# A NOTE ON THE NONUNIFORM RATE OF CONVERGENCE TO NORMALITY

By

#### Макото Маеліма

(Received Jan. 16, 1980)

ABSTRACT: Some results on the nonuniform bound for the distance between the distribution of normalized partial sums of i.i.d. random variables and a Chebyshev series, are given.

#### 1. Introduction and results

Let  $\{X_k, k=1, 2,...\}$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with  $EX_1=0$ ,  $EX_1^2=1$  and distribution function F(x).  $F_n(x)$  denotes the distribution function of the normalized partial sum  $n^{-1/2}S_n$ , where  $S_n=X_1+X_2+\cdots+X_n$ , and  $\Phi(x)$  denotes the standard normal distribution function. Let  $p\geq 0$  be an integer. Write

$$R_{nn}(x) = |F_n(x) - G_{nn}(x)|,$$

where  $G_{n0}(x) = \Phi(x)$  and for  $p \ge 1$ 

$$G_{np}(x) = \Phi(x) + (2\pi)^{-1/2} e^{-x^2/2} \sum_{j=1}^{p} n^{-j/2} Q_j(x)$$
.

Here  $Q_j(x)$  are polynomials of degree 3j-1 defined by Ibragimov [9] without presupposing the existence of moments of higher order than the second. That is, on the basis of a given arbitrary numerical sequence  $\beta_1=0$ ,  $\beta_2=1$ ,  $\beta_3$ ,  $\beta_4$ ,..., we form polynomials  $Q_j(x)$  in such a way that their coefficients are expressed in terms of  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ ,...,  $\beta_{j+2}$  in the same way as the coefficients of the classical polynomials  $Q_j(x)$  in the Chebyshev series corresponding to the  $n^{-1/2}S_n$  are expressed in terms of the cumulants  $\kappa_1$ ,  $\kappa_2$ ,...,  $\kappa_{j+2}$  of the  $X_1$  (see [5] Section 38). Let  $\alpha_1=0$ ,  $\alpha_2=1$ ,  $\alpha_3$ ,  $\alpha_4$ ,... be the "moment" sequence corresponding to the "cumulant" sequence  $\beta_1=0$ ,  $\beta_2=1$ ,  $\beta_3$ ,  $\beta_4$ ,.... (See also [8]).

When we consider the case  $p \ge 1$ , we further suppose Cramér condition

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 60F05. Secondary 60F10.

Key words and phrases. i.i.d. random variables, central limit theorem, asymptotic expansion, Chebyshev series, nonuniform rate of convergence.

(C)  $\limsup_{|t|\to\infty} |f(t)| < 1$  to be satisfied, where  $f(t) = Ee^{itX_1}$ .

It has been shown that in order that for  $0 \le \delta < 1$ ,

(1.1) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+(p+\delta)/2} \sup_{x} (1+|x|)^{p+2} R_{np}(x) < \infty,$$

it is sufficient that

(1.2) 
$$\begin{cases} E|X_1|^{p+2+\delta} < \infty & \text{for } 0 < \delta < 1, \\ E|X_1|^{p+2} \log(1+|X_1|) < \infty & \text{for } \delta = 0, \\ \alpha_j = EX_1^j, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., p+2, \end{cases}$$

under Cramér condition (C) for  $p \ge 1$ . (The case p = 0 is due to Heyde [7] and the case  $p \ge 1$  due to the author [11].) (1.2) is also necessary for (1.1) to hold, when p = 0 ([5]) or when  $p \ge 1$  and  $0 < \delta < 1$  ([8]).

On the other hand, the conditions for

(1.3) 
$$\sup_{x} (1+|x|)^{p+2+\delta} R_{np}(x) = O(n^{-(p+\delta)/2})$$

are known. (See [2], [3] and [10].) But it is easily shown that the nonuniformity of (1.3) cannot be improved in the sense that the power of (1+|x|) cannot be replaced by a higher one, under  $E|X_1|^{p+2+\delta} < \infty$ . (See [11].)

