

GENERAL POSITIONING IN A MAPPING CYLINDER

By

H. W. BERKOWITZ and PRABIR ROY

(Received April 4, 1977)

1. Introduction. In [2] and [3] Homma developed some techniques for modifying piecewise linear (P.L.) mappings from one combinatorial manifold into another. In [1] a counter-example to Theorem 2 of [2] is described. The principal result of the present paper is a weaker version of Theorem 2 of [2].

For the Basic definitions of P.L. topology the reader is referred to Hudson [4]. Some other definitions follow.

E^n denotes n -dimensional Euclidean space. If $a, b \in E^n$, $[a, b]$ denotes the closed line in E^n between a and b .

If K is a complex and L is a subcomplex of K , then $St_K(L) = \bigcup \{A \in K: A \cap L \neq \emptyset\}$, where $|L| = \bigcup \{x: x \in A \in L\}$. $A < B$ for two simplices A and B means A is a face of B .

If P is a polyhedron, Q a subpolyhedron of P , and T a triangulation of P in which Q is triangulated, then $T|Q = \{A \in T: A \subset Q\}$.

All manifolds in this paper are compact combinatorial manifolds. If M is a manifold, the interior of M is denoted $\text{Int}(M)$ or $\text{Int } M$.

Let M be an m -manifold, L a triangulation of M . For each vertex $v_i \in L$, $St_L(v_i)$ is a combinatorial m -ball; let $\theta_i: St_L(v_i) \rightarrow B^m$ be a P.L. homeomorphism from $St_L(v_i)$ onto the standard m -simplex. If K is a complex and $g: |K| \rightarrow \text{Int}(M)$, where g is a P.L. mapping, then g is *semi-simplicial* iff for each $A \in K$, there exists a vertex v_i of L such that $g(St_K(A)) \subset \text{Int}(St_L(v_i))$ and $\theta_i g|St_K(A)$ is a linear mapping of $St_K(A)$ into $\text{Int}(B^m)$.

Let $g: K \rightarrow E^m$ be a semi-simplicial mapping of a complex K into E^m , i.e., for $A \in K$, $g|A$ is linear. g is in *general position* if for any collection of vertices $\{w_0, \dots, w_r\}$, $r \leq m$, of K , $\{g(w_0), \dots, g(w_r)\}$ spans an r -dimensional hyperplane in E_m . If g is in general position and $A_1, A_2 \in K$, then $\dim g(\text{Int}(A_1) \cap \text{Int}(A_2)) \leq \dim A_1 + \dim A_2 - m$.

Let $\{v_0, \dots, v_s, \dots, v_t\} \subset E^r$. Let the hyperplane spanned by $\{v_0, \dots, v_s\}$ be of dimension p , $p \leq r$. Let $\{w_0, \dots, w_p\}$ be $p+1$ linearly independent points in $\{v_0, \dots, v_s\}$. Then $\{v_0, \dots, v_s, \dots, v_t\}$ is in *general position with respect to* (g.p.w.r.t.) $\{v_0, \dots, v_s\}$,

if $\{w_0, \dots, w_p, v_{s+1}, \dots, v_t\}$ is in general position in E^r . "With respect to" is abbreviated by "w.r.t."

If D is a hyperplane in E^r , $\{v_1, \dots, v_t\} \subset E^r$, and $\{w_0, \dots, w_s\}$ is any linearly independent set of points spanning D , then $\{v_1, \dots, v_t, D\}$ is in g.p.w.r.t. D , if $\{v_1, \dots, v_t, w_0, \dots, w_s\}$ is in general position in E^r .

If $\{w_1, \dots, w_s\} \subset E^r$, its convex closure is denoted by $\langle w_1, \dots, w_s \rangle$. If A_1, \dots, A_t are convex subsets of E^r , the convex closure of $\{w_1, \dots, w_s\} \cup A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_t$ is denoted by $\langle w_1, \dots, w_s, A_1, \dots, A_t \rangle$.

