SOME RESULTS ON LP-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS WITH A COEFFICIENT α

By

Krishnendu De and Uday Chand De

(Received February 11, 2012)

Abstract. The object of the present paper is to study an LP-Sasakian manifold with a coefficient α and several interesting results are obtained on that manifold. Also locally ϕ -symmetric and ϕ -conformally flat LP-Sasakian manifolds with a coefficient α have been studied. Also it is proved that a 3-dimensional LP-Sasakian manifold with a constant coefficient α satisfies cyclic parallel Ricci tensor if and only if it is locally ϕ -symmetric. Finally we give some examples of 3-dimensional LP-Sasakian manifolds with a coefficient α .

1. Introduction

In 1989, Matsumoto [10] introduced the notion of LP-Sasakian manifolds. Then Mihai and Rosca [12] introduced the same notion independently and they obtained several results in this manifold. LP-Sasakian manifolds have been studied by several authors ([1], [5], [11]). In a recent paper De, Shaikh and Sengupta [4] introduced the notion of LP-Sasakian manifolds with a coefficient α which generalizes the notion of LP-Sasakian manifolds. Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold with a coefficient α have been studied by De et al ([2], [3]). Recently, T.Ikawa and his coauthors ([7], [8]) studied Sasakian manifolds with Lorentzian metric and obtained several results in this manifold. Motivated by the above studies we like to generalize LP-Sasakian manifold which is called an LP-Sasakian manifold with a coefficient α . In [2] it is shown that if a Lorentzian manifold admits a unit torse-forming vector field, then the manifold becomes an LP-Sasakian manifold with a coefficient α where α is a non-zero smooth function.

The paper is organized as follows.

In section 2, some preliminary results are recalled. After preliminaries in section 3, we prove that the Ricci operator Q commutes with ϕ . Then we study locally ϕ -symmetric LP-Sasakian Manifold with a coefficient α . In the next section, we study ϕ -conformally flat LP-Sasakian manifold with a coefficient α . In section 6, it is proved that a 3-dimensional LP-Sasakian manifold with a constant coefficient

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 53c15, 53c25

Key words and phrases: LP-Sasakian manifold with a coefficient α , ϕ -conformally flat manifold, locally ϕ -symmetric manifold, η - Einstein manifold, η - parallel Ricci tensor

 α satisfies cyclic parallel Ricci tensor if and only if it is locally ϕ -symmetric. Finally we construct some examples of 3-dimensional LP-Sasakian manifolds with a coefficient α .

2. Preliminaries

Let M^n be an n-dimensional differentiable manifold endowed with a (1,1) tensor field ϕ ,a contravariant vector field ξ , a covariant vector field η and a Lorentzian metric g of type (0,2) such that for each point $p \in M$, the tensor $g_p:T_pM \times T_pM \to \mathbb{R}$ inner product of signature (-,+,+,....,+), where T_pM denotes the tangent vector space of M at p and \mathbb{R} is the real number space which satisfies

(2.1)
$$\phi^2(X) = X + \eta(X)\xi, \eta(\xi) = -1,$$

(2.2)
$$g(X,\xi) = \eta(X), g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X,Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

for all vector fields X, Y. Then such a structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is termed as Lorentzian almost paracontact structure and the manifold M^n with the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is called Lorentzian almost paracontact manifold [10]. In the Lorentzian almost paracontact manifold M^n , the following relations hold [10]:

$$\phi \xi = 0, \eta(\phi X) = 0,$$

$$\Omega(X,Y) = \Omega(Y,X),$$

where $\Omega(X,Y) = g(X,\phi Y)$.

In the Lorentzian almost paracontact manifold M^n , if the relations

$$(\nabla_Z \Omega)(X, Y) = \alpha [(g(X, Z) + \eta(X)\eta(Z)) \eta(Y) + (g(Y, Z) + \eta(Y)\eta(Z))\eta(X)],$$
(2.5)

(2.6)
$$\Omega(X,Y) = \frac{1}{\alpha}(\nabla_X \eta)(Y),$$

hold where ∇ denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the Lorentzian metric g, and α is a non-zero scalar function then M^n is called an LP-Sasakian manifold with a coefficient α [4]. An LP-Sasakian manifold with a coefficient 1 is an LP-Sasakian manifold [10].

