Yokohama Mathematical Journal Vol. 29, 1981

HOMOMORPHISMS AND MAXIMAL IDEALS IN THE ALGEBRA OF HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

By

HIROJI OCHIAI and NIRO YANAGIHARA

(Received September 4, 1980. Revised April 16, 1981)

1. Introduction

We denote by Ω a domain of holomorphy in the complex Euclidean space \mathbb{C}^{N} and by $H(\Omega)$ the algebra of all holomorphic functions in Ω , equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on each compact suset of Ω .

Let \mathcal{M} be the set of all maximal ideals of $H(\Omega)$. A well known theorem of Igusa [3] states:

Theorem IG. For a maximal ideal $M \in \mathcal{M}$, the following conditions (i)-(iv) are equivalent:

- (i) M corresponds to a point of Ω .
- (ii) M is closed.
- (iii) $H(\Omega)/M \cong C$ (the complex number field).
- (iv) M is finitely generated.

Non-closed maximal ideals are treated by some authors for the case N=1, see e.g. [1]. We considered the case $N \ge 1$ in [6], and introduced a topology in \mathscr{M} so that each $f \in H(\Omega)$ can be continuously extended to \mathscr{M} .

Let \mathcal{M}^{4} be the set of all closed maximal ideals of $H(\Omega)$. Theorem IG shows that an analytic structure can be introduced into \mathcal{M}^{4} . Our theme in this paper is a study of behaviors of $f \in H(\Omega)$ in $\mathcal{M}-\mathcal{M}^{4}$.

In the below, we suppose that N=1 and the domain Ω is the unit disk $U=\{|z|<1\}$, and write $T=\{|z|=1\}$, $P=\{|z|\leq\infty\}$ (the Riemann sphere).

Let $f \in H(\Omega)$. In §§ 2-3, we characterize the cluster set $C(f; \alpha)$ [2, p. 3] and the boundary cluster set $C_B(f; \alpha)$ [2, p. 81] of f at $\alpha \in T$ by means of \mathcal{M} , and derive a fact (see (3.4)) which is an analogue of the theorem of Iversen-Gross [2, p. 91, Theorem 5.2].

In § 4, we study some connections between \mathcal{M} and the maximal ideal space of $H^{\infty}(U)$ (the set of all bounded holomorphic functions in U).

In §5, we consider analytic structures in $\mathcal{M}-\mathcal{M}^{4}$.

Studies for the cases of more general plane domains or of higher dimensions will be further tasks.

For later use, we summarize here some results in [6].

(1.1) Let M be a non-closed maximal ideal. We can choose functions f_1, \dots, f_N , $f_j \in M$, such that $\{f_1 = \dots = f_N = 0\}$ is a point sequence $Z = \{z_k\}$ in Ω , infinite but not clustering in Ω . Let Ψ be a collection of subsets E of Z such that $E \in \Psi$ if there are functions g_1, \dots, g_h in M with $\{f_1 = \dots = f_N = g_1 = \dots = g_h = 0\} = E$. Then, Ψ is a ultrafilter on Z. Thus, M defines a pair (Z, Ψ) of a sequence Z and a ultrafilter Ψ on Z.

(1.2) Let M be a non-closed maximal ideal and (Z, Ψ) be the pair defined by M. Let I be the set of all positive integers and Φ be a collection of subsets A of I such that $A = \{n_k\} \in \Phi$ if there is $E \in \Psi$ with $E = \{z_{n_k}\}$. Let C^I be the set of all complex sequences. We say $\{a_i\} \equiv \{b_i\}$ if $\{i; a_i = b_i\} \in \Phi$. Then, $C^* = C^I/(\equiv)$ is a (transcendental) extension field of C, and $H(\Omega)/M \cong C^*$.

