

**A WEAK CONVERGENCE THEOREM FOR FUNCTIONALS
OF SUMS OF MARTINGALE DIFFERENCES**

By

KEN-ICHI YOSHIHARA

(Received December 22, 1977)

1. Introduction. Let $\{\xi_i\}$ be a sequence of random variables defined on a probability space. Let \mathcal{M}_a^b denote the σ -algebra of events generated by ξ_a, \dots, ξ_b . We call $\{\xi_i\}$ a sequence of martingale differences (*md*) if $E|\xi_i| < \infty$ and $E\{\xi_i\}|\mathcal{M}_{-\infty}^{i-1}\} = 0$ for each i .

Let $D=D[0, 1]$ be the space of functions on $[0, 1]$ that are right-continuous and have left-hand limits. We assume that D is endowed with Skorokhod J_1 -topology. (cf. Billingsley [1]).

Set

$$S_k = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \xi_j \quad \text{and} \quad S_0 = 0.$$

Let

$$w = \{w(t) : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$$

be a standard Wiener process.

A sufficient condition for the validity of a weak convergence theorem of

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_n \left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{S_i}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \frac{\xi_{i+1}}{\sqrt{n}}$$

is known when $\{\xi_i\}$ is a sequence of identically and independently distributed random variables. (Skorokhod and Slobodeneuk [4]).

In this paper, we shall consider a sufficient condition to ensure

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_n \left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{S_i}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \frac{\xi_{i+1}}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{D} \int_0^1 f(t, w(t)) dw(t)$$

where $\{\xi_i\}$ is a sequence of *md*.

2. Conditions and the main result. In this and the following sections, we shall denote by the letter K , various absolute positive constants.

Let F_M be the space of functions defined on $[0, 1] \times R^1$ satisfying the following condition; there exists an absolute constant M such that for $f \in F_M$, f and its derivatives satisfy inequalities of the form

$$(2.1) \quad |Df(s, x)| \leq M(1 + |x|^\alpha)$$

where D denotes either the identity operator or a first derivative and α is some positive constant.

Remark 2.1. If $f \in F_M$, then it is obvious that

$$(2.2) \quad |f(s, x) - f(s', x')| \leq M\{|s - s'| + |x - x'|\}\{1 + \max(|x|^\alpha, |x'|^\alpha)\}$$

Further, if $f \in F_M$ and $f(t, x) = 0$ ($|x| > C$) for each $t \in [0, 1]$ and for some C , then

$$(2.3) \quad |f(s, x) - f(s', x')| \leq M(1 + C^\alpha)\{|s - s'| + |x - x'|\}.$$

We shall consider the following conditions:

Condition (A). $\{\xi_i\}$ is a strictly stationary, ergodic sequence of md with $E\xi_i^2 = 1$.

Condition (B). $\{\xi_i\}$ is a sequence of md for which

$$(B1) \quad E\xi_i^2 = 1 \quad (i=1, 2, \dots),$$

$$(B2) \quad E|\xi_i|^{2+\delta} \leq K \quad (i=1, 2, \dots) \text{ for some } \delta > 0, \text{ and}$$

$$(B3) \quad E[n^{-1} \sum_{i=a+1}^{a+n} E\{\xi_i^2\} - 1] = B(n) \downarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Remark 2.2. It is known that under Condition (A) or (B)

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(n^{-1/2} S_n \leq x) = \Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-y^2/2} dy$$

for all x . Furthermore, all finite dimensional distributions of the form $(n^{-1/2} S_{[nt_1]}, \dots, n^{-1/2} S_{[nt_b]})$ converges weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$, to those of a standard Wiener process $w = \{w(t) : 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$ where $[s]$ denotes the largest integer p such that $p \leq s$. (cf. Brown [2] and Serfling [4]).

Theorem. Let $\{\xi_i\}$ be a sequence of random variables satisfying Condition (A) or (B). Let $f_n \in F_M$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$) and $f \in F_M$. Assume that for each $s \in [0, 1]$

$$(2.5) \quad Df_n(s, x) \rightarrow Df(s, x) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

uniformly in x on every finite interval. Then

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_n\left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{\xi_i}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \xrightarrow{n} \int_0^1 f(t, w(t)) dw(t).$$

Here, the stochastic integral in (2.6) is taken in the L^2 sense.