Now, in connection with (1.1) and (1.3), it seems natural to ask whether

(1.4) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+(p+\delta)/2} \sup_{x} (1+|x|)^{p+2+\delta} R_{np}(x)$$

converges for  $0 < \delta < 1$  under  $E|X_1|^{p+2+\delta} < \infty$ , or not. So far as the author knows, this is not yet solved. This paper is concerned with this convergence problem. We recall here that, if  $\delta = 0$ , then (1.4) converges under  $E|X_1|^{p+2}\log(1+|X_1|) < \infty$ , as we have seen in (1.1).

Let L(x) be a slowly varying function which is continuous and eventually non-decreasing.

We state our theorems.

**Theorem 1.** Let p be a nonnegative integer and  $0 < \delta < 1$ . If  $E|X_1|^{p+2+\delta} < \infty$ ,  $\alpha_i = EX_1^j$ , j = 1, 2, ..., p+2, and, for  $p \ge 1$  if Cramér condition (C) is satisfied, then

(1.5) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+(p+\delta)/2} (L(n))^{1+(p+\delta)/2} R_{np}(c(L(n))^{1/2}) < \infty,$$

for all c>0.

This theorem says that if we put  $x = c(L(n))^{1/2}$  instead of taking suprimum of x in (1.4), then this series converges. This result is related to Theorem 1 of Michel [12], which is concerned with probabilities of moderate deviations.

Furthermore we have the following.

**Theorem 2.** Let p be a nonnegative integer and  $0 < \delta < 1$ . In order that

(1.6) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+(p+\delta)/2} (\log n)^{1+(p+\delta)/2} R_{np}(c(\log n)^{1/2}) < \infty,$$

for all c>0, it is necessary and for p=0 or for distributions satisfying (C) also sufficient that

$$E|X_1|^{p+2+\delta} < \infty$$

and

$$\alpha_j = EX_1^j, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., p+2.$$

Instead of (1.4), we can easily prove the following.

**Theorem 3.** Let p be a nonnegative integer and  $0 < \delta < 1$ . In order that

(1.7) 
$$\sup_{x} (1+|x|)^{p+2+\delta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+(p+\delta)/2} R_{np}(x) < \infty,$$

it is necessary and for p=0 or for distributions satisfying (C) also sufficient that

$$E|X_1|^{p+2+\delta} < \infty$$

and

$$\alpha_i = EX_1^j, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., p+2.$$

Finally, we shall show a simple result related to Theorem 2.

**Theorem 4.** Let p be a nonnegative integer and  $0 < \delta < 1$ . In order that

(1.8) 
$$(\log n)^{1+(p+\delta)/2} R_{np}(c(\log n)^{1/2}) = O(n^{-(p+\delta)/2}),$$

for each c>0, it is necessary and for p=0 or for distributions satisfying (C) also sufficient that

(1.9) 
$$P(|X_1| > z) = O(z^{-(p+2+\delta)}), \quad as \quad z \to \infty,$$

which is equivalent to

(1.10) 
$$\sup_{x} (1+|x|)^{p+2+\delta} R_{np}(x) = O(n^{-(p+\delta)/2}).$$

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

In the following, we shall use C as a positive constant which may depend on p and differ from one expression to another.

First, we show some properties concerning the eventually nondecreasing slowly varying function L(x).

We fix c>0 in (1.5) in Theorem 1, and define a(m) by

$$(2.1) m = c2a(m)L(a(m)),$$

namely, n=a(m) is an inverse function of  $m=c^2nL(n)$ . Without loss of generality, we suppose  $L(x) \ge 1$ , then

$$nL(n) \ge n$$

so that

$$m = c^2 a(m) L(a(m)) \ge c^2 a(m)$$
.

