

# ON BIRECURRENT ALMOST PRODUCT AND ALMOST DECOMPOSABLE MANIFOLDS

By

M. P. S. RATHORE

(Received April 23, 1974)

**Abstract:** In a recent paper [2], the author and Mishra have defined (1), (1, 2) and (1, 2, 3) birecurrent manifolds in an almost Hermite manifold. In the present paper the author has defined first order generalized birecurrent manifolds for almost product manifold and various properties have been discussed.

## 1. Introduction.

We consider an  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $M_n$  of differentiability class  $c^\infty$  endowed with a real vector valued linear function  $F$  such that for arbitrary vector fields  $X, Y, Z$ , etc.

$$(1.1)a \quad \bar{X} = X,$$

where

$$(1.1)b \quad \bar{X} = F(X).$$

Let us further suppose that in  $M_n$  there is given a positive definite Riemannian metric tensor  $g$ , such that

$$(1.2) \quad g(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = g(X, Y).$$

Then  $M_n$  is said to be an almost product manifold. Let us put

$$(1.3) \quad 'F(X, Y) = g(\bar{X}, Y).$$

Then from (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3), we get

$$(1.4)a \quad 'F(X, Y) = g(\bar{X}, Y) = g(X, \bar{Y}) = 'F(Y, X),$$

that is,  $'F$  is symmetric and

$$(1.4)b \quad 'F(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = g(X, \bar{Y}) = 'F(X, Y),$$

that is, hybrid.

Let  $D$  be a Riemannian connexion in  $M_n$ :

$$(1.5) \quad D_x Y - D_y X = [X, Y], \quad (D_x g)(Y, Z) = 0.$$

Then if

$$(1.6)a \quad (\nabla F)(Y, X) = 0,$$

where

$$(1.6)b \quad (\nabla F)(Y, X) = (D_x F)(Y),$$

we say that  $M_n$  is almost product and almost decomposable.

Let  $K$  be the curvature tensor of  $M_n$ . Then in an almost product and almost decomposable manifold, we have

$$(1.7)a \quad K(X, Y, \bar{Z}) = \overline{K(X, Y, Z)},$$

$$(1.7)b \quad K(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}, Z) = K(X, Y, Z).$$

The Weyl projective curvature tensor  $W^*$  is given by

$$(1.8)a \quad W^*(X, Y, Z) = K(X, Y, Z) - \frac{1}{(n-1)} [\text{Ric}(Y, Z)X - \text{Ric}(X, Z)Y],$$

where Ric is the Ricci tensor defined by

$$(1.8)b \quad \text{Ric}(Y, Z) = (c_1^i K)(Y, Z),$$

and  $c_1^i$  is the contraction in the first slot.

The almost product and almost decomposable manifold  $M_n$  is called  $Q$ -recurrent if

$$(1.9) \quad (\nabla Q)(X, Y, Z, U) = \alpha(U)Q(X, Y, Z),$$

where  $Q$  is any of the curvature tensor and  $\alpha$  is the 1-form.

## 2. Birecurrent almost product manifold.

**Definition (2.1).** An almost product manifold  $M_n$  will be called  $Q$ -birecurrent manifold if

$$(2.1) \quad (\nabla \nabla Q)(Z, T, W, X, Y) = b(X, Y)Q(Z, T, W),$$

where  $b$  is a  $C^\infty$  scalar valued function. The manifold  $M_n$  will be called Ricci birecurrent manifold if

$$(2.2) \quad (\nabla \nabla \text{Ric})(T, W, X, Y) = b(X, Y) \text{Ric}(T, W).$$

The almost product manifold  $M_n$  will be called first order  $Q$ -birecurrent manifold if

$$(2.3) \quad (\nabla\nabla Q)(\bar{Z}, T, W, X, Y) + (\nabla Q)((\nabla F)(Z, Y), T, W, X) \\ + (\nabla Q)((\nabla F)(Z, X), T, W, Y) + Q((\nabla\nabla F)(Z, X, Y), T, W) \\ = b(X, Y)Q(\bar{Z}, T, W).$$