(1.3) Let \mathscr{S} be the set of all infinite point sequences in Ω , not clustering in Ω . For a $Z \in \mathscr{S}$, let $\mathscr{U}(Z)$ be the set of all ultrafilters on Z, such that $\Psi \in \mathscr{U}(Z)$ contains all sets E whose complements Z-E are finite sets. Let $Z_1, Z_2 \in \mathscr{S}$ and $\Psi_1 \in \mathscr{U}(Z_1), \Psi_2 \in \mathscr{U}(Z_2)$. We say $(Z_1, \Psi_1) \sim (Z_2, \Psi_2)$ if there is a $Z' \in \mathscr{S}, Z' \subset Z_1 \cap Z_2, Z' \in \Psi_1 \cap \Psi_2$, and $Z' \cap \Psi_1 = Z' \cap \Psi_2$.

(1.4) If (Z_1, Ψ_1) and (Z_2, Ψ_2) are pairs defined by the same maximal ideal M, as stated in (1.1), then $(Z_1, \Psi_1) \sim (Z_2, \Psi_2)$ in the sense (1.3). Conversely, for a pair (Z, Ψ) put

 $M = \{ f \in H(\Omega); Z \cap \{ f = 0 \} \in \Psi \} .$

Then M is a maximal ideal, and, if $(Z_1, \Psi_1) \sim (Z, \Psi)$, then (Z_1, Ψ_1) determines the same maximal ideal M.

(1.5) We say an equivalence class $[(Z, \Psi)]$ determines a boundary point b_{Ψ} of Ω . Put

$$\delta \Omega = \{ b_{\mathbf{F}}; Z \in \mathscr{S}, \Psi \in \mathscr{U}(Z) \}, \quad \Omega^* = \Omega \cup \delta \Omega$$

Then by (1.4), the maximal ideal space \mathcal{M} of $H(\Omega)$ and Ω^* correspond each other in a one-to-one way.

(1.6) Ω^* is topologized as follows: For a point $z \in \Omega$, neighborhoods of z are defined as usual. For a $b_{\overline{x}} \in \delta\Omega$, a subset N of Ω^* is a neighborhood of $b_{\overline{x}}$ if

(a) $N \cap \Omega$ is an open set in Ω , containing a set $E \in \Psi$, and

(b) $N \cap \delta \Omega$ consists of boundary points determined by classes of ultrafilters on point sequences $(\in \mathscr{S})$ contained in $N \cap \Omega$.

By this topology, Ω^* is a Hausdorff space, which is countably compact.

(1.7) Each $f \in H(\Omega)$ can be extended continuously on Ω^* , as a map $\Omega^* \to \mathbf{P}$. This continuous extension of f is denoted by f^* .

We suppose N=1 and $\Omega=U$ (the unit disk) in this paper.

2. Homomorphisms of H(U)

A maximal ideal M determines a point sequence $Z = \{z_k\}$ and a ultrafilter Ψ , which in turn determine a boundary point $b_{\overline{\Psi}}$ (see (1.5)). The maximal ideal M, or equivalently the boundary point $b_{\overline{\Psi}}$, defines a homomorphism ϕ of H(U) onto C^* (an extension field of C), $C^* \cong H(U)/M$, see (1.2).

Conversely, let ϕ be a homomorphism of H(U) onto an extension field $C^* = C^{I}/(\equiv)$, where C^{I} is the set of all complex sequences and \equiv is the equivalence relation defined by a ultrafilter Φ on the set of all positive integers I, see (1.2). Then, the kernel $K = \ker(\phi)$ of the homomorphism ϕ is obviously a maximal ideal in H(U). K can not correspond to a point of U, for otherwise we would have $H(U)/K \cong C$, in contradiction that $H(U)/K \cong C^*$. Thus, if $f \in K$, f has infinitely many zero points $Z = \{z_k\}$, see (1.1). K induces a ultrafilter Ψ on Z, which corresponds to the ultrafilter Φ on I, which defines the equivalency (\equiv). The maximal ideal K, or equivalently (Z, Ψ) , defines a boundary point. In this way, the maximal ideal space \mathcal{M} of H(U), which is a countable-compactification U^* of U, can be identified with the set of homomorphisms of H(U), of the type stated above.

