

## ON OPERATOR COMMUTATORS

By

SUBHASH CHANDER

(Received October 1, 1974)

Throughout this note  $H$  will denote a separable (complex) Hilbert space and  $D_T$ ,  $W_e(T)$ ,  $\text{sp}(T)$  and  $\sigma_e(\hat{T})$  will stand for the domain, the essential numerical range, the spectrum and the left essential spectrum of an operator  $T$  on  $H$ .  $X_H$  will denote the collection of self-adjoint commutators on  $H$  i.e. of operators of the form  $AB-BA$ , where  $A$  and  $B$  are bounded operators on  $H$  and  $B$  self-adjoint [1]. For other notations and terminologies we refer to [4].

Putnam [6] has proved that if  $A$  and  $B$  are bounded operators on  $H$ ,  $B$  seminormal, then their commutator

$$C=AB-BA$$

satisfies

$$\inf_{\|x\|=1} |(Cx, x)|=0 .$$

In [2] and [3] we obtained generalizations of Putnam's result to operators of the form  $A_1B-BA_2$ , where  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are operators on  $H$  satisfying certain nice conditions. One of the objects of the present note is to prove the following:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be bounded operators and  $B$  an unbounded self-adjoint operator on  $H$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i)  $A_1-A_2$  is a compact operator,
- (ii)  $A_2(D_B) \subset D_B$ .
- (iii) If  $(B+D-\alpha)^{-1}$  exists for some  $\alpha$  and for some bounded  $D$  then  $0 \notin \sigma_l[(B+D-\alpha)^{-1}]^\wedge$ .

If  $C$  is any operator such that

$$A_1B-BA_2 \subset C ,$$

then

$$\inf_{\substack{\|x\|=1 \\ x \in D_C}} |(Cx, x)|=0 .$$

**Proof.** *Case I:* Let  $\text{sp}(B)$  be a proper subset of the real line. Choose  $\alpha \notin$

$\text{sp}(B)$ . Then  $(B-\alpha)^{-1}$  is a self-adjoint bounded operator on  $H$ . Now if  $x \in D_B$ , we have

$$(A_1B - BA_2)x = Cx,$$

$$\text{i.e. } A_1(B-\alpha)x - (B-\alpha)A_2x + \alpha(A_1 - A_2)x = Cx,$$

and hence

$$(B-\alpha)^{-1}A_1(B-\alpha)x - A_2x + \alpha(B-\alpha)^{-1}(A_2 - A_1)x = (B-\alpha)^{-1}Cx,$$

Let  $y$  be a unit vector. Put  $x = (B-\alpha)^{-1}y$ . Then  $x \in D_B$ . Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (B-\alpha)^{-1}A_1(B-\alpha)(B-\alpha)^{-1}y - A_2(B-\alpha)^{-1}y + \alpha(B-\alpha)^{-1}(A_2 - A_1)(B-\alpha)^{-1}y \\ & = (B-\alpha)^{-1}C(B-\alpha)^{-1}y, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} & (B-\alpha)^{-1}A_1y - A_1(B-\alpha)^{-1}y + (A_1 - A_2)(B-\alpha)^{-1}y + \alpha(B-\alpha)^{-1}(A_2 - A_1)(B-\alpha)^{-1}y \\ & = (B-\alpha)^{-1}C(B-\alpha)^{-1}y; \end{aligned}$$

or,

$$\begin{aligned} & ((B-\alpha)^{-1}A_1 - A_1(B-\alpha)^{-1})y + ((A_1 - A_2)(B-\alpha)^{-1} + \alpha(B-\alpha)^{-1}(A_2 - A_1)(B-\alpha)^{-1})y \\ & = (B-\alpha)^{-1}C(B-\alpha)^{-1}y. \end{aligned}$$

Now  $(B-\alpha)^{-1}A_1 - A_1(B-\alpha)^{-1} \in X_H$ . Also  $(A_1 - A_2)(B-\alpha)^{-1} + \alpha(B-\alpha)^{-1}(A_2 - A_1)(B-\alpha)^{-1}$  is a compact operator by hypothesis. Hence  $(B-\alpha)^{-1}C(B-\alpha)^{-1} \in X_H$  [1, Cor 6.9]. Therefore by Theorem 6.13 of *Anderson* [1], there exists an infinite orthonormal sequence  $\langle e_n \rangle$  of vectors such that

$$((B-\alpha)^{-1}C(B-\alpha)^{-1}e_n, e_n) = (C(B-\alpha)^{-1}e_n, (B-\alpha)^{-1}e_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Suppose that

