

SOME ESTIMATES OF THE CONCENTRATION FUNCTION OF SUMS OF ABSOLUTELY REGULAR SEQUENCES

By

KEN-ICHI YOSHIHARA

(Received December 19, 1978)

1. Introduction. The concentration function of a real-valued random variable X is defined to be

$$(1.1) \quad Q(X; \lambda) = \sup_x P(x \leq X \leq x + \lambda).$$

Some properties of $Q(X; \lambda)$ are shown in Hengartner and Theodorescu [3] and Petrov [5].

Let $\{\xi_i\}$ be a strictly stationary, absolutely regular sequence of random variables, i.e., $\{\xi_i\}$ satisfies the absolute regularity condition

$$(1.2) \quad \beta(n) = E\left\{ \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}_n^\infty} |P(A | \mathcal{A}_{-\infty}^0) - P(A)| \right\} \downarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

where \mathcal{A}_a^b denotes the σ -algebra of events generated by ξ_a, \dots, ξ_b ($a \leq b$).

Next, let

$$(1.3) \quad S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j \quad \text{and} \quad S_0 = 0.$$

In this paper, we shall estimate $Q(S_n; \lambda n^{1/\alpha})$ ($\alpha > 0, \lambda > 0$).

2. Main result. Let $\{\xi_j\}$ be a strictly stationary, absolutely regular process with coefficient $\beta(n)$.

$$(2.1) \quad E|\xi_1|^\alpha < \infty.$$

Let

$$(2.2) \quad \gamma = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \\ \alpha' & \text{if } 1 < \alpha' < \alpha \leq 2, \\ 2 & \text{if } \alpha > 2, \end{cases}$$

and put

$$(2.3) \quad B_n(\gamma) = cn^{1/\gamma}$$

where c is a positive constant.

We shall consider the following conditions:

Condition A. (AI). $\{\xi_j\}$ is absolutely regular, (2.1) holds with α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) and $\beta(n)$ is arbitrary;

(AII). $\{\xi_j\}$ is absolutely regular, (2.1) holds with α ($1 < \alpha \leq 2$), $E\xi_1=0$ and $\sum_1^\infty \{\beta(n)\}^{1-(\alpha'/\alpha)} < \infty$ for some α' ($0 < \alpha' < \alpha$);

(AIII). $\{\xi_j\}$ is absolutely regular, (2.1) holds with α ($\alpha > 2$), $E\xi_1=0$ and $\sum_1^\infty \{\beta(n)\}^{(\alpha-2)/\alpha} < \infty$.

Condition B. There exist functions $p=p(n)$ and $q=q(n)$ for which the following relations hold:

(i) $p \rightarrow \infty$, $q \rightarrow \infty$, $k \rightarrow \infty$, and $p/q \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;

(ii) $\max\{kq/n, k\beta(q)\} = O(k^{-1/\tau})$

where $k=[n/(p+q)]$ and $[s]$ denotes the largest integer m such that $m \leq s$.

We shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem. Let $\{\xi_j\}$ be a strictly stationary absolutely regular process with coefficient $\beta(n)$. Let Condition B and one of Conditions (AI)–(AIII) be satisfied. Suppose that the distribution of $S_n/B_n(\gamma)$ converges weakly to a stable distribution with exponent γ then the inequalities

$$(2.4) \quad K(\lambda)k^{-1/\tau} \leq Q(S_n: \lambda B_n(\gamma)) \leq M([\lambda]+1)k^{-1/\tau}$$

hold, where λ is a positive number, $K(\lambda)$ is some positive constant depending on λ and M is an absolute constant.

3. Auxiliary results. In what follows, by the letter M , we shall denote any quantity (not always the same) which is bounded in absolute value. Let $\{\xi_j\}$ be a strictly stationary, absolutely regular process with coefficient $\beta(n)$. The following lemma is easily proved by the method of the proof of Theorem 1 in Yoshihara [6].

Lemma 1. Let p , q and k be arbitrary positive integers. Let $\{\eta_j\}$ be a family of random variables such that for each j η_j is measurable with respect to $\mathcal{A}_{(j-1)(p+q)+1}^{(j-1)(p+q)+p}$. Then, for any k

$$(3.1) \quad P(|\sum_{j=1}^k Y_j| \in A) - 2k\beta(p) \leq P(|\sum_{j=1}^k \eta_j| \in A) \leq P(|\sum_{j=1}^k Y_j| \in A) + 2k\beta(p)$$

where A is a Borel set of the real line and $\{Y_j\}$ is a family of independent random variables such that for each j Y_j has the same distribution as that of η_j .