Every $f \in H(U)$ is continuous at boundary points as a map to P, see (1.7). Thus, at a boundary point $b_{\overline{x}}$, f has a limit $f(b_{\overline{x}})$, which we denote as $\phi^{*}(f)$, where ϕ is the homomorphism defined by $b_{\overline{x}}$. Thus

$$(2.1) \qquad \qquad \phi^*(f) = f(b_{\overline{\varphi}}) \ .$$

Then, ϕ^{\sharp} is a map of H(U) to **P**. For every $f \in H(U)$, we have

(2.2)
$$f^{*}(\phi) = \phi^{*}(f) \quad (=f(b_{\overline{w}}))$$

where f^* is the continuous extension of f (see (1.7)).

For the function $z \in H(U)$, we use the symbol π for z^* :

 $\pi(\phi) = z^*(\phi) , \phi \in \mathcal{M}$.

By the definitions, we have easily

Theorem 2.1. The mapping π is a continuous map of \mathscr{M} onto the closed disk \overline{U} . Over the disk U, π is one-to-one, and π^{-1} maps U homeomorphically onto the open subset \mathscr{M}' of \mathscr{M} . \mathscr{M}' is the set of all closed maximal ideals \mathscr{M}^4 of H(U). That is, π^{-1} maps $\lambda \in U$ to $\phi_{\lambda} \in \mathscr{M}^4$, where ϕ_{λ} denotes the evaluation at λ .

It is convenient to picture π as a projection of \mathscr{M} onto the closed unit disk. By Theorem 2.1, $\pi(\mathscr{M}^{4})=U$. The remainder $\mathscr{M}-\mathscr{M}^{4}$ is mapped by π onto the circumference T. For $\alpha \in T$, we put

(2.3)
$$\mathscr{M}_{\alpha} = \pi^{-1}(\alpha) = \{ \phi \in \mathscr{M} ; \phi^{*}(z) = \alpha \} .$$

 \mathcal{M}_{α} is called the *fiber of* \mathcal{M} over α . It is a closed subset of \mathcal{M} . We have

(2.4)
$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}^{\Delta} \cup (\bigcup_{\alpha \in T} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}), \quad \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} \cap \mathcal{M}_{\beta} = \text{void if } \alpha \neq \beta.$$

For $f \in H(U)$ and $\alpha \in T$, we define the cluster set $C(f; \alpha)$ of f at [2, p. 3] by

(2.5)
$$C(f; \alpha) = \{ \zeta \in \mathbf{P} ; \text{ there is a seq. } \{\lambda_n\} \subset U \text{ with } f(\lambda_n) \to \zeta \}$$
$$= \bigcap_{n \to 0} \overline{f(U \cap \{|z - \alpha| < \varepsilon\})} .$$

Theorem 2.2. $f^*(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}) = C(f; \alpha)$.

Proof. Let $b_{\overline{y}} \in \mathscr{M}_{\alpha}$. Then there is a sequence $Z = \{\lambda_n\}$ and a ultrafilter Ψ on Z, determining $b_{\overline{y}}$. Let $\{\lambda_{n(\nu)}\}$ be a subnet of Z such that $\lambda_{n(\nu)} \rightarrow b_{\overline{y}}$. Then $z^*(\lambda_{n(\nu)}) \rightarrow \alpha$ and $f^*(\lambda_{n(\nu)}) \rightarrow f(b_{\overline{y}})$ and $f(b_{\overline{y}}) \in C(f; \alpha)$. Conversely, if $\zeta \in C(f; \alpha)$, there is $\lambda_n \rightarrow \alpha$ and $f(\lambda_n) \rightarrow \zeta$. Let Ψ be a ultrafilter on $Z = \{\lambda_n\}$ and $b_{\overline{y}}$ be a boundary point determined by (Z, Ψ) . If $\{\lambda_{n(\nu)}\}$ is a subnet of $\{\lambda_n\}$ such that $\lambda_{n(\nu)} \leftarrow b_{\overline{y}}$, then $z^*(\lambda_{n(\nu)}) \rightarrow \alpha$, whence $b_{\overline{y}} \in \mathscr{M}_{\alpha}$, and $f(\lambda_{n(\nu)}) \rightarrow f(b_{\overline{y}})$, therefore $\zeta = f(b_{\overline{y}}) \in f^*(\mathscr{M}_{\alpha})$. Q.E.D.

