

ON THE MAXIMUM MODULUS AND THE MEAN VALUE OF AN ENTIRE FUNCTION OF TWO COMPLEX VARIABLES

By

A. K. AGARWAL*

(Received November 30, 1971)

1. Let

$$(1.1) \quad f(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{k_1, k_2=0}^{\infty} a_{k_1 k_2} z_1^{k_1} z_2^{k_2}$$

be a transcendental entire function of the two complex variables z_1, z_2 . Following the notation of [1] and [2], let

$$\begin{aligned} M_\delta(r_1, r_2) &= \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, r_2 e^{i\theta_2})|^{\delta} d\theta_1 d\theta_2 \right\}^{1/\delta}, \quad \delta \geq 1, \\ M(r_1, r_2) &= \max_{|z_j| \leq r_j} |f(z_1, z_2)|, \quad j=1, 2, \\ m(r_1, r_2) &= \sum_{k_1, k_2=0}^{\infty} |a_{k_1 k_2}| r_1^{k_1} r_2^{k_2}, \end{aligned}$$

$\mu(r_1, r_2)$ and $\nu(r_1, r_2)$ denotes the maximum term and the rank of the maximum term of the series expansion of the function $f(z_1, z_2)$ given by (1.1). The finite order ρ of the function $f(z_1, z_2)$ is defined as [[3], p. 218]

$$(1.2) \quad \limsup_{r_1, r_2 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \nu(r_1, r_2)}{\log(r_1 r_2)} = \rho.$$

In this paper we have extended the results due to *Brinkmeier* [[4], Satz 28] and the well-known result due to *Valiron* [5] to the case of functions of two complex variables.

Lemma 1. *If the function $f(z_1, z_2)$ has a series representation (1.1), then*

$$\{M_2(r_1, r_2)\}^2 = \sum_{k_1, k_2=0}^{\infty} |a_{k_1 k_2}| r_1^{2k_1} r_2^{2k_2}.$$

AMS 1970 Subject Classification. Primary 32A30; Secondary 30A04, 30A10, 30A42, 30A64.

Key words and phrases. Transcendental entire function of two complex variables, maximum modulus, mean value, maximum term and its rank, order.

* Presented to The American Mathematical Society, Notices Amer. Math. Soc., 18, No. 5, Issue No. 131, August 1971, Abstract No. 687-32-1, page 772.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z_1, z_2)|^2 &= \sum_{k_1, k_2=0}^{\infty} a_{k_1 k_2} z_1^{k_1} z_2^{k_2} \sum_{m_1, m_2=0}^{\infty} \overline{a_{m_1 m_2}} \bar{z}_1^{m_1} \bar{z}_2^{m_2} \\ &= \sum_{k_1, k_2=0}^{\infty} |a_{k_1 k_2}|^2 r_1^{2k_1} r_2^{2k_2} + \sum_{\substack{k_j \neq m_j \\ (j=1, 2)}} a_{k_1 k_2} \overline{a_{m_1 m_2}} r_1^{k_1} \bar{r}_1^{m_1} r_2^{k_2} \bar{r}_2^{m_2} e^{i(\theta_1(k_1-m_1)+\theta_2(k_2-m_2))}. \end{aligned}$$

On integrating term by term, the result follows.

Theorem 1. If $f(z_1, z_2)$ is an entire function of finite order ρ , then

$$m(r_1, r_2) \leq M_2(r_1, r_2) (r_1 r_2)^{(1/2)\rho+\epsilon},$$

for all $r_1 > r_1^\circ(\epsilon)$ and $r_2 > r_2^\circ(\epsilon)$, ϵ being any arbitrary small number.

