

学位論文及び審査結果の要旨

横浜国立大学

氏名	HUAXING SHANG
学位の種類	博士（経営学）
学位記番号	国府博甲第47号
学位授与年月日	令和2年9月30日
学位授与の根拠	学位規則（昭和28年4月1日文部省令第9号）第4条第1項及び横浜国立大学学位規則第5条第1項
研究科(学府)・専攻名	国際社会科学府経営学専攻
学位論文題目	A Study on Organizational Ambidexterity Based on Complex Adaptive System Theory: Creating Radical Innovation from Incremental Innovations in a New Product Development System (複雑適応系に基づく組織双面性の研究: 新製品開発システムにおいて連続的イノベーションから創出する急進的イノベーション)
論文審査委員	主査 横浜国立大学 真鍋 誠司 教授 横浜国立大学 横澤 公道 准教授 横浜国立大学 貴志 奈央子 教授 横浜国立大学 田名部 元成 教授 中央大学 ヘラー、ダニエル 教授

Challenging a strict exploration-exploitation dichotomy, this research seeks to explain how radical innovation (RI) can be created from incremental innovations (II). To do so the research adopted a complex adaptive system (CAS) approach to new product development (NPD). In-depth analysis of two cases of radical innovation at Toyota Motor Corporation showed that two kinds of adaptation (external and internal) and a bridge between them were needed to create RI from II in a multi-level NPD system. Manufacturing engineering was found to play a critical role in facilitating this creative process.

The first chapter begins with a general discussion of organizational ambidexterity and its application to innovation management. While existing research has shown that RI can be created from II, the way by which this can be done within an NPD system remains an open question. Pioneering work on CAS theory is introduced as a promising potential NPD framework that may be used to fill in this theoretical gap. The chapter closes by briefly introducing each chapter.

The second chapter systematically reviews previous studies of organizational ambidexterity (OA), which is the capability to reconcile exploitation (using existing knowledge) and exploration (generating new knowledge) across multiple levels in a system under certain conditions to help an organization obtain a sustainable competitive advantage. Key themes reviewed are the relationship between organizational ambidexterity and organizational performance in different context, the relationship between exploitation and

exploration, the mechanisms for managing exploitation and exploration, and the factors that influence this management. Some studies have indicated that exploitation and exploration can be reconciled across different organizational levels, and exploration can be built on exploitation. This general discussion of OA branches into six research streams that consider specific applications of the concepts. This research is concerned with the innovation ambidexterity stream.

Innovation ambidexterity, which has incremental innovation and radical innovation as its primary focus, is one of the main considerations of the field of innovation management. Existing studies suggested that it might be possible for an organization to create radical innovation from one or more incremental innovations. However, the theoretical basis for doing so within the same organizational system is not clear. In addition, although some initial studies have addressed the issue of creating radical innovation from incremental innovations, rigorously gathered empirical data on the subject is quite limited. Since no research was found that directly and explicitly discussed this issue across multiple levels within an NPD system, this is identified as the theoretical gap to be addressed by this research.

The third chapter presents the theoretical framework and research method of the dissertation. It positions CAS theory within complexity sciences and reviews studies on innovation and NPD that are based on CAS theory to build a theoretical framework and three related propositions. The general research question presented in Chapter 2 was reformulated into a specific descriptive research question that asked how Toyota was able to create radical innovation from incremental innovations in a multi-level NPD system. The proposed framework contains three-levels (external environment, organization, and organizational sub-unit) within which semi-autonomous and malleable agents interact in unpredictable ways in the development of new products. From the proposed research framework, the following three propositions about an NPD system are presented. Proposition 1 is concerned with “external adaptation” and holds that RI requires an organization to adapt to its external environment. Proposition 2 is concerned with “internal adaptation” and holds that II requires an organizational sub-unit to adapt to its organization. Proposition 3 holds that for RI to come from II, there needs to be a “bridge” between the external adaptation and the internal adaptation.

In order to answer the “how” question posed by this research and provisionally validate the proposed research framework and propositions, Yin’s method for case-study research was adopted. Specifically, a multiple-case embedded research design was developed using methods to avoid biases and to meet the four criteria of construct validity, internal validity, external validity, and reliability. Following the definition of RI in Leifer et al. (2000), two cases of new product development projects at Toyota Motor Corporation that contained numerous II and resulted in RI were selected: the first Prius (NHW 10) and the first Lexus (LS 400) cars. Each case was analyzed according to analytic units corresponding to the three levels of the

framework.

