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1. Throughout this paper the algebras considered are non-associative (i. e., not necessarily associative) and $J(x, y, z)$ will denote $(x y) z+(y z) x+(z x) y$ under usual multiplication operation, which expression, for simplicity, may be written as

$$
x y \cdot z+y z \cdot x+z x \cdot y
$$

A. A. Sagle [1] studied Malcev algebras. In this note, firstly we give a characterization of Maclev algebras in terms of Jacobi-Teichmüller identity (Theorem 2.2), and then use the same to give alternative simpler proofs of some of the results proved by Sagle (Propositions 4.1 and 5.1). Kass and Witthoft [3] found the irreducible homogeneous polynomial identities of degree less that or equal to four in anticommutative algebras over a field of characteristic different from two. We use his fifth polynomial

$$
J(x, y, z) w-J(w, x, y) z+J(z, w, x) y-J(y, z, w) x
$$

([3], Theorem 2) to define a concept of quasi-lie algebra, and to show that Malcev algebras and extended lie algebras (see Sagle [2]) are not comparable with quasilie algebras. Sagle introduced the concepts of the 'Lie subsets' and 'Nucleus' and showed that, in Malcev algebra, they form a subalgebra and an ideal respectively. In this note, we construct some examples to show that the lie subset and the nucleus may not be so in quasi-lie algebras.
2. We first state the following lemma of Sagle [1].

Lemma 2.1. An algebra $A$ of characteristic not two is a Malcev algebra if and only if $A$ satisfies $x y=-y x$ and

$$
x y \cdot z w=x(w y \cdot z)+w(y z \cdot x)+y(z x \cdot w)+z(x w \cdot y) \text { for all } x, y, z, w \text { in } A .
$$

It is well known that the Teichmuiller identity

$$
(w x, y, z)-(w, x y, z)+(w, x, y z)-w(x, y, z)-(w, x, y) z=0
$$

holds in all non-associative algebras (see Kleinfield [4]) where $(a, b, c)$ is the associator $(a b) c-a(b c)$.

Now we give a characterization of Malcev algebras:
Theorem 2.2. An anticommutative algebra of characteristic not two, is Malcev if and only if it satisfies the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(w x, y, z)-J(w, x y, z)+J(w, x, y z)-w J(x, y, z)-J(w, x, y) z=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(We shall call this identity as Jacobi-Teichüller)
Proof. Let $A$ satisfy the Jacobi-Teichmiuller identity. Put $w=x$ in (1). Then the above expression reduces to $-J(x, x y, z)-x J(x, y, z)=0$, since the other two terms reduce to zero.

Hence, we have by interchanging $y$ and $z, J(x, y, x z)=J(x, y, z) x$ for all $x, y, z$ in $A$, which defines Malcev algebra (Sagle [1]).

Conversely let $A$ be a Malcev algebra, then by lemma 2.1, we have $y x \cdot z w$ $=y(w x \cdot z)+w(x z \cdot y)+x(z y \cdot w)+z(y w \cdot x)$. Adding to it the Teichmüller identity $(w x, y, z)-(w, x y, z)+(w, x, y z)-w(x, y, z)-(w, x, y) z=0$ which holds in every nonassociative algebra and adjusting the corresponding terms, we obtain

$$
J(w x, y, z)-J(w, x y, z)+J(w, x, y z)-w J(x, y, z)-J(w, x, y) z=0
$$

Hence the proof is complete.
3. We shall call a non-associative algebra a quasi-lie algebra if it is anticommutative and satisfies

$$
J(x, y, z) w-J(w, x, y) z+J(z, w, x) y-J(y, z, w) x=0
$$

We see immediately from the definition that any anti-commutative algebra of dimension not exceeding three is a quasi-lie algebra.

Consider the following examples:
Ex. 1. The algebra $A$ having basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ with the multiplication table:

|  | $e_{1}$ | $e_{2}$ | $e_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{1}$ | 0 | $e_{1}$ | $e_{2}$ |
| $e_{2}$ | $-e_{1}$ | 0 | $-e_{3}$ |
| $e_{3}$ | $-e_{2}$ | $e_{3}$ | 0 |

is a quasi-lie algebra of dimension three but it can be easily checked that it is neither Malcev nor extended lie, i.e. it does not satisfy the identity $J(x, y, x y)=0$, [2].

Ex. 2. The algebra $A$ having basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right\}$ with the multiplication:

|  | $e_{1}$ | $e_{2}$ | $e_{3}$ | $e_{4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{1}$ | 0 | $e_{3}$ | $e_{4}$ | 0 |
| $e_{2}$ | $-e_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | $e_{1}$ |
| $e_{3}$ | $-e_{4}$ | 0 | 0 | $e_{2}$ |
| $e_{4}$ | 0 | $-e_{1}$ | $-e_{2}$ | 0 |

is a quasi-lie algebra of dimension four, but not a Malcev algebra since $J\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{1} e_{3}\right)$ $=e_{2} \neq J\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right) e_{1}=0$. It is not even an extended lie algebra since $J\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{1} e_{2}\right)$ $=e_{1} \neq 0$.

