SOME RESULTS ON FIXED POINTS IN UNIFORM SPACES

By

S. P. ACHARYA

(Received January 31, 1973)

1. Introduction

Let M be a complete metric space with metric d. The well-known Banach's fixed point theorem is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Let T be a mapping of M into itself such that

 $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y)$, for all x, y in M,

where $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point. In [1], Kannan proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. ([1], Th. 1). Let T_1 and T_2 be two mappings of M into itself such that

$$d(T_1x, T_2y) \leq \beta[d(x, T_1x) + d(y, T_2y)]$$
,

for all x, y in M, where $0 < \beta < 1/2$. Then T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point. Recently Srivastava and Gupta gave the following generalisation of Kannan's Theorem.

Theorem 1.3. ([3], Th. 2.1). Let T_1 and T_2 be two mappings of M into itself and p, q two positive integers such that

 $d(T_1^px, T_2^qy) \leqslant lpha d(x, T_1^px) + eta d(y, T_2^qy)$,

for all x, y in M, where $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $\alpha + \beta < 1$. Then T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point. In the present paper we extend these results to a uniform space and prove some other results.

2. Preliminary definitions and results

Let (X, \mathscr{U}) be a uniform space. A net $\{x_n : n \in D, \geq\}$ in X is said to converge to an element x in X if for every member U in \mathscr{U} , there is an element N in D such that $(x_n, x) \in U$ for all n in D with $n \geq N$. A net $\{x_n : n \in D, \geq\}$ is said to be a Cauchy net if for every U in \mathscr{U} , there is an element N in D such that $(x_m, x_n) \in U$ for all m, n in D with $m \geq N$ and $n \geq N$. The space (X, \mathscr{U}) is said

to be complete if every Cauchy net in X converges to a point in X and sequentially complete if every Cauchy sequence in X converges to a point in X.

For any pseudometric p on X and any r>0, we write

$$V_{(p,r)} = \{(x, y); x, y \in X \text{ and } p(x, y) < \gamma\}$$
.

From Th. 15 ([2], p. 188) we see that the uniformity \mathscr{U} on X can be generated by the family \mathscr{F} of all pseudometrics on X which are uniformly continuous on $X \times X$. But we have observed that it is not necessary to take all the members of \mathscr{F} to generate the uniformity \mathscr{U} . (See Th. 2.1.).

Let \mathscr{P} be a family of pseudometrics on X generating the uniformity \mathscr{U} . Denote by \mathscr{V} the family of all sets of the form $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} V_{(p_i,r_i)}$, where $p_i \in \mathscr{P}$ and $r_i > 0$, $i=1, 2, \dots, n$, (the integer *n* is not fixed). Then clearly \mathscr{V} is a base for the uniformity \mathscr{U} .

Let $V \in \mathscr{V}$. Then $V = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} V_{(p_{i},r_{i})}$ where $p_{i} \in \mathscr{P}$ and $r_{i} > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. For each $\alpha > 0$, the set $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} V_{(p_{i},\alpha r_{i})}$ belongs to \mathscr{V} . We denote this set by αV .

Lemma 2.1. If $V \in \mathscr{V}$ and α, β are positive, then

$$\alpha(\beta V) = (\alpha \beta) V$$
.

Lemma 2.2. If $V \in \mathscr{V}$, and α, β are positive, then

$$\alpha V \subset \beta V$$
 when $\alpha < \beta$.

Lemma 2.3. Let p by any pseudometric on X and α , β be any two positive numbers. If

$$(x, y) \in \alpha V_{(p,r_1)} \circ \beta V_{(p,r_2)} ,$$

$$p(x, y) < \alpha r_1 + \beta r_2$$

Lemma 2.4. If $V \in \mathscr{V}$ and α , β are positive, then

$$\alpha V \circ \beta V \subset (\alpha + \beta) V$$
.

Note 2.1. Let p be any pseudometric on X and α , β , γ and three positive numbers. If

$$(x, y) \in \alpha V_{(p, r_1)} \circ \beta V_{(p, r_2)} \circ \gamma V_{(p, r_3)}$$
.

then

$$p(x, y) < \alpha r_1 + \beta r_2 + \gamma r_3$$
.

