

A FUNCTIONAL LAW OF THE ITERATED LOGARITHM FOR SAMPLE SEQUENCES

By

TOSHIO MORI and HIROSHI OODAIRA

(Received October 5, 1974)

1. Introduction.

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of independent random variables with common distribution function F . Suppose F satisfies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(a_n x + b_n) = \exp(-e^{-x}), \quad x \in R,$$

for a pair of sequences $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ with $a_n > 0$. Let $Z_n = \max(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $Y_n(t) = (Z_{[nt]} - b_n)/a_n$, $t > 0$. *Lamperti* [6] proved that the sequence $\{Y_n\}$ of random elements in the Skorohod space $D[r, s]$, $0 < r < s < \infty$, converges in distribution to an extremal process. Under some additional assumptions on F *Pickands* [7], *de Haan* and *Hordijk* [4] obtained a law of the iterated logarithm for sample maxima:

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n(1)/\log_2 n = 1, \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n(1)/\log_2 n = 0, \quad \text{w.p.1,}$$

where $\log_2 = \log \log$. The main purpose of this paper is to give a functional form of this law of the iterated logarithm. According to the idea of *Pickands* [8] we formulate the problem in terms of two-dimensional point process. The proof of principal results follows the pattern of *Strassen's* proof ([3], [9]) of his functional law of the iterated logarithm for partial sums of i.i.d. random variables.

In Section 3 we introduce a two-dimensional Poisson point process P which plays the same role in our theory as the Brownian motion does in *Strassen's* invariance principle. In Section 4 we consider a sequence of two-dimensional point processes Φ_n , $n \geq 1$, each consisting of random points $(j/n, (X_j - b_n)/a_n)$, $j \geq 1$. It is shown that the sequence $\{\Phi_n\}$ converges weakly to P . This corresponds to *Lamperti's* weak convergence result and implies it.

Our main results are given in last two sections. Let Ψ_n be a point process on $S^+ = \{(t, x); 0 \leq t < \infty, 0 < x \leq \infty\}$ consisting of random points $(j/n, (X_j - b_n)/a_n)$, $j \geq 1$. Then Ψ_n is a random element taking values in the space $N(S^+)$ of all locally finite non-negative integer valued measures on S^+ endowed

with the vague topology. A theorem in Section 6 states that under some additional conditions on F the sequence $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n \geq 3}$ is relatively compact in $N(S^+)$ and the set of its limit points is K , where K is the set of $\mu \in N(S^+)$ such that $\int_{S^+} x \mu(dt dx) \leq 1$. In Section 5 we prove a corresponding result for the two-dimensional Poisson point process P , which is applied to obtain results in Section 6. As a corollary a functional law of the iterated logarithm for the sequence $\{Y_n / \log_2 n\}_{n \geq 3}$ is obtained.

After completing this work the authors have learned a recent paper of *Wichura* [10]. Our principal result (Theorem 5.1) seems to be essentially the same as the one obtained by *Wichura* (Theorem 1B of [10]) except for a few difference in presentation. However the point process approach adopted in this paper seems to have some advantage. For example with this formulation it is possible to obtain multi-parameter analogues of theorems in Section 6.

2. Notations and preliminaries

Let $\mathfrak{B}(S)$ be the σ -algebra of Borel subsets of a locally compact separable metric space (S, ρ) and $\mathfrak{B}_0(S)$ the ring of bounded Borel sets. Let $C_0(S)$ denote the space of all real valued continuous functions f on S with compact supports and $C_0^+(S)$ the subset of $C_0(S)$ consisting of non-negative f . Let $M(S)$ denote the space of all locally finite non-negative Borel measures φ on S and $N(S)$ the subspace of $M(S)$ consisting of integer valued measures. Endowed with vague topology $M(S)$ and $N(S)$ are Polish spaces.

Let $\{f_k\}$ be a sequence of functions $f_k \in C_0^+(S)$ which is dense in $C_0^+(S)$ in the following sense: for every $f \in C_0^+(S)$ there exist a compact set $E \subset S$ and a subsequence $\{f_{k'}\}$ of $\{f_k\}$ such that $\text{supp}[f] \subset E$, $\text{supp}[f_{k'}] \subset E$ and $f_{k'} \rightarrow f$ uniformly. Then the topology of $M(S)$ coincides with that derived from a metric d defined by

$$(2.1) \quad d(\varphi, \psi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \frac{|\varphi f_k - \psi f_k|}{1 + |\varphi f_k - \psi f_k|}, \quad \varphi, \psi \in M(S)$$

where $\varphi f = \int f d\varphi$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\varphi \in N(S)$ and $A_j \in \mathfrak{B}_0(S)$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, be disjoint. If $\varphi(\partial A_j) = 0$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, then there exists a neighborhood U of φ in $N(S)$ such that $\psi(A_j) = \varphi(A_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, for $\psi \in U$.*

Proof. By assumption for each j there exist an open G_j and a closed F_j ,

such that $F_j \subset A_j^0 \equiv (\bar{A}_j)^c$, $G_j \supset \bar{A}_j$ and $\varphi(G_j - F_j) = 0$. Let $f_j, g_j \in C_0^+(S)$ be such that $\chi_F \leq f_j \leq \chi_A \leq g_j \leq \chi_G$, where χ_A denotes the indicator of a set A . Then $U = \{\varphi \in N(S); |\varphi f_j - \varphi f_i| < 1, |\varphi g_j - \varphi g_i| < 1, 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ is a neighborhood satisfying the condition of the lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $S_\varepsilon(x)$ be the open ε -neighborhood of $x \in S$. If $\varphi \in N(S)$ has only a finite number of atoms x_1, \dots, x_n , then for every neighborhood U of φ there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $S_\varepsilon(x_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, are disjoint and $V_\varepsilon = \{\varphi; \varphi(S_\varepsilon(x_j)) = \varphi(x_j), 1 \leq j \leq n, \text{ and } \varphi(S - \bigcup_{j=1}^n S_\varepsilon(x_j)) = 0\}$ is contained in U .*

Proof. It suffices to prove assuming that

$$U = \{\varphi; \varphi \in N(S), |\varphi f_k - \varphi f_k| < \varepsilon_k, 1 \leq k \leq m\},$$

where $f_k \in C_0^+(S)$, $\varepsilon_k > 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be so chosen that $S_\varepsilon(x_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, are disjoint and for any j and k ,

$$|f_k(x) - f_k(x_j)| < \varepsilon_k (n\varphi(\{x_j\}))^{-1} \text{ if } d(x, x_j) < \varepsilon.$$

Then this ε satisfies the conditions of the lemma.

