

A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE INCREASING MAPPINGS

by

SADAYUKI YAMAMURO.

Let E be a real Banach space, G be an open set in E and \bar{G} be the closure of G . In [3] (cf. [4], [5]), we have given the following definitions:

A mapping $f : \bar{G} \rightarrow E$ is said to be $(\bar{\delta})$ -increasing at $a \in G$ if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- 1°. $\|x\| < \bar{\delta}$ implies $a + x \in G$;
 2°. $f(a+x) - f(a) \neq \alpha x$ if $\alpha \leq 0$ and $0 < \|x\| < \bar{\delta}$.

A mapping $f : \bar{G} \rightarrow E$ is said to be $(\varepsilon, \bar{\delta})$ -uniformly increasing at $a \in G$ if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- 1°. $\|x\| < \bar{\delta}$ implies $a + x \in G$;
 3°. $\|f(a+x) - f(a) - \alpha x\| \geq \varepsilon \|x\|$ if $\alpha \leq 0$ and $0 < \|x\| < \bar{\delta}$.

On the other hand, G. Minty and F. Browder have written a series of papers about *the monotonic mappings* (for example, [1] and [2]) which, in the case of real Banach spaces E , can be defined as follows: A mapping $f : \bar{G} \rightarrow E^*$ (the conjugate space of E) is said to be *monotonic on \bar{G}* if

$$(f(x) - f(y), x - y) \geq 0 \quad \text{for any } x, y \in \bar{G}.$$

(The left-hand side of this inequality means the value of $f(x) - f(y)$ at $x - y$.)

The purpose of this paper is to study about the relations among these monotonicities. For this purpose, we assume that the space E is a real Hilbert space, and we shall characterize the increasingnesses defined above in terms of $(f_a(x), x)$, where $f_a(x) \equiv f(a+x) - f(a)$ and $(f_a(x), x)$ is the inner product of $f_a(x)$ and x .

Theorem. Let E be a real Hilbert space, G be an open subset and \bar{G} be the closure of G . Then,

(1) A mapping $f : \bar{G} \rightarrow E$ is $(\bar{\delta})$ -increasing at $a \in G$ if and only if f satisfies the following two conditions:

- 4° $f_a(x) \neq 0$ if $0 < \|x\| < \bar{\delta}$;
 5° $(f_a(x), x) > -\|f_a(x)\| \cdot \|x\|$ if $0 < \|x\| < \bar{\delta}$.

(2) A mapping $f : \bar{G} \rightarrow E$ is $(\varepsilon, \bar{\delta})$ -uniformly increasing at $a \in G$ if and only if f satisfies the following two conditions:

- 6° $\|f_a(x)\| \geq \varepsilon \|x\|$ if $\|x\| < \bar{\delta}$;

$$7^\circ \quad (f_a(x), x) \geq -\|x\| (\|f_a(x)\|^2 - \varepsilon^2 \|x\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{if } \|x\| < \delta.$$

Proof. Let f be (δ) -increasing at $a \in G$. We get 4° from 2° by putting $\alpha = 0$. To prove 5° , we assume that there exists an element $x \in E$ such that

$$(f_a(x), x) = -\|f_a(x)\| \cdot \|x\| \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \|x\| < \delta.$$

Then, for $\alpha_0 = -\|f_a(x)\| / \|x\|$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_a(x) - \alpha_0 x\|^2 &= \|f_a(x)\|^2 - 2\alpha_0 (f_a(x), x) + \alpha_0^2 \|x\|^2 \\ &= \|f_a(x)\|^2 + 2\alpha_0 \|f_a(x)\| \cdot \|x\| + \alpha_0^2 \|x\|^2 = (\|f_a(x)\| + \alpha_0 \|x\|)^2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that $f_a(x) = \alpha_0 x$, which contradicts the condition 2° .

Next, suppose that the condition 4° and 5° are satisfied and that there exist $\alpha \leq 0$ and $x \in E$ such that

$$f_a(x) = \alpha x \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \|x\| < \delta.$$

Then, by 4° we get $\alpha \neq 0$ and by 5° we have that

$$\alpha \|x\|^2 = (\alpha x, x) = (f_a(x), x) > -\|f_a(x)\| \|x\| = -|\alpha| \cdot \|x\|^2,$$

hence it follows that $\alpha > 0$, which contradicts our assumption.