By the monotoneness of L(x) for large x,

$$L(m) \ge L(c^2 a(m)) \ge \frac{1}{2} L(a(m))$$
 for all large  $m$ .

Therefore

(2.2) 
$$a(m) = m/(c^2L(a(m))) \ge Cm/L(m) \quad \text{for all large } m.$$

By Theorem 1.5 of Seneta [15],

$$L(m) \leq CL(m/L(m)) \leq CL(Ca(m)) \leq CL(a(m))$$
,

because of (2.2). Hence

(2.3) 
$$a(m) = m/(c^2L(a(m))) \le Cm/L(m) \quad \text{for all large } m.$$

Now we proceed to the proof of Theorem 1. Without loss of generality, we assume c=1. We use here the following nonuniform estimates in the central limit theorem:

$$(2.4) R_{np}(x) \leq C \left\{ \frac{n}{(n^{1/2}(1+|x|))^{p+2}} \int_{|u| > n^{1/2}(1+|x|)} |u|^{p+2} dF(u) + \frac{n}{(n^{1/2}(1+|x|))^{p+3}} \int_{|u| \leq n^{1/2}(1+|x|)} |u|^{p+3} dF(u) + A_p \left( \sup_{|t| \geq r} |f(t)| + \frac{1}{2n} \right)^n \frac{n^{(p+2)(p+3)/2}}{(1+|x|)^{p+3}} \right\},$$

under  $E|X_1|^{p+2} < \infty$  for some integer  $p \ge 0$ , where  $A_p = 0$  or 1 according as p = 0 or  $p \ge 1$ , and  $r = (15E|X_1|^3)^{-1}$ . The case p = 0 is given by Bikelis [1] and the case  $p \ge 1$  due to Osipov [14].

By (2.4),

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+(p+\delta)/2} (L(n))^{1+(p+\delta)/2} R_{np}((L(n))^{1/2}) \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ n^{-1+\delta/2} (L(n))^{\delta/2} \int_{|u| > n^{1/2} (1+(L(n))^{1/2})} |u|^{p+2} dF(u) \right. \\ &\left. + n^{-3/2+\delta/2} (L(n))^{-1/2+\delta/2} \int_{|u| \leq n^{1/2} (1+(L(n))^{1/2})} |u|^{p+3} dF(u) \right\} \\ &\left. + C A_p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+(p+\delta)/2} (L(n))^{-1/2+\delta/2} \left( \sup_{|t| \geq r} |f(t)| + \frac{1}{2n} \right)^n n^{(p+2)(p+3)/2} \\ &\equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \,, \end{split}$$

say. Under Cramér condition (C),  $I_3 < \infty$  is trivial. Now,

$$\begin{split} I_1 &= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+\delta/2} (L(n))^{\delta/2} \int_{|u| > n^{1/2} (1+(L(n))^{1/2})} |u|^{p+2} dF(u) \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+\delta/2} (L(n))^{\delta/2} \sum_{m=\lfloor nL(n) \rfloor}^{\infty} \int_{m < u^2 \leq (m+1)} |u|^{p+2} dF(u) \\ &\leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{(p+2)/2} P(m < X_1^2 \leq (m+1)) \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor a(m)+1 \rfloor} n^{-1+\delta/2} (L(n))^{\delta/2} \,, \end{split}$$

where a(m) is the one defined in (2.1) and [t] is the integer part of t. From (2.3),

$$\begin{split} I_1 &\leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{(p+2)/2} P(m < X_1^2 \leq (m+1)) \sum_{n=1}^{\lceil Cm/L(m) \rceil + 1} n^{-1+\delta/2} (L(n))^{\delta/2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{(p+2)/2} P(m < X_1^2 \leq (m+1)) (L(Cm/L(m)))^{\delta/2} (Cm/L(m))^{\delta/2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{(p+2+\delta)/2} P(m < X_1^2 \leq (m+1)) , \end{split}$$

where we have used Theorem 1.5 of Seneta [15] again, so that

$$I_1 \leq CE|X_1|^{p+2+\delta} < \infty$$
.