If P, Q are polyhedra and $f: P \rightarrow Q$ is a P.L. mapping, then a point $x \in P$ such that $f^{-1}f(x) \neq x$ is a *singular point of f* ; the closure of the set of singular points of f is the *singular set of f* and is denoted S_f .

Let K, L be triangulations of P, Q such that $f: K \rightarrow L$ is simplicial. Let L_b be the barycentric subdivision of L and K_f a subdivision of K such that K_f is isomorphic to K_b , and $f: K_f \rightarrow L_b$ is simplicial. The *mapping cylinder of f* , C_f , is formed as follows. For b a vertex in K_f , let $B(b)$ be the simplex of K such that $b \in \text{Int}(B(b))$; similarly if a is a vertex in L_b , let $A(a)$ be the simplex of L_b such that $a \in \text{Int}(A(a))$. The vertices of C_f are those of L_b plus those of K_f . $\langle b_0, b_1, \dots, b_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_j \rangle$ is a simplex of C_f iff $B(b_0) > B(b_1) > \dots > B(b_i)$ and $f(B(b_i)) > A(a_{i+1}) > \dots > A(a_j)$. By an obvious identification $L_b, K_f \subset C_f$ so that $Q, P \subset |C_f|$. Define the onto simplicial mapping $p_f: C_f \rightarrow L_b$ by $p_f(b) = f(b)$ for b a vertex of K_f , and $p_f(a) = a$ for a a vertex of L_b .

Given any triangulation T of $|C_f|$, there exists a refinement T' of T such that $p_f: T' \rightarrow T' || L$ is simplicial. T' is called a *cylindrical subdivision of T* ; $p_f(|C_f|)$ is the *base of C_f* . For $x \in$ the base of C_f , call $p_f^{-1}(x)$ the *fibre over x* . If $A \in T'$, then $p_f^{-1}(x) \cap A$ is the *fibre over x in A* .

Let Π be a P.L. mapping of a polyhedron F onto a polyhedron G such that for each $x \in G$, $\Pi^{-1}(x)$ is collapsible. The triple $\{F, G, \Pi\}$ is called a *semi-forest*. If for each $x \in G$, diameter $\Pi^{-1}(x) < \epsilon$, then $\{F, G, \Pi\}$ is called an ϵ -*semi-forest*. These notions are due to Homma [3].

2. General Positioning in a Mapping Cylinder. Consider the P.L. mapping $f: M \rightarrow \text{Int}(N)$ of a combinatorial closed m -manifold into the interior of a combinatorial n -manifold, where $m \leq 3n/4 - 5/4$, and $n \geq 4$. Assume M has a triangulation L and N has a triangulation K , and that f is in general position and is semi-simplicial with respect to these two triangulations. Let $P = S_f$, the singular set of f , and $Q = f(P)$. Let $|C_f|$ be the mapping cylinder associated with $f|P: P \rightarrow Q$.

The mapping g . There exists a semi-simplicial mapping $g: C_f \rightarrow M$, where C_f

is a cylindrical triangulation, satisfying:

(i) if $A, B \in C_f$ with $g(A) \cap g(B) \neq \emptyset$, then there exists a vertex $v \in L$ with $g(A) \cup g(B) \subset \text{Int}(St_L(v))$;

(ii) g is in general position;

(iii) $g(x) = x$, for each $x \in P$.

It is easy to show that:

Lemma 1. $\dim C_f \leq n/2 - 2a + 1$, where $a \geq 5/4$.

Corollary 1.1. $\dim S_g \leq n/4 - 3a + 2$, where $a \geq 5/4$.

Lemma 2. Let $A \cup B$ be a complex consisting of two principal simplices A and B . Let A_1 be a 1-dimensional face of A , with $\dim(A_1 \cap B) \leq 0$. Let $\phi: |A \cup B| \rightarrow E^m$ be a mapping which is linear on A and B , and is in general position, where $\dim A \leq m$, $\dim B \leq m - 1$. Then given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a simplicial mapping $\phi': A \cup B \rightarrow E^m$, in general position, where $d(\phi, \phi') < \epsilon$, such that, if $x, y \in E^m$ and the line segment between x and y , $[x, y]$, is parallel to $\phi'(A_1)$, then not both x and y lie in $\phi'(B)$.

