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## 1. Introduction.

Our main concern is the study of the 3-manifolds obtained by Denh's surgery along 2 -bridge knots. In this paper, we prove that such 3 -manifolds have Heegaard splittings of genus two and so are decomposed to two lens spaces when they are not irreducible. Furthermore we shall verify that such 3 -manifolds are not 3spheres $S^{3}$ even though they are homology 3 -spheres. This result is proved by using Homma's theorem [1] and Volodin-Kuznetsov-Fomenko [6], which is recently found;

Homma's Theorem. Heegaard splittings of genus two for 3 -spheres $S^{3}$ are reducible except for the extended canonical one.

We work in piecewise linear category throughout the paper. Furthermore, unless specified otherwise, by $N(X, Y)$ we shall denote a regular neighborhood of a subpolyhedron $X$ in a polyhedron $Y$ and by $\dot{X}$ we shall denote the interior of $X$.

Definition. Let $W_{1}, W_{2}$ be solid tori of genus two and $M$ a closed orientable 3 -manifold and $h: \partial W_{2} \rightarrow \partial W_{1}$ a homeomorphism of tori. Then the triple ( $W_{1}, W_{2}$; $h$ ) (or ( $\left.W_{1}, W_{2}, F\right)$ ) is called a Heegaard splitting of genus two for $M$ when $M=$ $W_{1} \cup W_{2}$ and $W_{1} \cap W_{2}=\partial W_{1}=\partial W_{2}=F$, a closed 2-manifold.
${ }^{n}$ Next let $\left\{D_{i 1}, D_{i 2}\right\}$ be a meridian-disk pair of $W_{i}(i=1,2)$, that is, $D_{i j}(j=1$, 2) is a properly embedded 2-disk in $W_{i}$ such that $D_{i 1}$ and $D_{i 2}$ are disjoint and $W_{i}-D_{i 1} \cup D_{i 2}$ is connected. Such a 2 -disk $D_{i j}(j=1,2)$ is called a meridian disk of $W_{i}$ and the circle $u_{i j}=\partial D_{i j}(j=1,2)$ a meridian of $W_{i}$.

Now let $h$ be a attaching homeomorphism from $\partial W_{2}$ onto $\partial W_{1}$. Then the manifold $M=W_{1} \cup_{h} W_{2}$ is determined up to homeomorphisms by the collection of circles $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ on $\partial W_{1}$ such that $v_{k}=h\left(u_{2 k}\right)(k=1,2)$. For example, let us illustrate the canonical Heegaard splitting of genus two for $S^{3}$ as the one in Fig. 1.

## 2. Dehn's surgery along 2-bridge knots.

A 3 -manifold $M$ is said to be obtained by a Dehn's surgery along a 2-bridge


Fig. 1. The canonical Heegaard splitting for $\boldsymbol{S}^{3}$.
knot $K$ if followings hold; Let $N\left(K, S^{3}\right)$ be a regular neighborhood of $K$ in $S^{3}$ and then $N\left(K, S^{3}\right)$ is a solid torus. Furthermore let $E$ be $S^{3}-\dot{N}\left(K, S^{3}\right), V$ a solid torus, $i: \partial N\left(K, S^{3}\right) \rightarrow \partial E$ be the trivial attaching which induces $S^{3}=E \cup N\left(K, S^{3}\right)$, and $\Psi: \partial V \rightarrow \partial E$ a homeomorphism of tori with the property that $i^{-1} \Psi^{i}$ does not extend to a homeomorphism from $V$ onto $N\left(K, S^{3}\right)$. Now in the disjoint union,

$$
\left(S^{3}-\stackrel{\circ}{N}\left(K, S^{3}\right)\right)+V
$$

identify points $x \in \partial V$ with points $\Psi(x) \in \partial E$. Then the resulting manifold is the 3-manifold $M$.

Next let $W$ be a standardly embedded solid torus of genus two in $S^{3}$, that is, there are two properly embedded 2-disks $D_{1}, D_{2}$ in $S^{3}-\dot{W}$ such that $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ are disjoint and intersect two meridians of $W$ transversely at points $a, b$ respectively. Let $u_{1}=\partial D_{1}$ and $u_{2}=\partial D_{2}$. Then we have;

Lemma 1. Let $K$ be an arbitrary 2 -bridge knot in $S^{3}$. Then $K$ is embedded in $\partial W$ such that $K$ transversely intersects circles $u_{1}, u_{2}$ and $K \cap u_{1}=a, K \cap u_{2}=b$.