**Theorem (2.1).** *If an almost product manifold is first order projective birecurrent and first order birecurrent (K-birecurrent) manifold for the same scalar valued function  $b$ , then it is also Ricci birecurrent manifold provided*

$$(2.4)a \quad (\nabla\nabla F)(Z, X, Y) \text{ Ric}(T, W) + (\nabla F)(Z, X)(\nabla \text{ Ric})(T, W, Y) \\ + (\nabla F)(Z, Y)(\nabla \text{ Ric})(T, W, X) = 0,$$

or

$$(2.4)b \quad K(Y, X, \bar{Z}) = \overline{K(Y, X, \bar{Z})}.$$

**Proof.** From (1.8), we have

$$(2.5) \quad W^*(\bar{Z}, T, W) = K(\bar{Z}, T, W) - \frac{1}{(n-1)} [\text{Ric}(T, W)\bar{Z} - \text{Ric}(\bar{Z}, W)T].$$

From the above equation, we have

$$(2.6) \quad (\nabla\nabla W^*)(\bar{Z}, T, W, X, Y) + (\nabla W^*)((\nabla F)(Z, Y), T, W, X) \\ + (\nabla W^*)((\nabla F)(Z, X), T, W, Y) + W^*((\nabla\nabla F)(Z, X, Y), T, W) \\ = (\nabla\nabla K)(\bar{Z}, T, W, X, Y) + (\nabla K)((\nabla F)(Z, Y), T, W, X) \\ + (\nabla K)((\nabla F)(Z, X), T, W, Y) + K((\nabla\nabla F)(Z, X, Y), T, W) \\ - \frac{1}{(n-1)} [(\nabla\nabla F)(Z, X, Y) \text{ Ric}(T, W) + (\nabla F)(Z, X)(\nabla \text{ Ric})(T, W, Y) \\ + (\nabla F)(Z, Y)(\nabla \text{ Ric})(T, W, X) + (\nabla\nabla \text{ Ric})(T, W, X, Y)\bar{Z} \\ - ((\nabla\nabla \text{ Ric})(\bar{Z}, W, X, Y) + (\nabla \text{ Ric})((\nabla F)(Z, Y), W, X) \\ + (\nabla \text{ Ric})((\nabla F)(Z, X), W, Y) + \text{Ric}((\nabla\nabla F)(Z, X, Y), W))T].$$

Let the manifold  $M_n$  be first order birecurrent manifold. Then putting  $K$  for  $Q$  in (2.3) and contracting it, we get

$$(2.7) \quad (\nabla\nabla \text{ Ric})(\bar{Z}, W, X, Y) + (\nabla \text{ Ric})((\nabla F)(Z, Y), W, X) \\ + (\nabla \text{ Ric})((\nabla F)(Z, X), W, Y) + \text{Ric}((\nabla\nabla F)(Z, X, Y), W) \\ = b(X, Y) \text{ Ric}(\bar{Z}, W).$$

Let the manifold  $M_n$  be first order projective birecurrent and first order birecurrent manifold for the same birecurrence scalar valued function  $b$ . Then using (2.3) and (2.7) in (2.6), we get

$$(2.8) \quad ((\nabla\nabla \text{ Ric})(T, W, X, Y) - b(X, Y) \text{ Ric}(T, W))\bar{Z}$$

$$+(\nabla\nabla F)(Z, X, Y) \text{ Ric}(T, W) + (\nabla F)(Z, X)(\nabla \text{ Ric})(T, W, Y) \\ + (\nabla F)(Z, Y)(\nabla \text{ Ric})(T, W, X) = 0.$$

Making use of (2.4)a in the above equation, we get

$$(2.9)a \quad (\nabla\nabla \text{ Ric})(T, W, X, Y) = b(X, Y) \text{ Ric}(T, W).$$

Interchanging  $X$  and  $Y$  in (2.4)a and subtracting the resulting equation thus obtained from (2.4)a, we get

$$(2.9)b \quad (\nabla\nabla F)(Z, X, Y) - (\nabla\nabla F)(Z, Y, X) = 0,$$

which in consequence of Ricci identity implies (2.4)b. Hence the statement.

