

UNIVERSAL DILATIONS OF A COMPLETELY NONUNITARY NORMAL OPERATOR

By

IL BONG JUNG and YOUNG SOO JO

(Received May 22, 1990; Revised November 1, 1990)

Abstract. Suppose \mathcal{H} is a separable, infinite dimensional, complex Hilbert space. Let $\{\lambda_i: 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be a set of distinct elements in the open unit disc of the complex plane \mathbb{C} and let $T \in A_n(\mathcal{H})$ (to be defined below). In this paper, we show that if N is a normal operator on an n -dimensional Hilbert space whose matrix to some orthonormal basis $\{e_i: 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is the diagonal matrix $\text{Diag}(\{\lambda_i: 1 \leq i \leq n\})$, then there exist invariant subspaces \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} for T with $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$ such that the compression $T_{\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}}$ of T to $\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$ is unitarily equivalent to N .

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable, infinite dimensional, complex Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . A *dual algebra* is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ that contains the identity operator $I_{\mathcal{H}}$ and is closed in the ultraweak operator topology on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. The theory of dual algebras is deeply related to the study of the classes A_n (to be defined below), where n is any cardinal number such that $1 \leq n \leq \aleph_0$ (cf. [1], [5], and [6]). The structures of the classes A_n have been applied to the topics of invariant subspaces, dilation theory, and reflexivity (cf. [6], [13]). In particular, the study of the classes A_n appearing in the theory of dual algebras has been focused in the last five years on sufficient conditions that a contraction $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ belongs to the classes A_n . An abstract geometric criterion for membership in A_{\aleph_0} was first given in [1]. Brown-Chevreaux-Exner-Pearcy [7][8][9][10] obtained some relationship between dual algebras and Fredholm theory, and established topological criteria for membership in A_{\aleph_0} . Recently many functional analysts have studied structures of operators in the class A_n , A_{\aleph_0} , or A (cf. [3], [4], [11], and [12]). As a sequel to this study, we define in section 3 new classes $\mathcal{C}(A_n)$ which give a good motivation for attacking the main work of this paper. In section 4, we

* 1980 Mathematical Subject Classification, 47A20, 47A15. Key Words, Dual algebras, Absolutely continuous contraction, Universal compression. The authors were partially supported by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, 1990.

obtain some results concerning the classes $\mathcal{C}(A_n)$ and some dilation theorems of operators in the classes A_n .

2. Preliminaries and notation

The notation and terminology employed herein agree with those in [2], [6], and [15]. We shall denote by D the open unit disc in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , and we write T for the boundary of D . For $1 \leq p < \infty$, we denote by $L^p = L^p(T)$ the Banach space of complex valued, Lebesgue measurable functions f on T such that $|f|^p$ is Lebesgue integrable, and by $L^\infty = L^\infty(T)$ the Banach algebra of all complex valued Lebesgue measurable, essentially bounded functions on T . If for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we denote by $H^p = H^p(T)$ the subspace of L^p consisting of those functions whose negative Fourier coefficients vanish, then one knows that the preannihilator ${}^\perp(H^\infty)$ of H^∞ in L^1 is the subspace H_0^1 consisting of those functions g in H^1 whose analytic extension \tilde{g} to D satisfies $\tilde{g}(0) = 0$. It is well known that H^∞ is the dual space of L^1/H_0^1 , where the duality is given by the pairing $\langle f, [g] \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{it})g(e^{it})dt$, $f \in H^\infty$, $[g] \in L^1/H_0^1$. For $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, let \mathcal{A}_T denote the smallest subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ that contains T and $I_{\mathcal{H}}$ and is closed in the ultraweak operator topology. Moreover, let Q_T denote the quotient space $\mathcal{C}_1/{}^\perp\mathcal{A}_T$, where \mathcal{C}_1 is the trace-class ideal in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ under the trace norm, and ${}^\perp\mathcal{A}_T$ denotes the preannihilator of \mathcal{A}_T in \mathcal{C}_1 . One knows that \mathcal{A}_T is the dual space of Q_T and that the duality is given by $\langle A, [L] \rangle = \text{tr}(AL)$, $A \in \mathcal{A}_T$, $[L] \in Q_T$. For vectors x and y in \mathcal{H} , we write, as usual, $x \otimes y$ for the rank one operator in \mathcal{C}_1 defined by $(x \otimes y)(u) = (u, y)x$, $u \in \mathcal{H}$. Recall that any contraction T can be written as a direct sum $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$, where T_1 is a completely nonunitary contraction and T_2 is a unitary operator. If T_2 is absolutely continuous or acts on the space (0) , T will be called an *absolutely continuous contraction*.