Ex. 3. The non-associative algebra with the basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}, e_{5}, e_{6}, e_{7}\right\}$ having the multiplication table:

|  | $e_{1}$ | $e_{2}$ | $e_{3}$ | $e_{4}$ | $e_{5}$ | $e_{8}$ | $e_{7}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $e_{1}$ | 0 | $2 e_{2}$ | $2 e_{3}$ | $2 e_{4}$ | $-2 e_{5}$ | $-2 e_{8}$ | $-2 e_{7}$ |
| $e_{2}$ | $-2 e_{2}$ | 0 | $2 e_{7}$ | $-2 e_{6}$ | $e_{1}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $e_{8}$ | $-2 e_{3}$ | $-2 e_{7}$ | 0 | $2 e_{5}$ | 0 | $e_{1}$ | 0 |
| $e_{4}$ | $-2 e_{4}$ | $2 e_{8}$ | $-2 e_{5}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $e_{1}$ |
| $e_{5}$ | $2 e_{5}$ | $-e_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-2 e_{4}$ | $2 e_{3}$ |
| $e_{8}$ | $2 e_{6}$ | 0 | $-e_{1}$ | 0 | $2 e_{4}$ | 0 | $-2 e_{2}$ |
| $e_{7}$ | $2 e_{7}$ | 0 | 0 | $-e_{1}$ | $-2 e_{3}$ | $2 e_{2}$ | 0 |

is a Malcev algebra, but not a quasi-lie algebra, because

$$
J\left(e_{4}, e_{6}, e_{6}\right) e_{7}-J\left(e_{7}, e_{4}, e_{5}\right) e_{6}+J\left(e_{6}, e_{7}, e_{4}\right) e_{5}-J\left(e_{5}, e_{6}, e_{7}\right) e_{4}=36 e_{4} \neq 0
$$

The above examples exhibit that neither the Malcev nor the extended lie algebras are generalization of quasi-lie algebra and conversely.
4. Sagle [1] defines that a subset $B$ of non-associative algebra $A$ is a lie subset of $A$ if $J(B, B, B)=0$. $B$ is a maximal lie subset of $A$ provided $B$ is a maximal subset of $A$ such that $J(B, B, B)=0$.

We now give a simpler proof of a Sagle's theorem 4.1 [1] and show that it is not true in the case of quasi-lie algebras.

Proposition 4.1. Every maximal lie subset $B$ of a Malcev algebra $A$ of characteristic not two is a subalgebra of $A$.

Proof. Let $w, x, y, z \in B$. Since $B$ is a lie subset of the Malcev algebra $A$, both $J(x, y, z)$ and $J(w, x, y)$ are equal to zero. Now using Theorem 2.2 above

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(w x, y, z)-J(w, x y, z)+J(w, x, y z)=0 \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w, x, y, z$ of $B$. Consider $J(x, y, z) w-J(w, x, y) z+J(z, w, x) y-J(y, z, w) x$
in $B$ which is identically zero since $B$ is a lie subset, but one can see that in a Malcev algebra of characteristic different from 2, this identity reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(w x, y, z)+J(w, x, y z)=0 \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Sagle [1], Prop. 2.23.
From (a) and (b), it follows that $J(w, x y, z)=0$ for all $w, x, y, z \in B$. Thus $B$ is a subalgebra.

We have seen that the algebra with basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ considered in Ex. 1 is a quasi lie algebra and can easily be seen that it has a subspace $B$ generated by $\left\{e_{1}, e_{3}\right\}$ as a maximal lie subset. This $B$ is not a subalgebra as in the Malcev case of $A$ since $e_{1} e_{3}=e_{2} \notin B$.

The following example further shows that a maximal lie subset of a Malcev algebra need not be an ideal.

Ex. 4. The algebra $A$ with basis $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right\}$ having the multiplication table :

|  | $e_{1}$ | $e_{2}$ | $e_{3}$ | $e_{4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $e_{1}$ | 0 | $-e_{2}$ | $-e_{3}$ | $e_{4}$ |
| $e_{2}$ | $e_{2}$ | 0 | $2 e_{4}$ | 0 |
| $e_{3}$ | $e_{3}$ | $-2 e_{4}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $e_{4}$ | $-e_{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |

is a Malcev algebra. The subspace generated by $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{\Delta}\right\}$ is a maximal lie subset, but not an ideal.
5. The nucleus $N$ of a Malcev algebra $A$ is defined as

$$
N=\{x \in A \mid J(x, y, z)=0 \quad y, z \in A\}
$$

This implies that $N$ is the maximal subset of $A$ such that $J(N, A, A)=0$. It can further be noted by definition of quasi-lie algebra that if $N$ is the nucleus of the quasi-lie algebra then $N$ satisfies $N J(A, A, A)=0$ and is also a subalgebra.

It may be remarked that a simpler proof of Sagle's lemma 5.13 can be obtained by using our theorem 2.2 and the Jacobi-Teichmuiller identity which we have introduced.

Proposition 5.1. The nucleus of a Malcev algebra $A$ is an ideal of $A$.
Proof. Let $w \in N$ and $x, y, z \in A$ where $A$ is a Malcev algebra, $N$ be its nucleus. From the Jacobi-Teichmuller identity it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(w x, y, z)-w J(x, y, z)=0 \tag{c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again in the same identity, assuming that $x \in N$ and $x, y, z \in A$, we obtain

$$
J(w x, y, z)-J(w, x y, z)=0 .
$$

Because of the anticommutativity, the above expression implies that

$$
-J(x w, y, z)-J(x y, z, w)=0 .
$$

Using the result (c), this gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -x J(w, y, z)-x J(y, z, w)=0 \text {, } \\
& \text { i. e. } \quad 2 x J(w, y, z)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the characteristic is different from 2, we have $x J(w, y, z)=0$ whenever $x \in N$. Therefore, if $w \in N$, and $x, y, z \in A$, we have $J(w x, y, z)=0$ implying that $N$ is an ideal of $A$.
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