

SOME INTEGRAL EQUATIONS INVOLVING CONFLUENT HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS

By

G. MUSTAFA HABIBULLAH

(Received Jan. 21, 1970)

In the present paper, we use fractional integration to investigate a solution of the integral equation

$$(*) \quad \int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^{c-1}}{\Gamma(c)} \Phi(a, c, t-x) f(t) dt = g(x), \quad a > 0, c > 0,$$

where $\Phi(a, c, z)$ is the confluent hypergeometric functions. A necessary and sufficient condition is obtained for the existence of this solution.

A solution of the integral equation

$$\int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^{c-1}}{\Gamma(c)} \Phi(a, c, x-t) f(t) dt = g(x), \quad a > 0, c > a.$$

is found by reducing it to the equation (*).

1. Introduction. Recently several integral equations have been solved by means of fractional integration. *Erdélyi* [1] investigated the solutions of these integral equations whose kernels contain Legendre functions. *Love* [3] considered the integral equations involving hypergeometric functions and *Srivastava* [5] discussed the equations with polynomial kernels. *Wimp* [6] used the Laplace transform to solve an integral equation involving the confluent hypergeometric function. Here, we make use of the fractional integral operators to solve the integral equation

$$(1.1) \quad \int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^{c-1}}{\Gamma(c)} \Phi(a, c, t-x) f(t) dt = g(x), \quad (0 < x < b < \infty),$$

where $\Phi(a, c, z)$ is the confluent hypergeometric function, $a > 0$, $c > 0$, g is a given function and f is to be determined.

2. Fractional integration. Let C_0 be the class of those continuous functions on the interval $(0, b)$, open at 0, where $0 < b < \infty$, which are integrable at 0, and C_n , where n is a positive integer, be the class of all those functions which

are n -times continuously differentiable on $(0, b)$ and which satisfy $f^{(k)}(0+) = 0$, $k=0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, while $f^{(n)}$ is integrable at 0.

Let I be the operator of integration defined by

$$(2.1) \quad If(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt, \quad (0 < x < b < \infty),$$

so that the operation of k -times repeated integration is expressed as

$$(2.2) \quad I^k f(x) = \int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} f(t) dt, \quad k=1, 2, \dots,$$

and set

$$(2.3) \quad I^0 f(x) = f(x), \quad I^{-k} f(x) = f^{(k)}(x), \quad k=1, 2, \dots.$$

The most important properties may be summarised as follows. For $f \in C_k$, $k=1, 2, 3, \dots$, we have

$$(2.4) \quad f(x) = I^k f^{(k)}(x).$$

If $f \in C_j$, $j=1, 2, \dots$, and k is an integer (positive negative or zero) for which $j+k \geq 0$, then $I^k f$ exists and belongs to C_{j+k} ; if l is a further non-negative integer which does not exceed $j+k$, then

$$(2.5) \quad \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^l I^k f(x) = I^{k-l} f(x),$$

exists and belongs to C_{j+k-l} .

We shall now extend these and other results to non-integral values of the index. For $\alpha > 0$, we follow Riemann and Liouville in defining integration of order α as

$$(2.6) \quad I^\alpha f(x) = \int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(t) dt, \quad (0 < x < b < \infty).$$

Many authors have proved the existence almost everywhere of (2.6) for integrable functions. Under heavier restrictions upon f i.e. $f \in C_0$, $I^\alpha f$ exists and belongs to C_0 .

For $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$, $f \in C_0$,

$$(2.7) \quad I^\alpha I^\beta f(x) = I^\beta I^\alpha f(x) = I^{\alpha+\beta} f(x).$$

This can be proved by interchanging the order of integration in the repeated integral indicated on the left hand side of (2.7).

We now define $I^\alpha f$ for $\alpha < 0$ as inverse operation to $I^{-\alpha}$, i.e. define $g = I^\alpha f$

for $\alpha < 0$ and $f \in C_0$ to be the solution in C_0 , if it exists, of the integral equation $f = I^{-\alpha}g$.

Hence, for any real α , the statement that $I^\alpha f$ exists implies that f and $I^\alpha f$ both belong to C_0 . With this extension (2.7) holds for all real α and β (positive, negative or zero).