Let T be an absolutely continuous contraction in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. Then it follows from Foias-Nagy functional calculus [6, Theorem 4.1] that there is an algebra homomorphism $\Phi_T: H^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T$ defined by $\Phi_T(f) = f(T)$ such that (a) $\Phi_T(1) = I_{\mathcal{H}}$, $\Phi_T(\xi) = T$, (b) $\|\Phi_T(f)\| \leq \|f\|_\infty$, $f \in H^\infty$, (c) Φ_T is continuous if both H^∞ and \mathcal{A}_T are given their weak* topologies, (d) the range of Φ_T is weak* dense in \mathcal{A}_T , (e) there exists a bounded, linear, one-to-one map $\phi_T: Q_T \rightarrow L^1/H_0^1$ such that $\phi_T^* = \Phi_T$, and (f) if Φ_T is an isometry, then Φ_T is a weak* homeomorphism of H^∞ onto \mathcal{A}_T and ϕ_T is an isometry of Q_T onto L^1/H_0^1 . Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be a dual algebra and let n be any cardinal number such that $1 \leq n \leq \aleph_0$. Then \mathcal{A} will be said to have *property* (A_n) provided every $n \times n$ system of simultaneous equations of the form $[L_{ij}] = [x_i \otimes y_j]$, $0 \leq i, j < n$ (which the $[L_{ij}]$ are arbitrary but fixed elements from $Q_{\mathcal{A}}$) has a solution $\{x_i\}_{0 \leq i < n}$, $\{y_j\}_{0 \leq j < n}$ consisting of a

pair of sequences of vectors from \mathcal{H} (cf. [5]). The class $A(\mathcal{H})$ consists of all those absolutely continuous contraction T in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ for which the functional calculus $\Phi_T: H^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T$ is an isometry. Furthermore, if n is any cardinal number such that $1 \leq n \leq \aleph_0$, we denote by $A_n(\mathcal{H})$ the set of all T in $A(\mathcal{H})$ such that the algebra \mathcal{A}_T has property (A_n) .

We write simply A_n for $A_n(\mathcal{H})$ when there is no confusion. If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is a semi-invariant subspace for T (i.e., there exist invariant subspaces \mathcal{N}_1 and \mathcal{N}_2 for T with $\mathcal{N}_1 \supset \mathcal{N}_2$ such that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}_1 \ominus \mathcal{N}_2 = \mathcal{N}_1 \cap \mathcal{N}_2^\perp$), we write $T_{\mathcal{M}}$ for the compression of T to \mathcal{M} . In other words, $T_{\mathcal{M}} = P_{\mathcal{M}} T|_{\mathcal{M}}$, where $P_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the orthogonal projection whose range is \mathcal{M} . Let n be any cardinal number such that $1 \leq n \leq \aleph_0$ and let $(\mathcal{SC})_n$ denote the class of strict contractions A acting on Hilbert space of dimension n (i.e., $\|A\| < 1$). Throughout this paper, we write N for the set of natural numbers. For a Hilbert space \mathcal{K} and any operators $T_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$, $i=1, 2$, we write $T_1 \cong T_2$ if T_1 is unitarily equivalent to T_2 .

