

AN APPROXIMATE SOLUTION OF THE MASTER EQUATION WITH THE DISSIPATOR BEING A SET OF PROJECTORS

By

KAZUYUKI FUJII

(Received November 12, 2007; Revised January 11, 2008)

Abstract. In this paper we consider a quantum open system and treat the master equation with some restricted dissipator which consists of a set of projection operators (projectors). The exact solution is given under the commutable approximation (in our terminology). This is the first step for constructing the general solution.

Quantum Computation (Computer) is one of main subjects in Quantum Physics. To realize it we must overcome severe problems arising from Decoherence, so we need to study Quantum Open System to control decoherence (if possible).

In this paper we revisit dynamics of a quantum open system as a test case. See [1] as a general introduction to this subject. First we explain the purpose and strategy within our necessity.

We consider a quantum open system S coupled to the environment E . Then the total system $S + E$ is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H_{S+E} = H_S \otimes \mathbf{1}_E + \mathbf{1}_S \otimes H_E + H_I$$

where H_S , H_E are respectively the Hamiltonians of the system and environment, and H_I is the Hamiltonian of the interaction.

Then under several assumptions (see [1]) the reduced dynamics of the system (which is not unitary) is given by the Master Equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho = -i[H_S, \rho] - \mathcal{D}(\rho) \quad (1)$$

with the dissipator being the usual Lindblad form

$$\mathcal{D}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{j\}} \left(A_j^\dagger A_j \rho + \rho A_j^\dagger A_j - 2A_j \rho A_j^\dagger \right). \quad (2)$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:
Key words and phrases:

Here $\rho \equiv \rho(t)$ is the density operator (matrix) of the system.

It is not easy to solve the equation (1) with the dissipator (2), so we make a simple and convenient assumption. Namely, the generators $\{A_j\}$ are given by $A_j = \sqrt{\lambda_j}P_j$ with projectors $\{P_j\}$; $P_j^\dagger = P_j$, $P_j^2 = P_j$, $P_j P_k = \delta_{jk}P_k$. Note that we don't assume the rank $P_j = 1$ (extended models). Then the dissipator becomes

$$\mathcal{D}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{j\}} \lambda_j (P_j \rho + \rho P_j - 2P_j \rho P_j) \quad (3)$$

where $\{\lambda_j\}$ are decoherence parameters to determine the strength of the interaction. See [2], [3] (in [3] there is a very compact description on this subject). It is interesting to rewrite (3) as

$$\mathcal{D}(\rho) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{j\}} \lambda_j \{P_j \rho (\mathbf{1} - P_j) + (\mathbf{1} - P_j) \rho P_j\} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{j\}} \lambda_j (P_j \rho Q_j + Q_j \rho P_j). \quad (4)$$

Note that $\{Q_j\}$ are also projectors satisfying $P_j Q_j = Q_j P_j = 0$ for $j \in \{j\}$.

As a result we have only to solve the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho = -i(H\rho - \rho H) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{j\}} \lambda_j (P_j \rho Q_j + Q_j \rho P_j) \quad (5)$$

where we have set $H = H_S$ for simplicity.

In order to study the equation (5) let us make some mathematical preliminaries. For a matrix $X = (x_{ij}) \in M(n; \mathbf{C})$ we correspond to the vector $\hat{X} \in \mathbf{C}^{n^2}$ as

$$X = (x_{ij}) \longrightarrow \hat{X} = (x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{1n}, \dots, x_{n1}, x_{n2}, \dots, x_{nn})^T \quad (6)$$

where T means the transpose. Then the following formula is well-known

$$\widehat{AXB} = (A \otimes B^T) \hat{X} \quad (7)$$

for $A, B, X \in M(n; \mathbf{C})$. Since the proof is easy we leave it to readers.

By use of the formula the equation (5) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{\rho} = \left\{ -i(H \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes H^T) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{j\}} \lambda_j (P_j \otimes Q_j^T + Q_j \otimes P_j^T) \right\} \hat{\rho}, \quad (8)$$

therefore the formal solution is given by

$$\hat{\rho}(t) = \exp \left\{ -it(H \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes H^T) - t \sum_{\{j\}} (\lambda_j/2) (P_j \otimes Q_j^T + Q_j \otimes P_j^T) \right\} \hat{\rho}(0). \quad (9)$$

To calculate $\exp(\cdots)$ explicitly is almost impossible, so we must appeal to some approximation method. For that let us remind the Baker–Campbell–Hausdorff (B-C-H) formula. For $A, B \in M(n; \mathbf{C})$ we want to decompose as

$$e^{A+B} = e^A e^{I(A,B)} e^B. \quad (10)$$

The “interaction” term $I(A, B)$ is given by

$$I(A, B) = -\frac{1}{2}[A, B] + \frac{1}{6}\{[[A, B], B] + [A, [A, B]]\} + \cdots. \quad (11)$$

The proof is easy. In fact, $e^{I(A,B)} = e^{-A} e^{A+B} e^{-B}$ by (10) and we have only to apply the B-C-H formula ([4] and see also [5] as an interesting topic)

$$e^X e^Y = e^{X+Y+(1/2)[X,Y]+(1/12)\{[[X,Y],Y]+[X,[X,Y]]\}+\cdots} \quad \text{for } X, Y \in M(n; \mathbf{C})$$

two times.