If a vector field V satisfies the equation of the following form:

$$\nabla_X V = \beta X + T(X)V,$$

where β is a non-zero scalar function and T is a covariant vector field, then V is called a torse-forming vector field [15].

In the Lorentzian manifold M^n , if we assume that ξ is a unit torse-forming vector field, then we have the equation:

$$(2.7) \qquad (\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = \alpha [g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)],$$

where α is a non-zero scalar function. Especially, if η satisfies

$$(2.8) \qquad (\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = \epsilon [g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y)], \quad \epsilon^2 = 1$$

then M^n is called an LSP-Sasakian manifold[10]. In particular, if α satisfies (2.7) and the equation of the following form:

(2.9)
$$\nabla_X \alpha = d\alpha(X) = \sigma \eta(X),$$

where σ is a smooth function and η is the 1- form, then ξ is called a concircular vector field.

Let us consider an LP-Sasakian manifold M^n (ϕ, ξ, η, g) with a coefficient α . Then we have the following relations [4]:

(2.10)
$$\eta(R(X,Y)Z) = (\alpha^2 - \sigma)[g(Y,Z)\eta(X) - g(X,Z)\eta(Y)],$$

(2.11)
$$S(X,\xi) = (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \sigma)\eta(X),$$

(2.12)
$$R(X,Y)\xi = (\alpha^2 - \sigma)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y],$$

(2.13)
$$(\nabla_X \phi)(Y) = \alpha[g(X, Y)\xi + 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X],$$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z, where R, S denote respectively the curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor of the manifold.

Now we state the following result which will be needed in the later section.

LEMMA 2.1. ([4]) In a Lorentzian almost paracontact manifold $M^n(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ with its structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) satisfying $\Omega(X, Y) = \frac{1}{\alpha}(\nabla_X \eta)(Y)$, where α is a nonzero scalar, the vector field ξ is torse-forming if and only if $\psi^2 = (n-1)^2$ holds good.

3. Fundamental results of LP-Sasakian manifold with a coefficient α

In this section we begin with the following:

THEOREM 3.1. Let (M^n, g) be an LP-Sasakian manifold with a coefficient α . Then the Ricci operator Q commutes with ϕ .

Proof. We assume that X, Y, Z are (local) vector fields such that $(\nabla X)_P = (\nabla Y)_P = (\nabla Z)_P = 0$, for a fixed point P of M^n .

By the Ricci identity for ϕ , that is,

$$R(X,Y)\phi Z - \phi R(X,Y)Z = (\nabla_X \nabla_Y \phi)Z - (\nabla_{[X,Y]} \phi)Z,$$

$$(3.1)$$

we have at the point P

$$R(X,Y)\phi Z - \phi R(X,Y)Z = \nabla_X(\nabla_Y \phi)Z$$

$$-\nabla_Y(\nabla_X \phi)Z.$$

Using (2.13), it follows that

$$\nabla_{Y}(\nabla_{X}\phi)Z = \sigma\eta(Y)[(g(X,Z) + \eta(X)\eta(Z))\xi + (X + \eta(X)\xi)\eta(Z)] + \alpha^{2}[2g(X,Y)\eta(Z)\xi + 6\eta(X)\eta(Y)\eta(Z)\xi + 2g(Y,Z)\eta(X)\xi + Xg(Y,Z) + X\eta(Y)\eta(Z) + Yg(X,Z) + g(X,Z)\eta(Y)\xi + 2Y\eta(X)\eta(Z)]$$
(3.3)

Using (3.3), from (3.2) we have

$$R(X,Y)\phi Z - \phi R(X,Y)Z = (\alpha^2 - \sigma)[g(X,Z)\eta(Y) - g(Y,Z)\eta(X)]\xi + (\alpha^2 - \sigma)\eta(Z)[X\eta(Y) - Y\eta(X)].$$
(3.4)

Replacing X, Y by $\phi X, \phi Y$ respectively in (3.4) and taking the inner product on both sides by ϕW we get

$$(3.5) q(R(\phi X, \phi Y)\phi Z, \phi W) = q(\phi R(\phi X, \phi Y)Z, \phi W).$$

