

A NOTE ON MILDLY PARACOMPACT SPACES

By

ASHA RANI SINGAL

(Received June 28, 1969)

A space X is said to be mildly-paracompact if every countable, regular open covering (that is a covering consisting of regularly open sets) of X has a locally-finite open refinement. This concept has been introduced and studied in [3]. In the present note, we propose to obtain some characterisations of mildly-paracompact spaces similar to the characterisations of countably-paracompact spaces obtained in [2].

Definitions. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be two families of subsets of a space X . Then \mathcal{A} is said to be *linearly cushioned* in \mathcal{B} with cushion map $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ if there is a linear ordering ' $<$ ' on \mathcal{A} such that for every subfamily \mathcal{A}' of \mathcal{A} for which there exists an $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A' < A$ for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'$ we have

$$\overline{\cup\{A' : A' \in \mathcal{A}'\}} \subseteq \cup\{f(A') : A' \in \mathcal{A}'\}.$$

\mathcal{A} is said to be *order cushioned* in \mathcal{B} with cushion map $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ if there is a well ordering ' $<$ ' on \mathcal{A} such that for every subfamily \mathcal{A}' of \mathcal{A} and an $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $A' < A$ for all $A' \in \mathcal{A}'$, we have

$$Cl_A[\cup\{A' \cap A : A' \in \mathcal{A}'\}] \subseteq \cup\{f(A') : A' \in \mathcal{A}'\}.$$

The above definition of linearly-cushioned is due to J. E. Vaughan [6] and of order-cushioned has been discussed in [4]. The definition of linearly-cushioned with respect to a well ordering is due to H. Tamano [5].

We shall now give some definitions due to J.R. Boone [1]. A family \mathcal{A} of subsets of a space is said to be *compact-finite* (resp. *cs-finite*) if every compact set (resp. every set which is closure of a convergent sequence) intersects finitely many members of \mathcal{A} . \mathcal{A} is said to be *strongly compact-finite* (resp. *strongly cs-finite*) if the family of closures of members of \mathcal{A} is compact-finite (resp. cs-finite). A family \mathcal{K} of subsets of a space X is said to be an *F-hereditary-collection* if it is a covering of X and if for every closed subset F of X , $F \cap K \in \mathcal{K}$ for all $K \in \mathcal{K}$. A family \mathcal{A} of subsets of X is said to be *\mathcal{K} -finite* if every member of \mathcal{K} intersects finitely many members of \mathcal{A} . A space X is said to have *W-weak topology* with respect to an F-hereditary collection \mathcal{K} if a subset U of X is open iff $U \cap K$ is open in K for each $K \in \mathcal{K}$.

Theorem 1. *For a normal space X , the following are equivalent:*

- (a) X is mildly-paracompact.
- (b) Every countable, regular open covering of X has an open cushioned refinement.
- (c) Every countable, regular open covering of X has a σ -cushioned open refinement.
- (d) Every countable, regular open covering of X has a linearly-cushioned, open refinement.
- (e) Every countable, regular open covering of X has an order cushioned open refinement.

Proof. (a) \implies (c). Let $\mathcal{G} = \{G_i : i \in N\}$ be a countable regular open covering of X . Since X is mildly-paracompact, therefore there exists a locally-finite open refinement $\mathcal{H} = \{H_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ of \mathcal{G} . Since X is normal, \mathcal{H} is shrinkable, that is, there exists another locally-finite open covering $\mathcal{H}^* = \{H_\alpha^* : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ of X such that $\overline{H_\alpha^*} \subseteq H_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. It is easy to verify now, that \mathcal{H}^* is an open cushioned refinement of \mathcal{G} .

(b) \implies (c) is obvious.

(c) \implies (d). It is easy to verify that every σ -cushioned refinement is linearly-cushioned. Hence the implication.

(d) \implies (e). Let \mathcal{G} be any countable, regular open covering of X . There exists a linearly-cushioned, open refinement \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{G} with cushion map $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. It may be assumed without any loss of generality that the ordering on \mathcal{H} is a well ordering (cf. [6], Theorem 1). Let \mathcal{H}' be any subfamily of \mathcal{H} and let $H \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $H' < H$ for all $H' \in \mathcal{H}'$. Then, $Cl_H[\cup\{H' \cap H : H' \in \mathcal{H}'\}] = Cl_H[(\cup\{H' : H' \in \mathcal{H}'\}) \cap H] = \overline{\cup\{H' : H' \in \mathcal{H}'\}} \cap H \subseteq \overline{\cup\{H' : H' \in \mathcal{H}'\}} \cap H \subseteq \overline{\cup\{H' : H' \in \mathcal{H}'\}} \subseteq \cup\{f(H') : H' \in \mathcal{H}'\}$. This shows that \mathcal{H} is order cushioned in \mathcal{G} .