Lemma 2.5. Let $x, y \in X$. Then for every V in \mathscr{V} there is a positive number λ such that $(x, y) \in \lambda V$.

The proofs of the Lemmas 2.1-2.5 are simple.

Lemma 2.6. Let V be any member of \mathscr{V} . Then there is a pseudometric p on X such that

$$V = V_{(p,1)}$$
.

Proof. Let x, y be any two points of X. Then by Lemma 2.5, there is a $\lambda > 0$ such that $(x, y) \in \lambda V$. Write

$$A_{(x,y)} = \{\lambda; \lambda > 0 \text{ and } (x, y) \in \lambda V\}$$
.

Now we define p(x, y) by

$$p(x, y) = \text{Inf} \{\lambda; \lambda \in A_{(x,y)}\}$$
.

If $x \in X$, then clearly $(x, x) \in \lambda V$ for any $\lambda > 0$. This shows that $A_{(x,x)} = \{\lambda; \lambda > 0\}$. So

$$p(x, x) = \inf A_{(x, x)} = 0$$
.

Again since V is symmetric it follows that $A_{(x,y)} = A_{(y,x)}$. So

$$p(x, y) = p(y, x) \ge 0$$
.

Now let x, y, z be any three points of X. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrarily. Take $\alpha = p(x, z) + \varepsilon$ and $\beta = p(z, y) + \varepsilon$. Then $\alpha \in A_{(x,z)}$ and $\beta \in A_{(z,y)}$. That $(x, z) \in \alpha V$, and $(z, y) \in \beta V$. This gives that

$$(x, y) \in \beta V \circ \alpha V = \alpha V \circ \beta V \subset (\alpha + \beta) V$$
. [By Lemma 2.4]

Thus $\alpha + \beta \in A_{(x,y)}$. So

$$p(x, y) \!\leqslant\! lpha \!+\! eta \!=\! p(x, z) \!+\! p(z, y) \!+\! 2 arepsilon$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary we get

$$p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y)$$
.

Therefore p is a pseudometric on X.

Let $x, y \in X$ and p(x, y) < 1. Choose any α with $p(x, y) < \alpha < 1$. Then $\alpha \in A_{(x,y)}$ which gives that $(x, y) \in \alpha V \subset V$. [By Lemma 2.2] So

$$(1) V_{(p,1)} \subset V.$$

Again, let $(x, y) \in V$. Since $V \in \mathscr{V}$, we can express $V = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} V_{(p_i, r_i)}$, $p_i \in \mathscr{P}$ and $r_i > 0$. Write $\alpha_i = p_i(x, y)$, then $0 \le \alpha_i/r_i < 1$, $(i=1, 2, \dots, n)$. Let $\theta = \max \{a_i/r_i; i=1, 2, \dots, n\}$. $\dots, n\}$. Then $0 \le \theta < 1$. Choose any positive α with $\theta < \alpha < 1$. We have

$$p_i(x, y) = \alpha_i = \left(\frac{\alpha_i}{r_i}\right) r_i \leq \theta r_i < \alpha r_i, \qquad (i=1, 2, \dots, n).$$

So

$$(x, y) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} V_{(p_i, \alpha r_i)} = \alpha V,$$

and hence $p(x, y) \leq \alpha < 1$. Thus

(2)

$$V \subset V_{(p,1)}$$
.

From (1) and (2) we get

$$V = V_{(p,1)}$$
.

Note 2.2. We shall call p the Minkowski's pseudometric of V in analogy with the Minkowski's Functional of a convex and balanced set in a linear topological space.

Theorem 2.1. Every uniformity for the set X can be generated by a family of pseudometrics on X which are uniformly continuous on $X \times X$.

