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## 1. Introduction.

Let $S$ be a set, $R$ a ring of subsets of $S$ with $S \notin R, \Sigma$ the algebra generated by $R, X$ a Banach space and $m: R \rightarrow X$ a set function. Define $\bar{m}: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ by $\bar{m}(E)=m(E)$ if $E \in R$ and $\bar{m}(E)=-m(S-E)$ if $S-E \in R$. Then we have
(1) if $m$ is finitely additive, then so is $\bar{m}$.
(2) if $m$ is bounded, then so is $\bar{m}$.
(3) if $m$ is $s$-bounded, then so is $\bar{m}$. (for example, see [2]).

But we shall note that the countable additivity of $m$ does not imply the countable additivity of $\bar{m}$ (Example 2). In this paper we shall discuss the countable additivity of $\bar{m}$. In $\S 2$ we shall introduce the notion of closed measure. In § 3 we shall consider some of its applications.

## 2. Closed measures.

Let $S$ be a set, $R$ a ring of subsets of $S, X$ a Banach space and $m: R \rightarrow X$ a set function. We define an order $A_{1} \leqq A_{2}$ if and only if $A_{1} \subset A_{2}$ for every sets $A_{1}, A_{2} \in R$. Then $R$ is a directed set with the order $\leqq$.

Definition 1. A set function $m: R \rightarrow X$ is called closed if the image set $\{m(A): A \in R\}$ of the directed set $R$ converges in $X$.

Proposition 1. Let $R$ be a ring of subsets of S, X a Banach space and $m: R \rightarrow X$ a finitely additive set function. Then the following conditions are equivalent.
(1) $m$ is closed.
(2) For every number $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a set $E_{0} \in R$ such that for every set $E \in R$ with $E \subset S-E_{0}$ we have $\|m(E)\|<\varepsilon$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow(2)$. By hypothesis $x_{0}=\lim \{m(A): A \in R\} \in X$ exists. Then for every number $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a set $E_{0} \in R$ such that for every set $E \in R$ with $E_{0} \subset E$ we have $\left\|m(E)-x_{0}\right\|<\varepsilon$. Since for every set $E \in R$ with $E \subset S-E_{0}$ we have $E_{0} \subset E \cup E_{0},\left\|m\left(E \cup E_{0}\right)-x_{0}\right\|<\varepsilon$. Since $m\left(E \cup E_{0}\right)=m(E)+m\left(E_{0}\right)$ and $\left\|m\left(E_{0}\right)-x_{0}\right\|<$ $\varepsilon$, we have $\|m(E)\|=\left\|m\left(E \cup E_{0}\right)-m\left(E_{0}\right)\right\| \leqq\left\|m\left(E \cup E_{0}\right)-x_{0}\right\|+\left\|x_{0}-m\left(E_{0}\right)\right\|<2 \varepsilon$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$. It is easy to show that $\{m(A): A \in R\}$ is a Cauchy net in $X$. See Oberle ([4] Proposition 2).

Definition 2. A set function $m: R \rightarrow X$ is called strongly bounded (s-bounded) if for every sequence $\left\{E_{n}\right\}$ of mutually disjoint sets of $R$ we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|m\left(E_{n}\right)\right\|=0
$$

Proposition 2. Let $R$ be a ring of subsets of $S, X$ a Banach space and $m: R \rightarrow X$ a finitely additive set function. If $m$ is s-bounded, then $m$ is closed.

Proof. If it were false, then there exist a number $\varepsilon>0$ and an increasing sequence $\left\{E_{n}\right\}$ of sets of $R$ such that $\| m\left(E_{n+1}\right)-m\left(E_{n}\right) H>\varepsilon$ for all $n$. We put $F_{n}=E_{n+1}-E_{n}(n=1,2, \cdots)$. Then $\left\{F_{n}\right\}$ is a mutually disjoint sets of $R$ such that $\left\|m\left(F_{n}\right)\right\|>\varepsilon$ for all $n$. Therefore we have a contradiction.