**Theorem (2.2).** *For the first order  $Q$ -birecurrent almost product manifold with the Killing structure tensor  $F$ , we have*

$$(2.10) \quad (\nabla\nabla Q)(Z, T, W, \bar{X}, \bar{Y}) + (\nabla\nabla Q)(Y, T, W, \bar{X}, \bar{Z}) \\ + (\nabla\nabla Q)(X, T, W, \bar{Z}, \bar{Y}) + Q((\nabla\nabla F)(\bar{Y}, \bar{X}, Z), T, W) \\ = b(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})Q(Z, T, W) + b(\bar{X}, \bar{Z})Q(Y, T, W) + b(\bar{Z}, \bar{Y})Q(X, T, W).$$

**Proof.** Since  $F$  is Killing:

$$(2.11)a \quad (\nabla F)(X, Z) + (\nabla F)(Z, X) = 0.$$

From (2.11)a, we get

$$(2.11)b \quad (\nabla\nabla F)(X, Z, Y) + (\nabla\nabla F)(Z, X, Y) = 0.$$

Interchanging  $Y$  and  $Z$  in (2.3) and then  $X$  and  $Z$  in (2.3) and adding these two equations thus obtained with (2.3) itself and making use of (2.11)a,b, we get

$$(2.12) \quad (\nabla\nabla Q)(\bar{Z}, T, W, X, Y) + (\nabla\nabla Q)(\bar{Y}, T, W, X, Z) \\ + (\nabla\nabla Q)(\bar{X}, T, W, Z, Y)Q((\nabla\nabla F)(Y, X, Z), T, W) = b(X, Y)Q(\bar{Z}, T, W) \\ + b(Z, Y)Q(\bar{X}, T, W) + b(X, Z)Q(\bar{Y}, T, W)$$

Barring  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  in (2.12) and using (1.1), we get (2.10).

**Theorem (2.3).** *The first order  $Q$ -birecurrent almost product and almost decomposable manifold is  $Q$ -birecurrent manifold.*

**Proof.** From (2.3) and (1.6), we get

$$(\nabla\nabla Q)(\bar{Z}, T, W, X, Y) = b(X, Y)Q(\bar{Z}, T, W).$$

Barring  $Z$  in the above equation and using (1.1), we get the result.

**Theorem (2.4).** *The scalar curvature  $R$  in the birecurrent almost product*

manifold  $M_n$  is given by

$$(2.13)a \quad R = ((\nabla\nabla R)(X, Y)/b(X, Y),$$

and the birecurrence scalar valued function  $b$  is symmetric:

$$(2.13)b \quad b(X, Y) = b(Y, X).$$

**Proof.** For birecurrent almost product manifold, we get

$$(2.14)a \quad (\nabla\nabla K)(Z, T, W, X, Y) = b(X, Y)K(Z, T, W).$$

Contracting (2.14)a, we get

$$(2.14)b \quad (\nabla\nabla \text{Ric})(Z, W, X, Y) = b(X, Y) \text{Ric}(Z, W).$$

From (2.14)b, we get

$$(2.14)c \quad (\nabla\nabla r)(Z, X, Y) = b(X, Y)r(Z),$$

where

$$(2.14)d \quad \text{Ric}(Y, Z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g(r(Y), Z).$$

Contracting (2.14)c, we get (2.13)a. Interchanging  $X$  and  $Y$  in (2.13)a and subtracting the resulting equation from (2.13)a itself, we get (2.13)b.

