

THE LAW OF THE ITERATED LOGARITHM FOR THE PROCESSES GENERATED BY MIXING PROCESSES

By

KEN-ICHI YOSHIHARA

(Received February 23, 1972)

1. Summary.

Strassen [5] presented a generalization of the law of the iterated logarithm for independent random sequences and *Chover* [1] gave another proof of *Strassen's* main result. On the other hand, the authors proved in [3] and [4] that the law of the iterated logarithm and its *Strassen's* version hold for some classes of strictly stationary processes satisfying mixing conditions. The main object of this paper is to generalize the results in [4] to processes generated by mixing processes.

2. Preliminaries, the law of the iterated logarithm.

Let $\{x_j, -\infty < j < \infty\}$ be a strictly stationary process defined on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{B}, P)$ with $Ex_j^2 < \infty$, satisfying either the uniformly strong mixing (u.s.m.) condition:

$$(1) \quad \sup_{A \in \mathfrak{M}_{-\infty}^k, B \in \mathfrak{M}_{k+n}^{\infty}} \frac{1}{P(A)} |P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)| = \varphi(n) \downarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

or the strong mixing (s. m.) condition:

$$(2) \quad \sup_{A \in \mathfrak{M}_{-\infty}^k, B \in \mathfrak{M}_{k+n}^{\infty}} |P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)| = \alpha(n) \downarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

where \mathfrak{M}_a^b denotes the σ -algebra generated by the random variables $x_j, j = a, a + 1, \dots, b$. Further, let H_a^b be a Hilbert space of random variables, measurable with respect to \mathfrak{M}_a^b , and U an isometric operator on H^{∞} . Define

$$(3) \quad Y_j = U^j Y \quad (Y \in H^{\infty})$$

and

$$(4) \quad S_n = Y_1 + \cdots + Y_n$$

with $S_0 = 0$. Put

$$(5) \quad \sigma^2 = EY_0^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} EY_0 Y_j$$

if the series converges. In what follows, we assume that $\sigma^2 > 0$. Let

$$(6) \quad \phi(k) = E|Y - E\{Y | \mathcal{M}_k^{\pm}\}|^2.$$

The following is the results in [3].

Theorem A. *Let $\{x_j, -\infty < j < \infty\}$ be a strictly stationary process, and $\{Y_j\}$ random variables obtained by the method indicated above with $EY_j = 0$. Further, let one of the following three sets of requirements be fulfilled:*

- (I) (I-1) $\{x_j\}$ satisfies the u.s.m. condition,
 (I-2) $E|Y|^{2+\delta_1} < \infty$ for some $\delta_1 > 0$,
 (I-3) $\varphi(n) = O(n^{-(1+\varepsilon)})$ for some $\varepsilon > (1+\delta_1)^{-1}$,
 (I-4) $\phi(k) = O(k^{-(2+\delta_2)})$ for some $\delta_2 > 0$.
- (II) (II-1) $\{x_j\}$ satisfies the s.m. condition,
 (II-2) $|Y_j| < M$ with probability one,
 (II-3) $\alpha(n) = O(n^{-(1+\delta_3)})$ for some $\delta_3 > 0$,
 (II-4) $\phi(k) = O(k^{-(2+\delta_4)})$ for some $\delta_4 > 0$.
- (III) (III-1) $\{x_j\}$ satisfies the s.m. condition,
 (III-2) $E|Y|^{2+\delta_5} < \infty$ for some $\delta_5 > 0$;
 (III-3) $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \{\alpha(j)\}^{\delta'/(2+\delta')} < \infty$ for some $0 < \delta' < \delta_5$,
 (III-4) $\phi(k) = O(k^{-(2+\delta_6)})$ for some $\delta_6 > 0$.

Then, the process $\{Y_j\}$ obeys the law of the iterated logarithm.

3. Strassen's version of the law of the iterated logarithm.

Next, we consider the space C of all continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ vanishing at 0, with the usual maximum norm, and, for each $\omega \in \Omega$, define the functions $f_n(t, \omega)$, $n \geq 3/\sigma^2$ in C as follows:

$$(7) \quad f_n(t, \omega) = \begin{cases} S_k/\chi(n) & \text{for } t = k/n, k = 0, 1, \dots, n \\ \text{linearly interpolated} & \text{for } t \in [k/n, (k+1)/n] \\ & k = 0, \dots, n-1, \end{cases}$$

where $\chi(n) = (2n\sigma^2 \log \log n\sigma^2)^{1/2}$. We denote by K the subset of C consisting of all functions $h(t)$ absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure such that $\int_0^1 \{h(t)\}^2 dt \leq 1$, where $\dot{h}(t)$ stands for the Radon-Nikodym derivative of h . For any integer m and any function $h \in C$, let $\Pi_m h$ be the piecewise approximation to h defined by

$$(8) \quad (\Pi_m h)(t) = \begin{cases} h(\nu/m) & \text{for } t = \nu/m, \nu = 0, 1, \dots, m, \\ \text{linearly interpolated} & \text{for } t \in [\nu/m, (\nu+1)/m] \\ & \nu = 0, \dots, m-1. \end{cases}$$

