

SOME REMARKS ON THE CROSSED PRODUCT

By

JAMES OSTERBURG

(Received August 12, 1974)

Let R be a ring with unit and J its Jacobson radical. If a finite group of automorphisms G acts as a Galois group on R/J , then G is a Galois group of R over S , S is the fixed ring of R under G . Let Δ be the crossed product of R and G .

Let G act as a completely outer group of automorphisms on R/J . If R is semiprimary, primary, Artinian, left perfect or a commutative semiperfect ring, (respectively), then Δ is semiprimary, primary, Artinian, left perfect or semiperfect ring (respectively). Similar results hold for R and S .

Let R be a ring with identity. We denote the Jacobson radical of R by J . We assume that $G = \{1, \sigma, \dots, \tau\}$ is a finite group of automorphisms of R , which acts as a Galois group on $R/J(R)$. In other words, there are $\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_n; \bar{a}_1^*, \dots, \bar{a}_n^*$ in R/J such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \bar{a}_i \sigma(a_i^*) = \bar{\delta}_{1,\sigma}$ for all $\sigma \in G$, where $\bar{a}_j = a_j + J$, $a_i \in R$ and $\delta_{1,\sigma}$ is the Kronecker delta.

Let $S = R^G = \{r \in R \mid \sigma(r) = r \text{ for all } \sigma \in G\}$, S is the fixed ring of R under G . The crossed product of R/J with G , $\Delta(R/J, G)$ is $\sum_{\sigma \in G} R/J u_\sigma$ with $\bar{x} u_\sigma \cdot \bar{y} u_\tau = \overline{xy^\sigma} u_{\sigma\tau}$ where $\bar{y}^\sigma = \sigma(y) + J$ and $\bar{x} = x + J$, $x, y \in R$. The crossed product of R with G , $\Delta(R, G)$ is defined analogously.

Proposition 1. *Let G act as a Galois group on R/J , then R over S is G -Galois.*

Proof. Define $\pi: \Delta(R: G) \rightarrow \Delta(R/J, G)$ as follows $\pi(\sum_{\sigma \in G} x_\sigma u_\sigma) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \bar{x}_\sigma u_\sigma$, where $\bar{x}_\sigma = x_\sigma + J$, $x_\sigma \in R$. Then π is an R epimorphism with kernel $N = Ju_1 + Ju_\sigma + \dots + Ju_\tau$. Since each summand Ru_σ of N is small in Ru_σ , we conclude π is a minimal left R epimorphism.

Let $u = 1 + \sigma + \dots + \tau$, the trace. Since we have assumed G acts as a Galois group on R/J ; $R/J u R/J = (R/J: G)$. See *T. Kanzaki* ([2], Proposition 2, p. 108).

Since $\pi(RuR) = R/J u R/J$ and π is a minimal epimorphism, we conclude $RuR = \Delta(R: G)$. Thus there exists $a_1, \dots, a_n; a_1^*, \dots, a_n^* \in R$ such that $\sum_i a_i u a_i^* = u_1$ or $\sum_i a_i \sigma(a_i^*) = \delta_{1,\sigma}$. Thus R is G -Galois over S .

If R over S is G -Galois, it is clear that G acts as a Galois group on R/J .

We can view R as a bi Δ - S module by defining a left Δ action to be $xu_\sigma \cdot r = x\sigma(r)$ for $x, r \in R$ and the obvious right S action.

We say G acts in a completely outer way on R/J , if G induces a completely outer group on R/J . See Y. Miyashita ([3], p. 127).

Proposition 2. *Assume G acts in a completely outer way on R/J and R/J is an Artinian ring. Then R has a normal basis over S .*

Proof. By Proposition 1, R over S is G -Galois. Thus by Propositions 2 and 3 of [6], we conclude R has a normal basis.

Corollary. *Let R/J be a commutative ring and G acts as a Galois group on R/J , then R has a normal basis.*

Also, if R/J is simple and G acts as a Galois group on R/J which contains no inner automorphisms, then R has a normal basis.

Proof. See ([3], Theorem 6.6, p. 128) and ([3], Corollary of Proposition 6.4, p. 128).

From now on, we assume R/J is an Artinian ring and G acts as a completely outer group of automorphisms on R/J . We call R semiprimary, primary or local, if R/J is Artinian, simple and Artinian or a division ring (respectively).

Proposition 3. *a) R is semiprimary if and only if $\Delta(R, G)$ and S are semiprimary. See ([3], Proposition 7.3, p. 130)*

b) If R is primary, then $\Delta(R; G)$ and S are primary.

c) If R is local, then S is local and $\Delta(R, G)$ is primary.