Proof. The proof follows directly from the standard definition of 2-bridge knots [4].

Hereafter we may assume that in Lemma 1 the knot $K$ is a Schubert's 2bridge knot. In [4] Schubert described a normal form $K=(\alpha, \beta)$ for each 2-bridge type $\bar{K}$ and used it to classify the types completely. In the normal form, $\alpha=$ $\operatorname{det}(\bar{K})$, the determinant of the knot, and $\beta$ is an integer relatively prime to $\alpha$ satisfying $-\alpha<\beta<\alpha$, and furthermore we may assume that $\alpha \neq 1$ is a positive odd integer because the knot $K$ considered in the paper is exactly knotted and not a link.

Now let $K$ be a 2 -bridge knot and then the knot $K$ is embedded in $\partial W$ by Lemma 1 such that $a=u_{1} \cap K$ and $b=u_{2} \cap K$. Then $a \cup b$ separates $K$ into two components $c_{1}, c_{2}$. Thus $\partial N\left(u_{1} \cup c_{i} \cup u_{2}, \partial W\right)(i=1,2)$ consists of three circles such
that two of these three are isotopic to $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ in $\partial W$ respectively and the last, which is called the knotting circle and denoted by $C(K, i)$, is not isotopic to $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ in $\partial W$. Then we have;

Lemma 2. $C(K, 1)$ is isotopic to $C(K, 2)$ in $\partial W$.
Proof. Since $N\left(u_{i}, \partial W\right)(i=1,2)$ is an annulus, $\partial W-\dot{N}\left(u_{1}, \partial W\right)-\dot{N}\left(u_{2}, \partial W\right)$ is homeomorphic to a 2 -manifold $L_{4}$ which is obtained by removing the interior of four 2 -disks from a 2 -sphere. Thus $C(K, 1)$ and $C(K, 2)$ lie on $L_{4}$ and separate $L_{4}$ into three components such that two of these three components have three boundary circles and the remainder is an annulus which has $C(K, 1)$ and $C(K, 2)$ as its boundary. Hence $C(K, 1)$ is isotopic to $C(K, 2)$ in $\partial W$. The proof is complete.

Next $M$ be a 3-mahifold obtained by a Dehn's surgery along the knot $K$. Then we have;

Theorem 1. The manifold $M$ has a Heegaard splitting of genus two.
Proof. By Lemma 2, the knot $K$ is embedded in $\partial W$ and then $W$ is standardly embedded in $S^{3}$. Let $C(K, 1)$ and $C(K, 2)$ be the knotting circles of $K$, and let $C_{K}$ be one of these circles and then the choice is free by Lemma 2. Further let $W^{\prime}$ be a solid torus of genus two and $\left\{D_{1}^{\prime}, D_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ the meridian disk pair of $W^{\prime}$. Then we have a Heegaard splitting ( $W, W^{\prime} ; h$ ) for $S^{3}$ such that $h: \partial W^{\prime} \rightarrow \partial W$ is a homeomorphism defined as $h\left(\partial D_{1}^{\prime}\right)=u_{1}$ and $h\left(\partial D_{2}^{\prime}\right)=C_{K} . \quad N\left(D_{i}^{\prime}, W^{\prime}\right)(i=1,2)$ is homoemorphic to $D^{2} \times I$ where $D^{2}$ is a 2 -disk and $I$ is an unit interval, and we may assume that $\partial N\left(D_{i}^{\prime}, W^{\prime}\right) \cap \partial W^{\prime}=N\left(\partial D_{i}^{\prime}, \partial W^{\prime}\right)(i=1,2)$. Identifying points $x \in$ $N\left(\partial D_{1}^{\prime}, \partial W^{\prime}\right)$ with points $h(x) \in N\left(u_{1}, \partial W\right)$ and points $y \in N\left(\partial D_{2}^{\prime}, \partial W^{\prime}\right)$ with points $h(y) \in N\left(C_{K}, \partial W\right)$, the resulting manifold $E^{\prime}=W \cup N\left(D_{1}^{\prime}, W^{\prime}\right) \cup N\left(D_{2}^{\prime}, W^{\prime}\right)$ is a 3manifold in $S^{3}$. Hence the Heegaard splitting ${ }^{h} W, W^{\prime} ; h$ ) gives a 3 -sphere $S^{3}$. Let $E=W \cup_{h} N\left(D_{2}^{\prime}, W^{\prime}\right)$ and $V^{\prime}=S^{3}-\dot{E}$, which is a solid torus in $S^{3}$ and in which $u_{1}$ is a meridian such that $u_{1}$ is transverse to the knot $K$ at only the point $a$. Then the knot $K$ is isotopic to the center circle of the solid torus $V^{\prime}$ in $S^{3}$ and so we may assume that $V^{\prime}$ is a regular neighborhood of $K$ in $S^{3}$. Let $V$ be a solid torus, $u$ a meridian of $V$, and $\Psi: \partial V \rightarrow \partial E$ a Dehn's surgery along $K$. Then removing the intersections between $\Psi(u)$ and $\partial E \cap N\left(D_{2}^{\prime}, W^{\prime}\right)$ by an isotopy in $\partial E$, we may assume that the intersections are empty. Thus the Dehn's surgery along $K$ induces a Heedaard splitting $\left(W, W^{\prime} ; h^{\prime}\right)$ for $M$ such that $h^{\prime}\left(\partial D_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\Psi(u)$ and $h^{\prime}\left(\partial D_{2}^{\prime}\right)=C_{K}$. The proof is complete.