The σ -algebra $\mathfrak{N}(S)$ of Borel subsets of $N(S)$ coincides with the smallest σ -algebra with respect to which every mapping $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi(A)$, $A \in \mathfrak{B}_0(S)$, is measurable.

A probability measure P on $(N(S), \mathfrak{N}(S))$ is called a point process on S . For every $\mu \in M(S)$ there exists a unique point process P on S such that for every disjoint $B_j \in \mathfrak{B}_0(S)$ and integer $k_j \geq 0$, $1 \leq j \leq n$,

$$P\{\varphi; \varphi(B_j) = k_j, 1 \leq j \leq n\} = \prod_{j=1}^n e^{-\mu(B_j)} \frac{\mu(B_j)^{k_j}}{k_j!}.$$

We call P a Poisson point process and μ its intensity measure.

3. A Poisson point process.

Throughout the rest let $S = [0, \infty) \times (-\infty, \infty] = \{(t, x); 0 \leq t < \infty, -\infty < x \leq \infty\}$. Let P be a Poisson point process on S with intensity measure π where

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi(dt dx) &= e^{-t} dt dx, \quad t \geq 0, \quad -\infty < x < \infty, \\ \pi([0, \infty) \times \{\infty\}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let N_t , $t \geq 0$, be a subset of $N(S)$ defined by

$$N_t = \{\varphi; \varphi \in N(S), \varphi(\{t\} \times (-\infty, \infty]) = 0\},$$

and let N' be the set of all $\varphi \in N(S)$ satisfying the following four conditions:

- (i) $\varphi(\{t\} \times (-\infty, \infty]) \leq 1$ for all $t \geq 0$,
- (ii) $\varphi([0, \infty) \times \{x\}) \leq 1$ for all $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$,
- (iii) $\varphi([0, \infty) \times \{\infty\}) = 0$,
- (iv) $\varphi([0, t] \times (-\infty, \infty]) = \infty$ for all $t > 0$.

Then it is easy to see that $P(N_t) = 1$, $t \geq 0$, and $P(N') = 1$.

For $s > 0$ let T_s be a continuous mapping from S to S which sends (t, x) to $(t/s, x - \log s)$ and (t, ∞) to $(t/s, \infty)$. A mapping from $N(S)$ to $N(S)$ induced by T_s is also denoted by T_s , i.e. $(T_s \varphi)(A) = \varphi(T_s^{-1}A)$, $\varphi \in N(S)$, $A \in \mathfrak{B}(S)$. Each T_s is a measurable mapping from $N(S)$ to itself. It follows from (3.1) and the invariance of π under T_s that P is invariant under T_s :

$$(3.2) \quad P T_s^{-1} = P \text{ for } s > 0.$$

For every integer $k \geq 1$ and $\varphi \in N(S)$ let $\zeta^{(k)} = \zeta^{(k)}[\varphi]$ be a function on $(0, \infty)$ defined by

$$(3.3) \quad \zeta^{(k)}(t) = \sup \{y; \varphi([0, t] \times (y, \infty]) \geq k\}.$$

Write ζ for $\zeta^{(1)}$.

Lemma 3.1. For each k and $t > 0$ the function $\zeta^{(k)}[\cdot](t)$ on $N(S)$ is continuous at $\varphi \in N_t \cap N'$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in N_t \cap N'$, $\alpha = \zeta^{(k)}[\varphi](t)$ and $r = \varphi([0, t] \times \{\alpha\})$. Since $\varphi \in N'$, α is finite and $1 \leq r \leq k$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a and b such that $\alpha - \varepsilon < a < \alpha < b < \alpha + \varepsilon$ and $\varphi(A_1) = r$, $\varphi(A_2) = k - r$, $\varphi(\partial A_1) = \varphi(\partial A_2) = 0$, where $A_1 = [0, t] \times (a, b)$ and $A_2 = [0, t] \times (b, \infty]$. Thus by Lemma 2.1 there exists a neighborhood U of φ such that $\phi(A_1) = r$, $\phi(A_2) = k - r$ for $\phi \in U$. This shows that $|\zeta^{(k)}[\phi](t) - \alpha| < \varepsilon$ for $\phi \in U$.

For each $t > 0$ the function $\zeta[\cdot](t)$ on $N(S)$ is $\mathfrak{N}(S)$ -measurable and finite P -a.e. The stochastic process $\zeta(t)$, $t > 0$, is an extremal process introduced by Dwass [2] and Lamperti [6], i.e. a Markov process such that

$$(3.4) \quad P\{\varphi; \zeta[\varphi](t) \leq y\} = \exp(-te^{-y}),$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad P\{\zeta(s+t) \leq y | \zeta(s) = x\} = \begin{cases} \exp(-te^{-y}), & y \geq x, \\ 0, & y < x, \end{cases}$$

for $s, t > 0$.

Let $D[r, s]$, $0 < r < s < \infty$ be the space of right continuous functions on $[r, s]$ with left limits endowed with the Skorohod topology [1]. If $\varphi \in N'$ then the restriction of $\zeta(t)$ on $[r, s]$ is an element of $D[r, s]$ which will also be denoted

by $\zeta[\varphi]$. If $\varphi \notin N'$ then it is possible that $\zeta[\varphi](t)$ is not finite for some $t \in [r, s]$. For such a φ let us modify $\zeta[\varphi]$ to be a fixed element of $D[r, s]$.