To guide case study data collection and analysis and increase the reliability of the research, a case study protocol was prepared for each case, including data collection procedures, questions to be investigated, and a tentative outline of the case study report. In accordance with this protocol, data were collected from three data sources: documentation, interviews, and physical artifacts. For data analysis, data were first classified into different arrays according to different themes and sub-themes. Then, data were linked to the theoretical propositions and pattern matching was used as a data analysis technique. Criteria for verifying the propositions were set containing specific conditions for each proposition.

The fourth chapter presents the findings from the two case studies, Toyota's Prius NHW 10 and Lexus LS 400. Case data were organized into the three levels of the research framework. The elements at each level and the adaptations that occurred across different levels were shown, while highlighting the roles and responsibilities of manufacturing engineering in building a bridge that connected the internal adaptations such that the external adaptation could be realized. The findings confirm that Prius NHW 10 and Lexus LS 400 are radical innovations that were each created from numerous incremental innovations that were created across multiple levels in their specific NPD systems.

An important difference between the two cases is that the first Prius is a revolutionary product that was created using a mix of new and existing product technologies, whereas the first Lexus is a revolutionary product that was created using existing product technologies. In accordance with these technological differences, the main focus of manufacturing engineers in the Prius case was building new production processes. In contrast, the main focus of manufacturing engineers in the Lexus case was improving existing production processes.

The first level of the new product development systems that produced the first Prius and the first Lexus contains the external environment, agents (e.g., Toyota Motor Corporation, competitors, suppliers, and, in the Prius case, a key joint venture supplier), and various other elements (e.g., ecological and social issues, regulations, technical limitations, market trends). The second level contains Toyota Motor Corporation and its organizational sub-units (e.g., the NPD project team, technical departments, manufacturing departments, working groups, other departments). The third level contains the organizational sub-units of Toyota and the unknown-, emerging-, and existing-knowledge sub-sub-units that exist within each organizational sub-unit.

The fifth chapter begins by summarizing the new product development systems of the first Prius and the first Lexus and presents the specific CAS configuration found in each case. Next, analysis of the each case's findings were performed with the results validating the theoretical framework and verifying the three propositions proposed in Chapter 3 for describing these two Toyota cases. In both cases, energetic interactions among different organizational sub-units was found to have contributed to both the external adaptation and the internal adaptation. Thus, this characteristic can be understood to form the bridge connecting the two types of adaptation. By

comparing the case findings with past research on manufacturing engineering, the chapter highlights how the roles and responsibilities of manufacturing engineering in Toyota facilitated the bridge building that allowed radical innovation to be created from incremental innovations.

The new product development systems for the first Prius and the first Lexus had important differences in the environmental triggers, development objectives, radical innovation types, ways of cooperation with suppliers, interaction and communication methods utilized in realizing the external adaptation and creating the internal adaptations, and the main focuses of manufacturing engineering. Nevertheless, the overall process by which RI was created from II and the general facilitating role played by manufacturing engineering was found to be similar in both cases. A revised theoretical framework was made based on the findings of the two cases and was presented as the last section of this chapter to set a foundation for future study.

The sixth and concluding chapter presents ten conditions that were verified by the two cases for realizing organizational ambidexterity through the achievement of innovation ambidexterity in Toyota. As the basic conclusion to the research, in the new product development system found in Toyota in the 1980s and 1990s, radical innovation (RI) required the company to adapt to its external environment (external adaptation), incremental innovations (II) required organizational sub-units to adapt to the organization (internal adaptations), and for RI to be created from II, there needed to be a bridge between the external adaptation and the internal adaptations. Energetic interactions among different organizational sub-units were shown to be effective in linking internal adaptations to form a bridge to the external adaptation. Manufacturing engineering was found to have played a critical role in building this bridge.

The main theoretical contribution of this research is presenting and provisionally verifying a theoretical framework, related propositions, and conditions that allow an organization to create RI from II across multiple levels in a new product development system, which fills in a research gap, suggests a solution to an important managerial problem, and presents a method for achieving organizational ambidexterity. Furthermore, this research also highlights the critical role manufacturing engineering can play in building the bridge between external adaptation and internal adaptations to facilitate creating RI from II.