The proof is straightforward.

By Lemma 2, g can be approximated by a semi-simplicial mapping $g': C_f \rightarrow M$, satisfying (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv'), where

(iv') if $A, B \in C_f$, where B is a 1-dimensional simplex, $p_f(B) = \text{point}$, and $\dim(A \cap B) \leq 0$, then any line parallel to $g'(B)$ intersects $g'(A)$ in at most one point.

Note that in order to construct g' , $\dim C_f$ must be $\leq m - 1$; this follows from Lemma 1.

Assume g satisfies (iv').

Lemma 3. $g: |C_f| \rightarrow M$ has the following two properties, the sum of which are called (iv).

(iv_a) For any $A, B \in C_f$, if $p_f|(A \cap B)$ is a homeomorphism and if there is $x \in A$ such that $g(x) \in (g(A) \cap g(B)) - g(A \cap B)$ then there is no $y \in A$, $y \neq x$, with $g(y) \in (g(A) \cap g(B)) - g(A \cap B)$ and $p_f(x) = p_f(y)$.

(iv_b) For any $A, B \in C_f$, if there exists a 1-dimensional face X of $A \cap B$ with $p_f(X) = \text{point}$, and if $x, y \in A - (A \cap B)$; $x', y' \in B - (A \cap B)$; with $g(x) = g(x')$, $g(y) = g(y')$, and $p_f(x) = p_f(y)$ then $p_f(x') = p_f(y')$.

Proof. (iv_a) follows from (iv'). To prove (iv_b) note that if $A \in C_f$ and $A_1 < A$, $\dim(A_1) = 1$, with $p_f(A_1) = \text{point}$ in base of C_f , then for any line segment $e \subset A$,

where e is parallel to A_1 , $p_f(e)$ = point in base of C_f . Now $[x, y]$ is parallel to X in A , so $[g(x), g(y)]$ is parallel to $g(X)$. Since $[g(x), g(y)] = [g(x'), g(y')]$, $[g(x'), g(y')]$ is also parallel to $g(X)$, hence $[x', y']$ is parallel to X . Therefore $p_f(x') = p_f(y')$.

Thus if $A, B \in C_f$, and a fibre, $g(e)$, in $g(A)$ meets $g(B)$, then $g(e) \cap g(B)$ is contained in a fibre in $g(B)$ and consists of a point or a closed interval.

If $H \subset E^m$, then denote the hyperplane spanned by H , by $D(H)$.

Lemma 4. *Let $G: |K| \rightarrow E^m$ be a semi-simplicial mapping, in general position, from a complex K into E^m , where $m = 3n/4 - a$; $\dim K \leq n/2 - 2a + 1$, where $a \geq 5/4$. Then G can be approximated by a semi-simplicial mapping $G': |K| \rightarrow E^m$, which is in general position and is at most 2-to-1.*

Proof. It is well known that the vertices of the image can be moved slightly and the resulting map will still be in general position. Let $A, B, C \in K$. $\dim((G(A) \cap G(B)) - G(A \cap B)) \leq n/4 - 3a + 1$. Thus $\dim D(G(A) \cap G(B)) \leq n/4 - 3a + 1$. There are 2 cases.

(I) $C \cap (A \cup B) = \emptyset$. Then the image of C can be adjusted without moving the vertices of $A \cup B$. $\dim D(G(A) \cap G(B)) + \dim C - m < -1$.

(II) $C \cap (A \cup B) \neq \emptyset$. It can be assumed that all the vertices of C are in $A \cup B$. Let $C_A = C \cap A$, $C_B = C \cap B$. Assume $\dim C_A \geq \dim C_B$, then $\dim C_B \leq (n/2 - 2a + 1)/2$. Thus $G|(A \cup C_B)$ is a homeomorphism since $\dim A + \dim C_B - m \leq -1$.

