

# ON THE PRODUCT OF MEASURES

By

SACHIO OHBA

(Received January 19, 1976)

1. For each  $i=1, 2$  let  $S_i$  be a set,  $\mathfrak{F}_i$  a  $\sigma$ -ring of subsets of  $S_i$ ,  $X$  a topological ring and  $\mu_i: \mathfrak{F}_i \rightarrow X$  a measure. If  $\mu$  is the function defined on the measurable rectangles  $E \times F$ ,  $E \in \mathfrak{F}_1$ ,  $F \in \mathfrak{F}_2$ , by  $\mu(E \times F) = \mu_1(E)\mu_2(F)$ , it is well known that  $\mu$  is generally not countably additive on the ring generated by the measurable rectangles (*R. M. Dudley* [7] Proposition). In this paper we shall discuss the countable additivity of  $\mu$ .

2. In this section we shall consider the product of topological ring-valued measures.

For each  $i=1, 2$  let  $S_i$  be a set,  $\mathfrak{F}_i$  a  $\sigma$ -ring of subsets of  $S_i$ ,  $X$  a topological ring (that is, associative ring which is Hausdorff space such that the mappings  $(a, b) \rightarrow a-b$  and  $(a, b) \rightarrow ab$  are continuous functions of  $a$  and  $b$ ) and  $\mathfrak{U}$  a base for neighborhoods of 0 in  $X$ , consisting of closed, symmetric sets.  $N$  denotes the set of all positive integers.

**Definition 1.** A set function  $\mu_i: \mathfrak{F}_i \rightarrow X$  is called a measure if for every sequence  $\{E_n\}$  of mutually disjoint sets of  $\mathfrak{F}_i$  holds  $\mu_i(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_i(E_n)$ .

For a set  $V \subset X$  and  $k \in N$  put  $kV = \{\sum_{i=1}^k y_i: y_i \in V, i=1, 2, \dots, k\}$ .

**Definition 2.** A set  $K \subset X$  is called bounded if for every  $V$  in  $\mathfrak{U}$  there exists a  $k \in N$  such that  $K \subset kV$ .

A set function  $\mu_i$  is called bounded if its range is a bounded set. Let  $\mu_i: \mathfrak{F}_i \rightarrow X$  be a measure ( $i=1, 2$ ).

**Proposition 1.** *If singleton sets in  $X$  are bounded, then  $\mu_i$  is bounded.*

**Proof.** See *T. Traynor* [12] Theorem 3.2.1.

**Example.** Let  $X=N$  be the topological ring of all integers with the discrete topology. Then singleton set  $\{1\}$  is not bounded and hence the point measure  $\mu_{\{1\}}$  is not bounded.

For a set  $F' \in \mathfrak{F}_2$  put  $\mu_2((F')) = \{\mu_2(F'): F' \in \mathfrak{F}_2, F' \subset F\}$ .

**Proposition 2.** *If  $\{F_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence in  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  with  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \phi$ , then for every  $V$  in  $\mathfrak{U}$  there exists an  $n \in N$  such that  $\mu_2((F_n)) \subset V$ .*

**Proof.** See *M. Takahashi* [11] Proposition 3.

For a set  $A \in \mathfrak{S}_1$  and a set  $B \subset X$  put  $\mu_1((A))B = \{\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_1(A \cap A_i)b_i : A_i \in \mathfrak{S}_1 \text{ and } b_i \in B (i=1, 2, \dots, n) \text{ and } A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset (i \neq j), n \in N\}$ .

**Definition 3.**  $\mu_1$  is called Daniell continuous ( $D$ -continuous) if for every decreasing sequence  $\{E_n\}$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  with  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \emptyset$ , every  $V$  in  $\mathfrak{U}$  and every bounded set  $B \subset X$  there exists a  $k \in N$  such that  $\mu_1((E_k))B \subset V$ .

**Proposition 3.** If  $\mu_1$  is  $D$ -continuous, then for every sequence  $\{E_n\}$  of mutually disjoint sets in  $\mathfrak{S}_1$ , every bounded set  $B \subset X$  and every  $V$  in  $\mathfrak{U}$  there exists a  $k \in N$  such that  $\mu_1((E_n))B \subset V$  for all  $n \geq k$ .

