

## AUTOMORPHISMS ON THE JAMES SUM OF BANACH ALGEBRAS

By

SHASHI KIRAN

(Received February 17, 1992 ; Revised October 21, 1992)

### Introduction

S. F. Bellenot [2] defined and studied the James sum (in short, J-sum) of a sequence  $\langle X_n \rangle$  of Banach spaces, as a generalization of the classical James space  $J$  [3], and gave concrete examples of the motivating James-Lindenstrauss result ([4], [8]) that every separable Banach space  $E$  can be realized as the quotient  $X^{**}/X$  for a suitable Banach space  $X$  with shrinking basis. A. D. Andrew and W. L. Green [1] studied  $J$  as a Banach algebra and characterized the automorphisms of  $J$  in terms of certain permutations in  $N$ . In an earlier paper [6] we defined and studied the J-sum  $J(X_n)$  of an increasing sequence  $\langle X_n \rangle$  of Banach algebras and their operator theory. The purpose of this paper is to study automorphisms on  $J(X_n)$  and the associated algebra  $J(X_n)^{LIM}$ .

There are two basic types of automorphisms on  $J(X_n)$ : one which leave each  $X_n$  invariant, i.e. the so-called invariant automorphisms, and the other obtained by permuting  $X_n$ 's amongst themselves whenever permissible. In the case of  $J$ , each  $X_n = \mathbf{R}$  is one dimensional, and the only way to obtain automorphisms on  $J$  is through permutations of basis elements. Since the basis of  $J$  is conditional, not every permutation on  $N$  gives rise to an automorphism. The permutations which do give rise to an automorphism on  $J$  have been characterized in [1], Theorem 4.7. It is easy to see that if each  $\mathbf{R}$  is replaced by a general Banach algebra  $X$  then the same characterization holds for such automorphisms of the algebra  $J(X_n)$  with  $X_n = X$  for each  $n$ , which may also be denoted by  $J(X)$  in view of [10]. Further the same characterization can be readily modified to be applicable to this type of automorphisms of  $J(X_n)$  when there is  $r \in N$  such that  $X_n = X_r$  for all  $n \geq r$ .

In this paper we study the invariant automorphisms on  $J(X_n)$  and  $J(X_n)^{LIM}$  with emphasis on the case when each  $X_n$  has a basis  $\{e_j^n : j \in \Lambda_n\}$   $\Lambda_n \subset \Lambda_{n+1}$  and  $e_j^n = e_j^{n+1}$  if  $j \in \Lambda_n$ . An operator  $T$  on  $J(X_n)$  is called invariant if it leaves each  $X_n$  invariant. Invariant isometries on  $J(X_n)$  and  $J(X_n)^{LIM}$  were characterized

---

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46L40, 46B45.

Key words and phrases: James sum of Banach algebras, invariant operators, automorphisms eventually compatible, symmetric orthogonal bases.

in the more general setting of Banach spaces with certain compatibility conditions in [7]. The theory of invariant operators developed in [6] (see also [5]) can be used to advantage to study automorphisms on  $J(X_n)$  and  $J(X_n)^{LIM}$ . In this sense this paper may well be regarded as continuation of [6] and we freely borrow the notation, terminology and results from there, e.g. for  $\tilde{J}(Y_n)$  see § 2.4 where we confine ourselves to the case when  $Y_n = B(X_n)$  for each  $n$ , see also ([9], [5], Remark I.6.3), for  $\|\cdot\|$  and spaces like  $\text{Inv } B(J(X_n)^{LIM})$ ,  $J_T(X_n)$  and  $\tilde{J}_T(Y_n)$  see § 2.5 and for operators  $\beta$  and  $\theta$  see § 1.6. In a forthcoming paper we note that  $J_T(X_n)$  is isomorphic to  $c_0(X_n)$ , the space of null sequences  $\langle x_n \rangle$  with  $x_n$  in  $X_n$  for each  $n$ ; however,  $J_T(X_n)$  has much fewer isometries compared with  $c_0(X_n)$  (Presented at Conference of Society of Mathematical Sciences at South Delhi Campus, Delhi, July 1992).