3. Universal A_n -compressions

We start this section as the following definition. It should be compared with [5, Definition 4.9].

Definition 3.1. Suppose n is any cardinal number such that $1 \leq n \leq \aleph_0$. If A is an operator on a Hilbert space of dimension $\leq n$ and every operator T in $A_n(\mathcal{H})$ has the property that some compression of T to a semi-invariant subspace is unitarily equivalent to A , then we call A a *universal A_n -compression*, and we denote the set of all universal A_n -compressions by $\mathcal{C}(A_n)$.

For a contraction operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, we recall that $T \in C_{00}$ if $\|T^n x\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|T^{*n} x\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) for all x in \mathcal{H} . It is obvious that every A in $\mathcal{C}(A_n)$ is a completely non-unitary contraction since $\mathcal{C}(A_n) \subset C_{00}$.

Proposition 3.2. Let n be any cardinal number such that $1 \leq n \leq \aleph_0$. Then the class $\mathcal{C}(A_n)$ is self-adjoint.

Proof. Let $A \in \mathcal{C}(A_n)$. Then for an operator $T \in A_n$, there exists a semi-invariant subspace \mathcal{K} for T such that A is unitarily equivalent to $T_{\mathcal{K}}$. Hence there exist invariant subspaces \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} for T with $\mathcal{M} \supset \mathcal{N}$ such that $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$ and T is the operator matrix form

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & T_{13} \\ 0 & T_{\mathcal{K}} & T_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & T_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

relative to $\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{M}^\perp$. Since $\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}^\perp = \mathcal{N}^\perp \ominus \mathcal{M}^\perp$, T^* is the operator matrix form

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} T_{33}^* & T_{23}^* & T_{13}^* \\ 0 & (T_{\mathcal{K}})^* & T_{12}^* \\ 0 & 0 & T_{11}^* \end{pmatrix}$$

relative to $\mathcal{M}^\perp \oplus \mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{N}$. Hence A^* is unitarily equivalent to $(T_{\mathcal{K}})^* = (T^*)_{\mathcal{K}}$. Since it is well-known that A_n is self-adjoint, we have $A^* \in \mathcal{C}(A_n)$. Hence $\mathcal{C}(A_n)$ is self-adjoint. The proof is complete.

Recall that a completely nonunitary contraction $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be of class C_0 if there exists $u \in H^\infty$, $u \neq 0$, such that the functional calculus $u(T) = 0$ (cf. [2]).

Proposition 3.3. $(\mathcal{SC})_1 = \mathcal{C}(A_1) \subset \mathcal{C}(A_2) \subset \cdots \subset C_0 \cap \mathcal{C}(A_{\aleph_0})$.

Proof. Since $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \cdots \supset A_{\aleph_0}$, it is obvious that $\mathcal{C}(A_1) \subset \mathcal{C}(A_2) \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{C}(A_{\aleph_0})$. To show $\mathcal{C}(A_n) \subset C_0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A \in \mathcal{C}(A_n)$. Because the unilateral shift $S^{(n)}$ of multiplicity n belongs to the class A_n (cf. [5, Theorem 3.7]), there exist semi-invariant subspaces \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} for $S^{(n)}$ with $\mathcal{M} \supset \mathcal{N}$ such that $A \cong S_{\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}}^{(n)}$. If we write $\tilde{S} = S_{\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}}^{(n)}$, then we can say

$$(3.3) \quad S^{(n)} \cong \begin{pmatrix} R & * & * \\ 0 & \tilde{S} & * \\ 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$$

relative to a decomposition $\mathcal{N} \oplus (\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}) \oplus \mathcal{M}^\perp$. Hence there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leq k \leq n$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad S^{(k)} \cong \begin{pmatrix} R & * \\ 0 & \tilde{S} \end{pmatrix}$$