A sufficient condition for existence of $I^\alpha f$, where $\alpha < 0$, is that $f \in C_k$ for some $k \geq -\alpha$; and

$$(2.8) \quad I^\alpha f(x) = I^{\alpha+k} f^{(k)}(x).$$

Moreover, if j is a non-negative integer not exceeding $k + [\alpha]$, where $[\alpha]$ is the integral part of α , then

$$(2.9) \quad \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^j I^\alpha f(x) = I^{\alpha-j} f(x) = I^{\alpha-j+k} f^{(k)}(x).$$

For $\alpha > 0$, let us denote C_α the class of functions representable in the form $I^\alpha f$ with $f \in C_0$. This definition gives the class C_n of functions when $\alpha = n$. If $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta \geq 0$ and $f \in C_\alpha$, then $I^\beta f \in C_{\alpha+\beta}$. If $0 < \beta < \alpha$ and $f \in C_\alpha$, then $f \in C_\beta$, and it follows that for $0 < \beta < \alpha$, $C_\alpha \subset C_\beta$. If $\alpha = -n$, n a positive integer, then $I^\alpha f$ exists and belongs to C_0 if and only if $f \in C_n$. If $\alpha = -n + \rho$, where n is a positive integer and $0 < \rho < 1$, then $f \in C_{n-1}$ is necessary and $f \in C_n$ is sufficient for the existence of $I^\alpha f \in C_0$, while a condition that is both necessary and sufficient is that $I^\rho f \in C_n$. Also if f and g are in C_0 , then

$$(2.10) \quad I^\alpha f = I^\alpha g \text{ implies } f = g.$$

This follows from *Kober's Uniqueness Theorem* ([2]).

3- The integral equation. We first observe that if $\lambda > 0$, $c > 0$, $x > t$,

$$(3.1) \quad \int_t^x \frac{(x-s)^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \frac{(s-t)^{c-1}}{\Gamma(c)} \Phi(a, c, t-s) ds = \frac{(x-t)^{c+\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(c+\lambda)} \Phi(a, c, t-x).$$

Consider

$$\int_0^1 \frac{(1-u)^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \frac{u^{c-1}}{\Gamma(c)} \Phi(a, c, zu) du = \frac{1}{\Gamma(c+\lambda)} \Phi(a, c+\lambda, z).$$

Using

$$\Phi(a, c, z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_r}{(c)_r} \frac{z^r}{r!},$$

where $(a)_r = a(a+1) \cdots (a+r-1)$ and changing the order of integration and sum-

mation and applying Beta Function we prove the last equation. Substitute in this equation

$$u = \frac{s-t}{x-t}, \quad z = t-x,$$

to obtain (3.1). Set

$$(3.2) \quad H(a, c)f(x) = \int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^{c-1}}{\Gamma(c)} \Phi(a, c, t-x)f(t)dt,$$

then

$$I^\lambda H(a, c)f(x) = \int_0^x \frac{(x-s)^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} ds \int_0^s \frac{(s-t)^{c-1}}{\Gamma(c)} \Phi(a, c, t-s)f(t)dt.$$

Φ is bounded in the region of integration and so that order of integration may be changed by *Fubini's* theorem. Thus we get

$$I^\lambda H(a, c)f(x) = \int_0^x f(t)dt \int_t^x \frac{(x-s)^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \frac{(s-t)^{c-1}}{\Gamma(c)} \Phi(a, c, t-s)ds,$$

and by (3.1) we conclude that

$$I^\lambda H(a, c)f(x) = \int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^{c+\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(c+\lambda)} \Phi(a, c+\lambda, t-x)f(t)dt,$$

$$(3.3) \quad I^\lambda H(a, c)f(x) = H(a, c+\lambda)f(x).$$

Now we turn to the integral equation (1.1). Using (3.2) we rewrite (1.1) as

$$H(a, c)f(x) = g(x), \quad a > 0, \quad c > 0.$$

Then

$$I^a H(a, c)f(x) = I^a g(x),$$

$$H(a, c+a)f(x) = I^a g(x),$$

$$I^c H(a, a)f(x) = I^a g(x),$$

$$H(a, a)f(x) = I^{-c} I^a g(x).$$

This may be written as

$$\int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^{a-1}}{\Gamma(a)} \Phi(a, a, t-x)f(t)dt = I^{-c} I^a g(x).$$

Since $\Phi(a, a, z) = e^z$, we obtain

$$\int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^{a-1}}{\Gamma(a)} \cdot e^{t-x} f(t)dt = I^{-c} I^a g(x),$$

$$I^a(e^x f(x)) = e^x I^{-c} I^a g(x).$$

Hence

$$(3.4) \quad f(x) = e^{-x} I^{-a} e^x I^{-c} I^a g(x).$$

This is a solution of the integral equation if it exists. Also (3.4) implies that

$$(3.5) \quad g(x) = I^{-a} I^c e^{-x} I^a e^x f(x).$$

4. Necessary and sufficient conditions. Before we discussed the necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the solution, in C_0 , of the integral equation (1.1), we prove the following result.

If $a > 0$, $0 < x < b < \infty$, then

$$(4.1) \quad I^a e^x f(x) = e^x I^a g(x),$$

has, for each function $f \in C_0$, a solution g which also belongs to C_0 ; and for each g in C_0 , a solution f which also belongs to C_0 .