Now

$$\begin{split} g(\phi R(\phi X, \phi Y)Z, \phi W) &= g(R(\phi X, \phi Y)Z, W) \\ &= g(R(Z, W)\phi X, \phi Y) \\ &= g(\phi R(Z, W)X, \phi Y) + (\alpha^2 - \sigma)[g(Z, X)g(W, \phi Y) \\ &- g(W, X)g(Z, \phi Y)] + (\alpha^2 - \sigma) \\ &[g(Z, \phi Y)\eta(X)\eta(W) - g(W, \phi Y)\eta(X)\eta(Z)]. \end{split}$$

Therefore from (3.4) we have

$$g(R(\phi X, \phi Y)\phi Z, \phi W) = g(R(X, Y)Z, W) + (\alpha^2 - \sigma)$$
$$[\eta(X)\eta(Z)g(W, Y) - \eta(W)\eta(X)g(Y, Z)]$$
$$+ (\alpha^2 - \sigma)[X\eta(Z) - g(X, Z)\xi]\eta(Y).$$

From (3.6) it follows that

$$\phi R(\phi X, \phi Y)\phi Z = R(X, Y)Z + (\alpha^2 - \sigma)$$

$$\eta(X)[\eta(Z)Y - g(Y, Z)\xi] + (\alpha^2 - \sigma)$$

$$[X\eta(Z) - g(X, Z)\xi]\eta(Y).$$

We now consider the following two cases:

Case (i): If n = 2m + 1, let $\{e_i, \phi e_i, \xi\}$, i = 1, 2,, m be an orthonormal frame at any point of the manifold. Then putting $Y = Z = e_i$ in (3.7) and taking summation over i and using $\eta(e_i) = 0$, we get

(3.8)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \epsilon_i \phi R(\phi X, \phi e_i) \phi e_i = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \epsilon_i R(X, e_i) e_i - m(\alpha^2 - \sigma) \eta(X) \xi,$$

where $\epsilon_i = g(e_i, e_i)$.

Again setting $Y=Z=\phi e_i$ in (3.7) and taking summation over i and using $\eta.\phi=0$, we get

(3.9)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \epsilon_i \phi R(\phi X, e_i) e_i = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \epsilon_i R(X, \phi e_i) \phi e_i - m(\alpha^2 - \sigma) \eta(X) \xi.$$

Adding (3.8) and (3.9) and using the definition of the Ricci tensor, we obtain

$$\phi(Q\phi X - R(\phi X, \xi)\xi) = QX - R(X, \xi)\xi - 2m(\alpha^2 - \sigma)\eta(X)\xi.$$

Using (2.12) and $\phi \xi = 0$ in the above relation, we have

$$\phi Q\phi X = QX - 2m(\alpha^2 - \sigma)\eta(X)\xi.$$

Operating both sides by ϕ and using (2.1),symmetry of Q and $\phi \xi = 0$ we get $\phi Q = Q \phi$.

Case (ii): If n=2m+2, let $\{e_i,\phi e_i,\xi\}$, i=1,2,....,m+1 be an orthonormal frame at any point of the manifold. Then putting $Y=Z=e_i$ in (3.7) and taking summation over i and using $\eta(e_i)=0$, we get

(3.10)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \epsilon_i \phi R(\phi X, \phi e_i) \phi e_i = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \epsilon_i R(X, e_i) e_i - (m+1)(\alpha^2 - \sigma) \eta(X) \xi,$$

where $\epsilon_i = g(e_i, e_i)$.

Again setting $Y = Z = \phi e_i$ in (3.7) and taking summation over i and using $\eta.\phi = 0$, we get

(3.11)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \epsilon_i \phi R(\phi X, e_i) e_i = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \epsilon_i R(X, \phi e_i) \phi e_i - (m+1)(\alpha^2 - \sigma) \eta(X) \xi.$$

Adding (3. 10) and (3. 11) and then proceeding similarly as in Case (i) we can easily obtain $\phi Q = Q\phi$. This proves the theorem.

PROPOSITION 3.1. In an LP-Sasakian manifold with a coefficient α the relation

(3.12)
$$S(\phi X, \phi Y) = (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \sigma)g(X, Y) + S(X, Y)$$

holds.