relative to a decomposition $\mathcal{N} \oplus (\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N})$. It is obvious that $R \cong S^{(k)}$ since the dimension of $\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$ is finite. According to [14, Corollary 2.22], we have $\tilde{S} \in C_0$ and $A \in C_0$. Hence $\mathcal{C}(A_n) \subset C_0$. Let A be a strictly contraction acting on one dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_1 . Then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $Ax = \lambda x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}_1$. Let $T \in \mathcal{A}_1(\mathcal{H})$. Then it follows from [5, Corollary 3.6] that there exist invariant subspaces \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} for T with $\mathcal{M} \supset \mathcal{N}$ such that $\dim(\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}) = 1$ and $T_{\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}} = \lambda I$. Hence $A \in \mathcal{C}(A_1)$. Conversely, let $A \in \mathcal{C}(A_1)$. Let \mathcal{H}_1 be the acting Hilbert space of A . Then there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $Ax = \lambda x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}_1$. Since $A \in C_0 \subset C_{00}$, we have $\|A^n e\| = |\lambda|^n \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), where e is a unit vector in \mathcal{H}_1 . Hence $|\lambda| < 1$. Therefore $A \in (\mathcal{SC})_1$. Hence the proof is complete.

Corollary 3.4. Suppose A is a normal operator on an n -dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_n whose matrix to some orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ for \mathcal{H}_n is the diagonal matrix $\text{Diag}(\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^n)$. If $A \in \mathcal{C}(A_n)$, then $A \in (\mathcal{SC})_n$.

Proof. Assume $A \in \mathcal{C}(A_n)$. By Proposition 3.3, we have $A \in C_0 \subset C_{00}$. Then $\|A^k e_i\| = |\lambda_i|^k \rightarrow 0$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$), for $i=1, \dots, n$. Therefore $\lambda_i \in D$, for $i=1, \dots, n$, and $\|A\| = \max\{|\lambda_1|, \dots, |\lambda_n|\} < 1$. So $A \in (\mathcal{SC})_n$. The proof is complete.

4. Dilation theorems of a completely nonunitary normal operator

The following is the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 4.1. Let $T \in A_n(\mathcal{H})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let N be a completely nonunitary normal contraction acting on an n -dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_n , $2 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$, whose matrix relative to some orthonormal basis $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^n$ for \mathcal{H}_n is diagonal matrix $\text{Diag}(\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^n)$. Suppose $\lambda_i, i=1, \dots, n$, are distinct. Then there exist $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \text{Lat}(T)$, the lattice of invariant subspaces for T , with $\mathcal{M} \supset \mathcal{N}$ such that $T_{\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}} \cong N$.

Proof. Since N is a completely nonunitary contraction operator, we have $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^n \subset D$. Hence we can take ε with $0 < \varepsilon < 1 - \max\{|\lambda_i| : i=1, \dots, n\}$. Let $m = n(n+1)/2$ and let \mathcal{H}_m be an m -dimensional Hilbert space. Let us consider an operator \tilde{A} on \mathcal{H}_m whose matrix relative to some orthonormal basis $\{e_k\}_{k=1}^m$ for \mathcal{H}_m is

$$(4.1) \quad \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \begin{array}{ccc} \lambda_1 & \varepsilon & \\ & \ddots & \varepsilon \\ & & \lambda_n \end{array} & O \\ \hline O & \begin{array}{ccc} \lambda_1 & \varepsilon & \\ & \ddots & \varepsilon \\ & & \lambda_n \end{array} \end{array} \right] \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_m)$$