Now we may assume that in the above Heegaard splitting ( $W, W^{\prime} ; h^{\prime}$ ) $\Psi(u)$
intersects each of $u_{1}$ and $u_{s}$ transversely at the intersections with the same orientations respectively.

Note that $C_{K}$ gives the knot group $\pi_{1}\left(K, S^{3}\right)$, that is,

$$
\pi_{1}\left(K, S^{s}\right)=\left\{s, t ; C_{K}(s, t)=1\right\}
$$

where $s$ and $t$ are two canonical generators for $\pi_{1}(W)=\{s, t$; free $\}$.
Corollary 1.1. The manifold $M$ is (1) irreducible (that is, any 2-spheres embedded in $M$ bound 3 -cells in $M$ ) or (2) the connected sum of two lens spaces. In particular, if $M$ is a homology 3-sphere then $M$ is irreducible.

Proof. Suppose that $M$ is not irreducible. By Theorem 1, $M$ has a Heegaard splitting of genus two and let it denote ( $W, W^{\prime} ; F$ ) where $F=W \cap W^{\prime}$. Then by Haken [2] there is a 2 -sphere $S^{2}$ in $M$ such that $S^{2} \cap F$ is a single simple closed curve $c$ which is not homotopic to zero in $F$, since $M$ is not irreducible. Thus $M$ has a connected sum decomposition $M_{1} \# M_{2}$ such that each of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ have a Heegaard splitting of genus one (that is, a lens space), since the circle $c$ is not homotopic to zero in $F$. The proof is complete.

Note that there happen curious cases, that is, the connected sum of two lens spaces really obtained by Dehn's surgery along torus knots, by Moser [3].

## 3. Reducible Heegaard splittings.

Let ( $W_{1}, W_{2} ; h$ ) be a Heegaard splitting of genus two for a 3 -manifold $M$ and $\left\{D_{i 1}, D_{i 2}\right\}$ a meridian disk pair of $W_{i}$. Furthermore we take an additional 2 -disk $D_{i s}$ properly embedded in $W_{i}$ such that $D_{i s}$ is disjoint from $D_{i 1}$ and $D_{i 2}$ and that any pair of three disks, $D_{i 1}, D_{i 2}, D_{i 3}$ is a meridian disk pair of $W_{i}$. Let $w_{k}=\partial D_{1 k}$ $(k=1,2,3)$ and $z_{j}=\partial D_{2 j}(j=1,2,3)$. The orientations of the circles $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, z_{1}$, $z_{2}, z_{3}$ and of $F=W_{1} \cap W_{2}$ are supposed to be given. Then the collection of the circles, which is called a net for the Heegaard splitting (compare with Definition 1.2 .1 in [6]), gives rise to a partition of $F$ into a set $\Gamma$ of domains. The segments of the circles of the net that lie between the points where the circles intersect are called the edges of the net. A domain $U \in \Gamma$ is said to be distinguished if among the edges that form its boundary there are two $a_{1}, a_{2}$ belonging to a single circle. The edges $a_{1}, a_{2}$ are also said to be distinguished. Furthermore the Heegaard splitting (with the fixed meridian disk pair $\left\{D_{i 1}, D_{i 2}, D_{i 8}\right\}$ ) is said to be $W_{1}$-reducible if it has distinguished edges belonging to $w_{1}$ or $w_{2}$ or $w_{3}$, also $W_{2^{-}}$ reducible if they belong to $z_{1}$ or $z_{2}$ or $z_{3}$, and also reducible if it is $W_{1}$-reducible or $W_{\mathbf{2}}$-reducible.