Next, we shall prove the following

Lemma 2. Let $\{\xi_i\}$ be absolutely regular. Suppose that one of Conditions (AI)–

(AIII) is satisfied. Then, for any positive integer m

$$(3.2) \quad E \left| \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i \right|^\gamma \leq Mm$$

where γ is the number defined in (2.2).

Proof. (3.2) holds obviously under Condition (AI) or (AIII).

Now, we shall prove (3.2) under Condition (AII). Let

$$\zeta_j = \begin{cases} \xi_j & \text{if } |\xi_j| < m^{1/\alpha'} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$\bar{\zeta}_j = \zeta_j - E\zeta_j$ and $\bar{\xi}_j = \xi_j - \zeta_j$. Since, by assumption, $E\xi_j = 0$, so $E\bar{\zeta}_j = 0$. We note that

$$E \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \bar{\zeta}_j \right)^2 \leq MmE\zeta_1^2.$$

So

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} E \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \bar{\zeta}_j \right|^{\alpha'} &\leq \left\{ E \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \bar{\zeta}_j \right|^2 \right\}^{\alpha'/2} \leq Mm^{\alpha'/2} \{E\zeta_0^2\}^{\alpha'/2} \\ &\leq Mm^{\alpha'/2} \{m^{1/\alpha'}\}^{2-\alpha'} E|\xi_1|^{\alpha'} \leq MmE|\xi_1|^{\alpha'}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the inequality $|a+b|^r \leq |a|^r + |b|^r$ holds for any two numbers a and b and for any $0 < r \leq 1$, so we have $\left| \sum_{j=1}^m \bar{\zeta}_j \right|^{\alpha'-1} \leq \sum_{j=1}^m |\bar{\zeta}_j|^{\alpha'-1}$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1 in Davydov [1] and Condition (AII) we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} E \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \bar{\zeta}_j \right|^{\alpha'} &\leq E \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |\bar{\zeta}_i| \right) \sum_{j=1}^m |\bar{\zeta}_j|^{\alpha'-1} \leq E \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |\bar{\zeta}_i| \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\bar{\zeta}_j|^{\alpha'-1} \right) \\ &= E \left(\sum_{i=1}^m |\bar{\zeta}_i|^{\alpha'} + \sum_{i \neq j} |\bar{\zeta}_i| |\bar{\zeta}_j|^{\alpha'-1} \right) \\ &\leq M \left[\sum_{i=1}^m E|\bar{\zeta}_i|^{\alpha'} + \sum_{i \neq j} \{E|\bar{\zeta}_0| E|\bar{\zeta}_0|^{\alpha'-1} + 10(E|\bar{\zeta}_0|^\alpha)^{\alpha'/\alpha} (\beta(|j-i|))^{1-(\alpha'/\alpha)}\} \right] \\ &\leq M[mE|\bar{\zeta}_0|^{\alpha'} + m^2 m^{-(2\alpha-\alpha')/\alpha'} (E|\bar{\zeta}_0|^\alpha)^2 \\ &\quad + m(E|\bar{\zeta}_0|^\alpha)^{\alpha'/\alpha} \sum \{\beta(j)\}^{1-(\alpha'/\alpha)}] \leq Mm. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (3.3) and (3.4)

$$E \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \xi_j \right|^{\alpha'} \leq M \left[E \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \bar{\zeta}_j \right|^{\alpha'} + E \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \bar{\xi}_j \right|^{\alpha'} \right] \leq Mm,$$

which implies (3.2). Thus, the proof is completed.

4. Proof of Theorem. Let $\delta = \delta_n = (\log n)^{-1/2} (n \geq 2)$. Let α be arbitrary. By Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.1) \quad P_0 &= P(x - B_n(\gamma)/2 \leq S_n \leq x + B_n(\gamma)/2) \\
&\leq P(x - B_n(\gamma)(1 + \delta)/2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^p \xi_{(j-1)(p+q)+i} \leq x + B_n(\gamma)(1 + \delta)/2) \\
&\quad + P(|\sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^q \xi_{(j-1)(p+q)+p+i}| \geq \delta B_n(\gamma)/4) + P(|S_n - S_{k(p+q)}| \geq \delta B_n(\gamma)/4) \\
&\leq P(x - B_n(\gamma)(1 + \delta)/2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^k Y_j \leq x + B_n(\gamma)(1 + \delta)/2) \\
&\quad + P(|\sum_{j=1}^k Z_j| \geq \delta B_n(\gamma)/4) + P(|S_n - S_{k(p+q)}| \geq \delta B_n(\gamma)/4) + 4k\beta(q) \\
&= P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + O(k^{-1/r}) \quad (\text{say})
\end{aligned}$$

where $\{Y_1, \dots, Y_k\}$ ($\{Z_1, \dots, Z_k\}$) is a family of i.i.d. random variables such that the distribution of $Y_1(Z_1)$ has the same distribution as that of $\sum_1^p \xi_i$ ($\sum_1^q \xi_j$).