By the way, we define the boundary cluster set $C_B(f; \alpha)$ [2, p. 81] of $f \in H(U)$ at $\alpha \in T$ by

(2.6)
$$C_{\mathcal{B}}(f; \alpha) = \bigcap_{\epsilon \geq 0} \left(\bigcup \left\{ C(f; \beta); 0 < |\beta - \alpha| < \varepsilon, \beta \in T \right\} \right).$$

3. Boundary fibers and boundary cluster sets

Now we put

$$(3.1) \qquad \qquad \mathcal{M}_{\alpha,s} = \bigcup \left\{ \mathcal{M}_{\beta}; \ 0 < |\beta - \alpha| < \varepsilon, \ \beta \in T \right\}$$

(3.2)
$$\mathscr{M}_{\alpha}^{B} = \bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \operatorname{cl} \left(\mathscr{M}_{\alpha, i} \right),$$

in which cl(E) denotes the closure of E in \mathcal{M} . \mathcal{M}_{α}^{B} is non-void, since \mathcal{M} is countably compact (1.6). We call \mathcal{M}_{α}^{B} the boundary fiber of \mathcal{M} at α . $m = \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{B}$

can not belong to any \mathcal{M}_{β} if $\beta \neq \alpha$. Hence

(3.3)

 $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{B}\subset \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}$.

 \mathcal{M}_{α} and \mathcal{M}_{α}^{B} are closed in \mathcal{M} .

Theorem 3.1. We have

(3.4) $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} - \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{B}$ is open in $\mathcal{M} - U$

and

(3.5) $f^{\ast}(\mathscr{M}_{\alpha}^{B}) = C_{B}(f; \alpha) .$

Proof. Take $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha} - \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{B}$. *m* is defined by a point sequence $Z = \{\lambda_{n}\}$ and a ultrafilter Ψ on *Z*. There is a set $E \in \Psi$ such that $\overline{E} \cap T = \{\alpha\}$. Suppose to the contrary. Then, for any $E \in \Psi$, there would be a point $\beta_{\mathbb{Z}} \neq \alpha$, $\beta_{\mathbb{Z}} \in \overline{E} \cap T$. Take a neighborhood *N* of *m*. $N \cap U$ contains a set $E \in \Psi$, whence *N* intersects with $\mathcal{M}_{\beta_{E}}$, which implies that $m \in cl(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\beta})$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$, $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{B}$, contradicting to the hypothesis.

Thus, there is a neighborhood N of m such that

 $N\cap (\mathcal{M}-U)\subset \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}-\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{B}$,

which proves (3.4).

Now we have, by (2.6) and Theorem 2.2,

$$C_{B}(f; \alpha) = \bigcap_{\epsilon>0} \overline{(\bigcup \{f^{*}(\mathcal{M}_{\beta}); 0 < |\beta - \alpha| < \epsilon, \beta \in T\})}$$

=
$$\bigcap_{\epsilon>0} \overline{(f^{*}(\bigcup \{\mathcal{M}_{\beta}; 0 < |\beta - \alpha| < \epsilon, \beta \in T\}))}$$

$$\supset \bigcap_{\epsilon>0} f^{*}(\operatorname{cl}(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\epsilon})) \supset f^{*}(\bigcap_{\epsilon>0} \operatorname{cl}(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\epsilon})) = f^{*}(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{B})$$

Let $w_0 \in C_B(f; \alpha)$. Take a sequence $\varepsilon_n \downarrow 0$. Then

$$w_0 \in \overline{\bigcup \{f^*(\mathscr{M}_{\beta}); 0 < |\beta - \alpha| < \varepsilon_n, \beta \in T\}}$$
.