Proof. Let \mathscr{U} be a uniformity for the set X. Let \mathscr{B} be a subfamily of \mathscr{U} such that \mathscr{B} is a base for \mathscr{U} , each member of \mathscr{B} is symmetric and no member of \mathscr{B} is equal to $X \times X$. For each V in \mathscr{B} , choose a sequence $\{U_n^{(V)}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of symmetric sets in \mathscr{U} with

 $U_{n+1}^{(\nu)} \circ U_{n+1}^{(\nu)} \circ U_{n+1}^{(\nu)} \subset U_n^{(\nu)}$, where $U_0^{(\nu)} = X \times X$, and $U_1^{(\nu)} = V$.

By the Metrization Lemma ([2], Ch. 6, §12, p. 185) there is a pseudometric d_r on X such that

$$(3) \qquad \qquad U_n^{(V)} \subset \{(x, y); d_v(x, y) < 2^{-n+2}\} \subset U_{n-1}^{(V)}.$$

Let $\mathscr{P} = \{d_v; V \in \mathscr{B}\}$. Denote by \mathscr{V} the uniformity for X generated by the family \mathscr{P} of pseudometrics on X. Write

$$W_{(r,r)} = \{(x, y); d_{r}(x, y) < r\}$$
.

Let U be any member of \mathcal{U} . Then there is a set V in \mathcal{B} with $V \subset U$. From (3) we have

$$W_{(v,1)} \subset U_1^{(v)} = V$$
.

So $W_{(r,1)} \subset U$ which gives that $U \in \mathscr{V}$ and hence $\mathscr{U} \subset \mathscr{V}$.

Next let $W \in \mathscr{V}$. Then there are finite number of members V_1, V_2, \dots, V_m in \mathscr{B} and $r_i > 0$, $(i=1, 2, \dots, m)$ such that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^m W_{(v_i,r_i)} \subset W.$$

Choose positive integers n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m such that

 $2^{-m_i+2} < r_i$, $(i=1, 2, \cdots, m)$.

108

From (3) we have

 $U_{n_i}^{(v_i)} \subset \{(x, y) ; d_{v_i}(x, y) < 2^{-n_i+2}\} < W_{(v_i, r_i)}, \quad (i=1, 2, \cdots, m).$

Write $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} U_{n_i}^{(r_i)}$. Then $U \in \mathscr{U}$ and $U \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{m} W_{(r_i, r_i)} \subset W$, which gives that $W \in \mathscr{U}$, and so $\mathscr{V} \subset \mathscr{U}$. Therefore we have

 $\mathscr{V} = \mathscr{U}$.

Since each $W_{(r,r)}$ is a member of \mathscr{U} , it follows from Th. 11 ([2], Chap. VI, p. 183) that d_r , $(V \in \mathscr{B})$ is uniformly continuous on $X \times X$.

3. Results on fixed point of operators

In this section we assume that (X, \mathscr{U}) is a uniform space which is sequentially complete and also a Hausdorff space. Further we suppose that \mathscr{P} is a fixed family of pseudometrics on X which generates the uniformity \mathscr{U} . We denote by \mathscr{V} the family of all sets of the form $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} V_{(p_i,r_i)}$, $p_i \in \mathscr{P}$ and $r_i > 0$ (the integer n is not fixed).

By an operator on X we mean a mapping of X into itself.

Theorem 3.1. Let T be an operator on X such that for any V in \mathscr{V} and x, y in X,

 $(Tx, Ty) \in \alpha V$, if $(x, y) \in V$,

where $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then T has a unique fixed point in X.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary but fixed point of X. Define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X by

$$x_n = T x_{n-1}$$
, $(n=1, 2, \cdots)$.

Let V be any member of \mathcal{V} . Choose a positive number λ such that

 $(x_0, x_1) \in \lambda V = W$, say.

Then

$$W \in \mathscr{V}$$
 and $\mu W \in \mathscr{V}$ for any $\mu > 0$.