Lemma 3.2. *The mapping from N to $D[r, s]$ which sends φ to $\zeta[\varphi]$ is continuous at every $\varphi \in N' \cap N_r \cap N_s$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in N' \cap N_r \cap N_s$ and $\alpha = \zeta[\varphi](r)$. Let (t_1, x_1) be an atom of φ such that $t_1 < r$, $\zeta[\varphi](t_1) = \alpha = x_1$. Let $t_2 < \dots < t_k$ be successive jump points of $\zeta[\varphi](t)$ in $[r, s]$ and $x_j = \zeta[\varphi](t_j)$. Then (t_j, x_j) , $1 \leq j \leq k$, are atoms of φ contained in $A = [0, s] \times [\alpha - 1, \infty]$. Let $(t_{k+1}, x_{k+1}), \dots, (t_N, x_N)$ be remaining atoms of φ in A if they exist.

By assumption for given $\epsilon > 0$ there exist rectangular neighborhoods $A_j = I_j \times J_j$ of (t_j, x_j) , $1 \leq j \leq N$, such that $\{I_j\}$ and $\{J_j\}$ are two families of disjoint bounded open intervals of length $< \epsilon$ and such that every I_j contains neither r nor s .

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that there exists a neighborhood U of φ such that $\phi \in U$ implies $\phi(A_j) = 1$, $1 \leq j \leq N$, and $\phi(A \cap (\bigcup_{j=1}^N A_j)) = 0$. Let $\phi \in U$ and let $(t'_j, x'_j) \in A_j$ be an atom of ϕ . Then $t'_1 < r < t'_2 < \dots < t'_k < s$, and $\zeta[\phi](t) = x'_1$ for $r \leq t < t'_2$, $\zeta[\phi](t) = x'_j$ for $t_j \leq t < t_{j+1}$, $2 \leq j \leq k-1$, $\zeta[\phi](t) = x'_k$ for $t'_k \leq t \leq s$.

Let λ be a continuous increasing function on $[r, s]$ such that $\lambda(r) = r$, $\lambda(s) = s$, $\lambda(t_j) = t'_j$, $2 \leq j \leq k$, and linearly interpolated on intervals (r, t_2) , $(t_2, t_3), \dots, (t_k, s)$ respectively. Then we have

$$\sup_{r \leq t \leq s} |\lambda(t) - t| = \sup_{2 \leq j \leq k} |t_j - t'_j| < \epsilon$$

and

$$\sup_{r \leq t \leq s} |\zeta[\phi](\lambda(t)) - \zeta[\varphi](t)| = \sup_{1 \leq j \leq k} |x_j - x'_j| < \epsilon.$$

These inequalities prove the lemma.

4. Weak convergence of sample sequences.

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. random variables on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ with common distribution function F . Assume that there exist two sequences $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$, $a_n > 0$, of reals such that

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(a_n x + b_n) = \exp(-e^{-x}), \quad x \in R,$$

or equivalently

$$(4.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\{1 - F(a_n x + b_n)\} = e^{-x}, \quad x \in R.$$

For $A \in \mathfrak{B}$ let $\Phi_n(A, \omega)$ be the number of $i \geq 1$ such that $(i/n, (X_i(\omega) - b_n)/a_n) \in A$.

Then for each $\omega \in \Omega$, $\Phi_n(\omega) = \Phi_n(\cdot, \omega)$ is a measure belonging to $N(S)$, and the mapping Φ_n is a measurable mapping from (Ω, \mathfrak{F}) to $(N(S), \mathfrak{N}(S))$. Let $P_n = P\Phi_n^{-1}$ be the distribution of Φ_n . Then we have

Theorem 4.1. P_n converges weakly to P .

Proof. Let K_1, \dots, K_m be any finite family of disjoint compact intervals of S . By a result of *Kallenberg* [5] it suffices to prove that

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{\Phi_n(K_1) = a_1, \dots, \Phi_n(K_m) = a_m\} \\ = P\{\varphi; \varphi(K_1) = a_1, \dots, \varphi(K_m) = a_m\},$$

where $a_j \geq 0$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, are integers. We prove (4.3) assuming that $K_1 = I \times J_1, \dots, K_m = I \times J_m$ where I is a compact interval of $[0, \infty)$, $J_1 = [\alpha_1, \beta_1], \dots, J_m = [\alpha_m, \beta_m]$ are disjoint compact intervals of $(-\infty, \infty]$. The general case easily follows from this case. Let n' be the number of i such that $i \in nJ$, then $n'/n \rightarrow |J|$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The random vector $(\Phi_n(I \times J_1), \dots, \Phi_n(I \times J_m), \Phi_n(I \times L))$, where $L = (-\infty, \infty] - \bigcup_{j=1}^m J_j$, is multinomially distributed with parameters $n'; p_{n1}, \dots, p_{nm}, p_{n,m+1}$ where $p_{nj} = F(a_n \beta_j + b_n) - F(a_n \alpha_j + b_n)$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, $p_{n,m+1} = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^m p_{nj}$. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n' p_{nj} = (e^{-\alpha_j} - e^{-\beta_j}) \cdot |J|$ by (4.2), the joint characteristic function $\{1 - \sum_{j=1}^m p_{nj}(1 - e^{it_j})\}^{n'}$ of $\Phi_n(I \times J_1), \dots, \Phi_n(I \times J_m)$ converges to

$$\exp\left\{-\sum_{j=1}^m (e^{-\alpha_j} - e^{-\beta_j}) \cdot |J| \cdot (1 - e^{it_j})\right\} = \int \prod_{j=1}^m e^{it_j \varphi(I_j)} P(d\varphi).$$

This proves (4.3).