Proof. Let $0 < r_j < R_j$ and $p_j \leq k_j \geq 0$ ($j=1, 2$). We know

$$\begin{aligned} m(r_1, r_2) &= \left\{ \sum_{k_1, k_2=0}^{p_1-1, p_2-1} + \sum_{k_1=p_1, k_2=p_2}^{\infty} \right\} |a_{k_1 k_2}| r_1^{k_1} r_2^{k_2} \\ &< \{\nu(r_1, r_2)\}^{1/2} \left\{ \sum_{k_1, k_2=0}^{p_1-1, p_2-1} |a_{k_1 k_2}|^2 r_1^{2k_1} r_2^{2k_2} \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\quad + \mu(r_1, r_2) \sum_{k_1=p_1, k_2=p_2}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{k_1 k_2}| R_1^{k_1} R_2^{k_2}}{|a_{p_1 p_2}| R_1^{p_1} R_2^{p_2}} \left\{ \frac{r_1}{R_1} \right\}^{k_1-p_1} \left\{ \frac{r_2}{R_2} \right\}^{k_2-p_2} \end{aligned}$$

Using Holder's Inequality [[2], pp. 66-61]

$$\leq \{\nu(r_1, r_2)\}^{1/2} M_2(r_1, r_2) + \mu(r_1, r_2) \frac{R_1 R_2}{(R_1 - r_1)(R_2 - r_2)}$$

Using Lemma 1

$$\leq M_2(r_1, r_2) \left[\{\nu(r_1, r_2)\}^{1/2} + \frac{R_1 R_2}{(R_1 - r_1)(R_2 - r_2)} \right].$$

Using (1.2) and letting $R_j = r_j$ ($j=1, 2$), we have

$$m(r_1, r_2) \leq M_2(r_1, r_2) (r_1 r_2)^{(1/2)\rho+\epsilon}.$$

Theorem 2. If $f(z_1, z_2)$ is an entire function of finite order ρ , then

$$M_2(r_1, r_2) \leq \mu(r_1, r_2) (r_1 r_2)^{(1/2)\rho+\epsilon},$$

for all $r_1 > r_1^\circ(\epsilon)$ and $r_2 > r_2^\circ(\epsilon)$.

Proof. Let $0 < r_j < R_j$ and $p_j \leq k_j \geq 0$ ($j=1, 2$). From Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{M_2(r_1, r_2)\}^2 &= \left\{ \sum_{k_1, k_2=0}^{p_1-1, p_2-1} + \sum_{k_1=p_1, k_2=p_2}^{\infty} \right\} |a_{k_1 k_2}|^2 r_1^{2k_1} r_2^{2k_2} \\ &\leq \nu(r_1, r_2) \{\mu(r_1, r_2)\}^2 \left[1 + \sum_{k_1=p_1, k_2=p_2}^{\infty} \frac{|a_{k_1 k_2}| R_1^{2(k_1-p_1)} R_2^{2(k_2-p_2)}}{|a_{p_1 p_2}|} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot \left\{ \frac{r_1}{R_1} \right\}^{2(k_1-p_1)} \left\{ \frac{r_2}{R_2} \right\}^{2(k_2-p_2)} \Big] \\ & \leq \nu(r_1, r_2) \{\mu(r_1, r_2)\}^2 \left[1 + \frac{R_1^2 R_2^2}{(R_1^2 - r_1^2)(R_2^2 - r_2^2)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.2) and letting $R_j = r_j$ ($j=1, 2$), we have

$$M_2(r_1, r_2) \leq \mu(r_1, r_2) (r_1 r_2)^{(1/2)\rho+\epsilon}$$

Theorem 3. *If $f(z_1, z_2)$ is an entire function of finite order ρ , then*

$$m(r_1, r_2) \leq \mu(r_1, r_2) (r_1 r_2)^{\rho+\epsilon}.$$

The theorem follows by combining Theorems 1 and 2.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] A. K. Agarwal: *On the properties of an entire function of two complex variables*, Canad. J. Math. 20 (1968), 51–57.
- [2] S. Bochner and W. T. Martin: *Several Complex Variables*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1948.
- [3] S. K. Bose and D. Sharma: *Integral functions of two complex variables*, Compositio Math., 15 (1963), 210–226.
- [4] H. Brinkmeier: *Über das maß der Bestimmtheit des wachstums einer ganzen transzendenten function durch absoluten Beträgen der Koeffizienten ihrer Potenzreihe*, Math. Ann. 96 (1927), 108–118.
- [5] G. Valiron. *Theory of integral funtions*, Chelsea Publishing Co., 1949.

Department of Mathematics
 Grambling College
 Grambling, Louisiana 71245
 U. S. A.