**Theorem (2.5).** *In birecurrent almost product and almost decomposable manifold, the 2-form  $B$  is hybrid in both the slots:*

$$(2.15)a \quad B(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) = B(X, Y),$$

where

$$(2.15)b \quad B(X, Y) = b(X, Y) - b(Y, X).$$

**Proof.** Interchanging  $X$  and  $Y$  in (2.14)c and subtracting the resulting equation thus obtained from (2.14)c and applying Ricci identity, we get

$$(2.16) \quad K(Y, X, r(Z)) - r(K(Y, X, Z)) = B(X, Y)r(Z).$$

Barring  $X$  and  $Y$  in (2.16) and using (1.7)b, we get

$$(2.17) \quad K(Y, X, r(Z)) - r(K(Y, X, Z)) = B(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})r(Z).$$

Comparing (2.16) and (2.17), we get (2.15)a.

**Theorem (2.6).** *Every recurrent manifold  $M_n$  with the 1-form  $\alpha$  satisfying*

$$(2.18) \quad (\nabla\alpha)(X, Y) + \alpha(X)\alpha(Y) \neq 0,$$

is a birecurrent manifold  $M_n$  but the converse is not true in general.

**Proof.** From (1.9), we get

$$(2.19) \quad (\nabla\nabla Q)(Z, T, W, X, Y) = ((\nabla\alpha)(X, Y) + \alpha(X)\alpha(Y))Q(Z, T, W).$$

Comparing (2.19) and (2.1), we get

$$(2.20) \quad b(X, Y) = (\nabla\alpha)(X, Y) + \alpha(X)\alpha(Y),$$

which proves the statement.

**Theorem (2.7).** In  $M_n$ , 1-form  $\alpha$  and 2-form  $B$  satisfy the relation:

$$(2.21) \quad (\nabla B)(X, Y, Z) = \alpha(Z)B(X, Y).$$

**Proof.** From (2.16), we have

$$(2.22) \quad (\nabla K)(Y, X, r(T), Z) + K(Y, X, (\nabla r)(T, Z)) - (\nabla r)(K(Y, X, T), Z) \\ - r((\nabla K)(Y, X, T, Z)) = (\nabla B)(X, Y, Z)r(T) + B(X, Y)(\nabla r)(T, Z).$$

For a recurrent manifold  $M_n$ , we have (1.9), which implies

$$(2.23) \quad (\nabla r)(T, Z) = \alpha(Z)r(T).$$

Substituting from (2.23) and (1.9) in (2.22) and using (2.16), we get (2.21).

**Theorem (2.8).** In the birecurrent manifold  $M_n$ , we get

$$(2.24) \quad \alpha(\bar{X})B(Y, Z) + \alpha(\bar{Y})B(Z, X) + \alpha(\bar{Z})B(X, Y) = 0.$$

**Proof.** From (2.20), we get

$$(2.25) \quad B(X, Y) = (\nabla\alpha)(X, Y) - (\nabla\alpha)(Y, X).$$

From (2.25), we get

$$(2.26) \quad (\nabla B)(X, Y, Z) = (\nabla\nabla\alpha)(X, Y, Z) - (\nabla\nabla\alpha)(Y, X, Z).$$

From (2.26), we have

$$(2.27) \quad (\nabla B)(X, Y, Z) + (\nabla B)(Y, Z, X) + (\nabla B)(Z, X, Y) = 0.$$

From (2.21) and (2.27), we get

$$(2.28) \quad \alpha(Z)B(X, Y) + \alpha(X)B(Y, Z) + \alpha(Y)B(Z, X) = 0.$$

Barring  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  in (2.28) and using (2.25) we get (2.24).

**Acknowledgement:** The author is thankful to Prof. R. S. Mishra for his valuable suggestions and to C.S.I.R. for awarding him a Senior Research Fellowship.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. S. Mishra: *On almost product and almost decomposable manifold*. Tensor N. S. Vol. 21, (1970), 255-260.
- [2] M. P. S. Rathore and R. S. Mishra: *First order and second kind recurrent manifolds*. The proceeding of the Indian Academy of Science, Vol. 67 (4), (1973), 171-183.

Department of Mathematics  
Banaras Hindu University  
Varanasi-5, India