Corollary 4.1. Let $y_1 < \dots < y_k$ then

$$(4.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\{(Z_n^{(j)} - b_n)/a_n \leq y_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k\} \\ = \exp(-e^{-y_k}) \Sigma^* \prod_{j=1}^k \{(e^{-y_{j+1}} - e^{-y_j})^{a_j} / (a_j!)\},$$

where Σ^* denote the summation over all k -tuples (a_1, \dots, a_k) of non-negative integers such that $a_1 \leq 1, a_1 + a_2 \leq 2, \dots, a_1 + \dots + a_k \leq k$.

Proof. The set of discontinuity points of the function $\zeta^{(j)}[\varphi](1)$ of φ has P -measure zero by Lemma 3.1. Since $Z_n^{(j)}(\omega) = \zeta^{(j)}[\Phi_n(\omega)](1)$ it follows from Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 5.1 of [1] that the limit on the left of (4.4) is equal to $P\{\varphi; \zeta^{(j)}[\varphi](1) \leq y_j, 1 \leq j \leq k\}$ whose value is given by the right side of (4.4).

By Lemma 3.2 the set of discontinuity points of the mapping $\zeta: \varphi \rightarrow \zeta[\varphi] \in D[r, s]$ has P -measure zero. Thus it follows from Theorem 4.1 that $\zeta[\Phi_n(\omega)]$

converges in distribution to an extremal process $\zeta[\varphi]$ characterized by (3.4) and (3.5). Since

$$(4.5) \quad \zeta[\Phi_n(\omega)](t) = Y_n(t, \omega) \equiv (Z_{nt}(\omega) - b_n)/a_n$$

we have the following:

Corollary 4.2. (*Lamperti* [6]) *The random element $Y_n(t, \omega)$ in $D[r, s]$ defined by (4.5) converges in distribution to the extremal process characterized by (3.4) and (3.5).*

5. A law of the iterated logarithm for a Poisson point process.

Let $S^+ = [0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) = \{(t, x); 0 \leq t < \infty, 0 < x \leq \infty\}$. Let K denote a subset of $N(S^+)$ consisting of all $\mu \in N(S^+)$ such that $\int_{S^+} x\mu(dt dx) \leq 1$. Then K is a compact subset of $N(S^+)$. In fact it is easy to see that K is closed. Applying a standard argument with Riesz's representation theorem one can prove that K is relatively compact. Let $S_m^+ = [0, m] \times (0, \infty) \subset S^+$ and let $K^{(m)} = \{\mu \in N(S^+); \int_{S_m^+} x\mu(dt dx) \leq 1 + 1/m\}$. Then $K^{(m)}$ is closed and $K = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} K^{(m)}$.

For real $s > e$ let T_s^* be a mapping from S to S which sends (t, x) to $(t/s, (x - \log s)/\log_2 s)$. A mapping from $N(S)$ to itself induced by T_s^* is also denoted by T_s^* .

For $\varphi \in N(S)$ and $s > e$ let us define $\mu_s = \mu_s[\varphi] \in N(S^+)$ by $\mu_s(A) = (T_s^*\varphi)(A) = \varphi(T_s^{*-1}A)$, $A \in \mathfrak{B}(S^+)$. In this section we prove the following:

Theorem 5.1. *There exists a subset N_0 of $N(S)$ such that $P(N_0) = 1$ and for each $\varphi \in N_0$ the sequence $\{\mu_n[\varphi]\}_{n \geq 1}$ is relatively compact in $N(S^+)$ and the set of its limit points coincides with K .*

Lemma 5.1. *Let $X_a(\varphi) = \int_{S_a^+} x\varphi(dt dx)$, where $\varphi \in N(S)$, $0 < a < \infty$. Then as $y \rightarrow \infty$ $P\{X_a(\varphi) > y\} = o(e^{-ay})$ for every fixed a and $\alpha < 1$.*

Proof. Let $(\tau_1, \xi_1), \dots, (\tau_\nu, \xi_\nu)$, $0 < \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_\nu < a$, be all atoms of φ contained in S_a^+ . Then ξ_j 's are exponentially distributed with mean one, ν is independent of ξ_j 's and Poisson distributed with mean a . Applying the inequality

$$\sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!} \leq \frac{x^{j+1}}{(j+1)!} \left\{ 1 + \frac{x}{2!} + \frac{x^2}{3!} + \dots \right\} = C \frac{x^{j+1}}{(j+1)!}, \quad j \geq 0,$$

where $C = (e^x - 1)/x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P\{X_a(\varphi) > y\} &= P\{\xi_1 + \cdots + \xi_\nu > y\} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-a-\nu} \frac{a^n}{n!} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{y^j}{j!} \\
&= e^{-a-\nu} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{y^j}{j!} \sum_{n=j+1}^{\infty} \frac{a^n}{n!} \leq \text{const.} \times e^{-\nu} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(ay)^j}{j!(j+1)!} \\
&= \text{const.} \times e^{-\nu} (ay)^{-1/2} I_1(2\sqrt{ay}) < \text{const.} \times e^{-a\nu}, \quad \alpha < 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.2. *Let $b > 1$. For P -a.e. φ the sequence $\{\mu_{b^j}[\varphi]\}_{j \geq 1}$ is relatively compact and every limit point of it is contained in K .*

Proof. It is seen that given $\varepsilon > 0$ $K^{(m)}$ is contained in the ε -neighborhood of K for large m . Hence it suffices to prove that for every $m \geq 1$ and for P -a.e. φ $\mu_{b^j}[\varphi] \in K^{(m)}$ ultimately. Let $1 < \alpha < 1 + 1/m$. By (3.2) and Lemma 5.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P\left\{\int_{S_m^+} x \mu_{b^j}(dt dx) > 1 + 1/m\right\} &= P\{X_m(\varphi) > (1 + 1/m) \log_2 b^j\} \\
&= \text{const.} \times e^{-\alpha \log_2 b^j} = \text{const.} \times j^{-\alpha} \quad \text{for large } j.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus by the first Borel-Cantelli lemma we obtain

$$P\{\mu_{b^j} \notin K^{(m)} \text{ i.o.}\} = 0.$$

Lemma 5.3. *For any $f \in C_0^+(S^+)$ and for any $\delta > 0$ there exists $b_0 > 1$ such that if $1 < b < b_0$ then*

$$P\left\{\sup_{b^{j-1} < n \leq b^j} |\mu_n f - \mu_{b^j} f| > \delta \text{ i.o.}\right\} = 0.$$