(e) \implies (a). Let \mathcal{A} be any countable, regular open covering of X . Let \mathcal{B} be an open, order cushioned refinement of \mathcal{A} with cushion map $f : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. We shall construct a cushioned refinement of \mathcal{A} . Let $C_B = B \sim \cup\{B' : B' < B\}$ for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{C_B : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$. Let $g : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a mapping defined as $g(C_B) = f(B)$. We shall show that \mathcal{C} is cushioned in \mathcal{A} with cushion map g . If $x \in X$, then $x \in C_B$ where B is the smallest $B \in \mathcal{B}$ containing x . This shows that \mathcal{C} is a refinement of \mathcal{A} . To prove that \mathcal{C} is cushioned in \mathcal{A} , let \mathcal{C}' be any subfamily of \mathcal{C} and let $y \in \overline{\cup\{C_B : C_B \in \mathcal{C}'\}}$. Since \mathcal{B} is a covering of X , there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $y \in B$. Then $B \cap C_{B'} = \emptyset$ for all $B' > B$. If now $\mathcal{C}'' = \{C_{B'} : B' < B, C_{B'} \in \mathcal{C}'\}$, it can be easily verified that $y \in \overline{\cup\{C_{B'} : C_{B'} \in \mathcal{C}''\}}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}'' = \{B' \in \mathcal{B} : C_{B'} \in \mathcal{C}''\}$. Then $B' < B$ for all $B' \in \mathcal{B}''$. Therefore, we have,

$Cl_B[(\cup\{B' : B' < B, B' \in \mathcal{B}''\}) \cap B] = Cl_B[\cup\{B' \cap B : B' \in \mathcal{B}''\}] \subseteq \cup\{f(B') : B' \in \mathcal{B}''\} = \cup\{g(C_{B'}) : C_{B'} \in \mathcal{C}''\} \subseteq \cup\{g(C_{B'}) : C_{B'} \in \mathcal{C}'\}$. Since B is an open set, therefore we have,

$Cl_B[(\cup\{B' : B' \in \mathcal{B}''\}) \cap B] = \overline{\cup\{B' : B' \in \mathcal{B}''\}} \cap B$. Now, $y \in B$ and $y \in \overline{\cup\{C_{B'} : C_{B'} \in \mathcal{C}''\}} \subseteq \overline{\cup\{B' : B' \in \mathcal{B}''\}}$. Thus $y \in \cup\{g(C_{B'}) : C_{B'} \in \mathcal{C}'\}$ and hence \mathcal{C} is a cushioned refinement of \mathcal{A} . Therefore X is mildly-paracompact (cf. [3] Theorem 1.10. (b)).

Theorem 2. For a normal space X , the following are equivalent:

- (a) X is mildly-paracompact.
- (b) Every countable, regular open covering of X has a strongly compact-finite, open refinement.
- (c) Every countable, regular open covering of X has a compact-finite, open refinement.
- (d) Every countable, regular open covering of X has a strongly cs-finite open refinement.
- (e) Every countable, regular open covering of X has a cs-finite open refinement.

Proof. (a) \implies (b). Let \mathcal{G} be any countable, regular open covering of X . Since X is mildly-paracompact, there exists a locally-finite, open refinement \mathcal{H} of \mathcal{G} . Then the family of closures of members of \mathcal{H} is locally-finite. It is easily verified that every locally-finite family is compact-finite. This means that \mathcal{H} is strongly compact-finite.

(b) \implies (c). Obvious.

(c) \implies (d). Let \mathcal{A} be any countable, regular open covering of X . Then there exists a compact-finite, open refinement $\mathcal{B} = \{B_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ of \mathcal{A} . Now, \mathcal{B} being compact-finite is also point-finite. Since X is normal, there exists another open covering $\mathcal{C} = \{C_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ of X such that $\bar{C}_\alpha \subseteq B_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Since \mathcal{B} is compact-finite therefore $\{\bar{C}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is also compact-finite and hence also cs-finite. Thus \mathcal{C} is a strongly cs-finite open refinement of \mathcal{A} .

(d) \implies (e). Obvious.

(e) \implies (a). Let \mathcal{A} be any countable, regular open covering of X . Let $\mathcal{B} = \{B_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be cs-finite open refinement of \mathcal{A} . We shall show that \mathcal{B} is point-finite. Let $x \in X$ and let A_x be the closure of the convergent, constant sequence $\langle x \rangle$. Then $x \in A_x$ and therefore since \mathcal{B} is cs-finite, A_x can intersect at most finitely many members of \mathcal{B} . Thus every countable, regular open

covering of X has a point-finite, open refinement and hence X is mildly-para-compact (cf. [3], Theorem 1.6 (c)).