Proof. The Jacobson radical of $\Delta(R; G)$, $J(\Delta)$ is $J \cdot \Delta(R; G) = \Delta(R; G)J$, where J is the Jacobson radical of R . See (6, Proposition 1). Thus $\Delta(R; G)/J(\Delta)$ is isomorphic to $\Delta(R/J; G)$. Hence $\Delta(R/J, G)/J(\Delta)$ is a finitely generated R/J module. Thus Δ is semiprimary.

Since G acts as a completely outer group on R/J , $J \cap S \subseteq J(S)$, where $J(S)$ is the Jacobson radical of S . See (6, Proposition 1).

Now \bar{R} is a finitely generated projective $\bar{\Delta}$ module, since $\bar{\Delta}$ is semisimple, Artinian. Since $\text{Hom}_{\bar{\Delta}}(\bar{R}, \bar{\Delta}) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{\bar{R}}(\bar{R}, \bar{\Delta}) \subseteq \bar{\Delta}$, we conclude $\text{Hom}_{\bar{\Delta}}(\bar{R}, \bar{\Delta}) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} u_\sigma \bar{R}$. See (3, Lemma 2.5, p. 128). Thus there exist $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \sum_{\sigma \in G} u_\sigma \bar{R}$ and $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_n \in \bar{R}$ such that for all $\bar{x} \in \bar{R}$, $\sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\bar{x}) \bar{x}_i = \bar{x}$. If $f_i(\bar{x}) = \bar{x} \sum u_\sigma \bar{r}_i$, then $\bar{x} = \bar{x} \sum_i \sum_\sigma (\bar{r}_i \bar{x}_i)^\sigma$, for all $\bar{x} \in \bar{R}$. Thus $\bar{1} = \sum_i \sum_\sigma (\bar{r}_i \bar{x}_i)^\sigma$, let $\bar{d} = \sum_i (\bar{r}_i \bar{x}_i)$, then $\text{tr } \bar{d} = \bar{1}$. So $\text{tr } \bar{d} - 1 \in J(R) \cap S \subseteq J(S)$. Thus $\text{tr } R + J(S) = S$, but $J(S)$ is small. Thus $\text{tr } (R) = S$ or there is a

c in R such that $\text{tr } c=1$. We conclude that $\text{tr}: R \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$ splits; hence S is a left S direct summand of R .

Now Δ is isomorphic to $\text{End } R_S$, since R over S is G -Galois, by Proposition 1. We conclude that ${}_{\Delta}R$ is a finitely generated projective module. See *K. Morita* ([4], Lemma 3.3, p. 100).

Clearly, the $n \times n$ matrices over Δ and $e\Delta e$, $e^2=e \in \Delta$ are semiprimary rings. Thus the endomorphism ring of a projective module over Δ is semiprimary. For example, $\text{End}_{\Delta}(R) \simeq S$ is semiprimary.

Since $\Delta(R, G)/J(\Delta) \simeq \Delta(R/J, G)$, if $\Delta(R, G)$ is semiprimary R is semiprimary. Also R is a finitely generated projective right S module, so R is semiprimary, if S is semiprimary.

Proof of b). As in the proof of a) R is left Δ projective. By (6, Proposition 6), $S/J(S)$ is the fixed ring of R/J under G . Furthermore, $S/J(S)$ is a direct summand, as an $S/J(S)$ module, of R/J . See *T. Nakayama* ([5], Lemma 4, p. 207).

There is a one-to-one correspondence between ideals of $S/J(S)$, G invariant ideals of $R/J(R)$ and ideals of $\Delta/J(\Delta)$. See (3, p. 132). Since $R/J(R)$ is Artinian, Δ and S are primary.

Proof of c). Let $s \in S$, then if s is a unit in R , it is a unit in S . For assume there is an $r \in R$ such that $rs=sr=1$. Then $1=\sigma(r)s=s\sigma(r)$ for all $\sigma \in G$. So $\sigma(r)=r$ for all $\sigma \in G$ or $r \in S$. Thus if R is local, S is local.

As in the proof of b) there is a one-to-one correspondence between ideals of $\Delta/J(\Delta)$ and ideals of $S/J(S)$. Thus Δ is primary.

Proposition 4. *Assume G acts as a completely outer group of automorphisms on $R/J(R)$. Then R is left Artinian if and only if $\Delta(R:G)$ and S are left Artinian.*

Proof. We have shown in the course of the proof of Proposition 3a, that S , as a right S module, is a direct summand of R . Thus if R is left Artinian, so is S .