We can choose, for a sequence $\delta_n \downarrow 0$,

$$w_n \in \bigcup \{ f^*(\mathscr{M}_{\beta}); 0 < |\beta - \alpha| < \varepsilon_n, \beta \in T \}, \quad |w_n - w_0| < \delta_n .$$

Then, $w_n \rightarrow w_0$. On the other hand,

 $w_n = f^*(m_n)$ for an $m_n \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha, \epsilon_n}$.

By the countable compactness of \mathcal{M} , we have that

$$Q = \bigcap_{k>0} (\operatorname{cl} \left(\{m_n\}_{n \ge k} \right)) \text{ is non-void.}$$

Take a point m_0 in Q. Then $m_0 \in cl(\mathcal{M}_{\alpha,\epsilon})$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$, hence m_0 belongs to \mathcal{M}_{α}^{B} . Obviously

 $f^*(m_0) \in \overline{\{f^*(m_n); n \ge k\}}$ for every k,

therefore

 $f^{*}(m_{0}) = w_{0}$, $w_{0} \in f^{*}(\mathscr{M}_{\alpha}^{B})$,

which implies (3.5).

We denote by ω a subdomain of U whose boundary $\partial \omega$ consists of a Jordan curve such that $\partial \omega \cap T = \{\alpha\}$. Put

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{\omega} = \{m \in \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}; m \text{ is defined by a sequence } Z = \{\lambda_n\} \text{ in } \omega$$

and a ultrafilter Ψ on $Z\}$.

Theorem 3.2. We have

(3.6)

$$\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} - \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{B} = \bigcup_{\omega} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{\omega},$$

where the sum on the right is taken over all subdomains ω of the type stated above.

Proof. Obviously, $\bigcup \mathscr{M}_{\alpha} \subset \mathscr{M}_{\alpha} - \mathscr{M}_{\alpha}^{B}$. Conversely, take $m \in \mathscr{M}_{\alpha} - \mathscr{M}_{\alpha}^{B}$. *m* is defined by a sequence $Z = \{\lambda_{n}\}$ and a ultrafilter Ψ on Z. By the proof of Theorem 3.1, there is a set $E \in \Psi$, $\overline{E} \cap T = \{\alpha\}$. Put

$$\Psi_E = E \cap \Psi = \{E \cap F; F \in \Psi\}.$$

Then, Ψ_E is a ultrafilter on E, and (E, Ψ_E) is equivalent to (Z, Ψ) in the sense stated in (1.3). Thus the point m is also defined by (E, Ψ_E) . The sequence E is contained in some domain ω of the type stated above, hence $m \in \bigcup_{\alpha} \mathscr{M}_{\alpha}^{\omega}$, which proves (3.6). Q.E.D.

Further, we have

Theorem 3.3. $\mathcal{M}_{\alpha} - \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{B}$ is connected.

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, it suffices to show that $\mathscr{M}_{\alpha}^{\omega}$ is connected. Suppose to the contrary, $\mathscr{M}_{\alpha}^{\omega} = A \cup B$ with open sets A and B in $\mathscr{M}_{\alpha}^{\omega}$, $A \cap B =$ void. A and B are derived from some open sets in U, say O_A and O_B , respectively. Since $A \cap B =$ void, we may assume that $O_A \cap O_B =$ void, and

$$O_A \cup O_B \supset \omega \cap \{|z - \alpha| < r\}$$
 for some $r > 0$,

because $A \cup B = \mathscr{M}_{\alpha}^{\omega}$. This is absurd by the connectivity of ω . Q.E.D.