We have

 $(x_1, x_2) = (Tx_0, Tx_1) \in \alpha W$,

$$(x_2, x_3) = (Tx_1, Tx_2) \in \alpha(\alpha W) = \alpha^2 W$$
,

and by induction

$$(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in \alpha^n W$$

Let n and m (>n) be any two positive integers. Then since

$$(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in \alpha^n W$$
 and $(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \in \alpha^{n+1} W$,

we get

$$(x_n, x_{n+2}) \in \alpha^n W \circ \alpha^{n+1} W \subset (\alpha^n + \alpha^{n+1}) W$$
. [By Lemma 2.4]

Similarly we have

 $(x_n, x_{n+3}) \in (\alpha^n + \alpha^{n+1} + \alpha^{n+2}) W$,

and proceeding in this way we obtain

$$(x_n, x_m) \in (\alpha^n + \alpha^{n+1} + \cdots + \alpha^{m-1}) W \subset \frac{\alpha^n}{1-\alpha} W = \frac{\lambda \alpha^n}{1-\alpha} V.$$

Since $0 < \alpha < 1$, we can choose a positive integer n_0 such that $\lambda \alpha^n/(1-\alpha) < 1$ when $n \ge n_0$. Then $(x_n, x_m) \in V$ when $n \ge n_0$. Thus $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Since X is sequentially complete, there is a point ξ in X such that

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} = \operatorname{Lt}_{n \to \alpha} \boldsymbol{x}_n \, .$$

From the given condition it is obvious that T is continuous. So

 $T(x_n) \rightarrow T(\xi)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

that is,

$$x_{n+1} \rightarrow T(\xi)$$
.

Since X is a Hausdorff space, $\xi = T(\xi)$. Let η be a point in X such that $\eta = T(\eta)$. Take any V in \mathscr{V} . Choose $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$(\xi, \eta) \in \lambda V = W$$
, say

Then

 $(\xi, \eta) = (T(\xi), T(\eta)) \in \alpha W$.

This gives that

$$(\xi, \eta) \in \alpha(\alpha W) = \alpha^2 W$$

and after n steps we obtain

$$(\xi, \eta) \in \alpha^n W = (\lambda \alpha^n) V$$

Choose n so large that $\lambda \alpha^n < 1$. Then

$$(\xi,\eta)\in V$$
.

Since V is arbitrary, it follows that $\xi = \eta$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.2. Let T_1 and T_2 be two operators on X such that for any two members V_1 , V_2 in \mathscr{V} and x, y in X,

$$(T_1x, T_2y) \in \alpha V_1 \circ \beta V_2$$
,

110

if $(x, T_1x) \in V_1$ and $(y, T_2y) \in V_2$ where α, β are independent of x, y, V_1, V_2 and $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \alpha + \beta < 1$. Then T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary but fixed point of X. Define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X as follows.

 $x_1 = T_1 x_0, \quad x_2 = T_2 x_1, \quad x_3 = T_1 x_2, \quad x_4 = T_2 x_3, \cdots,$

We prove the theorem by the following steps.

(1) The sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point ξ in X. Let V be any member of \mathscr{V} . Denote by p the Minkowski's pseudometric of V. Let x, y be any two points of X. Write $p(x, T_1x)=r_1$ and $p(y, T_2y)=r_2$ and take $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$(x, T_1x) \in (r_1+\varepsilon) V$$
 and $(y, T_2y) \in (r_2+\varepsilon) V$.

So by the given condition we have

$$(T_1x, T_2y) \in \alpha(r_1+\varepsilon) V \circ \beta(r_2+\varepsilon) V$$

By Lemma 2.3 we have

$$p(T_1x, T_2y) > \alpha(r_1 + \varepsilon) + \beta(r_2 + \varepsilon)$$

= $\alpha r_1 + \beta r_2 + (\alpha + \beta)\varepsilon$.

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary,

(4)
$$p(T_1x, T_2y) \leq \alpha p(x, T_1x) + \beta p(y, T_2y)$$
.

Now take any positive number λ with

$$\lambda \geqslant p(x_0, x_1)$$
.