Proof. We have S(X,Y) = g(QX,Y). Then

$$S(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(Q\phi X, \phi Y)$$

$$= g(\phi Q X, \phi Y), since Q\phi = \phi Q$$

$$= g(QX, Y) + \eta(QX)\eta(Y)$$

$$= S(X, Y) + S(X, \xi)\eta(Y).$$

Using (2.11) we get from above

$$S(\phi X, \phi Y) = S(X, Y) + (n-1)(\alpha^2 - \sigma)g(X, Y).$$

DEFINITION 3.1. The Ricci tensor S of an LP-Sasakian manifold with a coefficient α is said to be η -parallel if it satisfies

$$(3.13) \qquad (\nabla_X S)(\phi Y, \phi Z) = 0,$$

for all vector fields X, Y and Z.

This notion was introduced in the context of Sasakian manifolds by M. Kon [9].

Differentiating (3.12) covariantly with respect to Z we get

$$(3.14) \quad (\nabla_Z S)(\phi X, \phi Y) = (\nabla_Z S)(X, Y) + (n-1)[2\alpha d\alpha(Z) - d\sigma(Z)]g(X, Y).$$

Hence we can state the following:

COROLLARY 3.1. In an LP-Sasakian manifold with a coefficient α , η -parallelity of the Ricci tensor and the Ricci-symmetry are equivalent provided α , $\sigma = constant$.

4. Locally ϕ -symmetric LP-Sasakian Manifold with a coefficient α

DEFINITION 4.1. An LP-Sasakian manifold with a coefficient α (M^n , g) is said to be locally ϕ -symmetric if

$$\phi^2((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z) = 0$$

for all vector fields W,X,Y,Z orthogonal to ξ . This notion was introduced for Sasakian manifolds by Takahashi [14].

Let us consider an LP-Sasakian manifold with a coefficient α (M^n, g) which is locally ϕ -symmetric. Then by using (2.1)in (4.1) we have

$$(4.2) \qquad (\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z + \eta((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z)\xi = 0,$$

for any X, Y, Z, W orthogonal to ξ . It follows from (4.2) that

$$(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z - q((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)\xi, Z)\xi = 0,$$

which yields by virtue of (2.12) that

$$(4.3) \qquad (\nabla_W R)(X,Y)Z = \alpha(\alpha^2 - \sigma)[g(X,Z)g(W,Y) - g(W,X)g(Y,Z)]\xi,$$

for any X, Y, Z, W orthogonal to ξ . Next, if the relation (4.3) holds, it follows by $\phi \xi = 0$ that (4.1) holds and hence the manifold is locally ϕ -symmetric. Thus we can state the following:

THEOREM 4.1. An LP-Sasakian manifold with a coefficient α , (M^n, g) is locally ϕ -symmetric if and only if the relation (4.3) holds for all horizontal vector fields X, Y, Z, W on M.

5. ϕ -conformally flat LP-Sasakian Manifold with a coefficient α

DEFINITION 5.1. An LP-Sasakian manifold with a coefficient α (M^n, g) (n > 3) is said to be ϕ -conformally flat if it satisfies

$$\phi^2(C(\phi X, \phi Y)\phi Z) = 0$$

for any vector field X, Y, Z in T_pM where C is the Weyl conformal curvature tensor defined by

$$\begin{split} C(X,Y)Z &= R(X,Y)Z \\ &- \frac{1}{n-2} [g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY + S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y] \\ &+ \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)} [g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y]. \end{split}$$

The notion of ϕ -conformally flat for K-contact manifolds was first introduced by G. Zhen [16]. In a recent paper [13] Chian Ozgur studied ϕ -conformally flat Lorentzian Para-Sasakian Manifold.

DEFINITION 5.2. An LP-Sasakian manifold with a coefficient α is said to be an η -Einstein manifold if the Ricci tensor S satisfies the condition

$$S(X,Y) = ag(X,Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

where a, b are smooth functions.