As to  $I_2$ ,

$$\begin{split} I_2 &= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-3/2 + \delta/2} (L(n))^{-1/2 + \delta/2} \int_{|u| \le n^{1/2} (1 + (L(n))^{1/2})} |u|^{p+3} dF(u) \\ &\le C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{(p+3)/2} P((m-1) < X_1^2 \le m) \sum_{n=[a(m)]}^{\infty} n^{-3/2 + \delta/2} (L(n))^{-1/2 + \delta/2} \end{split}$$

and by (2.2),

$$\begin{split} I_2 &\leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{(p+3)/2} P((m-1) < X_1^2 \leq m) \sum_{n=\lfloor Cm/L(m) \rfloor}^{\infty} n^{-3/2 + \delta/2} (L(n))^{-1/2 + \delta/2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{(p+3)/2} P((m-1) < X_1^2 \leq m) \\ &\qquad \qquad \times (L(Cm/L(m)))^{-1/2 + \delta/2} (Cm/L(m))^{-1/2 + \delta/2} \\ &\leq C \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{(p+2+\delta)/2} P((m-1) < X_1^2 \leq m) \\ &\leq CE|X_1|^{p+2+\delta} < \infty \ , \end{split}$$

which completes the proof of the theorem.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 2

The sufficiency part is a special case of Theorem 1, so we need only show the necessity part. However, it is easily seen that

$$(3.1) 1 - G_{np}(c(\log n)^{1/2}) + G_{np}(-c(\log n)^{1/2}) = O(n^{-c^2/2}Q((\log n)^{1/2})),$$

where Q(x) is a polynomial of at most degree 3p-1. Therefore, if  $c > (p+\delta)^{1/2}$ , then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+(p+\delta)/2} (\log n)^{1+(p+\delta)/2} \{1 - G_{np}(c(\log n)^{1/2}) + G_{np}(-c(\log n)^{1/2})\} < \infty,$$

so that from (1.6),

(3.2) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+(p+\delta)/2} (\log n)^{1+(p+\delta)/2} P(|S_n| > c(n \log n)^{1/2}) < \infty$$

for all  $c > (p+\delta)^{1/2}$ . Hence, we can see  $E|X_1|^{p+2+\delta} < \infty$  by Theorem 2 of Davis [4].

### 4. Proof of Theorem 3

We first show the sufficiency part. From (2.4), we have

$$(1+|x|)^{p+2+\delta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+(p+\delta)/2} R_{np}(x)$$

$$\leq C \left\{ (1+|x|)^{\delta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+\delta/2} \int_{|u| < n^{1/2}(1+|x|)} |u|^{p+2} dF(u) + \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{1-\delta}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-3/2+\delta/2} \int_{|u| \leq n^{1/2}(1+|x|)} |u|^{p+3} dF(u) + \frac{A_p}{(1+|x|)^{1-\delta}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+(p+\delta)/2} \left( \sup_{|t| \geq r} |f(t)| + \frac{1}{2n} \right)^n n^{(p+2)(p+3)/2} \right\}$$

$$\equiv J_1 + J_2 + J_3,$$

say.  $J_3 < \infty$  is also trivial under Cramér condition (C). Furthermore,

$$\begin{split} J_1 & \leq C(1+|x|)^{\delta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+\delta/2} \sum_{m=\lfloor n(1+|x|)^2 \rfloor}^{\infty} \int_{m < u^2 \leq (m+1)} |u|^{p+2} dF(u) \\ & \leq C(1+|x|)^{\delta} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{(p+2)/2} P(m < X_1^2 \leq (m+1)) \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor m/(1+|x|)^2 \rfloor + 1} n^{-1+\delta/2} \\ & \leq C(1+|x|)^{\delta} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{(p+2)/2} P(m < X_1^2 \leq (m+1)) \left(\frac{m}{(1+|x|)^2}\right)^{\delta/2} \\ & \leq CE|X_1|^{p+2+\delta} < \infty \ , \end{split}$$

