varepsilon / 2\right\}
$$

where $e$ is the identity of $B^{* *}$ in $I^{* *}[9,8.7 .9,8.9 .1]$. Let $B_{1}$ be the $\alpha$-invariant hereditary $C^{*}$-subalgebra of $I$ generated by $B$, which is a closed linear span of

$$
\alpha^{i}(B) I \alpha^{j}(B), \quad 0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n-1 .
$$

Then

$$
\operatorname{Sp}\left(\alpha^{n} \mid B_{1}\right) \supset\left\{\lambda^{n} ; \lambda \in \mathbf{T}_{k}\right\},
$$

which is not a trivial group. Suppose that $\bar{\alpha}(u)=u$, where $\bar{\alpha}=\alpha^{* *}$. Then for $x \in \alpha^{i}(B) A \alpha^{j}(B)$

$$
\alpha^{n}(x)=u x u^{*}=\bar{\alpha}^{i}(u e) x \bar{\alpha}^{j}\left(e u^{*}\right) .
$$

Since $\operatorname{Sp} \bar{\alpha}^{-i}(u e)=\operatorname{Sp} u e$, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Sp} \alpha^{n}(x) \subset\{\exp i \theta:|\theta|<\varepsilon\}
$$

Hence we are led to a contradiction:

$$
\operatorname{Sp}\left(\alpha^{n} \mid B_{1}\right) \subset\{\exp i \theta:|\theta| \leqslant \varepsilon\} .
$$

Thus $\bar{\alpha}(u) \neq u$. For similar results, see [1], [8].

## 4. A Remark concerning T.

In a previous paper [7], we used the invariant $\boldsymbol{T}$ to obtain a result similar to 2.1. The condition was in fact quite strong as shown by the following:
4.1. Proposition. Let $(A, G, \alpha)$ be a $C^{*}$-dynamical system with a discrete abelian group $G$. Then the strong Connes spectrum is given by

$$
\tilde{\Gamma}(\alpha)=\bigcap_{I} \Gamma(\dot{\alpha} \mid A / I)
$$

where I runs over the set of proper $\alpha$-invariant closed ideals of $A$ and $\dot{\alpha}$ is the induced action on the quotient $A / I$.

Proof. By the characterization of $\tilde{\Gamma}(\alpha)$ in terms of covariant representations of $(A, G, \alpha)[5]$ it follows that $\tilde{\Gamma}(\alpha) \subset \Gamma(\dot{\alpha} \mid A / I)$ for such $I((0)$ included $)$. Hence

$$
\tilde{\Gamma}(\alpha) \subset \bigcap_{I} \Gamma(\dot{\alpha} \mid A / I)
$$

To show the converse we use the characterization of $\tilde{\Gamma}(\alpha)$ in terms of the dual action on the ideal space of $A \times{ }_{\alpha} G$ [5].

Let $J$ be a primitive ideal of $A \times{ }_{\alpha} G$, and let

$$
J_{0}=\bigcap_{\sigma \in \Gamma} \hat{a}_{\sigma}(J), \quad \text { and } \quad I_{0}=J_{0} \cap A
$$

Then $I_{0}$ generates $J_{0}$ and

$$
A / I_{0} \times{ }_{\dot{\alpha}} G \simeq\left(A \times{ }_{\alpha} G\right) / J_{0}
$$

Let $\dot{J}$ be the image of $J$ in $A / I_{0} \times{ }_{\dot{\alpha}} G$. Then $\dot{J}$ is a primitive ideal of $A / I_{0} \times{ }_{\alpha} G$ and

$$
\bigcap_{\sigma \in \Gamma} \hat{a}_{\sigma}(J)=(0)
$$

Let

$$
\Gamma_{J}=\left\{\sigma \in \Gamma ; \hat{\alpha}_{\sigma}(J)=J\right\}
$$

which is equal to $\left\{\sigma \in \Gamma: \hat{\alpha}_{\sigma}(\dot{J})=\dot{J}\right\}$.
Then the family $\left\{\hat{\alpha}_{\sigma}(\dot{J}) ; \sigma \in \Gamma\right\}$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma / \Gamma_{J}$ (with the isomorphism which preserves topologies and actions of $\Gamma$ ) as shown in [5, 3.7]. Hence

$$
\Gamma\left(\alpha \mid A / I_{0}\right) \subset \Gamma_{J} .
$$

Since $\tilde{\Gamma}(\alpha)=\cap_{J} \Gamma_{J}$, the result follows.
4.2. Remark. If $A$ is the gauge-invariant $C A R$ algebra and $\alpha$ is antomorphism which preserves ideals, then $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}(\alpha \mid I)=\{1\}$ for any ideal $I$ of $A$. (Because, there is an ideal $J$ of $I$ such that $I / J \simeq \mathbf{C}$.) But the Connes spectrum $\mathbf{T}(\alpha)$ can be non-trivial (e.g. if $\alpha$ is a certain quasi-free automorphism).
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