Therefore G' can be constructed. Now assume g satisfies (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), and is 2-to-1.

Notation for the General Positioning in C_f . Let S_g be the singular set of $g: |C_f| \rightarrow M$. Let C'_f be a complex identical to C_f ; let $id: |C'_f| \rightarrow |C_f|$ be the identity map. Let $x' = id^{-1}(x)$, for $x \in C_f$; and $A' = id^{-1}(A)$, for $A \subset |C_f|$. Let R_g be a polyhedron homeomorphic to S_g ; let $r: R_g \rightarrow S_g$ be the homeomorphism. Let $\phi: R_g \rightarrow S'_g$ be the homeomorphism satisfying $\phi(r^{-1}(x)) = x'$. For $x \in$ base of $C_f(C'_f)$, denote the fibre over x by $F_x(F'_x)$.

Let $H = \{A \cap S_g: A \in C_f\}$. Let T_0 be a triangulation of S_g which defines H , is extendible to a subdivision of C_f , and such that $g|T_0$ is simplicial into some triangulation of M . Let T'_0 and RT_0 be the corresponding triangulations of S'_g and R_g .

Because g is at most 2-to-1 and $g|T_0$ is simplicial into some triangulation of M , for $A \in T_0$, either no other points of S_g are mapped by g into $g(\text{Int } A)$, or there exists a unique $A' \in T_0$ such that $g(A) = g(A')$.

Let T_1 be the barycentric subdivision of T_0 , and T_2 the barycentric subdivision of T_1 . Let T'_1, T'_2 and RT_1, RT_2 be the corresponding subdivisions of S'_g and R_g .

For K a complex, denote by K^i the i -th skeleton of K .

Let $Q_g = \{x \in |C_f| : g^{-1}g(x) \neq x\}$, $L_g = S_g - Q_g$. Let $p = \text{Max}\{i : L_g \cap \text{Int}(A) \neq \emptyset, A \in C_f^i\}$, $q = \text{Max}\{i : Q_g \cap \text{Int}(A) \neq \emptyset, A \in C_f^i\}$.

Lemma 5. $q > p$.

Proof. If $A \in C_f$ and $\text{Int} A \cap L_g \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $A_1, A_2 \in C_f$ with $A \subset A_1 \cap A_2$ and $g(A_1) \cap g(A_2) - g(A_1 \cap A_2) \neq \emptyset$ iff $\dim A_1 + \dim A_2 - m - \dim(A_1 \cap A_2) \geq 1$. In this case $A_1 \cap A_2 \subset L_g$, and $\dim(A_1 \cap A_2) \leq \dim A_1 + \dim A_2 - m - 1 \leq 2(n/2 - 2a + 1) - (3n/4 - a) - 1 = n/4 - 3a + 1$, or $p \leq n/4 - 3a + 1$.

If $A_1 \in C_f$ and $A_1 \cap Q_g \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $A_2 \in C_f$ such that $\dim A_1 + \dim A_2 - m \geq 0$, or $\dim A_1 \geq m - \dim A_2$. Now $\dim A_1 \geq m - (n/2 - 2a + 1) = (3n/4 - a) - (n/2 - 2a + 1) = n/4 + a - 1$, or $q \geq n/4 + a - 1$. Thus $q - p \geq (n/4 + a - 1) - (n/4 - 3a + 1) = 4a - 2 > 0$ since $a > 5/4$.

Notation. Let $S_g^i = S_g \cap |C_f^i|$.

Lemma 6. Let A be a simplex, $G: A \rightarrow E^r$ a linear homeomorphism, $A' < A$. Let K_b be the barycentric subdivision of A . Let $G': |K_b| \rightarrow E^r$ such that

- (i) $B \in K_b$ implies $G'|B$ is linear
- (ii) $G'|A' = G|A'$.

Let $A \geq A_0 > A_1 > \dots > A_s > A'$ with v_i the barycenter of A_i . The G' is a linear homomorphism on $\langle v_0, v_1, \dots, v_s \rangle * A'$.