(2) Let $f: \bar{G} \rightarrow E$ be (ε, δ) -uniformly increasing at $a \in G$. We get 6° from 3° by putting $\alpha = 0$. To prove 7° , suppose that there exists $x \in E$ such that

$$(f_a(x), x) < -\|x\| (\|f_a(x)\|^2 - \varepsilon^2 \|x\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \|x\| < \delta.$$

Then, for $\alpha_0 = -(\|f_a(x)\|^2 - \varepsilon^2 \|x\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} / \|x\|$, if $\alpha_0 < 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_a(x) - \alpha_0 x\|^2 &= \|f_a(x)\|^2 - 2\alpha_0 (f_a(x), x) + \alpha_0^2 \|x\|^2 \\ &< \|f_a(x)\|^2 + 2\alpha_0 \|x\| (\|f_a(x)\|^2 - \varepsilon^2 \|x\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \alpha_0^2 \|x\|^2 \\ &= [\alpha_0 \|x\|^2 + (\|f_a(x)\|^2 - \varepsilon^2 \|x\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}]^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|x\|^2 = \varepsilon^2 \|x\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the condition 3° . If $\alpha_0 = 0$, then $\|f_a(x)\| = \varepsilon \|x\|$, hence and from 3° it follows that

$$\alpha^2 \|x\|^2 - 2\alpha (f_a(x), x) = \alpha^2 \|x\|^2 - 2\alpha (f_a(x), x) + \|f_a(x)\|^2 - \varepsilon^2 \|x\|^2 \geq 0$$

for any $\alpha < 0$, namely,

$$2\alpha (f_a(x), x) \leq \alpha^2 \|x\|^2 \quad \text{for any } \alpha < 0,$$

or equivalently

$$2(f_a(x), x) \geq \alpha \|x\|^2 \quad \text{for any } \alpha < 0,$$

which means that $(f_a(x), x) \geq 0$. This is a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that the conditions 6° and 7° are satisfied and that there exist a number α and an element $x \in E$ such that

$$\|f_a(x) - \alpha x\| < \varepsilon \|x\| \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \|x\| < \delta.$$

From 6° it follows that $\alpha \neq 0$. If $\alpha < 0$, we have

$$[\alpha \|x\| + (\|f_a(x)\|^2 - \varepsilon^2 \|x\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}]^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \alpha^2 \|x\|^2 + 2\alpha \|x\| (\|f_a(x)\|^2 - \varepsilon^2 \|x\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \|f_a(x)\|^2 - \varepsilon^2 \|x\|^2 \\ &\leq \alpha^2 \|x\|^2 - 2\alpha (f_a(x), x) + \|f_a(x)\|^2 - \varepsilon^2 \|x\|^2 \\ &= \|f_a(x) - \alpha x\|^2 - \varepsilon^2 \|x\|^2 < 0, \end{aligned}$$

which is impossible.

Corollary. (1) *If a mapping $f : \bar{G} \rightarrow E$ satisfies the condition 4° and*

$$8^\circ \quad (f_a(x), x) \geq 0 \quad \text{if } 0 < \|x\| < \delta,$$

then f is (δ) -increasing at $a \in G$.

(2) *If a mapping $f : \bar{G} \rightarrow E$ satisfies the conditions 6° and 8°, then f is (ε, δ) -uniformly increasing at $a \in G$. Therefore, if $(f_a(x), x) \geq \varepsilon \|x\|^2$ ($\|x\| < \delta$), f is (ε, δ) -uniformly increasing at $a \in G$.*

Example 1. Let E be a real Hilbert space with a complete normalized orthogonal sequence $\{e_i\}$. Then, the linear mapping

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i (x, e_i) e_i, \quad \text{where } \lambda_i > 0 \text{ and } \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_i = 0,$$

is (∞) -increasing at 0, but it is not (ε, δ) -uniformly increasing for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$.

Example 2. In the 3-dimensional Euclidean space E , let us consider the following mapping:

$$f : (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \rightarrow (\xi_3, \xi_1, \xi_2).$$

This linear mapping is $(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \infty)$ -uniformly increasing at 0, but it does not satisfy the condition 8°.

REFERENCES.

- [1] F. Browder, The Solvability of Non-linear Functional Equations, *Duke Math. Journ.*, 30 (1963) 557-566.
- [2] G. Minty, Monotone (non-linear) Operators in Hilbert Space, *Duke Math. Journ.*, (1962) 341-346.
- [3] S. Yamamuro, Monotone Mappings in Topological Linear Spaces, *Journ. Australian Math Soc.*, 5 (1965) 25-35.
- [4] „ On the Spectra of Uniformly increasing Mappings, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 40 (1964) 740-742.
- [5] „ On the Range of the Increasing Mappings, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 41 (1965) 212-214.

Institute of Advanced Studies,
Australian National University.

(Received March 1, 1965)