Theorem 3. *If a normal space X has W -weak topology with respect to an F -hereditary collection \mathcal{K} and if every countable, regular open covering of X has a \mathcal{K} -finite, closed refinement, then X is mildly-para-compact.*

Proof. Since every \mathcal{K} -finite, closed family in such a space is locally-finite (cf. [1], Lemma 2.1), therefore every countable, regular open covering of such a space will have a locally-finite, closed refinement and hence also a countable, locally-finite, closed refinement. Therefore X is mildly-para-compact (cf. [3], Theorem 1.8 (b)).

Corollary 1. *If a normal space X has the W -weak topology with respect to F -hereditary collection \mathcal{K} and if every countable, regular open covering of X has a \mathcal{K} -finite, open refinement, then X is mildly-para-compact.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{U} be any countable, regular open covering of X . Let $\mathcal{V} = \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a \mathcal{K} -finite, open refinement of \mathcal{U} . Now \mathcal{V} is \mathcal{K} -finite and X has W -weak topology with respect to \mathcal{K} . Therefore \mathcal{V} is point-finite. Since X is normal, there exists an open covering $\mathcal{W} = \{W_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ of \mathcal{V} such that $\bar{W}_\alpha \subseteq V_\alpha$ for each α . Then $\{\bar{W}_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is a \mathcal{K} -finite, closed refinement of \mathcal{U} and hence X is mildly-para-compact by the above theorem.

Corollary 2. *A normal, k space is mildly-para-compact iff every countable, regular open covering of X has a compact finite, closed refinement.*

Proof. Only the 'if' part need be proved. Since X is a k -space, therefore X has W -weak topology with respect to the F -hereditary collection of all compact sets and therefore every compact finite, closed family is locally-finite. The result now follows from the above theorem.

Corollary 3. *A normal, sequential space is mildly-para-compact iff every countable, regular open covering of X has a cs -finite, closed refinement.*

Proof. To prove the 'if' part, we need only observe that a space is a sequential space iff it has W -weak-topology with respect to the F -hereditary collection of all sets which are closures of convergent sequences in X .

Theorem 4. *A k -space is mildly-para-compact iff every countable, regular open covering of X has a strongly compact-finite open refinement.*

Proof. Only the 'if' part need be proved. Let \mathcal{A} be any countable, regular open covering of X . Then there exists a strongly compact-finite, open refinement \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{A} . Since X is a k -space, it has W -weak topology with respect to the F -hereditary collection of all compact subsets of X . Therefore, the family of closures of members of \mathcal{B} being compact-finite, is locally-finite. Hence \mathcal{B}

is a locally-finite open refinement of \mathcal{A} and X is therefore mildly-paracompact.

Theorem 5. *A locally-compact space X is mildly-paracompact iff every countable, regular open covering of X has a compact-finite open refinement.*

Proof. Every compact-finite family in a locally-compact space is locally-finite.

Theorem 6. *A sequential space is mildly-paracompact iff every countable, regular open covering of X has a strongly-cs-finite, open refinement.*

Proof. The 'only if' part is obvious. To prove the 'if' part, let \mathcal{A} be any countable, regular open covering of X . Let \mathcal{B} be a strongly cs-finite, open refinement of \mathcal{A} . Since X is sequential, it has W -weak topology with respect to the F -hereditary collection of all closures of convergent sequences. Therefore the family of closures of members of \mathcal{B} is locally-finite and hence \mathcal{B} is a locally-finite, open refinement of \mathcal{A} . This proves that X is mildly-compact.

Theorem 7. *A first-axiom space is mildly-paracompact iff every countable, regular open covering of X has a cs-finite, open refinement.*

Proof. Every cs-finite family in a first-axiom space is locally-finite (cf. [1], Lemma 3.9).

The author wishes to express her gratitude to Dr. *M. K. Singal* for his encouragement throughout the preparation of this note.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. R. Boone: *Some characterisations of paracompactness in k -spaces*. To appear.
- [2] Asha Rani Singal: *On countably-paracompact spaces*. To appear.
- [3] M. K. Singal and Shashi Prabha Arya: *On mildly-paracompact spaces*. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 4 (1971), 273-277.
- [4] ———: *A note on order paracompactness*. Annales de la Société Scientifique de Bruxelles, 84 (1970), 21-35.
- [5] H. Tamano: *A characterisation of paracompactness*. To appear.
- [6] J. E. Vaughan: *Linearly ordered collections and paracompactness*. To appear.

Janki Devi Mahavidyalaya,
 Poorvi Marg, New Delhi. India.
 Meerut University,
 Meerut, India