**Proof.** For every  $n \in N$  put  $E'_n = \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} E_k$ . Then  $\{E'_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence with  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E'_n = \emptyset$ . Since  $\mu_1$  is  $D$ -continuous, there exists a  $k \in N$  such that  $\mu_1((E'_k))B \subset V$ . Since  $E_n \subset E'_k$  for all  $n \geq k$ , we have  $\mu_1((E_n))B \subset V$  for all  $n \geq k$ .

**Corollary.** If  $\mu_1$  is  $D$ -continuous, then for every monotone sequence  $\{E_n\}$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_1$ , every bounded set  $B \subset X$  and every  $V \in \mathfrak{U}$  there exists a  $k \in N$  such that  $\mu_1((E_m \Delta E_n))B \subset V$  holds for every  $m, n \in N (m, n \geq k)$ .

**Proof.** It is obvious.

Let  $X$  be a metric ring with the metric  $\|\cdot\|$ . For every set  $E \in \mathfrak{S}_1$  put  $\|\mu_1\|(E) = \sup \{ \|\sum_{i \in I} \mu_1(E_i)x_i\|, \text{ where the supremum is taken for all finite families } \{E_i\}_{i \in I} \text{ of mutually disjoint sets of } \mathfrak{S}_1 \text{ contained in } E \text{ and for all the finite families } \{x_i\}_{i \in I} \text{ of elements of } X \text{ such that } \|x_i\| \leq 1 \text{ for all } i \in I. \}$  Then  $\|\mu_1\|$  is a monotone and  $\sigma$ -subadditive set function on  $\mathfrak{S}_1$ . We say that  $\mu_1$  has Bartle property ( $(B)$ -property) if for every decreasing sequence  $\{E_n\}$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  with  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \emptyset$  holds  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu_1\|(E_n) = 0$ .

**Proposition 4.** If  $X$  is a metric ring and if  $\mu_1$  has  $(B)$ -property, then  $\mu_1$  is  $D$ -continuous.

**Proof.** Since  $V = \{x : \|x\| \leq 1\}$  is a neighborhood of 0 in  $X$ , there exists a  $k \in N$  such that  $B \subset kV$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an  $n \in N$  such that  $\|\mu_1\|(E_n) < \varepsilon/k$ . Let  $\{E_{ni}\}_{i \in I}$  be any finite family of mutually disjoint sets in  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  contained in  $E_n$  and  $\{b_i\}_{i \in I}$  any finite family of elements of  $B$ . Since  $b_i = \sum_{j=1}^k x_{ij}, x_{ij} \in V (i \in I, j=1, 2, \dots, k)$ , holds  $\|\sum_{i \in I} \mu_1(E_{ni})b_i\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \|\sum_{i \in I} \mu_1(E_{ni})x_{ij}\| \leq k \|\mu_1\|(E_n) < \varepsilon$ .

**Corollary.** If  $X$  is a normed ring, then the  $D$ -continuity implies  $(B)$ -property.

**Proof.** Since  $B \subset X$  is a bounded set,  $\sup \{\|x\|: x \in B\} < \infty$  (see *S. Berberian* [2] Lemma (26.3)). The proof follows.

**Proposition 5.** *If  $X$  is a metric ring, then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $\mu_1$  has (B)-property.
- (2)  $\|\mu_1\|$  is s-bounded, that is, if  $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$  ( $i \neq j$ ), then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu_1\|(E_n) = 0$ .
- (3) For every monotone sequence  $\{E_n\}$  in  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  follows  $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mu_1\|(E_m \triangle E_n) = 0$ .

**Proof.** See *L. Drewnowski* [4] Theorem 5.3.

**Definition 4.**  $\mu_1$  is called D-bounded if for every  $E \in \mathfrak{S}_1$  and every  $V \in \mathfrak{U}$  there exists a  $W \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that  $\mu_1((E)W) \subset V$ .

**Proposition 6.** *If  $\mu_1$  is D-bounded, then for every  $E \in \mathfrak{S}_1$  and every bounded set  $B \subset X$   $\mu_1((E)B)$  is bounded.*

**Proof.** By the hypotheses for every  $V \in \mathfrak{U}$  there exists a  $W \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that  $\mu_1((E)W) \subset V$ . Since  $B$  is bounded, there exists a  $k \in N$  such that  $B \subset kW$ . For every  $E_i \in \mathfrak{S}_1$  and every  $b_i \in B$   $i=1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$  ( $i \neq j$ ) holds  $\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_1(E_i)b_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_1(E_i) \sum_{j=1}^k b_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_1(E_i)b_{ij} \subset kW$ , as  $b_i = \sum_{j=1}^k b_{ij}$ ,  $b_{ij} \in W$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $j=1, 2, \dots, k$ . Hence  $\mu_1((E)B)$  is bounded.