The converse of the above mentioned proposition is not true.
Example 1. Let $S$ be the interval $[0,1], R$ the ring generated by the intervals $(a, b](0 \leqq a<b \leqq 1)$ and $F_{n}$ the real valued function defined by

$$
F_{n}(x)= \begin{cases}2 n x & \text { if } 0 \leqq x<1 / 2 n^{-1} \\ 1-(2 n x-1) & \text { if } 1 / 2 n^{-1} \leqq x<n^{-1} \\ 0 & \text { if } n^{-1} \leqq x \leqq 1 \quad(n=1,2, \cdots)\end{cases}
$$

We put $m_{n}((a, b])=F_{n}(b)-F_{n}(a)(0 \leqq a<b \leqq 1)$ and $m(A)=\left(m_{n}(A)\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ for every set $A \in R$. Then $m: R \rightarrow c_{0}$ is finitely additive. Since $\left\|m\left(\left(1 / 2^{n+1}, 1 / 2^{n}\right]\right)\right\|=\mid m_{2^{n}}$ $\left(\left(1 / 2^{n+1}, 1 / 2^{n}\right]\right) \mid=1(n=1,2, \cdots), m$ is not $s$-bounded. For every number $\varepsilon>0$ we put $E_{0}=(0,1-\varepsilon / 2]$. Then for every set $E \in R$ with $E \subset S-E_{0}$ we have $\|m(E)\| \leqq$ $\sup \{\|m(A)\|: A \subset E, A \in R\}=\varepsilon / 2$. Therefore $m$ is closed.

Remark. Note that if $R$ is a $\delta$-ring, then any closed measure is $s$-bounded ([4] Proposition 1).

Proposition 3. Let $R$ be a ring of subsets of $S, X$ a Banach space and $m: R \rightarrow X$ a finitely additive set function. If $m$ is closed and sup $\{\|m(B)\|$ : $B \subset A, B \in R\}<+\infty$ for every set $A \in R$, then $m$ is bounded.

Proof. Since $m$ is closed, there exists a set $E_{0} \in R$ such that for every set $E \in R$ with $E \subset S-E_{0}$ we have $\|m(E)\| \leqq 1$. There exists a number $M>0$ such that for every set $E \in R$ with $E \subset E_{0}$ we have $\forall m(E) \| \leqq M$. Since for every set $E \in R$ we have $E=\left(E \cap E_{0}\right) \cup\left(E-E_{0}\right)$, we have $\|m(E)\| \leqq\left\|m\left(E \cap E_{0}\right)\right\|+\left\|m\left(E-E_{0}\right)\right\|$
$\leqq M+1$. The proof is complete.
Corollary. Let $R, X$ and $m: R \rightarrow X$ are as in Proposition 3. If $X$ has no subspace isomorphic to $c_{0}$, then the followings are equivalent.
(1) $m$ is $s$-bounded.
(2) $m$ is closed and $\sup \{\|m(B)\|: B \subset A, B \in R\}<+\infty$ for every set $A \in R$.
(3) $m$ is bounded.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow(2) \Rightarrow(3)$. We can prove without the condition " $X$ has no subspace isomorphic to $c_{0}{ }^{\prime \prime}$.
$(3) \Rightarrow(1)$. If it were false, then there exist a number $\varepsilon>0$ and a mutually disjoint sets $\left\{E_{n}\right\}$ of $R$ such that $\left\|m\left(E_{n}\right)\right\|>\varepsilon$ for all $n$. Since $X$ has no subspace isomorphic to $c_{0}$, there exists for every number $K>0$ a finite subsequence $\left\{E_{n_{r}}\right\}$ of $\left\{E_{n}\right\}$ such that $\left\|\sum_{r} m\left(E_{n_{r}}\right)\right\|=\left\|m\left(\underset{r}{\cup} E_{n_{r}}\right)\right\|>K$. Since $\bigcup_{r} E_{n_{r}} \in R$ and $m$ is bounded, we have a contradiction.