As in [6], the J-sum of an increasing sequence  $\langle X_n \rangle$  of closed subalgebras of a Banach algebra  $Z$  with  $X_1 \neq \{0\}$  is defined as follows:

For  $x = \langle x_n \rangle$ ,  $x_n \in X_n$  for each  $n$ , and for  $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\} \in \mathcal{P}$  (here  $\mathcal{P}$  is the set of finite increasing sequences of non-negative integers), let

$$\|x\|_P^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \|x_{p_i} - x_{p_{i+1}}\|^2 + \|x_{p_k}\|^2$$

where, for notational convenience, we set  $X_0 = \{0\}$ . Define

$$2\|x\|_J^2 = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \|x\|_P^2.$$

Then  $\|\cdot\|_J$  is a norm on the space

$$\phi(X_n) = \{x = \langle x_n \rangle : x_n \in X_n \text{ for each } n, x_n \text{ is zero for all but finitely many } n\text{'s}\}$$

and its completion is called the J-sum of  $X_n$ 's and is denoted by  $J(X_n)$ .

We assume that for each  $n$  and  $a, b \in X_n$ ,  $\|ab\| \leq 1/2\|a\|\|b\|$ , or introduce an equivalent norm in each  $X_n$  in the beginning, if the need be, and then  $(J(X_n), \|\cdot\|_J)$  is a Banach algebra. The Banach algebra  $(J(X_n)^{LIM}, \|\cdot\|_J)$  is defined as

$$J(X_n)^{LIM} = \{x = \langle x_n \rangle : x_n \in X_n \text{ for each } n, \|x\|_J < \infty\}.$$

#### *Invariant Operators on $J(X_n)$ and $J(X_n)^{LIM}$*

1. Let  $\langle T_n \rangle$  be a sequence with  $T_n \in B(X_n) = Y_n$  for each  $n$ .

(a) We say  $\langle T_n \rangle$  is eventually compatible (cf. [7], p. 116; [2], p. 100) if there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ :  $T_n|_{X_{n-1}} = T_{n-1}$  for all  $n \geq m$ . Obviously such a  $\langle T_n \rangle$  with  $\sup_n \|T_n\| < \infty$  is in  $\tilde{J}(Y_n)^{LIM}$ .

(b) We next consider  $\langle T_n \rangle$  such that there is a family  $\{S_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  of operators on  $Z$  such that for each  $n$ ,  $T_n = S_\alpha|_{X_n}$  for some  $\alpha \in A$ . If  $A$  is finite say  $A = \{1, 2, \dots, l\}$  then  $\langle T_n \rangle \in \tilde{J}(Y_n)^{LIM}$  if and only if  $\langle T_n \rangle$  is eventually com-

patible. Sufficiency is obvious being only a special case of (a) above. To see the necessity we observe that eventual compatibility amounts to saying that eventually only one of  $S_1, \dots, S_l$  survives. Suppose, on the other hand, we assume that two of them, say  $S_1, S_2$  occur infinitely often. Let  $i \in N$ :  $\|(S_1 - S_2)|X_i\| = k > 0$ . We can choose sequences  $\langle n_j \rangle, \langle n'_j \rangle$  in  $N$ :  $i \leq n_1 < n'_1 < \dots < n_j < n'_j < n_{j+1} < \dots$ ,  $T_{n_j} = S_1|X_{n_j}$  and  $T_{n'_j} = S_2|X_{n'_j}$  for each  $j$ . Let, for each  $r \in N$   $P^{(r)} = \{n_1, n'_1, \dots, n_r, n'_r\}$ . Then  $\|\langle T_n \rangle\|_{P^{(r)}} > \sqrt{r}k$ . This shows that  $\|\langle T_n \rangle\|$  is not finite.

On the other hand for any non-zero  $S \in B(Z)$  which satisfies  $SX_n \subset X_n$  for each  $n$ , let, for each  $n$ ,  $S_n = (1/n)S$  and  $T_n = S_n|X_n$ . Then  $\langle T_n \rangle \in \tilde{J}(Y_n)^{LIM}$ . This shows that eventual compatibility is not necessary for  $\langle T_n \rangle$  to be in  $\tilde{J}(Y_n)^{LIM}$  when  $A$  is infinite.