Proof. Let $a > 0$ and $b > 1$ be such that $f(t, x) = 0$ if either $t > a$ or $x < \log b$. Let $b^{j-1} < n \leq b^j$ then as $j \rightarrow \infty$

$$1 \leq \log_2 b^j / \log_2 n \leq \log_2 b^j / \log_2 b^{j-1} \rightarrow 1,$$

and if $x \geq -\log(b^j/n) + (\log b)(\log_2 n)$ then

$$0 < x^{-1} \log(b^j/n) \leq (\log_2 b^{j-1} - 1)^{-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence given $\delta > 0$ one can choose $b_0 > 1$ such that for any b , $1 < b < b_0$, there exists j_0 satisfying

$$(5.1) \quad \left| \frac{x + \log(b^j/n)}{x} \frac{\log_2 b^j}{\log_2 n} f\left(\frac{b^j}{n} t, \frac{x + \log(b^j/n)}{\log_2 n}\right) - f\left(t, \frac{x}{\log_2 b^j}\right) \right| < \frac{\delta}{3}$$

for every $t \geq 0$, $x > 0$, $b^{j-1} < n \leq b^j$ and $j \geq j_0$.

Let $1 < b < b_0$. By the definition of μ_n and μ_{b^j} we have

$$(5.2) \quad \sup_{b^{j-1} < n \leq b^j} |\mu_n f - \mu_{b^j} f|$$

$$= \sup_{b^{j-1} < n \leq b^j} \left| \int_S \frac{x - \log n}{\log_2 n} f\left(\frac{t}{n}, \frac{x - \log n}{\log_2 n}\right) \varphi(dt dx) - \int_S \frac{x - \log b^j}{\log_2 b^j} f\left(\frac{t}{b^j}, \frac{x - \log b^j}{\log_2 b^j}\right) \varphi(dt dx) \right| .$$

It follows from (3.2) that (5.2) has the same distribution as

$$(5.3) \quad \sup_{b^{j-1} < n \leq b^j} \left| \int_S \frac{x + \log(b^j/n)}{\log_2 n} f\left(\frac{b^j}{n}t, \frac{x + \log(b^j/n)}{\log_2 n}\right) \varphi(dt dx) - \int_S \frac{x}{\log_2 b^j} f\left(t, \frac{x}{\log_2 b^j}\right) \varphi(dt dx) \right| .$$

By (5.1), (5.3) is dominated by $(3 \log_2 b^j)^{-1} \delta X_a(\varphi)$ for large j . It follows from Lemma 5.1 that

$$P\{(3 \log_2 b^j)^{-1} \delta X_a(\varphi) > \delta\} \leq \text{const.} \times j^{-2} .$$

Therefore

$$P\left\{ \sup_{b^{j-1} < n \leq b^j} |\mu_n f - \mu_{b^j} f| > \delta \right\} \leq \text{const.} \times j^{-2}$$

for large j . Thus the lemma follows from the Borel-Cantelli lemma.

Let d be a metric in $N(S^+)$ defined by (2.1). Then we have from Lemma 5.3 the following:

Lemma 5.4. *For any $\delta > 0$ there exists $b > 1$ such that*

$$P\left\{ \sup_{b^{j-1} < n \leq b^j} d(\mu_n, \mu_{b^j}) > \delta \text{ i.o.} \right\} = 0 .$$

From Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.4 we have immediately the following:

Lemma 5.5. *For P -a.e. φ the sequence $\{\mu_n[\varphi]\}_{n \geq 1}$ is relatively compact and the set of its limit points is contained in K .*

For $n \geq 1$ let C_n be the set of points $(j/2^n, k/2^n) \in S^+$, where j and k are positive integers. Let D_n be the set of $\mu \in N(S^+)$ satisfying (i) $\text{supp} [\mu] \subset C_n$, (ii) $\mu\{(t, x)\} = 1$ for every atom (t, x) of μ , and (iii) $\int_{S^+} x \mu(dt dx) = 1$. Then $D_\infty =$

$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$ is a countable dense subset of K .

Lemma 5.6. *For every $\mu \in K$*

$$P\{\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\mu_n, \mu) = 0\} = 1 .$$

Proof. It suffices to prove assuming that $\mu \in D_\infty$. Let $(t_1, x_1), \dots, (t_i, x_i)$ be

atoms of $\mu \in D_\infty$ and let $S_{j,\varepsilon} = \{(t, x); |t - t_j| < \varepsilon, |x - x_j| < \varepsilon\}$. By Lemma 2.2 given $\delta > 0$ one can choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that $S_{j,\varepsilon}$, $1 \leq j \leq l$ are disjoint and

$$V_\varepsilon = \{\nu; \nu \in N(S^+), \nu(S_{j,\varepsilon}) = 1, 1 \leq j \leq l, \text{ and } \nu(S^+ - \bigcup_{j=1}^l S_{j,\varepsilon}) = 0\} \\ \subset \{\nu; d(\mu, \nu) < \delta\}.$$