To prove it we first show that for $c > 0$, $0 < t < x < \infty$,

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{(x-t)^{c-1}}{\Gamma(c)} [\Phi(a, c, t-x) - 1] = -a \int_t^x \frac{(x-s)^{c-1}}{\Gamma(c)} \Phi(a+1, 2, t-s) ds.$$

In the equation

$$(4.3) \quad az \int_0^1 \frac{(1-u)^{c-1}}{\Gamma(c)} \Phi(a+1, 2, zu) du = \frac{1}{\Gamma(c)} [\Phi(a, c, z) - 1].$$

Substitute

$$z = t - x, \quad u = \frac{s-t}{x-t},$$

to get (4.2). Now assume $f \in C_0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^{a-1}}{\Gamma(a)} (1 - e^{t-x}) f(t) dt &= \int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^{a-1}}{\Gamma(a)} [1 - \Phi(a, a, t-x)] f(t) dt \\ &= a \int_0^x f(t) dt \int_t^x \frac{(x-s)^{a-1}}{\Gamma(a)} \Phi(a+1, 2, t-s) ds, \quad \text{by (2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Changing the order of integration which is permissible by *Fubini's* theorem since Φ bounded in the region of integration, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^{a-1}}{\Gamma(a)} f(t) dt - \int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^{a-1}}{\Gamma(a)} e^{t-x} f(t) dt \\ = a \int_0^x \frac{(x-s)^{a-1}}{\Gamma(a)} ds \int_0^s \Phi(a+1, 2, t-s) f(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$e^{-x} I^a e^x f(x) = I^a [f(x) + \int_0^x \Phi(a+1, 2, t-x)] f(t) dt .$$

This shows that (4.1) is satisfied by

$$g(x) = f(x) - a \int_0^x \Phi(a+1, 2, t-x) f(t) dt .$$

Before we consider the second part of the above result we substitute in (4.3)

$$z = x-t, \quad u = \frac{s-t}{x-t} .$$

to get

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{(x-t)^{c-1}}{\Gamma(c)} [\Phi(a, c, x-t) - 1] = a \int_t^x \frac{(x-s)^{c-1}}{\Gamma(a)} \Phi(a+1, 2, s-t) ds ,$$

for $c > 0$, $0 < x < b < \infty$.

Now suppose $f \in C_0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^{a-1}}{\Gamma(a)} (e^{x-t} - 1) f(t) dt &= \int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^{a-1}}{\Gamma(a)} [\Phi(a, a, x-t) - 1] f(t) dt \\ &= a \int_0^x f(t) dt \int_t^x \frac{(x-s)^{a-1}}{\Gamma(a)} \Phi(a+1, 2, s-t) ds , \end{aligned}$$

by (3.4). Inversion of order of integration which is permissible by *Fubini's* theorem since Φ is bounded in the region of integration, yields

$$\begin{aligned} e^x \int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^{a-1}}{\Gamma(a)} e^{-t} f(t) dt &= \int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^{a-1}}{\Gamma(a)} f(t) dt \\ &= a \int_0^x \frac{(x-s)^{a-1}}{\Gamma(a)} ds \int_0^s \Phi(a+1, 2, s-t) f(t) dt . \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$e^x I^a e^{-x} f(x) = I^a g(x) ,$$

where

$$g(x) = f(x) + a \int_0^x \Phi(a+1, 2, t-x) f(t) dt ,$$

and g belongs to C_0 . Hence for $f \in C_0$, there is $g \in C_0$ such that

$$(4.5) \quad I^a e^{-x} f(x) = e^{-x} I^a g(x) .$$

To prove second part of the theorem assume $G \in C_0$. Let $f(x) = e^x G(x)$. Then

$f \in C_0$ and there exists a function $g \in C_0$, by (4.5), such that

$$I^\alpha e^{-x} f(x) = e^{-x} I^\alpha g(x).$$

Let $e^{-x} g(x) = F(x)$. Then $F \in C_0$ and we have

$$(4.6) \quad I^\alpha G(x) = e^{-x} I^\alpha e^x F(x).$$

Thus, given $G \in C_0$, there exists a function $F \in C_0$ such that (4.6) is true.

We, now, prove that necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of solution, in C_0 , of the integral equation (1.1) is that $g \in C_0$.

Indeed, let $f \in C_0$, then using (4.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} I^\alpha g(x) &= I^\alpha e^{-x} I^\alpha e^x f(x), \\ &= I^\alpha I^\alpha h(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $h \in C_0$.

$$I^\alpha g(x) = I^\alpha I^\alpha h(x),$$

by (2.7). Thus an application (2.10) yields

$$g(x) = I^\alpha h(x).$$

Hence $g \in C_0$ is a necessary condition.