3. Some lemmas. In this section, we shall prove some lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. Let $f_n \in F_M$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$). Let u_n ($n=1, 2, \dots$) be functions such

that for some $C(C>0)$

$$(3.1) \quad u_n(s, x) = f_n^{(C)}(s, x) = \begin{cases} f_n(s, x) & \text{if } (s, x) \in [0, 1] \times [-C, C] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (n=1, 2, \dots).$$

Let $\{\xi_i\}$ be a sequence of md satisfying Condition (A) or (B). Let $\{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_b\}$ be any collection of nonnegative numbers such that $0=t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_b=1$. For any $\varepsilon>0$, put

$$(3.2) \quad P_1(\varepsilon, \gamma, n) = P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_n\left(\frac{i}{n}, T_i\right) \sqrt{\frac{\xi_{i+1}}{n}} - \sum_{j=1}^{b-1} u_n(t_j, T_{[nt_j]}) \cdot (T_{[nt_{j+1}]} - T_{[nt_j]})\right| > \varepsilon\right)$$

where $\gamma = \max_{0 \leq j \leq b-1} (t_{j+1} - t_j)$ and $T_i = n^{-1/2} S_i$. Then

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{\gamma \downarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_1(\varepsilon, \gamma, n) = 0.$$

Proof. For $N>0$, define

$$\bar{\xi}_i = \begin{cases} \hat{\xi}_i & \text{if } |\hat{\xi}_i| \leq N, \\ 0 & \text{if } |\hat{\xi}_i| > N, \end{cases}$$

and put

$$\eta_i = n^{-1/2} [\bar{\xi}_i - E\{\bar{\xi}_i | \mathcal{N}_{-\infty}^{i-1}\}]$$

and

$$\zeta_i = n^{-1/2} \hat{\xi}_i - \eta_i = n^{-1/2} [\hat{\xi}_i - \bar{\xi}_i - E\{\hat{\xi}_i - \bar{\xi}_i | \mathcal{N}_{-\infty}^{i-1}\}].$$

For brevity, we write $\Sigma_{(j)}$ instead of $\Sigma_{i=[nt_j]+1}^{[nt_{j+1}]}$. Then

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} P_1(\varepsilon, r, n) &\leq P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_n\left(\frac{i}{n}, T_i\right) \eta_{i+1} - \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} u_n(t_j, T_{[nt_j]}) \Sigma_{(j)} \eta_{i+1}\right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right) \\ &\quad + P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_n\left(\frac{i}{n}, T_i\right) \zeta_{i+1} - \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} u_n(t_j, T_{[nt_j]}) \Sigma_{(j)} \zeta_{i+1}\right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

For every i ($[nt_j] \leq i < [nt_{j+1}]$) let

$$V_{ij} = u_n\left(\frac{i}{n}, T_i\right) - u_n(t_j, T_{[nt_j]}).$$

Since for i ($[nt_j] \leq i < [nt_{j+1}]$) and i' ($[nt_{j'}] \leq i' < [nt_{j'+1}]$) ($i < i'$)

$$E\{V_{ij}\eta_{i+1} V_{i'j'}\eta_{i'+1}\} = E\{V_{ij}\eta_{i+1} V_{i'j'} E\{\eta_{i'+1} | \mathcal{N}_{-\infty}^{i'}\}\} = 0$$

and similarly

$$E\{V_{ij}\zeta_{i+1} V_{i'j'}\zeta_{i'+1}\} = 0,$$

so from (2.3) it follows that for all n sufficiently large

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} E\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_n\left(\frac{i}{n}, T_i\right) \eta_{i+1} - \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} u_n(t_j, T_{[nt_j]}) \Sigma_{(j)} \eta_{i+1}\right|^2 \\ = \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} \Sigma_{(j)} E|V_{ij}\eta_{i+1}|^2 \leq N^2 n^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} \Sigma_{(j)} E|V_{ij}|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq KN^2 n^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} \Sigma_{(j)} \left\{ \left| \frac{i}{n} - t_j \right|^2 + E |T_i - T_{[nt_j]}|^2 \right\} \\
&\leq KN^2 n^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} \{(t_{j+1} - t_j)^2 ([nt_{j+1}] - [nt_j]) + \Sigma_{(j)} n^{-1} (i - [nt_j])\} \\
&\leq KN^2 n^{-1} \gamma \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} ([nt_{j+1}] - [nt_j]) \leq KN^2 \gamma .
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since u_n is bounded, so

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.6) \quad &E \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_n \left(\frac{i}{n}, T_i \right) \zeta_{i+1} - \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} u_n(t_j, T_{[nt_j]}) \Sigma_{(j)} \zeta_{i+1} \right|^2 \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} \Sigma_{(j)} E |V_{ij}^2 \zeta_{i+1}^2| \leq Kn^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} E \tilde{\xi}_i^2
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\xi}_i = \xi_i - \bar{\xi}_i$. Thus, from (3.5) and (3.6)

$$(3.7) \quad P_1(\varepsilon, \gamma, n) \leq K\varepsilon^{-2} (N^2 \gamma + \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} E \tilde{\xi}_i^2).$$