We put $\mathfrak{m}=\{A \subset S$ : for every set $E \in R$ we have $E \cap A \in R\}$. Then $\mathfrak{m}$ is an algebra containing $R$. We say that $\mathfrak{m}$ is the locally measurable sets. Note that if $S \in R$, then we have $\mathfrak{m}=R$.

Theorem 1. Let $R$ be a ring of subsets of $S$ with $S \notin R, \mathfrak{m}$ the locally measurable sets, $X$ a Banach space and $m: R \rightarrow X$ a countably additive set function. If $m$ is closed, then $m$ can be extended to $a$ countably additive set function $m_{1}: \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow X$.

Proof. Let $A$ be any set of $m$. It is easy to show that the set $\{m(E \cap A)$ : $E \in R\}$ is a Cauchy net in $X$. Since $X$ is complete, define $m_{1}(A)=\lim \{m(E \cap A)$ : $E \in R\} \in X$. The finite additivity of $m_{1}$ is obvious. We shall prove that $m_{1}$ is countably additive. Let $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ be a mutually disjoint sets of $\mathfrak{m}$ such that $A=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} \in \mathfrak{m}$. By definition of $m_{1}$ there exists for every number $\varepsilon>0$ a set $E \in R$ such that $\left\|m_{1}(A)-m(A \cap E)\right\|<\varepsilon$ and $\left\|m\left(E^{\prime}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon$ for every set $E^{\prime} \in R$ with $E^{\prime} \subset S-E$. Since $A \cap E=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} \cap E \in R$, we have $m(A \cap E)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m\left(A_{n} \cap E\right)$. Then there exists a positive integer $n_{0}$ such that $\left\|m(A \cap E)-\sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}} m\left(A_{i} \cap E\right)\right\|<\varepsilon$. For each positive integer $i\left(1 \leqq i \leqq n_{0}\right)$ there exists a set $E_{i} \in R$ such that $E \subset E_{i}$ and $\left\|m_{1}\left(A_{i}\right)-m\left(A_{i} \cap E_{i}\right)\right\|<\left(1 / n_{0}\right) \varepsilon . \sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}}\left(m\left(A_{i} \cap E_{i}\right)-m\left(A_{i} \cap E\right)\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}} m\left(A_{i} \cap\left(E_{i}-E\right)\right)=$ $m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_{0}}\left(A_{i} \cap\left(E_{i}-E\right)\right)\right.$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n_{0}} A_{i} \cap\left(E_{i}-E\right) \subset S-E$. Hence we have $\| \sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}}\left(m\left(A_{i} \cap E_{i}\right)\right.$ $\left.-m\left(A_{\imath} \cap E\right)\right) \|<\varepsilon$. Therefore we have $\left\|m_{1}(A)-\sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}} m_{1}\left(A_{i}\right)\right\| \leqq\left\|m_{1}(A)-m(A \cap E)\right\|$
$+\left\|m(A \cap E)-\sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}} m\left(A_{i} \cap E\right)\right\|+\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}}\left(m\left(A_{i} \cap E\right)-m\left(A_{i} \cap E_{i}\right)\right)\right\|+\sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}} \| m\left(A_{i} \cap E_{i}\right)-$ $m_{1}\left(A_{i}\right) \|<4 \varepsilon$. The proof is complete.

We put $\Sigma=\{A \subset S: A \in R$ or $S-A \in R\}$. Then $\Sigma$ is the smallest algebra containing $R$ and $\Sigma \subset \mathfrak{m}$. We say that $\Sigma$ is the algebra generated by $R$.

Proposition 4. Let $R$ be a ring of subsets of $S$ with $S \notin R, \Sigma$ the algebra generated by $R, X$ a Banach space and $m: R \rightarrow X$ a countably additive set function. If $m$ is closed, then $m$ can be extended to a countably additive set function $\bar{m}: \Sigma \rightarrow X$. Further $\bar{m}(A)=m(A)$ if $A \in R$ and $\bar{m}(A)=x_{0}-m(S-A)$ if $S-A \in R$ (where $x_{0}=\lim \{m(E): E \in R\} \in X$ ). ([4] Proposition 2).