First let (5.1) holds. Then we have

$$g(C(\phi X, \phi Y)\phi Z, \phi W) = 0.$$

Hence using the definition of conformal curvature tensor, the above relation implies that

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{R}(\phi X, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi W) &= \frac{1}{(n-2)} [S(\phi Y, \phi Z) g(\phi X, \phi W) \\ &- S(\phi X, \phi Z) g(\phi Y, \phi W) + g(\phi Y, \phi Z) S(\phi X, \phi W) \\ &- g(\phi X, \phi Z) S(\phi Y, \phi W)] - \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)} \\ (5.2) & [g(\phi Y, \phi Z) g(\phi X, \phi W) - g(\phi X, \phi Z) g(\phi Y, \phi W)], \end{split}$$
 where
$$\widetilde{R}(\phi X, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi W) = g(R(\phi X, \phi Y) \phi Z, \phi W).$$

Now using (3.6) and (3.12) in (5.2) we have

$$g(R(X,Y)Z,W) + (\alpha^{2} - \sigma)[\eta(X)\eta(Z)g(W,Y) - g(Y,Z)\eta(W)\eta(X) + g(X,W)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) - g(X,Z)\eta(Y)\eta(W)] = \frac{1}{(n-2)}$$

$$[[S(Y,Z) + (n-1)(\alpha^{2} - \sigma)g(Y,Z)]$$

$$[g(X,W) + \eta(X)\eta(W)] - [S(X,Z) + (n-1)(\alpha^{2} - \sigma)g(X,Z)]$$

$$[g(Y,W) + \eta(Y)\eta(W)] + [S(X,W) + (n-1)(\alpha^{2} - \sigma)g(X,W)]$$

$$[g(Y,Z) + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)] - [S(Y,W) + (n-1)(\alpha^{2} - \sigma)g(Y,W)]$$

$$[g(X,Z) + \eta(X)\eta(Z)]] - \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)}[[g(Y,Z) + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)]$$

$$[g(X,W) + \eta(X)\eta(W)] - [g(X,Z) + \eta(X)\eta(Z)][g(Y,W) + \eta(Y)\eta(W)]].$$
(5.3)

Taking an orthonormal frame field and contracting over X and W in (5.3), it follows that

$$S(Y,Z) = \left[\frac{r}{(n-1)} - (\alpha^2 - \sigma)\right] g(Y,Z) + \left[\frac{r}{(n-1)} - n(\alpha^2 - \sigma)\right] \eta(Y) \eta(Z).$$
(5.4)

It is known [4] that if an LP-Sasakian manifold with a coefficient α is η -Einstein, then the Ricci tensor S is of the form

(5.5)
$$S(Y,Z) = \left[\frac{r}{n-1} - \alpha^2 - \frac{\psi\sigma}{n-1}\right] g(Y,Z) + \left[\frac{r}{n-1} - n\alpha^2 - \frac{n\psi\sigma}{n-1}\right] \eta(Y)\eta(Z).$$

By virtue of (5.4) and (5.5) we get

$$[\sigma + \frac{\psi \sigma}{n-1}]g(X,Z)$$

$$+ [n\sigma + \frac{n\psi \sigma}{n-1}]\eta(X)\eta(Z) = 0.$$

Putting $Z = \xi$ in (5.6) we obtain

(5.7)
$$\eta(Y)\sigma[\psi + (n-1)] = 0,$$

which gives

$$(5.8) \psi^2 = (n-1)^2.$$

Hence by Lemma 2.1 we conclude that ξ is torse-forming. Thus we can state the following:

THEOREM 5.1. In a ϕ -conformally flat LP-Sasakian manifold with a coefficient α , the characteristic vector field ξ is a torse-forming vector field.

6. 3-dimensional lp-sasakian manifold with a constant coefficient α

Let us consider a 3-dimensional LP-Sasakian Manifold with a constant coefficient α . In a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold we have

$$R(X,Y)Z = g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY + S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y - \frac{r}{2}[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y],$$
(6.1)

where Q is the Ricci operator, that is, g(QX,Y) = S(X,Y) and r is the scalar curvature of the manifold.

Since α is constant and the dimension of the manifold is 3, equation (2.10) and (2.11) reduces to

(6.2)
$$\eta(R(X,Y)Z) = \alpha^{2} [g(Y,Z)\eta(X) - g(X,Z)\eta(Y)],$$

$$(6.3) S(X,\xi) = 2\alpha^2 \eta(X).$$

From (6.2) we get

(6.4)
$$R(X,Y)\xi = \alpha^{2} [\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y].$$

Putting $Z = \xi$ in (6.1) and using (6.4) we have

(6.5)
$$\eta(Y)QX - \eta(X)QY = (\frac{r}{2} - \alpha^2)[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y].$$