$$\inf_{\substack{\|x\|=1 \\ x \in D_C}} |(Cx, x)| = K \neq 0.$$

Then

$$K\|x\|^2 \leq |(Cx, x)|, \quad \forall x \in D_C.$$

Now as

$$\begin{aligned} & (B-\alpha)^{-1}e_n \in D_B \subset D_C, \\ & K\|(B-\alpha)^{-1}e_n\|^2 \leq |(C(B-\alpha)^{-1}e_n, (B-\alpha)^{-1}e_n)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(B-\alpha)^{-1}e_n \rightarrow 0$ , which implies  $0 \in \sigma_i[(B-\alpha)^{-1}]^{\wedge}$ , a contradiction. Hence

$$\inf_{\substack{\|x\|=1 \\ x \in D_C}} |(Cx, x)| = 0.$$

Case II Let  $\text{sp}(B)$  be the whole real line. Consider the spectral resolution of  $B$ :

$$B = \int \lambda dE_\lambda,$$

each  $\lambda$  being a real number. Let  $\langle a_n \rangle$  be a sequence of positive real numbers converging to zero. Let  $L_n = [0, a_n]$  and let  $\langle \phi_n \rangle$  be a sequence of functions defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n(t) &= t & \forall t \in L_n, \\ \phi_n(t) &= 0 & t \notin L_n. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$F_n = \int \phi_n(\lambda) dE_\lambda,$$

and  $B_n = B - F_n$ . Since each  $F_n$  is bounded and  $D_{B_n} = D_B$  for  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , therefore

$$A_2(D_{B_n}) \subset D_{B_n}.$$

Also  $\text{sp}(B_n)$  is a proper subset of the real line and

$$A_1 B_n - B_n A_2 = A_1(B - F_n) - (B - F_n)A_2 = A_1 B - B A_2 + (F_n A_2 - A_1 F_n) \subset C_n,$$

where  $C_n = C + F_n A_2 - A_1 F_n$ . Thus  $A_1, B_n, A_2$  and  $C_n$  satisfy the conditions of Case I. Hence

$$\inf_{\substack{\|x\|=1 \\ x \in D_{C_n}}} |(C_n x, x)| = 0, \quad n=1, 2, \dots$$

Now  $\|F_n\| \leq a_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $F_n A_2 - A_1 F_n \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore  $\|C_n - C\| \rightarrow 0$ . Hence  $(C_n x, x) \rightarrow (C x, x)$  for all  $x$ . As  $D_{C_n} = D_C, n=1, 2, \dots$ , we obtain

$$\inf_{\substack{\|x\|=1 \\ x \in D_C}} |(C x, x)| = 0.$$

Our next result is a generalization of Theorem 4 of M. David [4].

**Theorem 2.** Let  $B$  be an unbounded self-adjoint operator. Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be bounded operators such that  $A_1 - A_2$  is compact. Let  $C$  be any operator such that  $(A_1 B - B A_2)x = Cx$  on a linear subset  $\Omega$  of  $H$ . If

$$\inf_{\substack{\|x\|=1 \\ x \in D_C}} |(C x, x)| = \alpha \neq 0,$$

then  $B(\Omega)$  is not dense in  $H$ .

**Proof.** We shall essentially follow the arguments used by M. David [4]. We assume that  $B(\Omega)$  is dense in  $H$ . Then  $\forall x \in \Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \|x\|^2 &\leq |(Cx, x)| = |(A_1B - BA_2)x, x| = |(A_1Bx, x) - (BA_2x, x)| \\ &\leq \|A_1\| \|Bx\| \|x\| + \|Bx\| \|A_2\| \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(2.1) \quad \|x\| \leq \frac{\|A_1\| + \|A_2\|}{\alpha} \|Bx\|.$$

Let  $y \in H$ . Since  $B(\Omega)$  is dense in  $H$ , there exists a sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  in  $\Omega$  such that  $Bx_n \rightarrow y$ . Now

$$\|x_n - x_m\| \leq \frac{\|A_1\| + \|A_2\|}{\alpha} \|Bx_n - Bx_m\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore there exists an element  $x \in H$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . Since  $B$  is closed,  $x \in D_B$  and  $Bx = y$ . Thus  $x \in \bar{\Omega} \cap D_B$  and  $B: \bar{\Omega} \cap D_B \rightarrow H$  is an onto map. Using (2.1) we get that  $B$  is one-one. Hence  $B$  is invertible and has a bounded inverse  $B^{-1}$  which is also self adjoint. Let  $y \in B(\Omega)$ , then there exists  $x \in \Omega$  such that  $B^{-1}y = x$ . Hence