and

$$J_{2} \leq \frac{C}{(1+|x|)^{1-\delta}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-3/2+\delta/2} \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor n(1+|x|)^{2}\rfloor+1} \int_{m < u^{2} \leq (m+1)} |u|^{p+3} dF(u)$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{(1+|x|)^{1-\delta}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{(p+3)/2} P(m < X_{1}^{2} \leq (m+1)) \sum_{n=\lfloor m/(1+|x|)^{2}\rfloor}^{\infty} n^{-3/2+\delta/2}$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{(1+|x|)^{1-\delta}} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{(p+3)/2} P(m < X_{1}^{2} \leq (m+1)) \left(\frac{m}{(1+|x|)^{2}}\right)^{-1/2+\delta/2}$$

$$\leq CE|X_{1}|^{p+2+\delta} < \infty.$$

We now proceed to prove the necessity part. According to Heyde-Leslie [8], we write

$$F_n^*(x) = F_n(x) * (1 - F_n(-x - 0))$$

and

$$G_{np}^*(x) = G_{np}(x) * (1 - G_{np}(-x)),$$

where \* means a convolution. Then (1.7) implies

(4.1) 
$$\sup_{x} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+(p+\delta)/2} |F_n^*(x) - G_{np}^*(x)| < \infty.$$

Here we show that (1.7) implies  $E|X_1|^{p+2} < \infty$ . It follows from (1.7) that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+|x|)^{p+1} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+(p+\delta)/2} R_{np}(x) \right\} dx$$

$$\leq C \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{(1+|x|)^{1+\delta}} < \infty,$$

since  $\delta > 0$ . Therefore,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+(p+\delta)/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+|x|)^{p+1} R_{np}(x) dx < \infty$$

and so

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+|x|)^{p+1} R_{np}(x) dx < \infty \qquad \text{for some} \quad n=m, \ m+1.$$

Hence, keeping in mind the form of  $G_{np}(x)$ , we have

$$\int_0^\infty (1+|x|)^{p+1} \{1-F_n(x)+F_n(-x)\} dx < \infty \quad \text{for} \quad n=m, \ m+1,$$

which implies  $E|X_1|^{p+2} < \infty$ . Therefore, we can use the same argument as in Heyde-Leslie [8].

Letting 
$$f_n(t) = \int e^{itx} dF_n(x)$$
 and  $g_{np}(t) = \int e^{itx} dG_{np}(x)$ , we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+(p+\delta)/2} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( |f_n(t)|^2 - |g_{np}(t)|^2 \right) e^{-t^2/2} dt \right| \\ &= (2\pi)^{1/2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+(p+\delta)/2} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( F_n^*(x) - G_{np}^*(x) \right) x e^{-x^2/2} dx \right| \\ &\leq (2\pi)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+(p+\delta)/2} |F_n^*(x) - G_{np}^*(x)| \right) |x| e^{-x^2/2} dx \\ &\leq (2\pi)^{1/2} \sup_{x} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+(p+\delta)/2} |F_n^*(x) - G_{np}^*(x)| \right) < \infty \end{split}$$

because of (4.1). Hence, we have also in our case

(4.2) 
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-1+(p+\delta)/2} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (|f_n(t)|^2 - |g_{np}(t)|^2) e^{-t^2/2} dt \right| < \infty,$$

and it is shown in Heyde-Leslie [8] that (4.2) implies  $E|X_1|^{p+2+\delta} < \infty$ .