Let b be such that $b^{-1/2} + \varepsilon < t_j < b^{1/2} - \varepsilon$ for every j . For each $\varphi \in N(S)$ let us define $\rho_n = \rho_n[\varphi] \in N(S^+)$ by $\rho_n(A) = \mu_n(A \cap S')$, where $S' = (b^{-1/2}, b^{1/2}] \times (0, \infty]$. Write for simplicity $\nu_j = \mu_{b^j}$ and $\hat{\nu}_j = \rho_{b^j}$. Then

$$\{\varphi; \hat{\nu}_j[\varphi] \in V_\varepsilon\} = \{\varphi; \varphi(B_k^{(j)}) = 1, 1 \leq k \leq l, \varphi(B^{(j)} - \bigcup_{k=1}^l B_k^{(j)}) = 0\},$$

where $B_k^{(j)} = \{(t, x); |t/b^j - t_k| < \varepsilon, |(x - \log b^j)/\log_2 b^j - x_k| < \varepsilon\}$ and $B^{(j)} = (b^{j-1/2}, b^{j+1/2}] \times (\log b^j, \infty]$. Therefore the events $\{\varphi; \hat{\nu}_j[\varphi] \in V_\varepsilon\}$, $j \geq 1$, are independent and

$$P\{\hat{\nu}_j \in V_\varepsilon\} = \exp\{-\pi(B^{(j)} - \bigcup_{k=1}^l B_k^{(j)}) \prod_{k=1}^l \pi(B_k^{(j)}) \exp\{-\pi(B_k^{(j)})\}\}.$$

Since $\pi(B_k^{(j)}) = 2\varepsilon\{(j \log b)^{-x_k + \varepsilon} - (j \log b)^{-x_k - \varepsilon}\} \geq \text{const.} \times j^{-x_k}$ and since $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \pi(B^{(j)} - \bigcup_{k=1}^l B_k^{(j)}) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \pi(B^{(j)}) = b^{1/2} - b^{-1/2}$, we have

$$P\{\hat{\nu}_j \in V_\varepsilon\} \geq c j^{-\sum_{k=1}^l x_k} = c j^{-1},$$

with some constant $c > 0$. Thus it follows from the second Borel-Cantelli lemma that

$$P\{\hat{\nu}_j \in V_\varepsilon \text{ i.o.}\} = 1,$$

and therefore

$$P\{d(\hat{\nu}_j, \mu) < \delta \text{ i.o.}\} = 1.$$

Since δ was arbitrary we must have

$$(5.4) \quad \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} d(\hat{\nu}_j, \mu) = 0.$$

On the other hand by Lemma 5.2 we have for P -a.e.

$$(5.5) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} d(\nu_j, K) = 0.$$

Let φ satisfy (5.4) and (5.5). Let $\{\hat{\nu}_{j'}[\varphi]\}$ be a subsequence of $\{\nu_j[\varphi]\}$ tending to μ , and let μ^* be a limit point of $\{\nu_{j'}\}$. Then $\nu_{j'} f \geq \hat{\nu}_{j'} f$, $f \in C_0^+(S^+)$, implies $\mu^* \geq \mu$. Since $\int_{S^+} x \mu^*(dt dx) = \int_{S^+} x \mu(dt dx) = 1$ we must have $\mu^* = \mu$. This proves the

lemma.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Immediate from Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.6.

Let $0 < r < \infty$ be fixed and let d_r be a metric in $N(S_r^+)$, defined by (2.1) with a countable dense family $\{f_k\}$ of functions in $C_0^+(S_r^+)$. For $\mu \in N(S^+)$ let $(\mu)_r \in N(S_r^+)$ denote the restriction of μ to S_r^+ . Then the mapping $\mu \rightarrow (\mu)_r$ maps K onto the compact set $K_r = \{\nu \in N(S_r^+); \int_{S_r^+} x\nu(dt dx) \leq 1\}$ of $N(S_r^+)$. This mapping is continuous at every $\mu \in N'$, where N' is the set of $\mu \in N(S^+)$ such that $\mu\{(r, x); 0 < x \leq \infty\} = 0$.

Lemma 5.7. *Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be a sequence of measures $\lambda_n \in N(S^+)$. If $\{\lambda_n\}$ is relatively compact and if the set of its limit points is K , then the sequence $\{(\lambda_n)_r\}_{n \geq 1}$ is relatively compact in $N(S_r^+)$ and the set of its limit points is K_r .*

Proof. By assumption for every $m \geq 1$ there exists $n_0 = n_0(m)$ such that $\lambda_n \in K^{(m)}$ for $n \geq n_0$. Hence if $n \geq n_0(m)$ then $(\lambda_n)_r \in K_r^{(m)} = \{\nu \in N(S_r^+); \int_{S_r^+} x\nu(dt dx) < 1 + 1/m\}$. Since $K_r^{(m)}$ is a compact subset of $N(S_r^+)$, every limit point of $\{(\lambda_n)_r\}$ is in $\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} K_r^{(m)} = K_r$. If $\nu \in K_r \cap N'_r$ where N'_r is the set of $\nu \in N(S_r^+)$ such that $\nu\{(r, x); 0 < x \leq \infty\} = 0$, then there exists $\mu \in K \cap N'$ satisfying $(\mu)_r = \nu$. It follows from the assumption that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\lambda_n, \mu) = 0$ and therefore $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_r((\lambda_n)_r, \nu) = 0$. Since $K_r \cap N'_r$ is dense in K_r , every $\nu \in K_r$ is a limit point of $\{(\lambda_n)_r\}$.

Theorem 5.2. *For each $r > 0$ and P -a.e. φ the sequence $\{(\mu_n[\varphi])_r\}_{n \geq 1}$ is relatively compact in $N(S_r^+)$ and the set of its limit points is K_r .*

Proof. Immediate from Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.7.

Corollary 5.1. *For $r > 0$ and P -a.e. φ*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r^+} x(\mu_n[\varphi])_r(dt dx) = 1, \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_r^+} x(\mu_n[\varphi])_r(dt dx) = 0.$$

Proof. The mapping from $N(S_r^+)$ to $[0, \infty]$ which sends ν to $\int_{S_r^+} x\nu(dt dx)$ is continuous and maps K_r onto $[0, 1]$.