Let  $R$  be the ring generated by the measurable rectangles  $\{E \times F: E \in \mathfrak{S}_1, F \in \mathfrak{S}_2\}$ . Then it is well known that

(1)  $R$  coincides with the class of all finite disjoint unions  $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \times F_i$ , where  $E_i \in \mathfrak{S}_1$  and  $F_i \in \mathfrak{S}_2$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ ).

(2) for every set  $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \times F_i \in R$  there exist two families  $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n\}$  ( $E_i \in \mathfrak{S}_1$ ) and  $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n\}$  ( $F_i \in \mathfrak{S}_2$ ) of sets with  $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$  ( $i \neq j$ ).

(3) for every decreasing sequence  $\{K_n\}$  ( $K_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_n} E_{ni} \times F_{ni}$ ) in  $R$  and every  $n \in N$  there exist  $E_{ni} \in \mathfrak{S}_1$  and  $F_{ni} \in \mathfrak{S}_2$  such that  $E_{ni} \cap E_{nj} = \emptyset$  ( $i \neq j$ ) and any each  $E_{ni}$  is contained in some  $E_{n-1, k}$ .

**Lemma.** *Let  $\{K_n\}$  be a decreasing sequence in  $R$ . For some  $W \in \mathfrak{U}$  put  $J_n = \{j_n: \text{for some set } F \in \mathfrak{S}_2 \text{ with } F \subset F_{nj_n} \text{ holds } \mu_2(F) \notin W\}$  and  $E'_n = \bigcup_{j_n \in J_n} E_{nj_n}$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ). Then  $\{E'_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence in  $\mathfrak{S}_1$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $E_{nj_n} \times F_{nj_n}$  be any measurable rectangle with  $j_n \in J_n$ . Since  $E_{nj_n} \times F_{nj_n} \subset K_n \subset K_{n-1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_{n-1}} E_{n-1, i} \times F_{n-1, i}$ , there exists a  $k \in N$  such that  $E_{nj_n} \times F_{nj_n} \subset E_{n-1, k} \times F_{n-1, k}$ . Hence  $F_{nj_n} \subset F_{n-1, k}$  and  $k \in J_{n-1}$  and consequently  $E_{nj_n} \subset E_{n-1, k} \subset E'_{n-1}$  and  $E'_n \subset E'_{n-1}$ .

For every set  $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \times F_i \in R$  ( $\{E_i \times F_i\}$  is mutually disjoint) we put  $\mu(K) =$

$\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_1(E_i)\mu_2(F_i)$ . Then  $\mu(K)$  is well defined and  $\mu: R \rightarrow X$  is finitely additive.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  be a topological ring such that singleton sets in  $X$  are bounded. If  $\mu_1$  has the following properties (1) and (2), then  $\mu$  is countably additive on  $R$ .*

- (1)  $\mu_1$  is  $D$ -continuous.
- (2)  $\mu_1$  is  $D$ -bounded.