The proof is straightforward.

Lemma 7. Let $A \in C_f^i$, $B \in T_1$ or T_2 such that $B \cap \text{Int}(A) \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists $A_t < A_{t-1} < \dots < A_1 = A$ such that $B \cap \text{Int} A_j \neq \emptyset$; and if $A' < A$ with $A' \neq A_j$ for $j=1, \dots, t$, then $B \cap \text{Int} A' = \emptyset$.

The proof follows from the way in which a barycentric subdivision divides a complex.

Corollary 7.1. Let $A \in C_f^i$, $B \in T_1$ or T_2 such that $B \cap \text{Int} A \neq \emptyset$. Let $j < i$, then B meets at most one j -dimensional face of A .

Let $A \subset |C_f|$. The shadow of A in $|C_f|$ is the set $\{x \in |C_f| : p_f(x) \in p_f(A)\}$, and is denoted by $\text{Shad}(A)$. If $A \subset C_f'$, $\text{Shad}(A)$ is similarly defined in C_f' .

For each vertex $v_j \in T_2$, where $v_j \in \text{Int}(A_j)$, $A_j \in C_f'$, there exists a closed ball U_j centered at v_j , $U_j \subset \text{Int}(A_j)$, and this collection of U_j 's satisfies: if $F: S_g' \rightarrow |C_f'|$ such that $F(v_j) \in U_j$, and F is the linear extension of this vertex map, then there exists an ambient isotopy $H_t: |C_f'| \rightarrow |C_f'|$, such that $H_0(x) = F(x)$ for all $x \in S_g'$, and $H_1(x) = x$ for all $x \in |C_f'|$. Furthermore $H_t(A) = A$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $A \in C_f'$. The notation for v_j and U_j will be used in the following.

Proposition 8. *There exists $\phi': R_g \rightarrow |C'_f|$, a P.L. homeomorphism of R_g into $|C'_f|$, ambient isotopic to ϕ in C'_f by an isotopy which moves no point more than ε , for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, and satisfying:*

- (1) $\phi'|R_g^i = \phi|R_g^i$ for $0 \leq i \leq q-1$.
- (2) For each vertex $v_j \in RT_2$, $\phi'(v_j) \in U_j$.
- (3) If $B \in RT_2 - RT_2^p$, and $C \in RT_2$, if v_1, \dots, v_t are the vertices of B in $RT_2 - RT_2^p$ such that $\{v_1, \dots, v_t\} \cap C = \emptyset$, if $A \in C'_f$ such that $\phi(B) \subset A$, and if B' is the face of B opposite $\{v_1, \dots, v_t\}$, then $\{\phi'(v_1), \dots, \phi'(v_t), \langle (\text{Shad}(\phi(C)) \cap A), \phi(B') \rangle\rangle$ is in g.p.w.r.t. $\langle (\text{Shad}(\phi(C)) \cap A), \phi(B') \rangle$.

The proof of this follows a lengthy construction.

If $B, C \in T_2$ and v_1, \dots, v_t are the vertices of B which are not in C , and $v_i \notin S_g^{q-1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$, then v_1, \dots, v_t are the free vertices of B w.r.t. C .

Construction of the ϕ_i . For $0 \leq i \leq q-1$, define $\phi_i: R_g^i \rightarrow |C'_f|$ by $\phi_i = \phi|R_g^i$. For $q \leq i \leq \dim C_f$, ϕ_i will be constructed inductively. The final ϕ_i will be ϕ' .