Then it is not difficult to show that $\{e_n, e_{n+(n-1)}, e_{n+(n-1)+(n-2)}, \dots, e_m\}$ is a cyclic set for \tilde{A} . Moreover, since $\varepsilon < 1 - \max\{|\lambda_i| : i=1, \dots, n\}$, it follows from a simple calculation that $\|\tilde{A}\| < 1$. Now applying [5, Theorem 3.7], there exist $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \text{Lat}(T)$ with $\mathcal{M} \supset \mathcal{N}$ such that $T_{\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}}$ is similar to \tilde{A} . If we define a normal operator $\tilde{N} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_m)$ whose matrix relative to an orthonormal basis $\{u_k^{(i)}\}_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq k \leq n}}$ for \mathcal{H}_m is the diagonal matrix

$$(4.2) \quad \text{Diag}(\lambda_1^{(1)}, \underbrace{\lambda_2^{(1)}, \lambda_2^{(2)}}_{(2)}, \underbrace{\lambda_3^{(1)}, \lambda_3^{(2)}, \lambda_3^{(3)}}_{(3)}, \dots, \underbrace{\lambda_n^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda_n^{(n)}}_{(n)}),$$

where $\lambda_k^{(1)} = \lambda_k^{(2)} = \dots = \lambda_k^{(k)} = \lambda_k$, for $k=1, 2, \dots, n$, then it is obvious that \tilde{N} is similar to $T_{\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}}$. Let X be an invertible operator with $T_{\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}} X = X \tilde{N}$. Note that $\tilde{N} u_k^{(i)} = \lambda_k^{(i)} u_k^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. For a brief notation, we write $\tilde{T} = T_{\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}}$. Since X is one-to-one, it is easy to show that there exists a linearly independent set $\{w_k^{(i)}\}_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq k \\ 1 \leq k \leq n}}$ in $\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$ such that $\|w_k^{(i)}\| = 1$ and $\tilde{T} w_k^{(i)} = \lambda_k^{(i)} w_k^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. Taking $f_1 = w_1^{(1)}$, we have $\tilde{T} f_1 = \lambda_1 f_1$. Assume that there exist f_1, \dots, f_k in $\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$ ($k < n$) such that $\tilde{T} f_i = \lambda_i f_i$, $i=1, \dots, k$. Since $\{w_{k+1}^{(1)}, \dots, w_{k+1}^{(k+1)}\}$ induces a $(k+1)$ -dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{R} , there exists a unit vector $f_{k+1} \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $(f_i, f_{k+1}) = 0$, for $i=1, 2, \dots, k$. Let

$$(4.3) \quad f_{k+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i w_{k+1}^{(i)},$$

where $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we have

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{T} f_{k+1} &= \tilde{T} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i w_{k+1}^{(i)} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i \lambda_{k+1}^{(i)} w_{k+1}^{(i)} \\ &= \lambda_{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} a_i w_{k+1}^{(i)} = \lambda_{k+1} f_{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the mathematical induction, there exists an orthonormal set $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^n \subset \mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$ such that $\tilde{T} f_k = \lambda_k f_k$, for $k=1, 2, \dots, n$. Let us denote $\mathcal{K} = \bigvee_{k=1}^n f_k$. If we define a linear map $Y: \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ with $Y u_k = f_k$, $k=1, 2, \dots, n$, then it is obvious that Y is onto and isometry. Since \mathcal{K} is an invariant subspace for \tilde{T} , \mathcal{K} is a semi-invariant subspace for T . Furthermore, we have $T_{\mathcal{K}} Y = Y N$. Hence N is unitarily equivalent to $T_{\mathcal{K}}$ and the proof is complete.

Remark 4.2. Note from Theorem 4.1 that if N is a normal completely nonunitary contraction operator acting on an n -dimensional Hilbert space with distinct eigenvalues, then $N \in \mathcal{C}(A_n)$.

Proposition 4.3. Let N be a normal completely nonunitary contraction operator acting on an n -dimensional Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_n , $2 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$, whose matrix relative to some orthonormal basis $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^n$ for \mathcal{H}_n is the diagonal matrix $\text{Diag}(\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^n)$. Then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m < (n+1)n/2$ such that $N \in \mathcal{C}(A_m)$.

