

ON BALL COVERINGS FOR PRODUCTS OF MANIFOLDS

By

YASUYUKI TSUKUI

(Received December 9, 1976)

1. Introduction. The concept of ball coverings was introduced in [2] to study compact connected *PL* manifolds. Suppose M^m is a compact connected *PL* m -manifold and let $\mathcal{B}=\{B_i\}$ be a finite set a finite set of m -balls in M . Then \mathcal{B} is called a ball covering of M , if (i) $\cup B_i=M$ and (ii) $B_i \cap B_j = \partial B_i \cap \partial B_j$, is an $(m-1)$ -manifold (may not be connected) for $i \neq j$. Define that $b(M) = \min. \{ \# \mathcal{B}; \mathcal{B} \text{ is a ball covering of } M \}$, where $\# \mathcal{B}$ means the number of elements of \mathcal{B} [2]. We use other definitions and notations in [2], and throughout this paper all considerations are upon the piecewise linear point of view.

The purpose of this paper is to show a relation among $b(M)$, $b(N)$ and $b(M \times N)$, as follows. Also, by the same technique as the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain Theorem 2.

Theorem 1. *For any compact connected manifolds M and N ,*

$$b(M \times N) \leq b(M) + b(N) - 1 .$$

Theorem 2. *For any connected compact manifold V with nonempty boundary ∂V ,*

$$b(D(V)) \leq b(V) + 1 ,$$

where $D(V)$ is the double of V .

Let $c(M)$ be the *Lusternik-Schnirelmann* category of a compact connected manifold M (that is, the minimum number of contractible sets in M which cover M) [1]. Since a ball is contractible and $c(S^{p_1} \times S^{p_2} \times \cdots \times S^{p_k}) \geq k+1$, we have the following from Theorem 1.

Corollary 1. $b(S^{p_1} \times S^{p_2} \times \cdots \times S^{p_k}) = k+1$, ($p_i \geq 1$).

Corollary 1 shows that [2, (2.7)] and [2, (2.11)] are not the best, since $S^1 \times S^2 \times \cdots \times S^k$ requires $(1/2)k(k+1)+1$ kind handles in its any handle decomposition and since $\{(S^1 \times S^2 \times \cdots \times S^k) - \text{int } B^{k(k+1)/2}\}$ does not have a spine of dimension less than $(1/2)k(k+1)-1$.

For integers $2 \leq m \leq n+1$, $b(T^{m-2} \times S^{n-m+2}) = b(S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1 \times S^{n-m+2}) = (m-1) + 1 = m$, from Corollary 1. Hence,

Corollary 2. For any integers m and n , $2 \leq m \leq n+1$, there exists a closed connected n -manifold M such that $b(M)=m$.

Remark 1. Mr. Okawa also proved Corollary 1 by a non-standard handle decomposition of $S^{p_1} \times S^{p_2} \times \cdots \times S^{p_k}$.

2. Since $b(T^n)=b(S^1 \times S^1 \times \cdots \times S^1)=n+1$, and from following Lemma 3, there exists a connected compact bounded n -manifold N with $b(N)=m$ for any m , $1 \leq m \leq n$.

3. Let V be the compact contractible Mazur's 4-manifold, with boundary [3], then $b(V)=3$,

$$b(V \times I)=b(I^5)=1 < 3=b(V)+b(I)-1, \text{ and} \\ b(D(V))=b(S^4)=2 < 4=b(V)+1.$$

But the author do not know if there exist closed connected manifolds M and N with $b(M \times N) < b(M)+b(N)-1$. If $b(M^m \times N^{5-m})=b(M)+b(N)-1$ for all closed m - and $(5-m)$ -manifolds M and N , respectively, ($m=3, 4$), then 3- and 4-Poincare conjectures are true.

2. Preliminaries. In this section we will provide some lemmata to prove the theorems. It is sufficient to understand that a regular neighborhood $N(X; Y)$ of X in Y is a second derived neighborhood of X in Y for suitable triangulation of Y .