Next let $K$ be a 2-bridge knot and let $W_{1}$ be standardly embedded in $S^{3}$. Then $K$ is able to be embedded in $\partial W_{1}$ by Lemma 1 such that $a=u_{1} \cap K$ and $b=u_{2} \cap K$ where $u_{1}, u_{2}, a$, and $b$ are defined as in Lemma 1. The intersection between $K$ and $w_{1} \cup w_{2} \cup w_{3}$ give rise to a partition of the knot $K$ into a set of arcs. Among the arcs, there are four arcs $c_{11}, c_{12}, c_{21}$ and $c_{22}$ such that $c_{1 i}(i=1,2)$ contains the point $a$ and $c_{2 j}(j=1,2)$ contains the point $b$. Then the next lemma follows from the assumption that the knot $K$ is a Schubert's 2 -bridge knot $\bar{K}=(a, \beta)$ such that $\alpha>2$ is a positive odd integer.

Lmma 3. $\partial c_{1 i}-a$ is contained in $w_{2}$ but not $w_{1} \cup w_{3}$ and $\partial c_{2 j}-b$ is contained in $w_{1}$ but not $w_{2} \cup w_{3}$.


Fig. 2. The knotting circle along $K$.
Noting that there is an orientation preserving homeomorphism (involution) $T$ : $W_{1} \rightarrow W_{1}$ such that $T\left(u_{i}\right)=u_{i}, T\left(w_{j}\right)=w_{j}, T(a)=a, T(b)=b$, and $T\left(C_{K}\right)=C_{K}$ and so we have Fig. 2 by Lemma 2 and Lemma 3.

Now let $M$ be a 3-manifold obtained by a Dehn's surgery along the knot $K$ and $\Psi: \partial V \rightarrow \partial E$ the matching homeomorphism. By Theorem 1, the manifold $M$ has a Heegaard splittig ( $W, W^{\prime} ; h^{\prime}$ ). Then we have;

Main Theorem. The Heegaard splitting ( $W, W^{\prime} ; h^{\prime}$ ) for $M$ is not reducible.
Proof. We change the notation as follows; let us denote ( $W, W^{\prime} ; h^{\prime}$ ) by ( $W_{1}$, $W_{\mathbf{2}} ; h$. Furthermore let $\left\{D_{i 1}, D_{i 2}\right\}$ be a meridian disk pair of $W_{i}$ and $D_{i s}$ the additional 2 -disk in $W_{i}$ and let $w_{j}=\partial D_{1 j}$ and $z_{j}=\partial D_{2 j}(j=1,2,3)$. Then by Theorem 1 the Heegaard splitting ( $W_{1}, W_{2} ; h$ ) is given by $h\left(z_{1}\right)=\Psi\left(z_{1}\right)$ and $h\left(z_{2}\right)=C_{K}$. We may assume that $E=W_{1} \cup N\left(D_{22}, W_{2}\right)$ and $V=W_{2}-\grave{N}\left(D_{22}, W_{2}\right)$ and furthermore $\Psi\left(z_{j}\right)(j=1,3)$ intersects each of $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ transversely at the intersections with the same orientations respectively and is disjoint from $\partial E \cap N\left(D_{22}, W_{2}\right)$. Next let $\Gamma$ be the set of domains associated with $\left\{D_{i 1}, D_{i 2}, D_{i 3}\right\}$ given by the Heegaard splitting and let a domain $U \in \Gamma$. Then two cases happen by Lemma 2 and


Fig. 3.


Fig. 4.
Lemma 3. Let $F_{1}, F_{2}$ be two 2-manifolds in $\partial W_{1}$ such that $F_{1} \cup F_{2}=\partial W_{1}$ and $F_{1} \cap F_{2}=C_{K} \cup u_{1} \cup u_{2}$.