For $q \leq i \leq \dim C_f$, ϕ_i will satisfy:

- (1) $\phi_i|R_g^{i-1} = \phi_{i-1}$.
- (2) For each vertex $v_j \in RT_2^i$, $\phi_i(v_j) \in U_j$.
- (3) If $B \in RT_2^i - T_2^{i-1}$, and $C \in RT_2^i$, if v_1, \dots, v_t are the vertices of B in $RT_2^i - RT_2^{i-1}$ such that $\{v_1, \dots, v_t\} \cap C = \emptyset$, if $A \in (C'_f)^i$ such that $\phi(B) \subset A$, and if B' is the face of B opposite $\langle v_1, \dots, v_t \rangle$, then $\{\phi_i(v_1), \dots, \phi_i(v_t), \langle (\text{Shad}(\phi_i(C)) \cap A), \phi_i(B') \rangle\rangle$ is in g.p.w.r.t. $\langle \text{Shad}(\phi_i(C)) \cap A, \phi_i(B') \rangle$.

The main construction. For each i , $q \leq i \leq \dim C_f$, we prove:

Proposition 8₁. *Given $\phi_j: R_g^j \rightarrow |C'_f|$, a P.L. homeomorphism, ambient isotopic to $\phi|R_g^j$ in $|C_f|$, and satisfying (1_j), (2_j), and (3_j), where $0 \leq j \leq i-1$, and given $\varepsilon > 0$, such that $d(\phi_j, \phi|R_g^j) < \varepsilon$, then there exists $\phi_i: R_g^i \rightarrow |C_f|$ satisfying (1_i), (2_i), (3_i), and $d(\phi_i, \phi|R_g^i) < \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Let $\{v_k\}_1^J$ be the vertices of $RT_2^i - RT_2^{i-1}$. Let $W_k = U_k - N(k, \varepsilon)$ where $N(k, \varepsilon)$ is the closure of the ε -ball centered at $\phi(v_k)$ for $1 \leq k \leq J$.

Consider the set of ordered pairs of simplices $\{(B_1, C_1), \dots, (B_R, C_R)\}$, where $B_s \in RT_2^i - RT_2^{i-1}$, $C_s \in RT_2^i$, and where B_s has free vertices w.r.t. C_s which are contained in $RT_2^i - RT_2^{i-1}$. For each such pair (B_s, C_s) $r_s: R_g^i \rightarrow |C'_f|$ is constructed which satisfies:

- (1) r_s is simplicial on RT_2 .
- (2) For each vertex $v \in RT_2$, $r_s(v) = r_{s-1}(v)$, except when v is a free vertex of B_s w.r.t. C_s in $RT_2^i - RT_2^{i-1}$. For such a free vertex, v_k , $r_s(v_k) \in W_k$. Define r_0 to be equal to ϕ_{i-1} on R_g^{i-1} , and to be equal to ϕ on the vertices of $RT_2^i - RT_2^{i-1}$.

(3) If v_1, \dots, v_t are the free vertices of B_k w.r.t. C_k in $RT_2^i - RT_2^{i-1}$ and $\phi(B_k) \subset A$ where $A \in (C_f^i)^i$, then $\{r_s(v_1), \dots, r_s(v_t), \langle \text{Shad}(r_s(C_k) \cap A), r_s(A') \rangle\}$ is in g.p.w.r.t. $\langle (\text{Shad}(r_s(C_k)) \cap A), r_s(B') \rangle$, where B' is the face of opposite $\langle v_1, \dots, v_t \rangle$, and $1 \leq k \leq s$.

Let $\phi_i = r_R$.

The construction of r_1 follows, the construction of r_j , where $2 \leq j \leq s$ is similar.

Let v_1, \dots, v_t be the free vertices of B_1 w.r.t. C_1 which are in $RT_2^i - RT_2^{i-1}$. Let $\phi(C_1) \subset A$ where $A \in (C_f^i)^i$; thus $\{\phi(v_1), \dots, \phi(v_t)\} \subset \text{Int } A$. Let B' be the face of B_1 opposite $\langle v_1, \dots, v_t \rangle$.

Let $P_0 = \langle r_0(B'), \text{Shad}(r_0(C_1)) \cap A \rangle$. P_0 determines a hyperplane in A , denoted by $D(P_0)$.