Lemma 1. Suppose $\{B'_1, B'_2, \dots, B'_n\}$ is a set of m -balls in a connected compact m -manifold M such that (i) $\cup B'_i=M$ and (ii) $B'_i \cap B'_j = \partial B'_i \cap \partial B'_j$ for $i \neq j$. Then there exists a ball covering $\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n\}$ of M such that $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ if $B'_i \cap B'_j = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let $B_1=N(B'_1; M)$, $B_i=N(\text{Cl}(B'_i - \cup_{k < i} B'_k); \text{Cl}(M - \cup_{k < i} B'_k))$, $i=2, 3, \dots, n-1$, and let $B_n=N(\text{Cl}(M - \cup_{k < n} B'_k))$. Hence $\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_n\}$ is obviously a ball covering of M . If $B'_i \cap B'_j = \emptyset$, $N(B'_i; M) \cap N(B'_j; M) = \emptyset$. Therefore, $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$.

Next lemma is a special case of the above.

Lemma 2. Suppose $\mathcal{B}=\{B_i; i=1, 2, \dots, k\}$ is a ball covering of a connected compact manifold M and let $B_i^*=N(B_i; M)$ be a regular neighborhood of B_i in M . Then $\mathcal{B}^*=\{B_j^*; j=1, 2, \dots, k\}$ is a ball covering of M , where $B_j^*=\text{Cl}(B_j - B_i^*)$ for $j \neq i$, $1 \leq j \leq k$.

Lemma 3. If $\{B_1, B_2, \dots, B_k\}$ is a ball covering of a connected compact manifold M of $b(M)=k$, then $M'=\text{Cl}(M - B_1) = \cup_{1 < i} B_i$ is a connected compact manifold with $b(M')=k-1$.

Proof. Since $B_i \cap B_j = \partial B_i \cap \partial B_j (i \neq j)$ is a manifold of dimension $= \dim(M) - 1$, M' is a compact manifold. If M' is not connected, there are two balls B_i and B_j so that $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$. This contradicts that $b(M) = k$ [2, (2.3)]. Suppose $b(M') < k - 1$, then $b(M) = b(M' \cup B_1) \leq (k - 2) + 1 = k - 1$. It is also a contradiction to $b(M) = k$. Hence, M' is a connected compact manifold with $b(M') = k - 1$.

Lemma 4. *Let M be a connected compact manifold with $b(M) = k$. For any integer n , there exist n ball coverings $\mathcal{B}_i = \{B_{i,j}; j = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ of M , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, such that*

$$(*) \quad B_{i,j} \cap B_{p,q} = \emptyset, \text{ if } i + j = p + q \text{ and } (i, j) \neq (p, q).$$

Proof. We will prove the lemma by the induction on $b(M)$. If $b(M) = 1$, M is homeomorphic to a ball. Let $B_{i,1} = M$ and let $\mathcal{B}_i = \{B_{i,1}\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence \mathcal{B}_i 's satisfy the condition (*).

Suppose now that the lemma is true for any connected compact manifold N with $b(N) \leq k - 1$, $k \geq 2$, and assume that M is a connected compact manifold with $b(M) = k$. Then there is a ball covering $\{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$ of M . From lemma 3, $M' = \text{Cl}(M - C_1)$ satisfies the inductive hypothesis. Hence there exist n ball coverings $\mathcal{B}'_i = \{B'_{i,j}; j = 2, 3, \dots, k\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, of M' with property (*). Let $B_{n,1} = C_1$ and $B_{n,j} = B'_{n,j}$, $j = 2, 3, \dots, k$. Then $\{B_{n,j}; j = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ is a ball covering of M .