Firstly, we shall estimate P_2 . As Z_1, \dots, Z_k are i.i.d. random variables, so from Marcinkiewicz-Zygmund inequalities [4] and Lemma 2

$$(4.2) \quad E|\sum_{j=1}^k Z_j|^r \leq Mk^{r/2} E|Z_1|^r \leq Mk^{r/2} q$$

and so

$$(4.3) \quad P_2 \leq M\delta^{-r} B_n^{-r}(\gamma) k^{r/2} q \leq Mk^{-1/r}$$

Next, from Lemma 2

$$(4.4) \quad P_3 \leq M\delta^{-r} B_n^{-r}(\gamma)(n - k(p+q)) \leq Mk^{-1/r}.$$

Finally, since from Lemma 2 $E|Y_{n_j}/B_n(\gamma)|^r$ is bounded and $Y_{n_j}/B_n(\gamma)$'s are i.i.d., so from Theorems 4.3 and 5.1 in Esseen [2] we have

$$(4.5) \quad P_1 = P\left(B_n^{-1}(\gamma)x - \frac{1+\delta}{2} \leq \sum_{j=1}^k (Y_{n_j}/B_n(\gamma)) \leq B_n^{-1}(\gamma)x + \frac{1+\delta}{2}\right) \leq Mk^{-1/r}.$$

Thus, from (4.1) and (4.3)-(4.5)

$$(4.6) \quad P(x - B_n(\gamma)/2 \leq S_n \leq x + B_n(\gamma)/2) \leq Mk^{-1/r},$$

which implies

$$(4.7) \quad Q(S_n: B_n(\gamma)) \leq Mk^{-1/r}.$$

In general, for arbitrary positive numbers c and λ

$$Q(X; \lambda c) \leq M([\lambda] + 1)Q(X; c)$$

holds. So, from (4.7) we have the right-hand side inequality of (2.4).

Similarly, we have

$$(4.8) \quad P_0 \geq P_4 - P\left(\left|\sum_{j=1}^k Z_{nj}\right| \geq B_n(\gamma)\delta/4\right) - P\left(|S_n - S_{k(p+q)}| \geq B_n(\gamma)\delta/4\right) = P_4 + O(k^{-1/r})$$

where

$$P_4 = P\left(x - B_n(\gamma)(1-\delta)/2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^k Y_{nj} \leq x + B_n(\gamma)(1-\delta)/2\right).$$

As from Lemma 2 $E|Y_{n1}|^r \leq MB_n^r(\gamma)$ and Y_{nj} 's are i.i.d., so from Theorems 4.3 and 5.1 in Esseen [2] we have

$$(4.9) \quad P_4 \geq Mk^{-1/r}.$$

Hence, from (4.8) and (4.9), we have the left-hand inequality in (2.4). Thus the proof is completed.

References

- [1] Yu. A. Davydov: *Convergence of distributions generated by stationary processes*. Theory Probab. Appl., **13**, 691-696 (1968).
- [2] C. G. Esséen: *On the concentration function of a sum of independent random variables*. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete **9**, 290-308 (1968).
- [3] W. Hengartner and R. Theodorescu: *Concentration functions*. Academic Press. New York (1973).
- [4] J. Marcinkiewicz and A. Zygmund: *Sur les fonctions indépendentes*. Fund. Math., **29**, 60-90 (1937).
- [5] V. V. Petrov: *Sums of independent random variables*. Springer-Verlag. Berlin Heidelberg (1975).
- [6] M. Udagawa and K. Yoshihara: *Weak convergence of random elements in $D[0, \infty)$ defined by the partial sums of stationary sequences*. Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sec. A, **11**, No. 294 (1972).
- [7] K. Yoshihara: *Probability inequalities for sums of absolutely regular processes and their applications*. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete., **43**, 319-330 (1978).

Yokohama National University
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Engineering,
156, Tokiwadai, Hodogaya,
Yokohama, Japan