For

$$A = -it(H \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes H^T), \quad B = -t \sum_{\{j\}} (\lambda_j/2) (P_j \otimes Q_j^T + Q_j \otimes P_j^T)$$

there is no method to calculate $e^{I(A,B)}$ explicitly as far as we know. Therefore we ignore this term, namely let us call it the “commutable approximation”¹.

Under the commutable approximation we have only to calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}(t) &\approx \exp\{-it(H \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes H^T)\} \exp\left\{-t \sum_{\{j\}} (\lambda_j/2) (P_j \otimes Q_j^T + Q_j \otimes P_j^T)\right\} \hat{\rho}(0) \\ &= \left(e^{-itH} \otimes e^{itH^T}\right) \exp\left\{-t \sum_{\{j\}} (\lambda_j/2) (P_j \otimes Q_j^T + Q_j \otimes P_j^T)\right\} \hat{\rho}(0) \\ &= \left(e^{-itH} \otimes (e^{itH})^T\right) \exp\left\{-t \sum_{\{j\}} (\lambda_j/2) (P_j \otimes Q_j^T + Q_j \otimes P_j^T)\right\} \hat{\rho}(0). \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

¹If $[A, B] = 0$ then our calculation in the following is exact

Next let us calculate the second term in (12), which is not so difficult as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sharp) &\equiv \exp \left\{ -t \sum_{\{j\}} (\lambda_j/2) (P_j \otimes Q_j^T + Q_j \otimes P_j^T) \right\} \\
&= \prod_{\{j\}} \exp \{ (-\lambda_j t/2) (P_j \otimes Q_j^T + Q_j \otimes P_j^T) \} \\
&= \prod_{\{j\}} \left\{ \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \left(e^{-\lambda_j t/2} - 1 \right) (P_j \otimes Q_j^T + Q_j \otimes P_j^T) \right\} \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used facts

- (a) $\{P_j \otimes Q_j^T + Q_j \otimes P_j^T \mid j \in \{j\}\}$ are projectors commuting with each other.
- (b) $e^{\lambda R} = \mathbf{1} + (e^\lambda - 1) R$ if R is a projector.

Here we set $R_j = P_j \otimes Q_j^T + Q_j \otimes P_j^T$. For $i < j < k$ it is easy to see

- (c) $R_i R_j = (P_i \otimes Q_i^T + Q_i \otimes P_i^T) (P_j \otimes Q_j^T + Q_j \otimes P_j^T) = P_i \otimes P_j^T + P_j \otimes P_i^T$.
- (d) $R_i R_j R_k = (P_i \otimes P_j^T + P_j \otimes P_i^T) (P_k \otimes Q_k^T + Q_k \otimes P_k^T) = 0$.

From (13) and (c), (d)

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sharp) &= \prod_{\{j\}} \left\{ \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \left(e^{-\lambda_j t/2} - 1 \right) R_j \right\} \\
&= \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \sum_j \left(e^{-\lambda_j t/2} - 1 \right) R_j + \sum_{j < k} \left(e^{-\lambda_j t/2} - 1 \right) \left(e^{-\lambda_k t/2} - 1 \right) R_j R_k \\
&= \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \sum_j \left(e^{-\lambda_j t/2} - 1 \right) (P_j \otimes Q_j^T + Q_j \otimes P_j^T) + \\
&\quad \sum_{j < k} \left(e^{-\lambda_j t/2} - 1 \right) \left(e^{-\lambda_k t/2} - 1 \right) (P_j \otimes P_k^T + P_k \otimes P_j^T). \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\rho}(t) &\approx \left(e^{-itH} \otimes (e^{itH})^T \right) \left\{ \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} + \sum_j \left(e^{-\lambda_j t/2} - 1 \right) (P_j \otimes Q_j^T + Q_j \otimes P_j^T) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. \sum_{j < k} \left(e^{-\lambda_j t/2} - 1 \right) \left(e^{-\lambda_k t/2} - 1 \right) (P_j \otimes P_k^T + P_k \otimes P_j^T) \right\} \hat{\rho}(0). \quad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