Putting $Y = \xi$ in (6.5) and using (2.1) and (6.3), we get

(6.6)
$$QX = \frac{1}{2}[(r - 2\alpha^2)X + (r - 6\alpha^2)\eta(X)\xi],$$

that is,

(6.7)
$$S(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2}[(r - 2\alpha^2)g(X,Y) + (r - 6\alpha^2)\eta(X)\eta(Y)].$$

Using (6.6) in (6.1), we get

$$R(X,Y)Z = (\frac{r - 4\alpha^2}{2})[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y] + (\frac{r - 6\alpha^2}{2})[g(Y,Z)\eta(X)\xi - g(X,Z)\eta(Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X - \eta(X)\eta(Z)Y].$$
(6.8)

THEOREM 6.1. A 3-dimensional LP-Sasakian manifold with a constant coefficient α is locally ϕ -symmetric if and only if the scalar curvature r is constant.

Proof. Differentiating (6.8) covariently with respect to W, we get

$$(\nabla_{W}R)(X,Y)Z = \frac{dr(W)}{2} [g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y]$$

$$-\frac{dr(W)}{2} [g(Y,Z)\eta(X)\xi - g(X,Z)\eta(Y)\xi$$

$$+ \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X - \eta(X)\eta(Z)Y]$$

$$-(\frac{r - 6\alpha^{2}}{2}) [g(Y,Z)(\nabla_{W}\eta)(X)\xi - g(X,Z)(\nabla_{W}\eta)(Y)\xi$$

$$+ g(Y,Z)\eta(X)\nabla_{W}\xi - g(X,Z)\eta(Y)\nabla_{W}\xi$$

$$+ (\nabla_{W}\eta)(Y)\eta(Z)X + \eta(Y)(\nabla_{W}\eta)(Z)X$$

$$- (\nabla_{W}\eta)(X)\eta(Z)Y - \eta(X)(\nabla_{W}\eta)(Z)Y].$$
(6.9)

Now taking W, X, Y, Z are horizontal vector fields, that is, W, X, Y, Z are orthogonal to ξ , then we get from the above

(6.10)
$$\phi^{2}(\nabla_{W}R)(X,Y)Z = -\frac{dr(W)}{2}[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y].$$

Hence from the definition (4.1) the above Theorem follows.

THEOREM 6.2. A 3-dimensional LP-Sasakian manifold with a constant coefficient α satisfies cyclic parallel Ricci tensor if and only if $r = 6\alpha^2$.

Proof. A. Gray [6] introduced two classes of Riemannian manifold determined by covariant derivative of Ricci tensor. The class A consisting of all Riemannian manifold whose Ricci tensor S is a Codazzi tensor, i.e.,

$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) = (\nabla_Y S)(X, Z).$$

The class B consisting of all Riemannian manifolds whose Ricci tensor is cyclic parallel i.e.,

(6.11)
$$(\nabla_X S)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Y S)(X, Z) + (\nabla_Z S)(X, Y) = 0.$$

A Riemannian manifold is said to satisfy cyclic parallel Ricci tensor if the Ricci tensor is non-zero and satisfies the condition (6.11). From (6.11) it follows that r = constant.

Differentiating (6.7) covariantly, we have

(6.12)
$$(\nabla_Z S)(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2} (r - 6\alpha^2) \{ \eta(Y)(\nabla_Z \eta) X + \eta(X)(\nabla_Z \eta) Y \},$$

since r = constant.

Applying (6.12) in (6.11) we have

$$\frac{1}{2}(r - 6\alpha^2) \left\{ \eta(Y)(\nabla_X \eta)Z + \eta(Z)(\nabla_X \eta)Y + \eta(X)(\nabla_Y \eta)Z + \eta(Z)(\nabla_Y \eta)X + \eta(X)(\nabla_Z \eta)Y + \eta(Y)(\nabla_Z \eta)X \right\} = 0.$$
(6.13)

Taking a frame field we get from (6.13)

$$(r - 6\alpha^2)3\alpha\eta(X) = 0.$$

Here $\alpha \neq 0$, hence $r = 6\alpha^2$.

Conversely, if $r=6\alpha^2$ then from (6.12) it follows that $(\nabla_Z S)(X,Y)=0$ and hence the manifold satisfies cyclic parallel Ricci tensor. This completes the proof.