Case (1). The domain $U$ is contained in one of $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$; Then $U$ is a rectangular domain (see Fig. 3 and Fig. 4), or a hexagonal domain (see Fig. 4). The circle $u_{1}$ (and $u_{2}$ ) intersects $\Psi\left(z_{1}\right)$ and $\Psi\left(z_{3}\right)$ alternatively and so $U$ has no distinguished edges in $z_{1}$ or $z_{2}$ or $z_{3}$. By Lemma 3 , it has also no those in $w_{1}$ or $w_{2}$ or $w_{3}$ (see Fig 3 and Fig. 4).

Case (2). The domain $U$ has intersections with both of $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$; Such the domain $U$ is contained in one of two "knob" areas $F\left(u_{1}\right), F\left(u_{2}\right)$. We may assume that $U$ is contained in $F\left(u_{2}\right)$ without loss of generality. Let $F\left(u_{2}\right)=A_{1} \cup A_{2}$ where $A_{1} \cap A_{2}=u_{2}$ and $A_{i}(i=1,2)$ is an annulus. Then $\partial A_{1}=u_{2} \cup S\left(w_{1}\right) \cup S\left(C_{K}\right)$ and $\partial A_{2}=u_{2} \cup S^{\prime}\left(w_{1}\right) \cup S^{\prime}\left(C_{K}\right)$ where $S\left(w_{1}\right)$ and $S^{\prime}\left(w_{1}\right)$ are arcs in $w_{1}$ and $S\left(C_{K}\right)$ and $S^{\prime}\left(C_{K}\right)$ are arcs in $C_{K}$. Let $A_{1} \cap w_{2}=S\left(w_{2}\right), A_{1} \cap w_{3}=S\left(w_{3}\right), A_{2} \cap w_{2}=S^{\prime}\left(w_{2}\right)$; and $A_{2} \cap w_{3}=S^{\prime}\left(w_{3}\right)$. Now, by Takahashi [5], there is an involution $T$ on $W_{1}$ such that $T\left(C_{K}\right)=C_{K}, T\left(\Psi\left(z_{1}\right)\right)=\Psi\left(z_{1}\right), T\left(\Psi\left(z_{3}\right)\right)=\Psi\left(z_{3}\right), T\left(w_{1}\right)=w_{1}, T\left(w_{2}\right)=w_{2}, T\left(w_{3}\right)=w_{3}$,
$T\left(u_{1}\right)=u_{1}, T\left(u_{2}\right)=u_{2}, T\left(a^{\prime}\right)=a^{\prime}, T\left(b^{\prime}\right)=b^{\prime}$, and $T$ has six fixed points which all belong to $C_{K}$ or $\Psi\left(z_{1}\right)$ or $\Psi\left(z_{3}\right)$. By Lemma $3, C_{K}$ can not contain the fixed point $b^{\prime}$ and so $\left(\Psi\left(z_{1}\right) \cup \Psi\left(z_{3}\right)\right) \cap\left(S\left(w_{3}\right) \cup S^{\prime}\left(w_{3}\right)\right) \neq \phi$. Similarly $\left(\Psi\left(z_{1}\right) \cup \Psi\left(z_{3}\right)\right) \cup\left(S\left(w_{2}\right) \cup S^{\prime}\left(w_{2}\right)\right)$ $\neq \phi$. But we can assume that $\Psi\left(z_{j}\right)(j=1,3)$ is disjoint from $S\left(w_{2}\right)$ and $S\left(w_{3}\right)$ (see Fig. 4). Hence the domain $U \in A_{1} \cup A_{2}$ is not distinguished since $\partial U$ does not contain two edges belonging to a single circle in $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right\}$ (see Fig 4). Thus the Heegaard splitting ( $W_{1}, W_{2} ; h$ ) is not reducible. The proof is complete.

By Homma's Theorem, we have the following corollary;
Corollary 1.1. All 3-manifolds obtained by Dehn's surgery along non-trivial 2 -bridge knots are not 3 -spheres.

Note that Main Theorem is proved by the same manner as the above proof, when the net is defined without the additional 2-disks $D_{13}$ and $D_{23}$ (see Definition 1.2.1 in [6]).
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