By the same method, we can show

128

Q.E.D.

Theorem 3.4. \mathcal{M}_{α} is connected.

4. Maximal ideal spaces of H(U) and of $H^{\infty}(U)$

We denote by $H^{\infty}(U)$ the set of all bounded holomorphic functions in U. $H^{\infty}(U)$ is a Banach algebra with the supremum norm, and has been investigated by several authors, see e.g., [4]. We write the maximal ideal space of $H^{\infty}(U)$ as $\mathscr{M}(H^{\infty})$. \mathscr{M} denotes the maximal ideal space of H(U) as before.

Theorem 4.1. For any $\alpha \in T$, there is a maximal ideal $M \in \mathscr{M}_{\alpha}$ which does not contain any bounded holomorphic function in U, other than the identically zero function.

Proof. Let $Z = \{\lambda_n\}$ be a point sequence in U such that

(4.1)
$$\lambda_n \to \alpha \text{ as } n \to \infty$$
, and $\sum (1-|\lambda_n|) = \infty$.

Let \mathscr{F} be a family of subsets of Z defined as follows: $E \subset Z$ belongs to \mathscr{F} if

 $Z-E=\{\lambda_{n_k}\}$ satisfies $\sum (1-|\lambda_{n_k}|) < \infty$.

Let Ψ be a ultrafilter containing \mathscr{F} . Then the maximal ideal M corresponding to (Z, Ψ) can not contain any bounded function other than 0. Q.E.D.

Theorem 4.2. There is a continuous map μ from \mathscr{M} onto $\mathscr{M}(H^{\infty})$ such that for any $f \in H^{\infty}(U)$

(4.2)
$$f^*(m) = f^{(\mu(m))}, \quad m \in \mathcal{M},$$

where f^{\uparrow} denotes the Gelfand representation of f [4, p. 159].

Proof. Let M be a maximal ideal in H(U) and ϕ be the corresponding homomorphism of H(U) onto C^* , an extension field of C. Then, ϕ^* , defined in (2.1), gives obviously a complex homomorphism of $H^{\infty}(U)$ onto C, and hence there exists a unique point $m^* \in \mathscr{M}(H^{\infty})$ corresponding to m, and the map μ is defined by

$$m^* = \mu(m)$$
.

(4.2) is clearly satisfied by the definition.

Conversely, take $m' \in \mathcal{M}(H^{\infty})$. There is a point sequence $Z = \{\lambda_n\}$ in U whose closure in $\mathcal{M}(H^{\infty})$ contains m' [5, p. 85, Corollary]. Let the subnet $\{\lambda_{n(i)}\}$ of $\{\lambda_n\}$ converge to m'.

We define a family \mathscr{F} of subsets of Z as follows: $E \subset Z$ belongs to \mathscr{F} if there is an i_0 such that

$$\{\lambda_{n(i)}; i \geq i_0\} \subset E$$

Then, \mathscr{F} is a filter on Z, and a ultrafilter \mathscr{V} containing \mathscr{F} defines a point m in \mathscr{M} . We will show that

(4.3)
$$m' = m^* = \mu(m)$$
.

Let M' and M be the maximal ideals in $H^{\infty}(U)$, respectively, which are represented by m' and m in $\mathcal{M}(H^{\infty})$ and \mathcal{M} , respectively. Let ϕ be the homomorphism corresponding to M. For any $f \in M' \subset H^{\infty}(U)$, we have

$$\lim f(\lambda_{(i)}) = f^{(m')} = 0.$$

It is easy to see that the closure of $\{\lambda_{n(i)}\}\$ in \mathscr{M} contains m. Hence f(m) belongs to the closure of $(f(\lambda_{n(i)}))$, therefore f(m)=0, $\phi^*(f)=0$, which shows that

$$M' = \ker(\phi^{*}) = M^{*}$$
,

and (4.3) holds. Thus, the map μ is onto $\mathcal{M}(H^{\infty})$.