While acknowledging that specific boundary conditions to this research, the primary managerial implication of this research is directed at an organization that wants to imitate Toyota and create RI (a revolutionary new product that is at least partly focuses on leveraging existing technologies) from II. Such an organization should endeavor to meet the ten conditions presented at the beginning of this chapter and make complexity thinking an important element of its organizational culture. Embracing a complex adaptive system approach enables managers to arrange available resources effectively and efficiently to allow their organization to create and then leverage II into the realization of RI. However, clearly careful investigation of whether or not any other organizations have actually been able to achieve what Toyota has done is an important line of future inquiry.

The dissertation ends by listing ten additional issues for future study based on the limitations of this research. These issues are: (1) further study the relationship between the conditions presented and verified in this study; (2) explore other possible conditions; (3) study employee allocation issues; (4) study the roles of boundary spanners; (5) study the roles, responsibilities, and interactions between upstream ME personnel and downstream ME personnel at Toyota in creating RI from II; (6) explore the number of II that are needed to create RI; (7) compare with unsuccessful NPD projects; (8) perform case studies of other NPD projects that resulted in RI that contain II, in the global automobile industry and other industries, including service industries, that have products/services that are highly complex and require high levels of coordination to develop; (9) test the validity of the conditions by quantitative research; and finally (10) look for other possible answers to the general research question.

審査結果の要旨

論文題名：「A Study on Organizational Ambidexterity Based on Complex Adaptive System Theory: Creating Radical Innovation from Incremental Innovations in a New Product Development System」

両利き組織 (ambidextrous organization) の研究を中心に、漸進的なイノベーションと革新的なイ

ノベーションの関係について、すでに既存文献から明らかになっていることは少なくない。しかし、一つの新製品開発システムの中で、漸進的なイノベーションから革新的な製品を生み出す理論的なベースおよび具体的な方策については未解明のままであるといえる。本研究ではまず、複雑適応システム (complex adaptive system) の研究蓄積に基づいて理論的な枠組みを構築した。次に、Yin の

ケース研究戦略を用いてトヨタ自動車の2つの革新的な製品 (初代プリウスと初代レクサス) の開発プロジェクトに当てはめて分析を行った。

調査結果では、分析枠組みの有効性を確認することができた。また、革新的なイノベーションを漸進的なイノベーションから生み出す過程において、生産技術者が重要な役割を担うことを発見した。生産技術者は、生産設備の開発や改善等を通じて漸進的なイノベーションを繋ぎ止めて新製品に統合していくプロセスに大きく貢献している。

本論文は、イノベーション論の主要なテーマの一つである、漸進的なイノベーションと革新的なイノベーションの両方を生み出せる「両利き組織」の形成に対して、新しい示唆を提供した。ひとつの新製品開発システムにおいて、漸進的なイノベーションと革新的なイノベーションを同時に生み出すための条件を洗い出し、トヨタ自動車の先端事例でこれらの条件を満たしていたことを確認できた。また、本ケースでは、革新的なイノベーションを生み出すために、Iansiti (1998)が示したような先行開発の担当者だけでなく、生産技術者の役割も大きかったことを示した。

ただし、革新的なイノベーションにおいて、先行開発担当者と生産技術者の役割分担については、明らかにすることができていない。また、研究結果の外的妥当性（一般性）にも課題が残されている。トヨタ自動車以外の企業についても、本研究の結論が当てはまるかどうかは、検証する必要がある。さらに、両ケースは20数年前のものであり、デジタル化やAI等が代表するように、製品技術も生産技術も著しく進歩している現在において、本研究が実務家にとってどこまで実践的な意義があるかも検討しなければならない。しかし、このような問題は、本論文の本質的な貢献を損なうほどのものではない。

以上より本論文審査委員一同は、本学附の博士号審査基準（3）に照らして、HUAXING SHANG氏の学位請求論文「A Study on Organizational Ambidexterity Based on Complex Adaptive System Theory: Creating Radical Innovation from Incremental Innovations in a New Product Development System」が博士（経営学）の学位を授与するに値するものとして判断する。