7. Examples

EXAMPLE 7.1. We consider the 3-dimensional manifold $M = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$, where (x, y, z) are standard coordinate of \mathbb{R}^3 .

The vector fields

$$e_1 = e^{-z} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right), \ e_2 = e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \ e_3 = e^{-2z} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

are linearly independent at each point of M.

Let q be the Lorentzian metric defined by

$$g(e_1, e_3) = g(e_1, e_2) = g(e_2, e_3) = 0,$$

 $g(e_1, e_1) = g(e_2, e_2) = 1,$
 $g(e_3, e_3) = -1.$

Let η be the 1-form defined by $\eta(Z) = g(Z, e_3)$ for any $Z \in \chi(M)$. Let ϕ be the (1, 1) tensor field defined by

$$\phi(e_1) = e_1, \quad \phi(e_2) = e_2, \quad \phi(e_3) = 0.$$

Then using the linearity of ϕ and g, we have

$$\eta(e_3) = -1,$$

$$\phi^2 Z = Z + \eta(Z)e_3,$$

$$g(\phi Z, \phi W) = g(Z, W) + \eta(Z)\eta(W),$$

for any $Z, W \varepsilon \chi(M)$.

Then for $e_3 = \xi$, the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) defines a Lorentzian paracontact structure on M.

Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the Lorentzian metric g and R be the curvature tensor of g. Then we have

$$[e_1, e_2] = -e^{-z}e_2$$
 , $[e_1, e_3] = e^{-2z}e_1$ and $[e_2, e_3] = e^{-2z}e_2$.

Taking $e_3 = \xi$ and using Koszul's formula for the Lorentzian metric g, we can easily calculate

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_3 = e^{-2z} e_1, \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_1 = e^{-2z} e_3,$$

$$\nabla_{e_2} e_3 = e^{-2z} e_2, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_2 = e^{-2z} e_3 - e^{-z} e_1, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_1 = e^{-2z} e_2,$$

$$\nabla_{e_3} e_3 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_1 = 0.$$
(7.1)

From the above it can be easily seen that $M^3(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an LP-Sasakian manifold with $\alpha = e^{-2z} \neq 0$.

EXAMPLE 7.2. We consider the 3-dimensional manifold $M = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$, where (x, y, z) are standard coordinate of \mathbb{R}^3 .

The vector fields

$$e_1 = e^z \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \ e_2 = e^z (\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}), \ e_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

are linearly independent at each point of M.

Let g be the Lorentzian metric defined by

$$g(e_1, e_3) = g(e_1, e_2) = g(e_2, e_3) = 0,$$

 $g(e_1, e_1) = g(e_2, e_2) = 1,$
 $g(e_3, e_3) = -1.$

Let η be the 1-form defined by $\eta(Z) = g(Z, e_3)$ for any $Z \in \chi(M)$. Let ϕ be the (1, 1) tensor field defined by

$$\phi(e_1) = e_1, \quad \phi(e_2) = e_2, \quad \phi(e_3) = 0.$$

Then using the linearity of ϕ and q, we have

$$\eta(e_3) = -1,$$

$$\phi^2 Z = Z + \eta(Z)e_3,$$

$$g(\phi Z, \phi W) = g(Z, W) + \eta(Z)\eta(W),$$

for any $Z, W \varepsilon \chi(M)$.

Then for $e_3=\xi$, the structure (ϕ,ξ,η,g) defines a Lorentzian paracontact structure on M.

Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the Lorentzian metric g and R be the curvature tensor of g. Then we have

$$[e_1, e_2] = 0$$
 , $[e_1, e_3] = -e_1$ and $[e_2, e_3] = -e_2$.

Taking $e_3 = \xi$ and using Koszul's formula for the Lorentzian metric g, we can easily calculate

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_3 = -e_1, \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_1 = -e_3,$$

$$\nabla_{e_2} e_3 = -e_2, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_2 = -e_3, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_1 = 0,$$

$$\nabla_{e_3} e_3 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_1 = 0.$$
(7.2)

From the above it can be easily seen that $M^3(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an LP-Sasakian manifold with a coefficient α . Here $\alpha = -1$.