We have

$$p(x_1, x_2) = p(T_1x_0, T_2x_1)$$

$$\leq \alpha p(x_0, T_1x_0) + \beta p(x_1, T_2x_1) \qquad [By (4)]$$

$$= \alpha p(x_0, x_1) + \beta p(x_1, x_2) .$$

$$\therefore \quad p(x_1, x_2) \leq \frac{\alpha}{1-\beta} p(x_0, x_1)$$
$$\leq \frac{\alpha \lambda}{1-\beta} .$$

$$p(x_2, x_3) = p(T_2x_1, T_1x_2)$$

= $p(T_1x_2, T_2x_1)$
 $\leq \alpha p(x_2, T_1x_2) + \beta p(x_1, T_2x_1)$
= $\alpha p(x_2, x_3) + \beta p(x_1, x_3)$.

$$p(x_2, x_3) \leq \frac{\beta}{1-\alpha} p(x_1, x_2)$$
$$\leq \lambda \cdot \frac{\alpha}{1-\beta} \cdot \frac{\beta}{1-\alpha} .$$

By induction

$$p(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \leq \lambda \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\beta}\right)^n \left(\frac{\beta}{1-\alpha}\right)^{n-1}$$

and

$$p(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq \lambda \left(\frac{\alpha}{1-\beta}\right)^n \left(\frac{\beta}{1-\alpha}\right)^n$$
.

Write $\mu = \alpha/(1-\beta) \cdot \beta/(1-\alpha)$. Since $\alpha + \beta < 1$, we have $0 < \mu < 1$. Take any two positive integers n and m (>n). Then

$$p(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) \leq p(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + p(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + \dots + p(x_{2m-1}, x_{2m})$$

$$\leq \lambda \left[\mu^{n} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\beta} \mu^{n} + \mu^{n+1} + \frac{\alpha}{1-\beta} \mu^{n+1} + \dots + \frac{\alpha}{1-\beta} \mu^{m-1} \right]$$

$$= \lambda \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{1-\beta} \right) [\mu^{n} + \mu^{n+1} + \dots + \mu^{m-1}]$$

$$< \frac{1+\alpha-\beta}{1-\beta} \cdot \frac{\lambda\mu^{n}}{1-\mu} .$$

Choose a positive integer n_0 such that

 $\frac{1\!+\!\alpha\!-\!\beta}{1\!-\!\beta}\cdot\frac{\lambda\mu^n}{1\!-\!\mu}\!<\!1\;,\quad \text{when}\quad n\!\geqslant\!n_0\;.$

Then

 $p(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) < 1$ for $m > n \ge n_0$.

This gives that

$$(x_{2n}, x_{2m}) \in V$$
, when $m > n \ge n_0$.

Therefore $\{x_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Since X is sequentially complete, there is a point ξ in X such that

$$\xi = \operatorname{Lt} x_{2n} \, .$$

Take V and p as above. Let n be any positive integer. Then

$$p(\xi, x_{2n+1}) \leq p(\xi, x_{2n}) + p(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \\ \leq p(\xi, x_{2n}) + \lambda \mu^n \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty$$

This gives that $p(\xi, x_{2n}) < 1$ if $n \ge n_1$ where n_1 is some positive integer. So

$$\xi, x_{2n+1}) \in V$$
 when $n \ge n_1$.

Thus $\{x_{2n+1}\}$ also converges to ξ . Hence $\{x_n\}$ converges to ξ .

112

(II) ξ is a common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 . Let V be any member of \mathscr{V} . Denote by p the Minkowski's pseudometric of V. For any positive integer n we have

$$p(\xi, T_1\xi) \leq p(\xi, x_{2n}) + p(T_2x_{2n-1}, T_1(\xi))$$

$$\leq p(\xi, x_{2n}) + \alpha p(\xi, T_1\xi) + \beta p(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) .$$
 [By (4)]

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

 $p(\xi, T_1\xi) \leq \alpha p(\xi, T_1\xi)$.

Since $0 < \alpha < 1$, we get $p(\xi, T_1\xi) = 0$. So

$$(\xi, T_1\xi) \in V$$
.

V being arbitrary and X being a Hausdorff space we have

 $\xi = T_1 \xi$.

Similarly we can show that
$$\xi = T_2 \xi$$
.