However, it is so when  $X_n$ 's have bases satisfying certain conditions and  $T_n$ 's are automorphisms as the following result shows:

**2. Proposition.** *Let, for each  $n$ ,  $A_n \subset A_{n+1} \subset N$  and  $X_n$  have a symmetric and orthogonal basis  $\{e_j^n: j \in A_n\}$ ,  $e_j^n = e_{j+1}^{n+1}$  if  $j \in A_n$ , and let,  $A_n = N \forall n \geq r$  for some  $r \in N$ . Suppose there exists  $K$  such that for each  $n$  and for each  $j \in A_n$ ,  $\|e_j^n\| \leq K$ . Let for each  $n \geq r$ ,  $k_n = \inf_{i \neq j \in N} \|e_i^n - e_j^n\|$ . If  $k = \liminf k_n > 0$ , then  $\langle T_n \rangle \in \tilde{J}(Y_n)^{LIM}$  if and only if  $\langle T_n \rangle$  is eventually compatible.*

**Proof.** We need prove only necessity. We first note that the condition  $\liminf k_n > 0$  is equivalent to the condition  $\sum_{n \in M} k_n^2 = \infty$  for  $M \subseteq N$ ,  $M$  infinite. If  $\langle T_n \rangle$  is not eventually compatible, then there exist sequences  $\langle n_j \rangle, \langle n'_j \rangle$  in  $N$ :  $r \leq n_1 < n'_1 < \dots < n_j < n'_j < n_{j+1} < \dots$  and  $T_{n'_j}|X_{n_j} \neq T_{n_j} \forall j \in N$ . Since automorphisms take basis elements into basis elements, there exist for each  $j$ , indices  $i_j, l_j \neq m_j$ :  $(T_{n'_j} - T_{n_j})(e_{i_j}^{n_j}) = e_{l_j}^{n'_j} - e_{m_j}^{n'_j}$ . So  $\|T_{n'_j}|X_{n_j} - T_{n_j}\|^2 \geq \|e_{l_j}^{n'_j} - e_{m_j}^{n'_j}\|^2 / \|e_{i_j}^{n_j}\|^2 \geq k/K$ . Since  $\|\langle T_n \rangle\|^2 \geq \sup_{q \in N} \sum_{j=1}^q \|T_{n'_j} - T_{n_j}\|^2$  we have  $\|\langle T_n \rangle\| = \infty$ .

**3. Remarks.** (a) A common illustration is provided by taking  $X_n = l_2 \forall n$ . In this case the basis is orthogonal, symmetric and normalized and  $\|e_i^n - e_j^n\| = \sqrt{2} \forall i \neq j \in N, \forall n$ .

(b) If  $T = \beta(\langle T_n \rangle)$  is in  $B(J(X_n))$  (respectively  $B(J(X_n)^{LIM})$ ) and is onto  $J(X_n)$  (respectively  $J(X_n)^{LIM}$ ) then each  $T_n$  is onto.

(c) If  $T = \beta(\langle T_n \rangle)$  is in  $B(J(X_n))$  and has closed range then ontoeness of each  $T_n$  implies that of  $T$  for the simple reason that  $\phi(X_n)$  is dense in  $J(X_n)$ .

(d) If  $T = \beta(\langle T_n \rangle)$  is in  $B(J(X_n)^{LIM})$  and has closed range then ontoeness of each  $T_n$  and eventual compatibility of  $\langle T_n \rangle$  implies that  $T$  is onto. For this we observe that the eventual compatibility together with ontoeness of each  $T_n$  implies that  $T|Q(X_n)$  is onto  $Q(X_n)$ . Ontoeness of  $T$  now follows from the density of

$\Omega(X_n)$  in  $J(X_n)^{LIM}$  and the hypothesis that the range  $T$  is closed.