$$CB^{-1}y = A_1BB^{-1}y - BA_2B^{-1}y.$$

Therefore

$$B^{-1}CB^{-1}y = B^{-1}A_1y - A_2B^{-1}y \quad \forall y \in B(\Omega).$$

Let  $0 \neq \mu \in \sigma_i(\hat{B}^{-1})$ , then by [5, Theorem 1.1.] there exists a sequence  $\langle y_n \rangle$  in  $H$  of unit vectors such that  $y_n \rightarrow 0$  weakly and  $\|B^{-1}y_n - \mu y_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Let

$$|\rangle \varepsilon_1 \rangle \varepsilon_2 \rangle \varepsilon_3 \rangle \dots \dots \dots$$

be a sequence of positive real numbers converging to zero.  $B(\Omega)$  is dense in  $H$ , there exists a sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle$  in  $B(\Omega)$  such that

$$\|x_n - y_n\| < \varepsilon_n.$$

Now  $\langle x_n \rangle$  is also weakly convergent because

$$(x_n, x) = (x_n - y_n + y_n, x) = (x_n - y_n, x) + (y_n, x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \|B^{-1}x_n - \mu x_n\| &= \|B^{-1}(x_n - y_n + y_n) - \mu(x_n - y_n + y_n)\| \\ &\times \|B^{-1}\| \|x_n - y_n\| + \|B^{-1}y_n - \mu y_n\| + |\mu| \|x_n - y_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\|B^{-1}x_n - \mu x_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence

$$\|x_n\| = \|x_n - y_n + y_n\| \leq \|x_n - y_n\| + \|y_n\| < 1 + \varepsilon_n < 2,$$

and

$$\|x_n\| = \|y_n - (y_n - x_n)\| \geq \|y_n\| - \|y_n - x_n\| > 1 - \varepsilon_n > 1 - \varepsilon_1 > 0.$$

But then

$$\begin{aligned} |(B^{-1}A_1x_n, x_n) - (A_2B^{-1}x_n, x_n)| &= |(A_1x_n, B^{-1}x_n) - (A_2B^{-1}x_n, x_n)| \\ &= |(A_1x_n, B^{-1}x_n - \mu x_n) + (A_1x_n, \mu x_n) - (A_2B^{-1}x_n - \mu A_2x_n, x_n) - (\mu A_2x_n, x_n)| \\ &\leq \|A_1\| \|B^{-1}x_n - \mu x_n\| \|x_n\| + \|A_2\| \|B^{-1}x_n - \mu x_n\| \|x_n\| + |\mu| \|(A_1 - A_2)x_n\| \|x_n\| \\ &\leq 2(\|A_1\| + \|A_2\|) \|B^{-1}x_n - \mu x_n\| + 2|\mu| \|(A_1 - A_2)x_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A_1 - A_2$  is compact, therefore  $\|(A_1 - A_2)x_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence

$$|(CB^{-1}x_n, B^{-1}x_n)| = |(B^{-1}CB^{-1}x_n, x_n)| = |(B^{-1}A_1x_n, x_n) - (A_2B^{-1}x_n, x_n)| \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore

$$\alpha \|B^{-1}x_n\|^2 \leq |(CB^{-1}x_n, B^{-1}x_n)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $\alpha \neq 0$ ,  $B^{-1}x_n \rightarrow 0$ , which implies  $x_n \rightarrow 0$ , a contradiction. Hence  $B(\Omega)$  is not dense in  $H$ .

The author is grateful to Dr. B.S. Yadav for his kind guidance.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. H. Anderson: *Derivations, commutators and the essential numerical range*, thesis, Indiana University 1971.
- [2] Subhash Chander: *A note on commutators*, To appear in Mathematics Student, XL (1973).
- [3] Subhash Chander and Kanta Mangla: *A note on generalized commutators*, To appear in J. Indian Math. Soc.
- [4] Mendel David: *Commutators of two operators one of which is unbounded and seminormal*, Annali di Matematica, pura ed. applicata (IV) LXXX III (1969), 185-194.
- [5] P. A. Fillmore, J. G. Stampfli and J. P. Williams: *On the essential numerical range, the essential spectrum and a problem of Halmos*, Acta Sci, Math. 33 (1972), 179-192.
- [6] C. R. Putnam: *On commutators of bounded matrix*, Amer, J. Math. 73(1951), 127-131.

Department of Mathematics  
Hans Raj College  
University of Delhi  
Delhi 110007, India