Now we will prove the continuity of μ . Let $\{\phi_i\}$ be a net in \mathscr{M} which converges to ϕ . Since $f \in H^{\infty}(U) \subset H(U)$ is continuous on \mathscr{M} , we have $f^{*}(\phi_i) \rightarrow f^{*}(\phi)$, hence

$$\phi_i^*(f) \rightarrow \phi^*(f)$$
, $f \in H^{\infty}(U)$,

which shows that

$$\mu(\phi_i) = \phi_i^* \rightarrow \phi^* = \mu(\phi)$$

in the weak* topology of $\mathcal{M}(H^{\infty})$, whence μ is continuous.

 $\{f^*; f \in H(U)\}$ separates points of \mathscr{M} hence of $\mathscr{M}(H^{\infty})$, while $\{f^*; f \in H^{\infty}(U)\}$ separates points of $\mathscr{M}(H^{\infty})$ but not points of \mathscr{M} .

Q.E.D.

5. Analytic structures

We write

(5.1)
$$L_{\lambda}(z) = L(z; \lambda) = (z+\lambda)/(1+\overline{\lambda}z), \quad |\lambda| < 1,$$

which transforms U into \mathcal{M} , i.e., $L_{\lambda} \in (\mathcal{M})^{v}$.

 L_{λ} can be considered also as a map from U into $\mathscr{M}(H^{\infty})$. In this point of view, we write L_{λ} as \mathscr{L}_{λ} . That is,

$$(5.2) \qquad \qquad \lambda \in U \to \mathscr{L}_{2} \in (\mathscr{M}(H^{\infty}))^{U}.$$

By [5, p. 88, Theorem 4.3], the transformation (5.2) can be extended to $\mathscr{M}(H^{\infty}) \rightarrow (\mathscr{M}(H^{\infty}))^{v}$, $m' \in \mathscr{M}(H^{\infty}) \rightarrow \mathscr{L}_{m'} \in (\mathscr{M}(H^{\infty}))^{v}$. For transformation

(5.3)

$$\lambda \in U \rightarrow L_{2} \in (\mathcal{M})^{U}$$

we obtain, correspondingly,

Theorem 5.1. The transformation (5.3) can be extended to a continuous transformation which assigns to each $m \in \mathcal{M}$ a map $L_m \in (\mathcal{M})^{\vee}$.

Let μ be the continuous map of \mathscr{M} onto $\mathscr{M}(H^{\infty})$ in Theorem 4.2. Let $m \in \mathscr{M}$. If $\mu(m)$ belongs to the closure (in $\mathscr{M}(H^{\infty})$) of some interpolating sequence in U (see [5, p. 80]), then L_m is a one-to-one analytic map of U onto a subset P(m) of \mathscr{M} such that

$$\mu(P(m)) = the \ Gleason \ part \ \mathscr{P}(\mu(m)) \ of \ \mu(m) \ in \ \mathscr{M}(H^{\sim}).$$
 [5, p. 75]

P(m) is an analytic set in the sense that for each $f \in H^{\infty}(U)$, $(f^* \circ L_m)(z)$ is a holomorphic function in U (see [5, p. 77]).

If $\mu(m)$ does not belong to the closure (in $\mathcal{M}(H^{\infty})$) of any interpolating sequence in U, then

$$\mu(L_m(U)) = \mu(m) ,$$

i.e., any bounded holomorphic function is constant on $L_m(U)$.

Proof. Let $m \in \mathscr{M}$ be defined by a sequence $Z = \{\lambda_n\}$ and a ultrafilter Ψ on Z. Let $\{\lambda_{n(i)}\}$ be a subnet converging to m. Then $\{\lambda_{n(i)}\}$ converges in $\mathscr{M}(H^{\infty})$ to $\mu(m)$, since the map μ is continuous.