Proof. By Theorem 1 m can be extended to a countably additive set function $m_{1}: \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow X$. Let $\bar{m}$ be the restriction of $m_{1}$ to $\Sigma$. We put $x_{0}=\lim \{m(E)$ : $E \in R\}$. It is easy to show that $\bar{m}(A)=m(A)$ if $A \in R \bar{m}(A)=x_{0}-m(S-A)$ if $S-$ $A \in R$.

Example 2. Let $S$ be the set of all positive integers and $R$ the ring of all finite subsets of $S$. Define $m: R \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ by $m(A)=1$ if $1 \in A \in R$ and $m(A)=0$ if $1 \notin A \in R$. Then $m$ is countably additive and $s$-bounded. Let $\Sigma$ be the algebra generated by $R$. Define $\bar{m}: \Sigma \rightarrow\{-1,0,1\}$ by $\bar{m}(A)=m(A)$ if $A \in R$ and $\bar{m}(A)=-$ $m(S-A)$ if $S-A \in R$. Then $\bar{m}$ is not countably additive. For, let $A_{n}$ be the singleton set $\{n\}, n \in S(n \geqq 2)$ and put $A=\bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{n}$. Since $S-A=\{1\} \in R$, we have $A \in \Sigma$ and $\bar{m}(A)=-1$. On the other hand, $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \bar{m}\left(A_{n}\right)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} m\left(A_{n}\right)=0$.

Corollary. Let $R, \Sigma, X$ and $m: R \rightarrow X$ are as in Proposion 4. Suppose that $S \notin R_{o}$. We define $\bar{m}: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ by $\bar{m}(A)=m(A)$ if $A \in R$ and $\bar{m}(A)=x_{0}-m(S-A)$ if $S-A \in R$. Then $\bar{m}$ is countably additive (where $R_{o}$ is the set of all countable unions of sets of $R$ and $x_{0}$ is any element of $X$ ).

Proof. We note that if $A \cap B=\phi, A, B \in \Sigma$, then $A \in R$ or $B \in R$. Let $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ be a mutually disjoint sets of $\Sigma$ such that $A=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} \in \Sigma$.

Case 1. $A_{n} \in R(n=1,2, \cdots)$ and $A \in R$. It is obvious.
Case 2. $S-A_{1} \in R$ and $S-A \in R$. Since $\left(S-A_{1}\right)-(S-A)=\bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} A_{n} \in R$, we have $m\left(S-A_{1}\right)-m(S-A)=\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} m\left(A_{n}\right)$. Then $\bar{m}(A)=x_{0}-m(S-A)=x_{0}-m\left(S-A_{1}\right)+$ $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} m\left(A_{n}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{m}\left(A_{n}\right)$.

Case 3. $A_{n} \in R(n=1,2, \cdots)$ and $S-A \in R$. Since $S=(S-A) \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n} \in R_{\sigma}$,
we have a contradiction.

## 3. Applications.

Let $S$ be a set, $R$ a ring of subsets of $S, X$ a Banach space and $m: R \rightarrow X$ a finitely additive set function. Let $R_{0}$ be a subfamily of $R$ such that
(1) $A, B \in R_{0} \Rightarrow A \cup B \in R_{0}$.
(2) $A \in R, B \in R_{0}$ and $A \subset B \Rightarrow A \in R_{0}$.

Then $R_{0}$ is a subring and is a directed set with the order $\leqq$ in § 2 . The following theorem is a generalization of [5] Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. Let $R$ be a ring of subsets of $S, X$ a Banach space and $m: R \rightarrow X$ a finitely additive set function. Suppose that $R_{0}$ be a subfamily of $R$ such that
(i) $A, B \in R_{0} \Rightarrow A \cup B \in R_{0}$.
(ii) $A \in R, B \in R_{0}$ and $A \subset B \Rightarrow A \in R_{0}$.
and
(iii) the image set $\left\{m(A): A \in R_{0}\right\}$ of the directed set $R_{0}$ converges in $X$.