Corollary 5.2. *Let*

$$\tilde{\zeta}_n^{(j)} = \tilde{\zeta}_n^{(j)}[\varphi] = (\zeta^{(j)}[\varphi](n) - \log n) / \log_2 n$$

for $\varphi \in N(S)$, $j \geq 1$, $n \geq 3$, and let $\tilde{\zeta}_n = (\tilde{\zeta}_n^{(1)}, \dots, \tilde{\zeta}_n^{(k)})$. For P -a.e. φ the sequence

$\{\tilde{\zeta}_n\}$ is relatively compact in R^k and the set of its limit points is $\{(x_1, \dots, x_k); x_1 \geq \dots \geq x_k \geq 0, \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \leq 1\}$. In particular

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\zeta}_n^{(k)} = 1/k, \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\zeta}_n^{(k)} = 0.$$

Proof. For $\nu \in N(S_1^+)$ and $j \geq 1$ let

$$m^{(j)}(\nu) = \inf \{x; x \geq 0, \nu([0, 1] \times (x, \infty]) \leq j-1\},$$

and $m(\nu) = (m^{(1)}(\nu), \dots, m^{(k)}(\nu))$. Then m is a continuous mapping from $N(S_1^+)$ to R^k (see the proof of Lemma 3.1) and $\hat{\zeta}_n[\varphi] = m((\mu_n)_1[\varphi])$, where $\hat{\zeta}_n = (\hat{\zeta}_n^{(1)}, \dots, \hat{\zeta}_n^{(k)})$, $\hat{\zeta}_n^{(j)} = \max(\tilde{\zeta}_n^{(j)}, 0)$. Thus Theorem 5.2 implies that for P -a.e. φ the sequence $\{\hat{\zeta}_n[\varphi]\}$ is relatively compact in R^k and the set of its limit points is $m(K_1) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k); x_1 \geq \dots \geq x_k \geq 0, \sum_{j=1}^k x_j \leq 1\}$. Since by Lemma 5.8 $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\zeta}_n^{(k)}[\varphi] = 0$ for P -a.e. φ we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\hat{\zeta}_n^{(k)} - \tilde{\zeta}_n^{(k)}) = 0$, P -a.e. Hence $\{\tilde{\zeta}_n[\varphi]\}$ is relatively compact with the limit point set $m(K_1)$.

Let $0 < r < s < \infty$ and let $F[r, s]$ denote the space of nonnegative bounded right-continuous non-decreasing functions on $[r, s]$ endowed with the Skorohod topology. The same space with the weak topology will be denoted by $F_w[r, s]$. A sequence $\{f_n\}$ converges to f in $F_w[r, s]$ iff $f_n(t)$ converges to $f(t)$ at every continuity point of f . For $\nu \in N(S_1^+)$ let $f = \theta(\nu)$ be a function in $F[r, s]$ defined by $f(t) = \inf \{x; x \geq 0, \nu([0, t] \times [x, \infty]) = 0\}$, $t \in [r, s]$. The mapping θ from $N(S_1^+)$ to $F[r, s]$ is not continuous. However it is continuous as a mapping to $F_w[r, s]$. The image $\theta(K)$ of K , is the set of $f \in F[r, s]$ satisfying:

- (i) the set C of increasing points of f is countable,
- (ii) $f(r) + \sum_{x \in C} f(x) \leq 1$.

Let us define $\zeta_n^* = \zeta_n^*[\varphi] \in F_w[r, s]$ by $\zeta_n^*(t) = (\log_2 n)^{-1}(\zeta(nt) - \log n)$, $t \in [r, s]$, $n \geq 3$. Then $(\theta((\mu_n)_1))(t) = \zeta_n^*(t)$, $t \in [r, s]$. Hence we have

Corollary 5.3. For P -a.e. φ the sequence $\{\zeta_n^*[\varphi]\}$ is relatively compact in $F_w[r, s]$ and the set of its limit points is $\theta(K)$.

Let $h_n = h_n[\varphi]$ be the maximum of jumps of the function $\zeta_n^*(t)$, $t \in [r, s]$. It is easy to derive from Theorem 5.2 the following

Corollary 5.4. For P -a.e. φ

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n[\varphi] = 1, \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n[\varphi] = 0.$$

6. A law of the iterated logarithm for sample sequences.

Suppose the common distribution function F of independent random variables X_1, X_2, \dots has the positive derivative $F'(x)$ for all sufficiently large x . Let

$$u(x) = \frac{1 - F(x)}{F'(x)}$$

and

$$v(x) = \frac{\{1 - F(x)\} \log_2 \{1/(1 - F(x))\}}{F'(x)}$$

Define two sequences $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ by

$$(6.1) \quad F(b_n) = 1 - n^{-1}, \quad a_n = u(b_n).$$

Throughout the rest we assume that F is twice differentiable and satisfies

$$(6.2) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} v'(x) = 0.$$

The following lemma is a slightly modified form of Lemma 3 of de Haan and Hordijk [4].

Lemma 6.1. *If F satisfies (6.2) then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-\log n - \log \{1 - F(b_n + a_n x \log_2 n)\}}{x \log_2 n} = 1$$

uniformly on every x -interval of the form $(0, c]$.

Let $Y_j = -\log \{1 - F(X_j)\}$. Then Y_1, Y_2, \dots are independent and there exists x_0 such that $P\{Y_j \geq x\} = e^{-x}$ for $x \geq x_0$. Let τ_1, τ_2, \dots be independently exponentially distributed with mean one and independent of X_n , and let $\sigma_n = \tau_1 + \dots + \tau_n$.

Let

$$\xi_{n,j} = \frac{X_j - b_n}{a_n \log_2 n}$$

and

$$\eta_{n,j} = \frac{Y_j - \log n}{\log_2 n} = \frac{-\log n - \log \{1 - F(b_n + a_n \xi_{n,j} \log_2 n)\}}{\log_2 n}.$$

Let $P_{n,j} = (j/n, \xi_{n,j})$ and $Q_{n,j} = (\sigma_j/n, \eta_{n,j})$ be random points of S . Let us define $\Psi_n(\omega) = \Psi_n(\cdot, \omega) \in N(S^+)$ and $\Psi'_n(\omega) = \Psi'_n(\cdot, \omega) \in N(S^+)$ by $\Psi_n(A) = \text{no. of } P_{n,j} \in A$ and $\Psi'_n(A) = \text{no. of } Q_{n,j} \in A$, $A \in \mathfrak{B}(S^+)$, respectively.