By [5, p. 88, Theorem 4.3], we have in $(\mathcal{M}(H^{\infty}))^{\sigma}$

$$\lim_{i} \mathscr{L}_{\lambda_{n}(i)} = \mathscr{L}_{\mu(m)} \quad (\text{see, especially, [5, p. 90]}).$$

If $\mu(m)$ is in the closure of some interpolating sequence, then $\mathscr{L}_{\mu(m)}(U)$ is the Gleason part $\mathscr{P}(\mu(m))$ in $\mathscr{M}(H^{\infty})$. Take a point $z \in U$. Put

$$W = \{w_n\}$$
, $w_n = L(z; \lambda_n)$,

and, for a set $E \in \Psi$,

$$E_{\mathbf{w}} = \{ L(z; \lambda_n); \lambda_n \in E \}$$
.

Then

$$\Psi_{w} = \{E_{w}; E \in \Psi\}$$

is a ultrafilter on W. (W, Ψ_w) defines a point $m_s \in \mathcal{M}$. Then obviously,

$$w_{n(i)} = L(z; \lambda_{n(i)}) \rightarrow m_z$$
 in \mathcal{M} .

We define a map L_m from U into \mathcal{M} by

 $L_m(z)=m_s$.

Since $\{w_{n(i)}\}$ converges to $\mu(m_z)$ in $\mathcal{M}(H^{\infty})$ by the continuity of μ , we have

$$\mathscr{L}_{\mu(m)}(z) = \mu(L_m(z))$$
.

If $z_1, z_2 \in U(z_1 \neq z_2)$, then $\mathscr{L}_{\mu(m)}(z_1) \neq \mathscr{L}_{\mu(m)}(z_2)$ since $\mathscr{L}_{\mu(m)}$ is one-to-one. Hence $L_m(z_1) \neq L_m(z_2)$,

and the map L_m is one-to-one. Obviously, by (4.2),

$$(f^{\ast} \circ L_m)(z) = f^{(\mu(L_m(z)))} = (f^{\circ} \mathscr{L}_{\mu(m)})(z)$$

is holomorphic on U [5, p. 88, Theorem 4.3], hence $P(m) = L_m(U)$ is an analytic set. Further, by [5, p. 88, Theorem 4.3],

$$\mu(P(m)) = \mu(L_m(U)) = \mathscr{L}_{\mu(m)}(U) = \mathscr{P}(\mu(m)) = \text{the Gleason part of } \mu(m) \text{ in } \mathscr{M}(H)$$
.

Suppose, on the other hand, let $\mu(m)$ does not belong to the closure of any interpolating sequence. Then, $\mathscr{L}_{\mu(m)}$ is constant: $\mathscr{L}_{\mu(m)}(U) = \{\mu(m)\}$ ([5, p. 88, Theorem 4.3]), thus

$$\mu(L_m(U)) = \mathscr{L}_{\mu(m)}(U) = \{\mu(m)\}.$$
 Q.E.D.

Obviously, we have that

if $m \in \mathscr{M}_{\alpha}$, then $L_m(U) \subset \mathscr{M}_{\alpha}$.

References

- N.L. Alling: The valuation theory of meromorphic function fields. Entire functions and related parts of analysis. Proc. of Symposia in pure Math. AMS, vol. 11 (1968), 8-29.
- [2] E. F. Collingwood and A. J. Lohwater: *The Theory of Cluster Sets.* Cambridge Univ. Press, 1966.
- [3] J.-I. Igusa: On a property of the domain of regularity. Mem. Coll. Sci., Univ. Kyoto, vol. 27 (1952), 95-97.
- [4] K. Hoffman: Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1962.
- [5] K. Hoffman: Bounded analytic functions and Gleason parts. Ann. Math., vol. 86 (1967), 74-111.
- [6] H. Ochiai and N. Yanagihara: Maximal ideals in the algebra of holomorphic functions.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Education Miyazaki University Miyazaki 880, Japan and Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Chiba University Chiba 260, Japan