**Proof.** We shall prove that if  $\{K_n\}(K_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k_n} E_{ni} \times F_{ni})$  is a decreasing sequence in  $R$  such that  $\mu(K_n) \notin V (n=1, 2, \dots)$  for some  $V \in \mathcal{U}$ , then holds  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $V_1 \in \mathcal{U}$  be a neighborhood of 0 in  $X$  such that  $V_1 + V_1 \subset V$  and let  $W \in \mathcal{U}$  be a neighborhood which satisfies the condition (2) for  $V_1$ . Put  $J_n = \{j_n: \text{for some } F \in \mathfrak{S}_2 \text{ with } F \subset F_{nj_n} \text{ holds } \mu_2(F) \notin W\}$ ,  $J'_n = \{\text{other } j_n\text{'s}\}$  for all  $n \in N$  and  $E'_n = \bigcup_{j_n \in J'_n} E_{nj_n}$ . Then  $\{E'_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence. We shall show that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E'_n \neq \emptyset$ . If it were false, then there would exist an  $n \in N$  such that  $\mu_1((E_n))B \subset V$ ,  $B$  being the range of  $\mu_2$ . Since in the equality  $\mu(K_n) = \sum_{j_n \in J'_n} \mu_1(E_{nj_n})\mu_2(F_{nj_n}) + \sum_{j_n \in J_n} \mu_1(E_{nj_n})\mu_2(F_{nj_n})$  holds  $\sum_{j_n \in J'_n} \mu_1(E_{nj_n})\mu_2(F_{nj_n}) \in V_1$  and  $\mu_2(F_{nj_n}) \in W$  for all  $j_n \in J'_n$ , holds  $\mu(K_n) \in V_1 + V_1 \subset V$ , which is a contradiction. Consequently there exists a point  $x_0 \in S_1$  such that  $x_0 \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E'_n$ . Let  $j_n$  be positive integer with  $x_0 \in E_{nj_n}$ . Since  $\{K_n\}$  is decreasing,  $\{E_{nj_n}\}$  also is decreasing. We shall show that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{nj_n} \neq \emptyset$ . If it were false, then by Proposition 2 there would exist an  $n \in N$  such that  $\mu_2((F_n)) \subset W$  and hence  $j_n \notin J_n$ , which is a contradiction. Let  $y_0$  be a point in  $S_2$  with  $y_0 \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{nj_n}$ . Then we have  $(x_0, y_0) \in K_n$  for all  $n$ . The proof is complete.

We say that  $X$  has  $(G)$ -property if  $\{a_n\}$  is any sequence in  $X$  such that  $a_n \notin V (n=1, 2, \dots)$  for some  $V \in \mathcal{U}$ , then the set  $\{\sum_i a_{ni}: \{a_{ni}\} \text{ is a finite subsequence of } \{a_n\}\}$  is not bounded.

For example, any  $H^*$ -algebra and the convolution algebra  $l^1$  have  $(G)$ -property.

**Theorem 2.** *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1 suppose  $X$  has  $(G)$ -property, then  $\mu$  is  $s$ -bounded.*

**Proof.** It is easy to show that  $\mu$  is bounded. Since  $X$  has  $(G)$ -property by S. Ohba [14] Theorem 3  $\mu$  is  $s$ -bounded.

Let  $\mathfrak{S}_1 \times \mathfrak{S}_2$  be the  $\sigma$ -ring generated  $R$ .

**Corollary.** *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 2  $\mu$  has the countably additive extension to  $\mathfrak{S}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{S}_2$  provided that  $X$  be complete metric ring.*

**Proof.** Since  $\mu$  is  $s$ -bounded, the proof is obvious from G. Fox and P. Morales [8] Theorem 2.11.

3. In this section we shall consider the product of Banach-algebra-valued measures.

For each  $i=1, 2$  let  $\mathfrak{S}_i$  be a  $\sigma$ -ring of a set  $S_i$ ,  $X$  a Banach algebra and  $\mu_i: \mathfrak{S}_i \rightarrow X$  a vector measure.

For every set  $F \in \mathfrak{S}_2$  put  $\tilde{\mu}_2(F) = \sup \{ \|\mu_2(A)\| : A \subset F, A \in \mathfrak{S}_2 \}$ . Then  $\sup \{ \tilde{\mu}_2(F) : F \in \mathfrak{S}_2 \} < \infty$ , because  $\mu_2$  is  $s$ -bounded. It is well known that if  $\{F_n\}$  is a decreasing sequence in  $\mathfrak{S}_2$  with  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n = \emptyset$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mu}_2(F_n) = 0$  holds (cf. Proposition 2).

For every set  $E \in \mathfrak{S}_1$  we put  $\|\mu_1\|(E) = \sup \{ \|\sum_{i \in I} \mu_1(E_i)x_i\| \}$ , where the supremum is taken for all the finite families  $\{E_i\}_{i \in I}$  of mutually disjoint sets of  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  contained in  $E$  and for all the finite families  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  of elements of  $X$  such that  $\|x_i\| \leq 1$  for all  $i \in I$ .