Note $\dim P_0 < \dim B_1 + \dim (\text{Shad}(r_0(C_1)) \cap A) + 1$. In order to show that $\dim B_1 + \dim (\text{Shad}(r_0(C_1)) \cap A) - \dim A \leq -1$ note that $\dim A = n/2 + 2a + 1 - b$, where $a \geq 5/4$, $b \geq 0$. $\dim B_1 \leq \dim (S'_j \cap A) \leq \dim A + \dim C'_f - m \leq n/4 - 3a + 2 - b$. Thus $\dim (\text{Shad}(r_0(C_1)) \cap A) \leq n/4 - 3a + 3 - b$, and $\dim A_1 + \dim (\text{Shad}(r_0(C_1)) \cap A) - \dim A \leq -4a + 4 - b \leq -4a + 4 \leq -1$.

Thus $D(P_0)$ does not fill up W_1 , so there exists $y_1 \in W_1 - D(P_0)$. Let $P_1 = \langle y_1, P_0 \rangle$. If $t > 1$, there exists $y_2 \in W_2 - D(P_1)$. By induction $y_k \in W_k - D(P_{k-1})$ and $P_k = \langle y_k, P_{k-1} \rangle$ can be obtained for $2 \leq k \leq t$.

Now $\{y_1, \dots, y_t, P_0\}$ is in g.p.w.r.t. P_0 . Let $r_1(v_k) = y_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq t$; $r_1(v) = r_0(v)$ for each v in RT_2^i where $v \neq v_k$, $1 \leq k \leq t$. Extend r_1 linearly to all of R_1^i .

The following corollaries now follow.

Corollary 8.1. *If $q \leq i \leq \dim C_f$, and $B, C \in RT_2^i$, $\phi'(B) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ with $A \in (C_f^i)^i$; if v_1, \dots, v_t are the free vertices of B w.r.t. C , then $x \in \text{Int}(B)$ implies that $\phi'(x) \in \text{Shad}(\phi'(C))$.*

Corollary 8.2. *If $B \in RT_2^i$ and $C \in RT_2^j - RT_2^{j-1}$ where $q \leq i < j \leq \dim C_f$; if $A \in (C_f^i)^i$ with $\phi'(B) \in A$; if v_1, \dots, v_t are the free vertices of B w.r.t. C , then $x \in \text{Int}(B)$ implies that $\phi'(x) \notin \text{Shad}(C)$.*

Corollary 8.3. *If $x \in \text{Int}(A)$, $y \in \text{Int}(B)$, where $B, C \in RT_2 - RT_2^{q-1}$; and there exists a free vertex of B w.r.t. C , then there is no $z \in \text{base of } C_f^i$ such that $\phi'(x)$ and $\phi'(y)$ are in F_z .*

Since ϕ' is ambient isotopic to ϕ in $|C_f^i|$, there is an ambient isotopy $H_t: |C_f^i| \rightarrow |C_f^i|$ such that $H_0(x) = x$ for all $x \in |C_f^i|$, $H_1(\phi'(x)) = \phi(x)$ for all $x \in R_0$, and $H_t|_A$ is a P.L. homeomorphism of A onto A for each $t \in [0, 1]$ and $A \in C_f^i$.

Define $h: |C_f^i| \rightarrow |C_f^i|$ by $h(x) = idH_1(x)$.

Theorem 9. *Let $z \in \text{base of } C'_f$. Suppose $gh(F_z) \cap gh(|C'_f| - F_z) \neq \phi$, then there exists a unique $z' \in \text{base of } C'_f$, $z' \neq z$, such that $gh(F_z) \cap gh(F_{z'}) \neq \phi$. Furthermore $gh(F_z) \cap gh(F_{z'})$ is connected.*

The proof of Theorem 9 follows from Corollary 8.3.