(III) ξ is the unique common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 . Let η be a point in X with $\eta = T_1 \eta$. Take any member V of \mathscr{V} . Then since

$$(\eta, T_1\eta) = (\eta, \eta) \in V$$

and

 $(\xi, T_2\xi) = (\xi, \xi) \in V$,

we have

$$(\eta, \xi) \in \alpha V \circ \beta V \subset (\alpha + \beta) V \subset V$$
.

Since V is arbitrary it follows that $\eta = \xi$. Similarly if $\eta \in X$ and $\eta = T_2\eta$, then $\eta = \xi$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 3.2.1. Let T_1 and T_2 be two operators and p, q be two positive integers such that for any V_1 , V_2 in \mathscr{V} and x, y in X

$$(T_1^p, T_2^q) \in \alpha V_1 \circ \beta V_2$$
,

if $(x, T_1^p x) \in V_1$ and $(y, T_2^q y) \in V_2$ where α, β are independent of x, y, V_1, V_2 and $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \alpha + \beta < 1$. Then T_1, T_2 have a unique common fixed point.

Theorem 3.3. Let T_1 and T_2 be two operators on X such that for any V_1 , V_2 in \mathscr{V} and x, y in X

$$(T_1x, T_2y) \in \alpha V_1 \circ \beta V_2$$
,

if $(y, T_1x) \in V_1$ and $(x, T_2y) \in V_2$ where α, β are independent of x, y, V_1, V_2 and $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0 \alpha + \beta < 1$. Then T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point.

The proof is similar to that of Th. 3.2.

Corollary 3.3.1. Let T_1 and T_2 be two operators on X and p, q be two positive integers such that for any V_1 , V_2 in \mathscr{V} and x, y in X

$$(T_1^p x, T_2^q y) \in \alpha V_1 \circ \beta V_2$$
,

if $(y, T_1^p x) \in V_1$ and $(x, T_2^q y) \in V_2$, where α, β are independent of x, y, V_1, V_2 , and $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0, \alpha + \beta < 1$. Then T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point.

Theorem 3.4. Let T_1 and T_2 be two operators on X such that for any three members V_1 , V_2 , V_3 in \mathscr{V} and x, y in X

$$(T_1x, y) \in \alpha V_1 \circ \beta V_2 \circ \gamma V_3$$
,

if $(x, T_1x) \in V_1$, $(x, y) \in V_2$, $(y, T_2y) \in V_3$ where α, β, γ are independent of x, y, V_1 , V_2, V_3 and $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $\gamma > 0$, $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$. Then T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary but fixed point of X. Define the sequence $\{x_n\}$ and take V and p as in the proof of Th. 3.2. Write

 $p(x, T_1x) = r_1, \quad p(x, y) = r_2, \quad p(y, T_2y) = r_3$,

and take $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

 $(x, T_1x) \in (r_1+\varepsilon) V, \quad (x, y) \in (r_2+\varepsilon) V, \quad (y, T_2y) \in (r_3+\varepsilon) V.$

So by the given condition we have

$$(T_1x, T_2y) \in \alpha(r_1+\varepsilon) V \circ \beta(r_2+\varepsilon) V \circ \gamma(r_3+\varepsilon) V$$
.

By Note 2.1,

$$p(T_1x, T_2y) < \alpha(r_1+\varepsilon) + \beta(r_2+\varepsilon) + \gamma(r_3+\varepsilon) \\ = \alpha r_1 + \beta r_2 + \gamma r_3 + (\alpha+\beta+\gamma)\varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary,

(5)
$$p(T_1x, T_2y) \leq \alpha p(x, T_1x) + \beta p(x, y) + \gamma p(y, T_2y)$$
.