We shall prove the following

Theorem. *Under the same assumptions in Theorem A, for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$, the sequence of functions $\{f_n(t, \omega), n \geq 3/\sigma^2\}$ is precompact in C and its derived set is the set K .*

Proof. Firstly, we shall prove the theorem under Condition (I). Since, using the method of the proof of Theorem 6 in [3], we have

$$(9) \quad P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |S_j| > 6a\chi(n)) \leq 2P(|S_n| \geq a\chi(n)) + O((\log n)^{-3})$$

and

$$(10) \quad \sup_{-\infty < z < \infty} |P(S_n < z\sigma\sqrt{n}) - \Phi(z)| = O((\log n)^{-3})$$

(cf. (56) in [3]), where

$$\Phi(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^z e^{-x^2/2} dx$$

so, using the method of the proof of Theorem 2 in [1], we have from (9) and (10) that for almost every $\omega \in \Omega$ the sequence of functions $\{f_n(t, \omega), n \geq 3/\sigma^2\}$ is equicontinuous.

Now, we shall prove that for almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, the derived set of the sequence of functions is contained in K . To prove this, it suffices to show (see [1] and [4]) that

$$(11) \quad \sum_r P(A_r) < \infty$$

where

$$A_r = \left\{ \omega \mid m \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \left[(\Pi_m f_{n_r})\left(\frac{\nu+1}{m}, \omega\right) - (\Pi_m f_{n_r})\left(\frac{\nu}{m}, \omega\right) \right]^2 > (1+\varepsilon)^2 \right\}$$

and $n_r = [c^r]$ with some suitably chosen $c = c(\varepsilon) > 1$. Let i be the smallest integer such that $i/n_r \geq \nu/m$ and j the largest integer such that $j/n_r < (\nu+1)/m$. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 \xi_{r,\nu} &= (2m \log \log n_r \sigma^2)^{1/2} \left\{ (\Pi_m f_{n_r}) \left(\frac{\nu+1}{m} \right) - (\Pi_m f_{n_r}) \left(\frac{\nu}{m} \right) \right\} \\
 (12) \quad &= (2m \log \log n_r \sigma^2)^{1/2} \{ 1/\chi(n_r) \sum_{k=i}^j Y_k + y_{r,\nu} \} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{n_r/m}} \sum_{k=i}^j Y_k + (2m \log \log n_r \sigma^2)^{1/2} y_{r,\nu} \quad \nu=0, 1, \dots, m-1,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_{r,\nu} &= (\Pi_m f_{n_r}) \left(\frac{i}{n_r}, \omega \right) - (\Pi_m f_{n_r}) \left(\frac{\nu}{m}, \omega \right) \\
 &\quad + (\Pi_m f_{n_r}) \left(\frac{\nu+1}{m}, \omega \right) - (\Pi_m f_{n_r}) \left(\frac{j}{n_r}, \omega \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, let $N_{r,\nu}$ denote the number of summands of the first term, $j-i$, which is $\sim n_r/m$. Put $q_r = [N_{r,\nu}^{-\beta}]$, with some $0 < \beta < \delta_1/(2+\delta_1)$, and let

$$(13) \quad \eta_{r,\nu} = \frac{1}{(N_{r,\nu} - 2q_r)^{1/2} \sigma} \sum_{k=i+q_r+1}^{j-q_r} Z_k^{(q_r)}, \quad \nu=0, 1, \dots, m-1$$

where

$$(14) \quad Z_k^{(s)} = E\{Y_k | \mathfrak{M}_{k-i}^{k+s}\}.$$

It follows from the proof of Theorem 18. 6. 1 in [2], that $\{Z_j^{(s)}\}$ is a strictly stationary process with $EZ_j^{(s)} = 0$ and satisfies the u.s.m. condition with the function $\varphi_Z(n)$ for which

$$(15) \quad \varphi_Z(n) \leq \begin{cases} 1, & n \leq 2s \\ \varphi(n-2s), & n > 2s \end{cases}$$

and

$$(16) \quad \sup_{A \in \mathfrak{M}_{-\infty}^k(Z), B \in \mathfrak{M}_{k+n}^{\infty}(Z)} \frac{1}{P(A)} |P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)| \leq \varphi_Z(n)$$

where $\mathfrak{M}_a^b(Z)$ is the σ -algebra generated by the $Z_j^{(s)}$, $j=a, a+1, \dots, b$. Let

$$\bar{Z}_j^{(s)} = Y_j - Z_j^{(s)}$$

and define $\zeta_{r,\nu}$ ($\nu=0, 1, \dots, m-1$) as

$$\zeta_{r,\nu} = \xi_{r,\nu} - \eta_{r,\nu}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(N_{r,\nu} - 2q_r)^{1/2} \sigma} \left\{ \sum_{k=i}^{i+q_r} Y_k + \sum_{k=j-q_r+1}^j Y_k + \sum_{k=i+q_r+1}^{j-q_r} \bar{Z}_k^{(q_r)} \right\} + (2m \log \log n_r \sigma^2)^{1/2} y_{r,\nu} .$$

