

# A COUNTEREXAMPLE TO A PROOF OF HOMMA\*

By

H. W. BERKOWITZ

(Received October 22, 1970)

**1. Introduction.** In this note we describe a counterexample to the proof of Theorem 1 of [1], which is essentially the same as Lemma 2.2 of [2]. The following is a simplified version of this Theorem which will suffice for our purposes:

Let  $E^n$  be euclidean  $n$ -space. If  $f:P \rightarrow Q$  is a piecewise linear mapping of a polyhedron  $P$  onto a polyhedron  $Q$ , and  $g:P \rightarrow E^n$  is a piecewise linear mapping satisfying

$$n > \dim Q + 2 \max_{q \in Q} \dim f^{-1}(q),$$

then for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a piecewise linear mapping  $h:P \rightarrow E^n$  satisfying

$$(1) \quad d(h, g) = \sup_{p \in P} d(h(p), g(p)) < \epsilon,$$

(2)  $h$  is non-degenerate

( $\alpha_1$ )  $h|f^{-1}(q)$  is a homeomorphism

( $\alpha_2$ )  $hf^{-1}(q_1) \cap hf^{-1}(q_2) = \text{one point or } \phi$ , for  $q_1 \neq q_2 \in Q$ .

In the proof of Theorem 1 in [1], once subdivisions of  $P$  and  $Q$  are obtained so that  $f$  and  $g$  are simplicial with respect to these subdivisions, no more subdividing is done. The method of proof is essentially general positioning  $g(P)$  in  $E^n$ .

**2. Definitions.** We use the standard terminology of piecewise linear topology following [3].

If  $f:K \rightarrow L$  is a simplicial mapping from a complex  $K$  onto a complex  $L$ , and  $x \in |L|$ , then  $f^{-1}(x)$  is said to be *the fibre over  $x$* . Note, that if for each  $x \in |L|$ ,  $\dim f^{-1}(x) \leq 1$ , and if  $\Delta \in K$ , with  $\Delta'$  a 1-dimensional face of  $\Delta$ , such that  $f(\Delta') = \text{point}$ , and  $\dim(f^{-1}(y) \cap \Delta) = 1$ , then  $f^{-1}(y) \cap \Delta$  is parallel to  $\Delta'$ .

If  $K$  is a complex and  $f:|K| \rightarrow E^n$  is a continuous mapping of  $|K|$  into  $E^n$  such that for any  $\sigma \in K$ ,  $f|_{\sigma}:\sigma \rightarrow E^n$  is linear, then  $f$  is called a *semi-simplicial*

---

\*) This material was included in the author's Ph. D. thesis written under the direction of Professor Prabir Roy at Rutgers, The State University.

mapping of  $K$  into  $E^n$ .

We denote the join of simplices  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  by  $\sigma*\tau$ .

**3. Example.** ( $\alpha_2$ ) states that if the images of two fibres meet, they meet in a point. The important consideration is that they meet in a spine of one of them. The following example shows that the images of two connected fibres may always be forced to meet in precisely two points unless  $P$  is further subdivided.

We shall construct a simplex  $K$  containing three principal simplices  $\sigma, \sigma'$  and  $\tau$ ; and consider a semi-simplicial mapping  $g:K \rightarrow E^n$ . Let  $\dim \tau = n-2$ ,  $\dim \sigma = \dim \sigma' \leq (n/2)-1$ , and assume  $\dim \tau + \dim \sigma - n > 0$ . Let  $v_\sigma, w_\sigma$  be vertices of  $\sigma$  and  $v_{\sigma'}, w_{\sigma'}$  be vertices of  $\sigma'$ , where  $\sigma = v_\sigma * \sigma_1$  and  $\sigma' = v_{\sigma'} * \sigma'_1$ . Let  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  be two vertices of  $\tau$ . We form  $K$  by identifying  $v_\sigma$  with  $v_1$ ,  $v_{\sigma'}$  with  $v_2$ , and  $\sigma_1$  with  $\sigma'_1$  where  $w_\sigma$  is identified with  $w_{\sigma'}$ ; and obtain a connected complex. Now we have

$$\sigma \cap \tau = v_\sigma, \quad \sigma' \cap \tau = v_{\sigma'}, \quad \sigma' \cap \sigma = \sigma_1.$$

Let  $\bar{\tau}$  = face of  $\tau$  opposite  $v_\sigma$  and  $\bar{\sigma}'$  = face of  $\sigma'$  opposite  $w_\sigma$ . Let  $L = \bar{\sigma}' \cup \bar{\tau}$ . Let  $f:|K| \rightarrow L$  be a simplicial mapping satisfying:  $f|_{|L|}$  is the identity,  $f(v_\sigma) = v'_\sigma$ ,  $f(w_\sigma) = v'_\sigma$ . Then  $f$  is defined over all of  $|K|$ .