Now assume that $g \in C_0$, then

$$g(x) = I^\alpha h(x),$$

where $h \in C_0$.

$$I^\alpha g(x) = I^\alpha I^\alpha h(x) = I^\alpha I^\alpha h(x),$$

and using (3.5) we rewrite as

$$I^\alpha e^{-x} I^\alpha e^x f(x) = I^\alpha I^\alpha h(x) = I^\alpha e^{-x} I^\alpha e^x u(x),$$

where $u \in C_0$. By successive applications of (2.10) we finally have

$$f(x) = u(x).$$

Hence $g \in C_0$ is a sufficient condition.

5. Another integral equation. We now deduce a solution of the integral equation

$$(5.1) \quad \int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^{c-1}}{\Gamma(c)} \Phi(a, c, x-t) f(t) dt = g(x),$$

for $c > 0$, $c > a$, $0 < x < b < \infty$. Using the *Kummer's* relation ([4], p. 125)

$$(5.2) \quad \Phi(a, c, z) = e^z \Phi(c-a, c, -z),$$

we obtain

$$(5.3) \quad \int_0^x \frac{(x-t)^{c-1}}{\Gamma(c)} \Phi(c-a, c, t-x) e^{-t} f(t) dt = e^{-x} g(x), \quad (0 < x < b < \infty),$$

for $c > 0$, $c > a$. Thus if $c > 0$, $c > a$, $f \in C_0$,

$$(5.4) \quad f(x) = I^{a-c} e^x I^c I^{c-a} e^{-x} g(x).$$

Also we have

$$(5.5) \quad g(x) = e^x I^{a-c} I^c e^{-x} I^{c-a} f(x).$$

To determine necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of solution (5.4), in C_0 , of the integral equation (5.1) we prove the following result.

If $a > 0$, $0 < x < b < \infty$, then

$$(5.6) \quad I^a e^{-x} f(x) = e^{-x} I^a g(x),$$

has, for each $f \in C_0$, a solution g in C_0 which also belongs to C_0 ; and for each g in C_0 , a solution f which also belongs to C_0 .

First part is proved in (4.5). To prove the second part of (5.6) assume $G \in C_0$. Let $f(x) = e^{-x} G(x)$. By (4.1), there is $g \in C_0$ such that

$$I^a G(x) = e^x I^a g(x).$$

Let $e^x g(x) = F(x)$. If $g \in C_0$, then $F \in C_0$ and we have

$$I^a G(x) = e^x I^a e^{-x} F(x).$$

Hence given $G \in C_0$ there is a function $F \in C_0$ such that (5.6) holds.

We shall, now, prove that necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of solution, in C_0 , of the integral equation (5.1) is that $g \in C_0$.

Indeed, let $f \in C_0$ then using (5.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} I^{c-a} e^x g(x) &= I^c e^{-x} I^{c-a} f(x), \\ &= I^c I^{c-a} e^{-x} u(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $u \in C_0$. Also use of (2.7) and (2.10) gives

$$e^{-x} g(x) = I^c e^{-x} u(x).$$

Another application of (5.6) yields

$$g(x) = I^c v(x),$$

where $v \in C_0$. Hence $g \in C_0$ is necessary condition.

Now suppose that $g(x) = I^c \phi(x)$, $\phi \in C_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I^c e^{-x} I^{c-a} f(x) &= I^{c-a} e^{-x} I^c \phi(x), \\ &= I^{c-a} I^c e^{-x} u(x), \quad \text{by (5.6),} \end{aligned}$$

where $u \in C_0$. Using (2.7) and (2.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-x} I^{c-a} f(x) &= I^{c-a} e^{-x} u(x), \\ &= e^{-x} I^{c-a} v(x), \quad \text{by (5.6),} \end{aligned}$$

where $v \in C_0$. Hence another application of (2.10) yields

$$f(x) = v(x).$$

Hence $g \in C_0$ is sufficient condition.

REFERENCES

- [1] A Erdélyi, *An integral equation involving Legendre functions*, J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math. 12 (1964), 15-30.
- [2] H. Kober, *On fractional integrals and derivatives*, Quart. J. Math. (Oxford) 11 (1940), 193-211.
- [3] E. R. Love, *Some integral equations involving hypergeometric functions*. Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 15 (1967), 169-198.
- [4] E. D. Rainville, *Special Functions* (The Macmillan Company, 1963).
- [5] K. N. Srivastava, *Fractional integration and integral equations with polynomial kernels*, J. London Math. Soc. 40 (1965), 435-440.
- [6] Jet Wimp, *Two integral transform pairs involving hypergeometric functions*, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. 7 (1965) 42-44.

This paper is a part of the author's dissertation submitted to the Mathematical Institute of the University of Edinburgh.

University of Islamabad,
Rawalpindi, Pakistan.