Let $B' = \text{base of } C'_f$. For $z \in B'$, define $B'(z) = \{z' \in B' : gh(F_z) \cap gh(F_{z'}) \neq \phi\}$. $B'(z)$ contains at most 2 points. Let $G' = \{B'(z) : z \in B'\}$. Let $G = B' | G'$, i.e. G is the decomposition space formed by identifying z with z' in B' if $B'(z) = B'(z')$. Let $\theta: B' \rightarrow G$ be defined by $\theta(z) = B'(z)$. A subset U of G is open iff $\theta^{-1}(U)$ is open in B' . G is a polyhedron and θ is a P.L. map, Let $\delta_1 := \text{Max}\{\text{diameter } g\phi_f^{-1}(x) : x \in \text{base of } C_f\}$.

Theorem 10. *Given $\delta > 0$, there exists a $(2\delta_1 + \delta)$ semi-forest $\Gamma = \{F, G, \pi\}$ and a P.L. mapping θ of Q onto G satisfying:*

- (a) $P \subset F \subset \text{Int}(M)$
- (b) $\theta f = \pi | P$
- (c) $\dim S_\theta < \dim Q$
- (d) $\dim \pi^{-1}(x) \leq \text{Max}_{y \in Q} \dim f^{-1}(y) + 1$, for any $x \in G$.

Proof. Given $\delta' > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if ϕ' is constructed as in the proof of Proposition 8 so that $d(\phi, \phi') < \varepsilon$, then $d(gh(x), gid(x)) < \delta'$ for any $x \in |C'_f|$.

Let K' be a triangulation of $|C'_f|$ which extends T'_2 . Let $K(P)'$ denote the subcomplex of K' which triangulates $id^{-1}g^{-1}(P)$.

h can be chosen so that there is an ambient isotopy $H_t: M \rightarrow M$, such that $H_0(x) = x$ for $x \in M$, $H_1(gh(x)) = gid(x)$ for $x \in |K(P)'|$, and $d(x, H_t(x)) < \delta/8$ for any $t \in [0, 1]$, $x \in M$. Thus $\text{diameter}(gh(F_z)) < \delta_1 + \delta/4$ for any $z \in \text{base of } C'_f$; and $\text{diameter}(H_1gh(F_z)) < \delta_1 + \delta/2$. If $H_1gh(F_z) \cap H_1gh(F_{z'}) \neq \phi$ for $z, z' \in \text{base of } C'_f$, then $\text{diameter}(H_1gh(F_z) \cup H_1gh(F_{z'})) < 2\delta_1 + \delta$.

Let $F = H_1gh(|C'_f|)$. Identify Q with B' , thus $\theta: Q \rightarrow G$. For $x \in F$, $x \in H_1gh(F_z)$ for some $z \in B'$. Let $\pi(z) = B'(z) \in G$. Since $x \in H_1gh(F_z)$ implies $B'(z) = B'(z')$, π is well-defined.

For $x \in G$, $\pi^{-1}(x)$ can be shown to be collapsible by Theorem 9. It is clear that $\dim \pi^{-1}(x) \leq \text{Max}_{y \in Q} \dim f^{-1}(y) + 1$, for any $x \in G$.

To show that $\dim S_\theta < \dim Q$, note that $n \geq 4$ and: $\dim Q = \dim S_f \leq n/2 - 2a$, where $a \geq 5/4$. Thus $\dim Q = n/2 - 2a - b$ where $b \geq 0$. $\dim S_\theta \leq \dim S_g \leq 2(\dim C_f) - m = n/4 - 3a - 2b + 2$. Therefore $\dim Q - \dim S_\theta > 0$, and the theorem follows.

References

- [1] H. W. Berkowitz: *A counterexample to a proof of Homma*. Yokohama Math. J., **19** (1971), 1-3.
- [2] T. Homma: *A theorem of piecewise linear approximations*. Yokohama Math. J., **14** (1966), 47-54.
- [3] T. Homma: *Piecewise linear approximations of embeddings of manifolds*. Florida State University mimeographed notes, (1964).
- [4] J. F. P. Hudson: *Piecewise linear topology*. Benjamin, New York (1969).

State University of New York,
College at New Paltz, New Paltz, N.Y.,
12561
State University of New York
at Binghamton, Binghamton, N.Y. 13901