If Condition (A) is satisfied, then

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} E \tilde{\xi}_i^2 = E \tilde{\xi}_i^2 = o(1) \quad (\text{as } N \rightarrow \infty)$$

and if Condition (B) is satisfied, then $E |\tilde{\xi}_i|^2 + \delta$ is uniformly bounded and so

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} E \tilde{\xi}_i^2 \leq KN^{-\delta}.$$

Hence, letting firstly $n \rightarrow \infty$, secondly $\gamma \downarrow 0$ and then $N \rightarrow \infty$, we have the desired result, when either Condition (A) or (B) is satisfied.

Lemma 3.2. *Let f and f_n ($n=1, 2, \dots$) be functions defined in Theorem. Let $u=f^{(C)}$ be the function defined by*

$$u(s, x) = \begin{cases} f(s, x) & \text{if } (s, x) \in [0, 1] \times [-C, C], \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $C > 0$ is some constant. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, put

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad P_2(\varepsilon, \gamma, n) &= P \left(\left| \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} u_n(t_j, T_{[nt_j]}) (T_{[nt_{j+1}]} - T_{[nt_j]}) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} u(t_j, T_{[nt_j]}) (T_{[nt_{j+1}]} - T_{[nt_j]}) \right| > \varepsilon \right).
\end{aligned}$$

If for each $s \in [0, 1]$ $u_n(s, \pm C) \rightarrow u(s, \pm C)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), then

$$(3.9) \quad \lim_{\gamma \downarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_2(\varepsilon, \gamma, n) = 0.$$

Proof. We use the same notations as the ones in the proof of Lemma 3.1. Let

$$v_j(x) = u_n(t_j, x) - u(t_j, x) \quad (j=0, 1, \dots, b).$$

Since

$$E((T_{[nt_{j+1}]})^2 | \mathcal{N}_{-\infty}^{[nt_j]}) = n^{-1} \Sigma_{(j)} E\{\xi_i^2 | \mathcal{N}_{-\infty}^{[nt_j]}\},$$

so, noting that u_n and u are uniformly bounded, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.9) \quad & E \left| \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} u_n(t_j, T_{[nt_j]}) (T_{[nt_{j+1}]} - T_{[nt_j]}) - \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} u(t_j, T_{[nt_j]}) (T_{[nt_{j+1}]} - T_{[nt_j]}) \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} E\{|v_j(T_{[nt_j]})|^2 n^{-1} \Sigma_{(j)} E\{\xi_i^2 | \mathcal{N}_{-\infty}^{[nt_j]}\}\} \\ &\leq K \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} \left(t_{j+1} - t_j + \frac{1}{n} \right) E\{|[nt_{j+1}] - [nt_j]|^{-1} \Sigma_{(j)} E\{\xi_i^2 | \mathcal{N}_{-\infty}^{[nt_j]}\} - 1\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} \left(t_{j+1} - t_j + \frac{1}{n} \right) E|v_j(T_{[nt_j]})|^2 \\ &= P_2^{(1)} + P_2^{(2)} \quad (\text{say}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we shall show that under Condition (A) or (B)

$$(3.10) \quad \lim_{\gamma \downarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_2^{(1)} = 0.$$

We first note that

$$(3.11) \quad P_2^{(1)} \leq K \left(1 + \frac{b}{n} \right) \max_{0 \leq j \leq b-1} E\{|[nt_{j+1}] - [nt_j]|^{-1} \Sigma_{(j)} E\{\xi_i^2 | \mathcal{N}_{-\infty}^{[nt_j]}\} - 1\}.$$

If Condition (B) is satisfied, then, from (B3) and (3.11), (3.10) follows. On the other hand, by Jensen's inequality

$$E|m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m \{\xi_i^2 | \mathcal{N}_{-\infty}^0\} - 1| \leq E|m^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i^2 - 1|.$$

So if Condition (A) is satisfied, then by the mean ergodic theorem the right-hand side of (3.11) tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, (3.10) is obtained.

Next, since by (2.5) $v_j(x) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $x \in [-C, C]$ for each j ($0 \leq j \leq b-1$), so

$$E|v_j(T_{[nt_j]})|^2 = \int_{-C}^C |v_j(x)|^2 dF_j(x) \rightarrow 0$$

where F_j is the *df* of $T_{[nt_j]}$. Hence

$$(3.12) \quad P_2^{(2)} \leq K \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} E|v_j(T_{[nt_j]})|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Combining (3.9), (3.10) and (3.12), we have the desired result.