With the help of the above results it can be easily verified that

$$R(e_1, e_2)e_3 = 0$$
, $R(e_2, e_3)e_3 = -e_2$, $R(e_1, e_3)e_3 = -e_1$,
 $R(e_1, e_2)e_2 = e_1$, $R(e_2, e_3)e_2 = -e_3$, $R(e_1, e_3)e_2 = 0$,
 $R(e_1, e_2)e_1 = -e_2$, $R(e_2, e_3)e_1 = 0$, $R(e_1, e_3)e_1 = -e_3$.

From the above expressions of the curvature tensor we obtain

$$S(e_1, e_1) = g(R(e_1, e_2)e_2, e_1) - g(R(e_1, e_3)e_3, e_1)$$

= 2.

Similarly we have

$$S(e_2, e_2) = 2$$

and

$$S(e_3, e_3) = -2.$$

Therefore,

$$r = S(e_1, e_1) + S(e_2, e_2) - S(e_3, e_3) = 6.$$

Hence the scalar curvature is constant. Thus the 3-dimensional LP-Sasakian manifold with a constant coefficient α is locally ϕ -symmetric. Therefore Theorem 6.1 is verified.

Also from the expression of the Ricci tensor we find that the manifold under consideration satisfies cyclic parallel Ricci tensor. Since $r = 6 = 6(\alpha^2)$ for $\alpha = -1$, therefore Theorem 6.2 holds.

References

- [1] Al-Aqeel, A., De, U. C. and Ghosh, G. C., On Lorentzian Para-Sasakian manifolds, KJSE, **31** (2004), 1–13.
- [2] De, U. C. and Arslan, K., Certain curvature conditions on an LP-Sasakian manifold with a coefficient α, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 46 (2009), 401–408.
- [3] De, U. C., Jun, J. B. and Shaikh, A. A., On conformally flat LP-Sasakian manifolds with a coefficient α, Nihonkai Math. J., 13 (2002), 121–131.
- [4] De, U. C., Shaikh, A. A. and Sengupta, A., On LP-sasakian manifolds with acoefficient α, Kyungpook Math. Jour., 42 (2002), 177–186.
- [5] De, U. C. and Tripathi, M. M., Lorentzian almost paracontact manifolds and their sub-manifolds, Korean Society of Math. Education, Series B: Pure and Applied Maths, 8 (2001), 101–125.
- [6] Gray, A., Einstein-like manifolds which are not Einstein, Geom. Dedicata, 7 (1978), 259–280.
- [7] Ikawa, T. and Erdogan, M., Sasakian manifolds with Lorentzian metric, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **35** (1996), 517–526.
- [8] Ikawa, T. and Jun, J. B., On sectional curvatures of a normal contact Lorentzian manifold, *Korean J. Math. Sciences*, 4 (1997), 27–33.
- [9] Kon, M., Invariant submanifolds in Sasakian manifolds, *Math. Ann.*, **219** (3) (1976), 277–290.
- [10] Matsumoto, K., On Lorentzian paracontact manifolds, Bull. of Yamagata Univ., Nat. Sci., 12 (1989), 151–156.

- [11] Matsumoto, K. and Mihai, I., On a certain transformation in a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold, *Tensor N.S.*, **47** (1988), 189–197.
- [12] Mihai, I. and Rosca, R., On Lorentzian P-Sasakian manifolds, Classical Analysis, World Sci. Publi., Singapore, (1992), 155–169.
- [13] Ozgur, Cihan, ϕ -conformally flat Lorentzian Para-Sasakian Manifolds. *Radovi matematicki*, **12** (2003), 99–106.
- [14] Takahashi T., Sasakian ϕ -symmetric spaces, Tohoku Math. J., 29 (1977), 91–113.
- [15] Yano, K., On the torse-forming direction in Riemannian spaces, *Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo*, **20** (1944), 340–345.
- [16] Zhen, G., On Conformal Symmetric K-contact manifolds, *Chinese Quart. J. of Math.*, 7 (1992), 5–10.

Krishnendu De, Konnagar High School(H.S.), 68 G.T. Road (West), Konnagar, Hooghly, Pin.712235, West Bengal, India. E-mail: krishnendu_de@yahoo.com

Uday Chand De, Department of Pure Mathematics, Calcutta University, 35 Ballygunge Circular Road Kol 700019, West Bengal, India. E-mail: uc_de@yahoo.com