Now, we will construct, inductively, ball coverings \mathcal{B}_i , $i = n - 1, n - 2, \dots, 2, 1$, as follows. Let $B_{n-s,1} = N(B_{n-s+1,1}; M)$ be a small regular neighborhood of $B_{n-s+1,1}$ in M , $1 \leq s \leq n - 1$, and let $B_{n-s,j} = \text{Cl}(B'_{n-s,j} - B_{n-s,1})$, $j = 2, 3, \dots, k$. Hence $\mathcal{B}_i = \{B_{i,j}; j = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$ is a ball covering of M by Lemma 2, $i = n, n - 1, \dots, 2, 1$. Note that $B_{i,j} \cap B_{p,q} = \emptyset$ if $j, p \neq 1$, $i + j = p + q$, and $(i, j) \neq (p, q)$, since $B_{i,j} \subset B'_{i,j} (j \neq 1)$. From the construction of $B_{i,1}$'s, $\mathcal{B}_i = \{B_{i,j}; j = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, have the property (*). This completes the proof.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose M^m and N^n are connected compact m - and n -manifolds with $b(M) = p$ and $b(N) = q$, respectively. From Lemma 4, there exist p ball coverings $\mathcal{B}_i = \{B^m_{i,j}; j = 1, 2, \dots, q\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, of N with property (*). Let $\{B^m_1, B^m_2, \dots, B^m_p\}$ be a ball covering of M . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} M \times N &= (B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_p) \times N = \bigcup_i (B_i \times N) = \bigcup_i (B_i \times \bigcup_j B_{i,j}) \\ &= \bigcup_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq p \\ 1 \leq j \leq q}} (B^m_i \times B^n_{i,j}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $B^m_i \times B^n_{i,j}$ is an $(m + n)$ -ball in $M \times N$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, $1 \leq j \leq q$, such that $B_i \times B_{i,j} \cap B_k \times B_{k,h} = \partial(B_i \times B_{i,j}) \cap \partial(B_k \times B_{k,h})$ for $(i, j) \neq (k, h)$.

Since $\{B_{i,j}; 1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq q\}$ has property (*), $B_i \times B_{i,j} \cap B_k \times B_{k,n} = \emptyset$ if $(i, j) \neq (k, n)$ and $i+j=k+n$. By Lemma 1, there exists a ball covering $\mathcal{C} = \{C_{i,j}; 1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq q\}$ with property (*). It is noted that $C_{1,2} \cap C_{2,1} = \emptyset$ and $\cup \{\partial C_{i,j}; 1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq q, i+j \neq 3\}$ is connected. Choose a simple arc J in $\cup \{\partial C_{i,j}; 1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq q, i+j \neq 3\}$ so that J intersects with $\partial C_{1,2}$ and $\partial C_{2,1}$, respectively, at its endpoint. Let $C'_3 = N(C_{1,2} \cup C_{2,1} \cup J; M \times N)$ be a small regular neighborhood of $C_{1,2} \cup C_{2,1} \cup J$ in $M \times N$, which is an $(m+n)$ -ball. Let $C'_2 = \text{Cl}(C_{1,1} - C'_3)$ and $C'_{i,j} = \text{Cl}(C_{i,j} - C'_3)$ for $i+j \geq 4$. Hence, $\mathcal{C}' = \{C'_2, C'_3, C'_{i,j}; 1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq q, i+j \geq 4\}$ is a ball covering of $M \times N$ such that $\#\mathcal{C}' = pq - 1$ and $\{C'_{i,j}; i+j \neq 4\}$ has property (*).

Suppose that $\mathcal{C}^{(r)} = \{C_2^{(r)}, \dots, C_{r+2}^{(r)}, C_{i,j}^{(r)}; 1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq q, i+j \geq r+3\}$ is a ball covering of $M \times N$ such that $\{C_{i,j}^{(r)}; i+j \geq r+3\}$ has property (*). Let $s = \#\{C_{i,j}^{(r)}; i+j = r+3, 1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq q\}$. We can choose a simple arc $J_{u,v}^{(r)}$ in $\cup \partial C_{i,j}^{(r)} \cup \cup \{\partial C_{i,j}^{(r)}; i+j = r+3, i \neq u, v\}$, which connects a point of $\partial C_{u,r+3-u}^{(r)}$ and a point of $\partial C_{v,r+3-v}^{(r)}$ ($u \neq v$), so that $\cup \{J^{(t)}; t=1, 2, \dots, s-1\} \cup \cup \{C_{i,j}^{(r)}; i+j = r+3\}$ is connected and $J^{(t)} \cap J^{(t')} = \emptyset$ for $t \neq t', t, t' = 1, 2, \dots, s-1$. Hence, a regular neighborhood $C_{r+3}^{(r+1)} = N(\cup J^{(t)} \cup \cup \{C_{i,j}^{(r)}; i+j = r+3\}; M \times N)$ is an $(m+n)$ -ball. Let