**Proposition 7.** *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $\mu_1$  is  $D$ -continuous.
- (2)  $\mu_1$  has  $(B)$ -property.
- (3) There exists a bounded, non-negative measure  $\nu_1$  on  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  such that  $\lim_{\nu_1(E) \rightarrow 0} \|\mu_1\|(E) = 0$ .
- (4) There exists a bounded, non-negative measure  $\nu_1$  on  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  such that  $\nu_1(E) \rightarrow 0$  if and only if  $\|\mu_1\|(E) \rightarrow 0$ .

**Proof.** See *M. Duchoň* [6] Theorem 8 and Theorem 6.

**Proposition 8.** *If  $\mu_1$  is  $D$ -continuous, then  $\sup \{ \|\mu_1\|(E) : E \in \mathfrak{S}_1 \} < \infty$ .*

**Proof.** See *M. Duchoň* [6] Theorem 2.

**Corollary.** *If  $\mu_1$  is  $D$ -continuous, then  $\mu_1$  is  $D$ -bounded.*

**Proof.** It is obvious.

For every set  $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_i \times F_i \in \mathcal{R}$  put  $\mu(K) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_1(E_i)\mu_2(F_i)$ . Then holds the followings.

**Theorem 3.** *If  $\mu_1$  is  $D$ -continuous, then  $\mu$  has the countable additive extension to  $\mathfrak{S}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{S}_2$*

**Proof.** By Proposition 7 there exists a bounded, non-negative measure  $\nu_1$  on  $\mathfrak{S}_1$  such that  $\lim_{\nu_1(E) \rightarrow 0} \|\mu_1\|(E) = 0$ . Since  $\mu_2$  is  $s$ -bounded, there exists a bounded, non-negative measure  $\nu_2$  on  $\mathfrak{S}_2$  such that  $\lim_{\nu_2(F) \rightarrow 0} \|\mu_2\|(F) = 0$ . Let  $\nu_1 \times \nu_2$  be the product measure of  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$ . Then  $\lim_{\nu_1 \times \nu_2(K) \rightarrow 0} \|\mu(K)\| = 0$  (see, for example, the proof of *I. Kluvanek* [9] Theorem). Consequently by *S. Ohba* [13] Theorem 3  $\mu$  has the countable additive extension to  $\mathfrak{S}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{S}_2$ .

### Acknowledgement

I would like to express my thanks to Professor *M. Takahashi* for his help and kind encouragement. Also, I would like to thank Professor *I. Kluvnek* for his helpful comment.

### REFERENCES

- [1] R. G. Bartle: *A general bilinear vector integral*, *Studia Math.* 15 (1956), 337-352.
- [2] S. K. Berberian: *Lectures in Functional Analysis and Operator Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
- [3] W. M. Bogdanowicz and R. A. Oberle: *Topological rings of sets generated by Rickart families of contents* (Preprint).
- [4] L. Drewnowski: *Topological rings of sets, continuous set functions, integration, I, II, III*, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sr. Sci. Math. Astronom et Phys.* 20 (1972), 269-276, 277-286, 439-445.
- [5] M. Duchon: *A convolution algebra of vector valued measures on a compact Abelian group*, *Revue Roumaine Math. Pures et Appl.* 16 (1971), 1467-1476.
- [6] M. Duchon: *A dominance of vector valued measures*, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sr. Sci. Math. Astronom et Phys.* 19 (1971), 1085-1091.
- [7] R. M. Dudley: *A note on products of spectral measures, Vector and Operator Valued Measures and Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1973, 125-126.
- [8] G. Fox and P. Morales: *Strongly additive functions on lattices*, *Fund. Math.* 78 (1973), 99-106.
- [9] I. Kluvnek: *On the product of vector measures*, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* 15 (1973), 22-26.
- [10] R. A. Oberle: *Theory of a vector measures on topological rings of sets and generalizations of the Vitali-Hahn-Saks theorem*, Thesis, The Catholic University of America, 1971.
- [11] M. Takahashi: *Bounded variation property of a measure*, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 49 (1973), 605-608.
- [12] T. Traynor: *S-bounded additive set functions, Vector and Operator Valued Measures and Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1973, 355-365.
- [13] S. Ohba: *Extensions of vector measures*, *Yokohama Math. J.* 21 (1973), 61-66.
- [14] S. Ohba: *Topological-group-valued measures*, *Yokohama Math. J.* 22 (1974), 101-104.

Kanagawa University  
Rokkaku-bashi, Kanagawa-ku  
Yokohama, Japan