Lemma 3.3. *Under the conditions of Lemma 3.2*

$$(3.13) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} u(t_j, T_{[nt_j]}) (T_{[nt_{j+1}]} - T_{[nt_j]}) \xrightarrow{D} \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} u(t_j, w(t_j)) (w(t_{j+1}) - w(t_j))$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Since u is continuous, so from Remark 2.2 (3.13) easily follows.

Lemma 3.4. *Under the conditions of Lemma 3.2*

$$(3.14) \quad P\left(\left|\sum_{j=0}^{b-1} u(t_j, w(t_j))(w(t_{j+1}) - w(t_j)) - \int_0^1 u(t, w(t))dw(t)\right| > \varepsilon\right) \rightarrow 0$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$ as $\gamma \downarrow 0$.

Proof. We note that

$$\begin{aligned} J &= E\left[\left|\sum_{j=0}^{b-1} u(t_j, w(t_j))(w(t_{j+1}) - w(t_j)) - \int_0^1 u(t, w(t))dw(t)\right|^2\right] \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} E\left[\int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} \{u(t, w(t)) - u(t_j, w(t_j))\}dw(t)\right]^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{b-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} E|u(t, w(t)) - u(t_j, w(t_j))|^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.2) it follows that for each t ($t_j \leq t \leq t_{j+1}$)

$$\begin{aligned} E|u(t, w(t)) - u(t_j, w(t_j))|^2 &\leq KE\{(t-t_j)^2 + |w(t) - w(t_j)|^2\}\{1 + |w(t) - w(t_j)|^{2\alpha} + |w(t_j)|^{2\alpha}\} \\ &\leq K[E(t-t_j)^2 + |w(t) - w(t_j)|^2]E\{|w(t_j)|^{2\alpha} + 1\} \\ &\quad + E(t-t_j)^2|w(t) - w(t_j)|^{2\alpha} + |w(t) - w(t_j)|^{2+2\alpha} \\ &\leq K(t-t_j) \quad (j=0, 1, \dots, b). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$J \leq K \sum_{j=1}^{b-1} \int_{t_j}^{t_{j+1}} (t-t_j)dt \leq K\gamma,$$

which implies (3.14) and the proof is completed.

4. Proof of Theorem. For any $C > 0$, let $f^{(C)}$ and $f_n^{(C)}$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$) be functions as in the preceding section. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1) \quad P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_n\left(\frac{i}{n}, T_i\right)\sqrt{\frac{n}{i+1}} - \int_0^1 f(t, w(t))dw(t)\right| > \varepsilon\right) \\ \leq P\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} f_n^{(C)}\left(\frac{i}{n}, T_i\right)\sqrt{\frac{n}{i+1}} - \int_0^1 f^{(C)}(t, w(t))dw(t)\right| > \varepsilon\right) \\ + P(\sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} |T_i| > C) + P(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |w(t)| > C). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{C_m\}$ be an increasing sequence of positive numbers such that $C_m \uparrow \infty$. If Condition (A) or (B) is satisfied, then S_0, S_1, \dots, S_n are martingale, and so

$$\begin{aligned} (4.2) \quad \lim_{C_m \rightarrow \infty} P(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |T_i| > C_m) &\leq \lim_{C_m \rightarrow \infty} (C_m n^{1/2})^{-1} \int_{\{|S_n| \geq C_m n^{1/2}\}} |S_n| dP \\ &\leq \lim_{C_m \rightarrow \infty} C_m^{-2} n^{-1} E S_n^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(4.3) \quad P\left(\sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} |w(t)| > C_m\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad (C_m \rightarrow \infty).$$

Hence, the proof is obtained by Lemmas 3.1-3.4 and (4.1)-(4.3).

References

- [1] P. Billingsley: *Convergence of probability measures*. Wiley, New York, (1968).
- [2] B. M. Brown: *Martingale central limit theorems*. Ann. Math. Statist. **42** (1971), 59-66.
- [3] R. J. Serfling: *Contributions to central limit theory for dependent variables*. Ann. Math. Statist., **39** (1968), 1158-1175.
- [4] A. B. Skorokhod and N. P. Slobodeneuk: *Limit theorems for random walks*. Kiev: Nauk, (1970), (in Russian).
- [5] H. Takahata: *A note on functionals for martingale sequences*. Bull. Tokyo Gakugei Univ. IV Math. Nat. Sci., **28** (1976), 17-21.

Department of Mathematics
 Faculty of Engineering
 Yokohama National University
 Tokiwadai, Hodogaya,
 Yokohama 240 Japan