Then

$$E|\zeta_{r,\nu}|^2 = \frac{1}{(N_{r,\nu} - 2q_r) \sigma^2} E \left| \sum_{k=i+1}^{i+q_r} Y_k + \sum_{k=j-q_r+1}^j Y_k + \sum_{k=i+q_r+1}^{j-q_r} \bar{Z}_k^{(q_r)} \right|^2 + \frac{2(2m \log \log n_r \sigma^2)^{1/2}}{(N_{r,\nu} - 2q_r)^{1/2} \sigma} E \left\{ \left(\sum_{k=i+1}^{i+q_r} Y_k + \sum_{k=j-q_r+1}^j Y_k + \sum_{k=i+q_r+1}^{j-q_r} \bar{Z}_k^{(q_r)} \right) y_{r,\nu} \right\} + 2m \log \log n_r \sigma^2 E|y_{r,\nu}|^2 .$$

Since

$$E \left| \sum_{k=1}^t Y_k \right|^2 \leq t^2 E|Y|^2$$

and

$$E \left| \sum_{k=i+q_r+1}^{j-q_r} \bar{Z}_k^{(q_r)} \right|^2 \leq (j-i-2q_r)^2 E|\bar{Z}_k^{(q_r)}|^2 = (N_{r,\nu} - 2q_r)^2 \psi(q_r)$$

so, from (I-4) and the definition of q_r that there exists a positive number τ such that

$$E|\zeta_{r,\nu}|^2 = O(n_r^{-\tau})$$

and hence

$$E \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \xi_{r,\nu}^2 - \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \eta_{r,\nu}^2 \right| \leq 2 \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} E|\eta_{r,\nu} \zeta_{r,\nu}| + \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} E|\zeta_{r,\nu}|^2 \leq 2 \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \{E|\eta_{r,\nu}|^2\}^{1/2} \{E|\zeta_{r,\nu}|^2\}^{1/2} + \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} E|\zeta_{r,\nu}|^2 = O(n_r^{-\tau/2}) .$$

Therefore, by *Chebyshev's* inequality, we have, for sufficiently large r ,

$$\begin{aligned} P(A_r) &= P \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \xi_{r,\nu}^2 > (1+\varepsilon)^2 (2 \log \log n_r \sigma^2) \right) \\ &\leq P \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \eta_{r,\nu}^2 > (1+\varepsilon)^2 (2 \log \log n_r \sigma^2) - n_r^{-\tau/4} \right) + P \left(\left| \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \xi_{r,\nu}^2 - \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \eta_{r,\nu}^2 \right| \geq n_r^{-\tau/4} \right) \\ &\leq P \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \eta_{r,\nu}^2 > (1+\varepsilon') (2 \log \log n_r \sigma^2) \right) + O(n_r^{-\tau/4}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon' > 0$ with $1+\varepsilon' < (1+\varepsilon)^2$. As $\{Z_j^{(q_r)}\}$ satisfies Condition (II) of Theorem 1 in [4] with the function $\varphi_z(n)$, so from Theorem 3 in [4]

$$\sum_r P \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \eta_{r,\nu}^2 > (1+\varepsilon') (2 \log \log n_r \sigma^2) \right) < \infty$$

which implies (11).

Finally, using (10), we can prove that K is contained in the derived set of $\{f_n(t, \omega), n \geq 3/\sigma^2\}$ (cf. The proofs of Lemma 5 in [1] and Theorem 5 in [4]).

Thus, we complete the proof of the theorem under (I). The proof under either (II) or (III) is carried out by the same method as above. Thus, the proof is completed.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chover, J., *On Strassen's version of the log log law*. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb. 8 (1967) 83-90.
- [2] Ibragimov, I. A., and Yu. V. Linnik, *Independent and stationarily related random variables*. Iz-vo "Nauka" Moscow (1965) (In Russian).
- [3] Oodaira, H. and K. Yoshihara, *The law of the iterated logarithm for stationary processes satisfying mixing conditions*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 23 (1971) 311-334.
- [4] Oodaira, H. and K. Yoshihara, *Note on the law of the iterated logarithm for stationary processes satisfying mixing conditions*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 23 (1971) 335-342.
- [5] Strassen, V., *An invariance principle for the law of the iterated logarithm*. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie verw. Geb. 3 (1964), 211-226.

Yokohama National University
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Engineering
Ohoka-machi, Minami-ku,
Yokohama, Japan