Then there exist two set function $m_{1}: R \rightarrow X$ and $m_{2}: R \rightarrow X$ such that
(1) $m=m_{1}+m_{2}$
(2) $A \in R_{0} \rightarrow m_{1}(A)=0$
(3) for every set $A \in R$ with $m_{2}(A) \neq 0$ there exists $a$ set $B \in R_{0}$ such that $B \subset A$ and $m_{2}(B) \neq 0$.
(4) $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ are finitely additive.

Proof. By the condition (iii) there exists for every number $\varepsilon>0$ a set $A \in R_{0}$ such that for every set $B \in R_{0}$ with $B \subset S-A$ we have $\|m(B)\|<\varepsilon$. Then for every set $E \in R$ the set $\left\{m(E-B): B \in R_{0}\right\}$ is a Cauchy net in $X$, since for every sets $B, C \in R_{0}$ with $A \subset B$ and $A \subset C$ we have $\|m(E-B)-m(E-C)\|=\| m(E \cap C$ $-B)-m(E \cap B-C)\|\leqq\| m(E \cap C-B)\|+\| m(E \cap B-C) \|<2 \varepsilon$. Similarly, the set $\left\{m(E \cap B): B \in R_{0}\right\}$ is a Cauchy net in $X$. Since $X$ is complete, $\lim \{m(E-B)$ : $\left.B \in R_{0}\right\} \in X$ and $\lim \left\{m(E \cap B): B \in R_{0}\right\} \in X$ exist. Then we put $m_{1}(E)=\lim \{m(E$ $\left.-B): B \in R_{0}\right\}$ and $m_{2}(E)=\lim \left\{m(E \cap B): \dot{B} \in R_{0}\right\}$. By the definition of $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ the properties (1)-(4) are obvious.

Theorem 3. (The Lebesgue decomposition theorem). Let $\gamma$ be a $\sigma$-ring of subsets of $S, X$ a Banach space, $m: ~ \gamma \rightarrow X$ a countably additive set function and $\mu$ a non-negative measure on $\gamma$. Then there exist unique countably additive set functions $m_{1}: \gamma \rightarrow X$ and $m_{2}: \gamma \rightarrow X$ such that
(1) $m=m_{1}+m_{2}$
(2) $\mu(A)=0 \Rightarrow m_{1}(A)=0$
(3) there exists a locally measurable set $A$ such that $m_{2}(E \cap A)=0$ and $\mu(E-A)=0$ for every set $E \in \gamma$.

Proof. We put $\gamma_{0}=\{A \in \gamma: \mu(A)=0\}$. Then $\gamma_{0}$ satisfies the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2. Since $m$ is $s$-bounded, $m$ satisfies the condition (iii) of Theorem 2. Then by Theorem 2 there exist $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ such that
(1') $m=m_{1}+m_{2}$.
(2') $A \in \gamma_{0} \Rightarrow m_{1}(A)=0$.
( $3^{\prime}$ ) for every set $A \in \gamma$ with $m_{2}(A) \neq 0$ there exists a set $B \in \gamma_{0}$ such that $B \subset A$ and $m_{2}(B) \neq 0$.
(1) and (2) are obvious. The countable additivity of $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ are obvious (for example, see the proof of Theorem 1). The proof of (3). Using [5] Proposition 2 we can prove that there exists a set $N \in \gamma_{0}$ such that $m_{2}(E-N)=0$ for every set $E \in \gamma$. Then we put $A=S-N$. Thus (3) is obvious. The uniqueness of the decomposition is obvious.

Remark. 1) In a recent paper, W. M. Bogdanowicz and R. A. Oberle [3] has given some decomposition theorems for vector measure.
2) Our definition of closed measure is different from Kluvanek's definition (see I. Kluvánek and G. Knowles: Vector Measures and Control Systems, NorthHolland, Amsterdam (1976)).
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