Proposition 5. *Assume G acts as a completely outer group on R/J . Then if R is left perfect, then $\Delta(R:G)$ and S are left perfect.*

Proof. By Proposition 3, we know $\Delta(R:G)$ and S are semiprimary. Since $J(S) \subseteq J(R)$, ([6], Proposition 1), $J(S)$ is left T nilpotent. Thus S is left perfect.

We know that as a right S module R is finitely generated, projective and a generator. Also $\text{End } R_S$ is isomorphic to $\Delta(R, G)$. Thus $\Delta(R, G)$ is semiperfect.

Let M be left A module, in order that M have a projective cover it suffices that for any left A module B requiring no more generators than M , $B=J(A)B$ implies $B=0$. ([1], Lemma 2.6, p. 473). But $B=J(A)B=J(R)B$ and R being left perfect implies $B=0$. ([1], Proposition 2.7, p. 474). Thus every left A module has a projective cover and A is left perfect.

Proposition 6. *Let G act as a completely outer Galois group on R/J . If R is a commutative, semiperfect ring, then $A(R, G)$ and S are semiperfect.*

Proof. Let $1=e_1+\cdots+e_n$, where e_1, \dots, e_n are completely primitive orthogonal idempotents, Let $H_i=\{\sigma \in G | \sigma(e_i)=e_i\}$, then H_i is subgroup of G for $i=1, \dots, n$.

By A_i , we mean the crossed product of $e_i R$ and H_i . Now $A_i=e_i A(R, H_i)e_i=e_i A(R, G)e_i$. We show the second equality.

Let $H_i=\{1=\rho_1, \dots, \rho_r\}$ and $G=\{1=\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_r; \varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_t\}$. Now $e_i A(R, G)e_i=e_i(Ru_{\rho_1}+Ru_{\rho_2}+\cdots+Ru_{\rho_r}+Ru_{\varepsilon_1}+\cdots+Ru_{\varepsilon_t})e_i=e_i A_i e_i+e_i R e_{\varepsilon_1}^i u_{\varepsilon_1}+\cdots+e_i R e_{\varepsilon_t}^i u_{\varepsilon_t}$. Now $1=\sum_{i=1}^n e_i^j$ for each $j=1, \dots, t$. By Azumaya's Theorem $e_i^j=e_k$, $k \neq i$ for $\varepsilon_j \notin H_i$ for $j=1, \dots, t$. Thus $e_i R e_{\varepsilon_j}^i=0$ for $j=1, \dots, t$, since R is commutative.

Now $A_i \cap A_j=0$, if $i \neq j$. Also H_i acts as a completely outer group of automorphisms on Re_i/Je_i and Re_i is a local ring. Thus A_i is semiperfect, by Proposition 3c. Let $A'=\sum_{i=1}^n \oplus A_i$, A' is a semiperfect ring.

Thus $u_1=E_1+\cdots+E_n$, the E_i 's are completely primitive orthogonal idempotents of A' . For each j , $E_j=(\sum_{i=1}^n e_i u_1)E_j$, then $e_i E_j$, $i=1, \dots, n$, are orthogonal idempotents since the e_i 's are central in A' . Now E_j is primitive, so $E_j=E_j e_i$ (after renumbering).

Thus $E_j A' E_j = E_j e_i A' e_i E_j = E_j A_i E_j = E_j e_i A(R; G) e_i E_j = E_j A(R; G) E_j$. Thus $E_j A(R; G) E_j$ is a local ring, for each j , hence $A(R, G)$ is semiperfect.

We conclude by asking if Proposition 6 is true for a noncommutative semiperfect ring.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bass, *Finitistic dimension and a homological generalization of semi-primary rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 95 (1960), 466-488.
- [2] T. Kanzaki, *Commutor rings and Galois Theory*, Osaka J. of Math., 1 (1964), 103-115.
- [3] Y. Miyashita, *Finite outer Galois theory of non-commutative rings*, J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. Ser. 1, 19 (1966), 114-134.
- [4] K. Morita, *Duality theory for modules and its application to the theory of rings with minimal condition*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku, 6 (1958), 83-142.
- [5] T. Nakayama, *Galois Theory for general rings with minimal condition*, J. Math. Soc. of Japan, 1 (1949), 203-216.
- [6] J. Osterburg, *Completely outer groups of automorphisms acting on R/J* , to appear

Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.

Department of Mathematics
University of Cincinnati
Cincinnati, Ohio 45221
U.S.A.