Let  $P = |K|$  and  $Q = |L|$ . Note that

$$\dim Q + 2 \operatorname{Max}_{q \in Q} \dim f^{-1}(q) = (n-3) + 2(1) = n-1 < n.$$

Let  $g:|K| \rightarrow E^n$  be a semi-simplicial mapping in general position. We can have  $g$  such that

$$g(\tau) \cap g(\sigma) - g(\tau \cap \sigma) \neq \phi,$$

and

$$g(\tau) \cap g(\sigma') - g(\tau \cap \sigma') \neq \phi.$$

Now there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that given any semi-simplicial mapping  $h:|K| \rightarrow E^n$ , if  $\sup d(h(x), g(x)) < \epsilon$  then

$$h(\tau) \cap h(\sigma) - h(\tau \cap \sigma) \neq \phi,$$

and

$$h(\tau) \cap h(\sigma') - h(\tau \cap \sigma') \neq \phi.$$

We show that ( $\alpha_2$ ) cannot be guaranteed to be satisfied for any such  $h$ .

Let  $x \in h(\sigma^\circ) \cap h(\tau^\circ)$ , now the fibre  $F_{x,\sigma}$ , in  $h(\sigma)$  which passes through  $x$  is parallel to  $\langle h(v_\sigma), h(w_\sigma) \rangle$ ; and  $F_{x,\tau}$  is parallel to  $\langle h(v_{\sigma'}), h(v_\sigma) \rangle$ . There is a

$y \in h(\sigma_1)$  such that  $y \in F_{x,\sigma}$ . We have  $F_{y,\sigma'}$  parallel to  $\langle h(v_{\sigma'}), h(w_\sigma) \rangle$ . We claim that  $F_{y,\sigma'}$  lies in the 2-plane formed by  $F_{x,\sigma}$  and  $F_{x,\tau}$ . This is true since these three line segments all lie in 2-planes parallel to the 2-plane determined by  $\langle h(w_\sigma), h(v_\sigma), h(v_{\sigma'}) \rangle$ ; but  $F_{x,\sigma}$  and  $F_{x,\tau}$  lie in the same 2-plane since they meet at  $x$ ; and  $F_{x,\sigma}$  and  $F_{y,\sigma'}$  lie in the same 2-plane since they meet at  $y$ ; therefore  $F_{x,\tau}$  and  $F_{y,\sigma'}$  lie in the same 2-plane. The line through  $F_{x,\tau}$  intersects the line through  $F_{y,\sigma'}$ ; so that by general positioning the vertices of  $\sigma, \sigma'$  and  $\tau$  we cannot guarantee that  $F_{x,\tau} \cap F_{y,\sigma'} = \emptyset$ . If  $F_{x,\tau} \cap F_{y,\sigma'} \neq \emptyset$ , let  $x_1 \in \sigma$ ,  $x_2 \in \tau$  such that,  $h(x_1) = h(x_2) = x$ , then  $hf^{-1}f(x_1) = F_{x,\sigma} \cup F_{y,\sigma'}$ ,  $hf^{-1}f(x_2) = F_{x,\tau}$  and  $(F_{x,\sigma} \cup F_{y,\sigma'}) \cap F_{x,\tau} = 2$  points, not satisfying  $(\alpha_2)$ .

**Remarks.** The reason why *Homma's* Lemma 1 and Theorem 2 of [1] cannot be used to avoid this difficulty is that Homma requires the moving of the vertices of  $\sigma$  while keeping the vertices of  $\sigma' \cup \tau$  fixed. But this cannot be done when the vertices of  $\sigma$  are contained in  $\sigma' \cup \tau$ . In general, as long as some of the vertices of  $\sigma$  are contained in  $\sigma' \cup \tau$ , difficulties may arise.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Homma, T., *A Theorem of piecewise linear approximations*, Yokohama Math. J., 14 (1966), pp. 47-54.
- [2] Homma, T., *Piecewise linear approximations of embeddings of manifolds*, Florida State University mimeographed notes, (1964).
- [3] Zeeman, E. C., *Seminar on Combinatorial Topology*, Institut Des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques (1963).

Stevens Institute of Technology  
Hoboken, New Jersey 07030  
and  
State University of New York at Binghamton  
Binghamton, New York 13901