### 5. Proof of Theorem 4

Note that (1.10) is equivalent to

(5.1) 
$$\int_{|u|>z} |u|^{p+2} dF(u) = O(z^{-\delta}),$$

which was shown by Bikelis [2] for p=0 and by Karoblis [10] for  $p \ge 1$ , respectively. Also, it is shown in Michel [13] that (1.9) and (5.1) are equivalent. Therefore, the equivalency of (1.9) and (1.10) is given. Furthermore, (1.8) follows from (1.10), so that the sufficiency part is shown.

It remains to prove the necessity part. First we suppose that  $X_1$  is symmetric. From (1.8) and (3.1),

$$P(|S_n| > c(n \log n)^{1/2}) = O(n^{-(p+\delta)/2} (\log n)^{-1-(p+\delta)/2}),$$

if  $c > (p+\delta)^{1/2}$ . For symmetric random variables,

$$P(|S_n| > a_n) \ge \frac{1}{2} P(\max_{1 \le k \le n} |X_k| > a_n) \sim \frac{1}{2} nP(|X_1| > a_n).$$

Therefore,

$$P(|X_1| > (n \log n)^{1/2}) = O((n \log n)^{-(p+2+\delta)/2})$$

as  $n \to \infty$ , which is

$$P(|X_1| > z) = O(z^{-(p+2+\delta)})$$

as  $z \to \infty$ .

Relaxing the restriction of the symmetricity of  $X_1$  will be handled by the ordinary argument such as, for example, in Heyde-Leslie [8].

# Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank the referee for his helpful comments.

#### References

- [1] A. Bikelis, Estimates of the remainder term in the central limit theorem, Litovsk. Mat. Sb. 6 (1966) no. 3 323-346. (In Russian.)
- [2] A. Bikelis, On the accuracy of Gaussian approximation into the distribution of sums of independent identically distributed random variables, Litovsk. Mat. Sb. 11 (1971) no. 2 237–240. (In Russian.)
- [3] A. Bikelis, Asymptotic expansions for sums of independent lattice random vectors, Litovsk. Mat. Sb. 12 (1972) no. 2 188-189. (In Russian.)
- [4] J. A. Davis, Convergence rates for probabilities of moderate deviations, Ann. Math. Statist. 39 (1968) 2016-2028.
- [5] B. V. Gnedenko and A. N. Kolmogorov, Limit Distributions for Sums of Independent Random Variables (Addison Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1954).
- [6] C. C. Heyde, On the influence of moments on the rate of convergence to the normal distribution,
   Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Geb. 8 (1967) 12-18.
- [7] C. C. Heyde, A nonuniform bound on convergence to normality. Ann. Probability 3 (1975) 903-907.
- [8] C. C. Heyde and J. R. Leslie, On the influence of moments on approximation by portion of a Chebyshev series in central limit convergence, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Geb. 21 (1972) 255-268.
- [9] I. A. Ibragimov, On Chebyshev-Cramér asymptotic expansions, Theory Probability Appl. 12 (1967) 455-469.
- [10] A. Karoblis, The asymptotic expansion with non-uniform estimation of the remainder term, Litovsk. Mat. Sb. 12 (1972) no. 2 69-73. (In Russian.)
- [11] M. Maejima, Non-uniform estimates in the central limit theorem, Yokohama Math. J. 26 (1978) 137-149.
- [12] R. Michel, Results on probabilities of moderate deviations, Ann. Probability 2 (1974) 349-353.

- [13] R. Michel, On the accuracy of nonuniform Gaussian approximation to the distribution functions of sums of independent and identically distributed random variables, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Geb. 35 (1976) 337-347.
- [14] L. V. Osipov, On asymptotic expansions of the distributions of sums of random variables with non-uniform bounds on the remainder term, Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. Ser. Mat., Mekh. Astron. 1972 (1972) no. 1 51-59. (In Russian.)
- [15] E. Seneta, Regularly Varying Functions (Lecture Notes in Math. 508, Springer-Verlag).

Department of Mathematics Keio University Hiyoshi, Yokohama, 223 Japan