(e) The eventual compatibility in (d) above can in fact be replaced by the following weaker condition: for each  $j \in N$  and each  $x_j \in X_j$  there exists  $m \in N$ :  $T_n^{-1}(x_j) = T_m^{-1}(x_j)$  for all  $n \geq m$  because this together with onto ness of each  $T_n$  also gives that  $T|_{\Omega(X_n)}$  is onto  $\Omega(X_n)$ . For this we consider some  $x \in \Omega(X_n)$ . Then there exists  $j \in N$ :  $x_n = x_j$  for  $n \geq j$ . Let  $m \geq j$  be such that  $T_n^{-1}x_j = T_m^{-1}x_j = y_m$  say for  $n \geq m$ . Also by onto ness of  $T_n$ 's, for each  $n < m$  there exists  $y_n \in X_n$  such that  $T_n y_n$  equals  $x_n$  for  $n \leq j$  and equals  $x_j$  for  $j \leq n < m$ . Then  $y = \langle y_n \rangle \in \Omega(X_n)$  and  $\beta(\langle T_n \rangle)(y) = x$ .

**4. Proposition.** Let  $\langle T_n \rangle$  be such that  $T_n$ 's are invertible.

(i) Suppose that  $\langle T_n^{-1} \rangle \in \check{J}(Y_n)^{LIM}$ .

(a) If  $T = \beta(\langle T_n \rangle) \in B(J(X_n)^{LIM})$ , then  $T(J(X_n)^{LIM}) = J(X_n)^{LIM}$ .

(b) If  $T = \beta(\langle T_n \rangle) \in B(J(X_n))$ , then  $T(J(X_n)) = J(X_n)$ .

(ii) If  $T = \beta(\langle T_n \rangle) \in B(J(X_n)^{LIM})$  and it is onto  $J(X_n)^{LIM}$ , then  $\langle T_n^{-1} \rangle \in \check{J}_T^{LIM}(Y_n)$ .

(iii) If  $T = \beta(\langle T_n \rangle) \in B(J(X_n))$  and it is onto  $J(X_n)$ , then  $\langle T_n^{-1} \rangle \in \check{J}_T^{LIM}(Y_n)$ .

**Proof.** (i) It follows from [6, Theorem 2.6 (i)] that  $\beta(\langle T_n^{-1} \rangle) \in \text{Inv}_J B(J(X_n)^{LIM})$ . So in case (a)  $T^{-1} = \beta(\langle T_n^{-1} \rangle)$  exists an operator on  $J(X_n)^{LIM}$  to itself and thus  $T$  is onto  $J(X_n)^{LIM}$ . Similarly for the case (b).

(ii) By the open mapping theorem  $T$  is open and thus  $T^{-1} = \beta(\langle T_n^{-1} \rangle)$  is bounded. We now apply [6, Theorem 2.6 (iii)].

(iii) It follows on the same lines as (ii) by noting that the map  $\theta$  in [6, Theorem 2.6 (iii)] may also be taken on operators on  $J(X_n)$  and then applying this theorem.

**5. Proposition.** Let  $\langle T_n \rangle$  be a sequence of operators,  $T_n \in B(X_n)$  such that  $T = \beta(\langle T_n \rangle)$  is in  $B(J(X_n)^{LIM})$  or  $B(J(X_n))$ .  $T$  is a homomorphism, a Jordan map or a derivation if and only if each  $T_n$  is so.

**6. Theorem.** Let  $\langle T_n \rangle$  be a sequence such that  $T = \beta(\langle T_n \rangle)$  is in  $B(J(X_n)^{LIM})$  (or  $B(J(X_n))$ ).

(i) If each  $T_n$  is an automorphism and  $\langle T_n^{-1} \rangle \in \check{J}(Y_n)^{LIM}$  then  $T$  is an automorphism.

(ii) If  $T$  is an automorphism then each  $T_n$  is an automorphism and  $\langle T_n^{-1} \rangle \in \check{J}_T^{LIM}(Y_n)$ .

**Proof.** (i) By Proposition 4 (i)  $T$  is onto  $J(X_n)^{LIM}$  (or  $J(X_n)$ ). Further  $T$  is a homomorphism by Proposition 5. Thus  $T$  is an automorphism.

(ii) By Proposition 5 each  $T_n$  is a homomorphism. Since  $T$  is onto, by

Proposition 4 (ii) and (iii),  $\langle T_n^{-1} \rangle \in \hat{J}_T^{LIM}(Y_n)$ . Also by Remark 3 (b) each  $T_n$  is onto and so an automorphism.