$$C_i^{(r+1)} = \text{Cl}(C_i^{(r)} - C_{r+3}^{(r+1)}), i=2, 3, \dots, r+2, \text{ and let}$$

$$C_{i,j}^{(r+1)} = \text{Cl}(C_{i,j}^{(r)} - C_{r+3}^{(r+1)}), 1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq q, i+j \geq r+4.$$

Then $\mathcal{C}^{(r+1)} = \{C_k^{(r+1)}, C_{i,j}^{(r+1)}; 2 \leq k \leq r+3, 1 \leq i \leq p, 1 \leq j \leq q, i+j \geq r+4\}$ is a ball covering of $M \times N$ such that $\#\mathcal{C}^{(r+1)} = \#\mathcal{C}^{(r)} - (s-1)$ and $\{C_{i,j}^{(r+1)}; i+j \geq r+4\}$ has property (*). For, $C_{i,j}^{(r+1)} \cap C_{k,h}^{(r+1)} \subset C_{i,j}^{(r)} \cap C_{k,h}^{(r)}$.

At last, we have a ball covering $\mathcal{C}^* = \{C_2^*, C_3^*, \dots, C_{p+q-1}^*, C_{p+q}^*\}$ of $M \times N$, where $* = p+q-3$ and $C_{p+q}^* = C_{p,q}^{(p+q-3)}$. Hence the theorem was proved.

Remark 4. We can define the weak ball coverings of a connected compact manifold M by removing the condition (ii) of the definition of the ball coverings and can define $\beta(M)$ similar as $b(M)$ [2]. We expect that the Theorem 1 holds for β . But the proof for Theorem 1, in this paper, do not succeed for β . For, the proof of Lemma 3 fails.

5. Let M be a sphere S^p bundle over a sphere S^q , ($p, q \geq 1$). Then, it is trivial that $b(M) \leq 4$. If M has a cross-section, then $b(M) \leq 3$ from lemma 2 and [4, §18].

4. **Proof of theorem 2.** Let V^n be a connected compact n -manifold with non-empty boundary ∂V . Let V' be a copy of V and $h: V \rightarrow V'$ be the identity homeomorphism. Then $D(V) = V \cup V' / (h|\partial V)$ is considered the double of V .

Suppose $b(M) = k$, then there are ball coverings $\mathcal{B}_i = \{B_{i,1}, \dots, B_{i,k}\}$, $i=1, 2,$

of V which satisfy the condition (*) of Lemma 4. It is noted that $\cup\{B_{1,i}, h(B_{2,j}); 1 \leq i \leq k\} = D(V)$ and $B_{1,i} \cap h(B_{2,j}) = \partial B_{1,i} \cap \partial h(B_{2,j})$. For, $B_{1,i} \cap h(B_{2,j}) \subset \partial V \cap \partial V'$. Hence from Lemma 1, there exists a ball covering $\mathcal{C} = \{C_{i,j}; i=1, 2, 1 \leq j \leq k\}$ of $D(V)$ with property (*).

By the same way as the proof of Theorem 1, one can obtain a ball covering $\mathcal{C}^* = \{C_2^*, C_3^*, \dots, C_{k+2}^*\}$ of $D(V)$. Hence, $b(D(V)) \leq k+1 = b(V)+1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. H. Fox: *On the Lusternik-Schnirelman category*, Ann. of Math., 42 (1941) 333-370.
- [2] K. Kobayashi and Y. Tsukui: *The ball coverings of manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 28 (1976) 133-143.
- [3] B. Mazur: *A note on some contractible 4-manifolds*, Ann. of Math., 73 (1961) 221-228.
- [4] N. Steenrod: *The topology of fibre bundles*, Princeton Univ. Press (1951), Princeton.

Department of Mathematical Engineering
Sagami Institute of Technology
Fujisawa 251, Japan