By restoring to matrix form by use of (7) we finally obtain

$$\rho(t) \approx e^{-itH} \left\{ \rho(0) + \sum_j \left(e^{-\lambda_j t/2} - 1 \right) (P_j \rho(0) Q_j + Q_j \rho(0) P_j) + \sum_{j < k} \left(e^{-\lambda_j t/2} - 1 \right) \left(e^{-\lambda_k t/2} - 1 \right) (P_j \rho(0) P_k + P_k \rho(0) P_j) \right\} e^{itH} \quad (16)$$

or

$$\rho(t) \approx e^{-itH} \left\{ \rho(0) + \sum_j \left(e^{-\lambda_j t/2} - 1 \right) (P_j \rho(0) Q_j + Q_j \rho(0) P_j) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq k} \left(e^{-\lambda_j t/2} - 1 \right) \left(e^{-\lambda_k t/2} - 1 \right) (P_j \rho(0) P_k + P_k \rho(0) P_j) \right\} e^{itH} \quad (17)$$

for $j, k \in \{j\}$. This is the main result.

A comment is in order. In [2] and [3] the master equation like (5) in the two qubit system is treated. A general density matrix in the case is written as

$$\rho(t) = \frac{1}{4} (\mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 + p_i(t) \sigma_i \otimes \mathbf{1}_2 + q_j(t) \mathbf{1}_2 \otimes \sigma_j + r_{ij}(t) \sigma_i \otimes \sigma_j), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 3$$

where the usual Einstein's notation on summation is used. Using this expression one can study the equation coming from pure decoherence term

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{j\}} \lambda_j (P_j \rho + \rho P_j - 2P_j \rho P_j) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{j\}} \lambda_j (P_j \rho Q_j + Q_j \rho P_j).$$

The equation is then reduced to a set of (relatively simple) equations of $\{p\}$, $\{q\}$ and $\{r\}$ and one can solve them. However, such a (restricted) method is irrelevant as shown in the paper. Our method is quite general !

In this paper we considered the master equation with the dissipative being a set of projectors and constructed the exact solution under the commutable approximation. This is just the first step for constructing the general solution for the equation.

In order to take one step forward we must take the “interaction” term $I(A, B)$ in (11) into consideration. However, such a method to calculate it has not been known as far as we know. Therefore it may be reasonable to restrict our target to some simple models. Further work will be needed and we will report it in the near future, [6].

On the other hand we are studying some related topics, see [7] and [8]. However, we make no comment on them in the paper.

Lastly, we conclude the paper by stating our motivation. We are studying a model of quantum computation (computer) based on Cavity QED (see [9] and [10]), so to construct a more realistic model of (robust) quantum computer we have to study severe problems arising from decoherence. This is our future task.

Acknowledgment.

The author wishes to thank K. Funahashi for helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] H. -P. Breuer and F. Petruccione : The theory of open quantum systems, Oxford University Press, New York, 2002.
- [2] R. A. Bertlmann and W. Grimus : Dissipation in a 2-dimensional Hilbert space : Various forms of complete positivity, Phys. Lett. A **300** (2002), 107, quant-ph/0201142.
- [3] K. Durstberger : Spin geometry of entangled qubits under bilocal decoherence modes, arXiv : 0707.3774 [quant-ph].
- [4] V. S. Varadarajan : Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Their Representations, Springer, 1984.
- [5] K. Fujii and T. Suzuki : On the Magic Matrix by Makhlin and the B–C–H Formula in $SO(4)$, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys, **4** (2007), 897, quant-ph/0610009.
- [6] K. Fujii : in progress.
- [7] S. G. Rajeev : Dissipative Mechanics Using Complex-Valued Hamiltonians, to appear in Annals of Physics, quant-ph/0701141.
- [8] K. Fujii : Quantum Mechanics with Complex Time : A Comment to the Paper by Rajeev, quant-ph/0702148.
- [9] K. Fujii, K. Higashida, R. Kato and Y. Wada : Cavity QED and Quantum Computation in the Weak Coupling Regime, J. Opt. B : Quantum and Semiclass. Opt, **6** (2004), 502, quant-ph/0407014.
- [10] K. Fujii, K. Higashida, R. Kato and Y. Wada : Cavity QED and Quantum Computation in the Weak Coupling Regime II : Complete Construction of the Controlled–Controlled NOT Gate, Trends in Quantum Computing Research, Susan Shannon (Ed.), **Chapter 8**, Nova Science Publishers, 2006 and Computer Science and Quantum Computing, James E. Stones (Ed.), **Chapter 1**, Nova Science Publishers, 2007, quant-ph/0501046.

Department of Mathematical Sciences
 Yokohama City University
 Yokohama, 236–0027
 Japan
 E-mail address: fujii@yokohama-cu.ac.jp