**7. Examples.** Let for each  $n$ ,  $X_n$  have a symmetric and orthogonal basis  $\{e_i^n, i \in A_n, A_n \subset N, A_n \subset A_{n+1} \text{ and } e_j^n = e_j^{n+1} \text{ if } j \in A_n\}$ . Then a permutation  $\sigma$  on  $A_n$  gives rise to a permutation operator  $T_n$  which is an automorphism on  $X_n$ .  $T_n^{-1}$  is the automorphism on  $X_n$  generated by the permutation  $\sigma^{-1}$  on  $A_n$ .

(i) By Theorem 6 (i) if  $\langle T_n^{-1} \rangle \in \hat{J}(Y_n)^{LIM}$  then  $T = \beta(\langle T_n \rangle)$  is an invariant automorphism on  $J(X_n)^{LIM}$  (or  $J(X_n)$ ). Further, if  $A_n$  is finite for each  $n$ , and  $\sup \#A_n < \infty$ , by § 1 (b),  $\langle T_n \rangle \in \hat{J}(Y_n)^{LIM}$  if and only if  $\langle T_n \rangle$  is eventually compatible.

(ii) Let, for each  $n$ ,  $X_n = \mathbb{R}^2$ . If  $\langle T_n \rangle$  is a sequence of permutation operators on  $X_n$ 's which is eventually compatible say after  $m^{th}$  term for some  $m \in N$ , then  $T = \beta(\langle T_n \rangle)$  is an invariant automorphism on  $J(X_n)^{LIM}$  (or  $J(X_n)$ ). The cardinality of these invariant automorphisms is  $\sup_{m \in N} 2^m = \aleph_0$ , the least infinite cardinal number.

(iii) The cardinality of invariant automorphisms which come from eventually compatible sequences of permutation operators on  $X_n$ 's is  $\aleph_0$  if  $\sup \#A_n < \infty$  and is  $\aleph$  (the cardinality of the continuum) if there exists  $r \in N$ :  $A_n = N$  ( $\forall n \geq r$ ).

**Acknowledgements.** This paper forms a part of my Ph. D. thesis submitted to the University of Delhi, Delhi in 1991. I am grateful to my supervisor Prof. Ajit Iqbal Singh (née Ajit Kaur Chilana) for her valuable guidance in the preparation of this paper. I also thank the referee for useful comments and suggestions.

## References

- [1] A.D. Andrew and W.L. Green, On James' quasireflexive Banach space as a Banach algebra, *Canad. J. Math.*, **32** (1980), 1081-1101.
- [2] S.F. Bellenot, The J-sum of Banach spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **48** (1982), 95-106.
- [3] R.C. James, Bases and reflexivity of Banach spaces, *Ann. of Math.*, **52** (1950), 518-527.
- [4] ———, Separable conjugate spaces, *Pacific J. Math.*, **10** (1960), 563-571.
- [5] S. Kiran, *Bases and decompositions in James sums of Banach spaces and algebras with their operator theory*, Ph. D. thesis submitted to the University of Delhi, Delhi, 1991.
- [6] S. Kiran and A.I. Singh, The J-sum of Banach algebras and some applications, *Yokohama Math. J.*, **36** (1988), 1-20.
- [7] ———, Isometries on the J-sum of Banach spaces, *Invariant Subspaces and Allied Topics*, Edited by H. Helson and B.S. Yadav, 1990, Narosa Publishing House (Proceedings of the International Conference in Delhi, 1986), 115-120.
- [8] J. Lindenstrauss, On James' paper: Separable conjugate spaces, *Israel J. Math.*, **9** (1971), 279-284.

- [9] M. Valdiviya, Some properties of Banach spaces  $Z^{**}/Z$ , *Geometric Aspects of Banach Spaces*, Edited by E.M. Peinador and A. Rodes (Lect. Notes in Math., 140, Lond. Math. Soc.), 1989, 169-194.
- [10] M. Wójtowicz, On the James space  $J(X)$  for a Banach space  $X$ , *Comment. Math. Prace Mat.*, 23 (1983), 183-188.

Department of Mathematics  
Mata Sundri College  
1, Mata Sundri Lane  
New Delhi-110 002, India.