Now take a positive number λ with $\lambda \ge p(x_0, x_1)$. Then

$$p(x_1, x_2) = p(T_1x_0, T_2x_1)$$

$$\leq \alpha p(x_0, T_1x_0) + \beta p(x_0, x_1) + \gamma p(x, T_2x_1)$$

$$\leq \alpha p(x_0, x_1) + \beta p(x_0, x_1) + \gamma p(x_1, x_2) , \quad [By (5)]$$

or

$$p(x_1, x_2) \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \gamma} p(x_0, x_1)$$
$$\leq \lambda \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \gamma},$$

$$p(x_2, x_3) = p(T_1x_2, T_2x_1)$$

$$\leq \alpha p(T_1x_2, x_2) + \beta p(x_1, x_2) + \gamma p(x_1, T_2x_1)$$

$$= \alpha p(x_2, x_3) + \beta p(x_1, x_2) + \gamma p(x_1, x_2) ,$$

or

$$p(x_2, x_3) \leq \frac{\beta + \gamma}{1 - \alpha} p(x_1, x_2)$$
$$\leq \lambda \frac{(\alpha + \beta)(\beta + \gamma)}{(1 - \gamma)(1 - \alpha)}$$

$$p(x_{8}, x_{4}) = p(T_{1}x_{2}, T_{2}x_{8})$$

$$\leq \alpha p(T_{1}x_{2}, x_{2}) + \beta p(x_{2}, x_{3}) + \gamma p(x_{3}, T_{2}x_{8})$$

$$= \alpha p(x_{2}, x_{3}) + \beta p(x_{2}, x_{3}) + \gamma p(x_{8}, x_{4}),$$

or

$$p(x_3, x_4) \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \gamma} p(x_2, x_3)$$
$$\leq \lambda \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - \gamma}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\beta + \gamma}{1 - \alpha}\right).$$

By induction,

$$p(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \leq \lambda \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{1-\gamma}\right)^n \left(\frac{\beta+\gamma}{1-\alpha}\right)^{n-1}$$

and

$$p(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq \lambda \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{1-\gamma}\right)^n \left(\frac{\beta+\gamma}{1-\alpha}\right)^n$$
.

Write $\mu = (\alpha + \beta)/(1-\gamma) \cdot (\beta + \gamma)/(1-\alpha)$. Then $0 < \mu < 1$, as $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$. Then we complete the proof as in Th. 3.2.

Corollary 3.4.1. Let T_1 and T_2 be two operators on X and p, q be two positive integers such that for any three members V_1 , V_2 , V_3 in \mathscr{V} and x, y in X,

$$(T_1^p x, T_2^q y) \in \alpha V_1 \circ \beta V_2 \circ \gamma V_3$$
,

if $(x, T_1^p x) \in V_1$, $(x, y) \in V_2$, $(y, T_2^q y) \in V_3$ where α, β, γ are independent of x, y, V_1, V_2, V_3 and $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $\gamma > 0$, $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$. Then T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point.

Theorem 3.5. Let T_1 and T_2 be two operators on X such that for any three members V_1 , V_2 , V_3 in \mathscr{V} and x, y in X

$$(T_1x, T_2y) \in \alpha V_1 \circ \beta V_2 \circ \gamma V_3$$
,

if $(y, T_1x) \in V_1$, $(x, y) \in V_2$, $(x, T_2y) \in V_3$ where α, β, γ are independent of x, y,

 V_1 , V_2 , V_3 and $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $\gamma > 0$, $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$. Then T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point.

The proof is similar to that of Th. 3.4.

Corollary 3.5.1 Let T_1 and T_2 be two operators on X and p, q be two positive integers such that for any three members V_1 , V_2 , V_3 in \mathscr{V} and x, y in X,

$$(T_1^p x, T_2^q y) \in \alpha V_1 \circ \beta V_2 \circ \gamma V_3$$
,

if $(y, T_1^p x) \in V_1$, $(x, y) \in V_2$, $(x, T_2^q y) \in V_8$ where α, β, γ are independent of x, y, V_1, V_2, V_3 and $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $\gamma > 0$, $\alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$.

Then T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point.

I am much indebted to Dr. P. C. Bhakta Jadavpur University, for his kind help and guidance in the preparation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Kannan, Some results on fixed points. Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. Vol. 60 (1968), 71-76.
- [2] J.L. Kelley, General Topology (1955), D. Van Nostrand Co.
- [3] Pramila Srivastave and Vijay Kumar Gupta, A note on common fixed points. Yokohama Math. Journal Vol. XIX, No. 2 (1971), 91-95.

Dept. of Maths. Bangabasi Evening College, Calcutta-9, India.