Lemma 6.2. *W.p.1 the sequence $\{\Psi'_n\}$ is relatively compact in $N(S^+)$ and*

the set of its limit points is K .

Proof. Since for large n the distribution of $\{\Psi'_n\}$ coincides with that of $\{\mu_n\}$ in the preceding section the lemma follows from Theorem 5.1.

Lemma 6.3.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\Psi_n, \Psi'_n) = 0 \quad \text{w.p.1.}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove that for every $f \in C_0^+(S^+)$

$$(6.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Psi_n f - \Psi'_n f| = 0 \quad \text{w.p.1.}$$

Let ρ be a metric in S^+ defined by

$$\rho((t_1, x_1), (t_2, x_2)) = |t_2 - t_1| + |e^{-x_2} - e^{-x_1}|.$$

Let $F_0 = [0, a] \times [c, \infty]$, $0 < a, c < \infty$, be such that $\text{supp } [f] \subset F_0$ and let $F_1 = [0, a+1] \times [c/2, \infty]$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ choose $\delta > 0$ so small that $e^{-c/2} - e^{-c} > \delta$ and $|f(P) - f(Q)| < c\varepsilon/4$ if $\rho(P, Q) < \delta$. By the strong law of large numbers and by Lemma 6.1 w.p.1 there exists $n_0(\omega)$ such that $\rho(P_{n_j}, Q_{n_j}) < \delta$ for every $n \geq n_0(\omega)$ and $j \leq n(a+1)$. Thus we have $|f(P_{n_j}) - f(Q_{n_j})| < c\varepsilon/4$ for every $n \geq n_0$ and $j \geq 1$. By Corollary 5.2 w.p.1 there exists $n_1 = n_1(\omega) \geq n_0(\omega)$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \eta_{n_j} < 2$ for $n \geq n_1$. Therefore if $n \geq n_1$ then the number of $Q_{n_j} \in F_1$ is less than $c/4$. Hence the number of j such that $f(P_{n_j}) - f(Q_{n_j}) \neq 0$ is less than $4/c$ if $n \geq n_1$. Thus

$$|\Psi_n f - \Psi'_n f| = \left| \sum_j f(P_{n_j}) - \sum_j f(Q_{n_j}) \right| \leq \sum_j |f(P_{n_j}) - f(Q_{n_j})|$$

$< 4/c \cdot c\varepsilon/4 = \varepsilon$ for $n \geq n_1$. This proves (6.3).

Theorem 6.1. *W.p.1 the sequence $\{\Psi_n\}$ is relatively compact in $N(S^+)$ and the set of its limit points is K .*

Proof. Apply Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 6.3.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $0 < r < \infty$. W.p.1 the sequence $\{(\Psi_n)_r\}$ is relatively compact in $N(S_r^+)$ and the set of its limit points is K_r .*

Proof. Immediate from Theorem 6.1 and Lemma 5.7.

The following corollaries correspond to those in the preceding section and are proved by the same method.

Corollary 6.1. *Let $0 < r < \infty$. Then*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nr \rfloor} \left(\frac{X_j - b_n}{a_n \log_2 n} \right)^+ = 1, \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor nr \rfloor} \left(\frac{X_j - b_n}{a_n \log_2 n} \right)^+ = 0 \quad \text{w.p.1.}$$

Corollary 6.2. Let $\tilde{Z}_n^{(j)} = (Z_n^{(j)} - b_n) / (a_n \log_2 n)$ and $\tilde{Z}_n = (\tilde{Z}_n^{(1)}, \dots, \tilde{Z}_n^{(k)})$. Then w.p.1 the sequence $\{\tilde{Z}_n\}$ is relatively compact in R^k and the set of its limit points is $m(K_1)$. In particular

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{Z}_n^{(k)} = 1/k, \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{Z}_n^{(k)} = 0 \quad \text{w.p.1.}$$

Corollary 6.3. Let $Y_n(t) = (Z_{[nt]} - b_n) / a_n$, $t \in [r, s]$, $0 < r < s < \infty$. Then w.p.1 the sequence $\{Y_n / \log_2 n\}$ is relatively compact in $F_w[r, s]$ and the set of its limit points is $\theta(K_1)$.

Corollary 6.4. Let $V_n = \max_{1 \leq l \leq n} (Z_l - Z_{l-1}) / (a_n \log_2 n)$. Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_n = 1, \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_n = 0 \quad \text{w.p.1.}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Billingsley, P.: *Convergence of Probability Measures*. New-York: Wiley 1968.
- [2] Dwass, M.: *Extremal processes*. Ann. Math. Statist. 35, 1718-1725 (1964).
- [3] Freedman, D.: *Brownian Motion and Diffusion*. San Francisco: Holden Day 1971.
- [4] de Haan, L., Hordijk, A.: *The rate of growth of sample maxima*. Ann. Math. Statist. 43, 1185-1196 (1972).
- [5] Kallenberg, O.: *Characterization and convergence of random measures and point processes*. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete 27, 9-21 (1973).
- [6] Lamperti, J.: *On extreme order statistics*. Ann. Math. Statist. 35, 1726-1737 (1964).
- [7] Pickands III, J.: *Sample sequences of maxima*. Ann. Math. Statist. 38, 1570-1574 (1967).
- [8] Pickands III, J.: *The two dimensional Poisson process and extremal processes*. J. Appl. Prob. 8, 745-756 (1971).
- [9] Strassen, V.: *An invariance principle for the law of the iterated logarithm*. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Gebiete 3, 211-226 (1964).
- [10] Wichura, M. J.: *On the functional form of the law of the iterated logarithm for the partial maxima of independent identically distributed random variables*. Ann. Prob. 2, 202-230 (1974).

Department of Mathematics
Yokohama City University
4646 Mitsuura-cho, Kanazawa-ku,
Yokohama 236, Japan

Department of Applied Mathematics
Yokohama National University
Ooka, Minami-ku
Yokohama 233, Japan