Proof. Because of Remark 4.2, we can assume $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$ for some i, j . Hence without loss of generality we can say $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda \in D$. Put

$$(4.5) \quad \tilde{A} = \text{Diag}(\lambda, \lambda, \underbrace{\lambda_3^{(1)}, \lambda_3^{(2)}, \lambda_3^{(3)}, \dots}_{(3)}, \dots, \underbrace{\lambda_n^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda_n^{(n)}}_{(n)}) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_m),$$

where $m = (n+2)(n-1)/2 < (n+1)n/2$ (cf. (4.2)). Let $T \in A_m$. Since \tilde{A} is a completely nonunitary contraction, by [5, Corollary 3.5], there exist $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \text{Lat}(T)$ with $\mathcal{M} \supset \mathcal{N}$ such that $\dim(\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}) = m$ and $T_{\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}}$ is similar to \tilde{A} . For a brief

notation, we denote $\tilde{T} = T_{\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}}$. Repeating the method of proof of Theorem 4.1, we have a linear independent set

$$(4.6) \quad \{u_1^{(1)}, u_2^{(1)}, \underbrace{u_3^{(1)}, u_3^{(2)}, u_3^{(3)}}_{(3)}, \dots, \underbrace{u_n^{(1)}, \dots, u_n^{(n)}}_{(n)}\}$$

in $\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$ such that $\tilde{T}u_k^{(1)} = \lambda u_k^{(1)}$, $k=1, 2$, and $\tilde{T}u_k^{(i)} = \lambda_k u_k^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $k=3, \dots, n$. Take an orthonormal set $\{f_1, f_2\}$ in $\bigvee_{k=1}^2 u_k^{(1)}$. Then it is easy to show that $\tilde{T}f_k = \lambda f_k$, $k=1, 2$. Hence by the proof of Theorem 4.1, there exists an orthonormal set $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^n$ in $\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$ such that $\tilde{T}f_k = \lambda_k f_k$, $k=1, \dots, n$. Put $\mathcal{K} = \bigvee_{i=1}^n f_i$. Then $\tilde{T}|_{\mathcal{K}} = T_{\mathcal{K}} \cong N$. Hence $N \in \mathcal{C}(A_m)$ and the proof is complete.

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank Professor Carl Pearcy for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] C. Apostol, H. Bercovici, C. Foias and C. Pearcy: *Invariant subspaces, dilation theory, and structure of the predual of a dual algebra. I*, J. Funct. Anal., **63** (1985), 369-404.
- [2] H. Bercovici: *Operator theory and arithmetic in H^∞* , Math. Surveys and Monographs, No. 26, A.M.S., Providence, R.I., 1988.
- [3] H. Bercovici: *Factorization theorems and the structure of operators on Hilbert space*, Ann. of Math., **128** (1988), 399-413.
- [4] H. Bercovici: *A contribution to the structure theory of the class A* , J. Funct. Anal., **78** (1988), 197-207.
- [5] H. Bercovici, C. Foias and C. Pearcy: *Dilation theory and systems of simultaneous equation in the predual of an operator algebra. I*, Michigan Math. J. **30** (1983), 335-354.
- [6] H. Bercovici, C. Foias and C. Pearcy: *Dual algebras with applications to invariant subspaces and dilation theory*, CBMS Regional Conference Series, no. 56, A.M.S. Providence, R.I., 1985.
- [7] S. Brown, B. Chevreau and C. Pearcy: *On the structure of contraction operators*, II, J. Funct. Anal., **76** (1988), 30-55.
- [8] B. Chevreau, G. Exner and C. Pearcy: *On the structure of contraction operators*, III, Michigan Math. J. **36** (1989), 29-62.
- [9] B. Chevreau and C. Pearcy: *On the structure of contraction operators with applications to invariant subspaces*, J. Funct. Anal., **67** (1986), 360-379.
- [10] B. Chevreau and C. Pearcy: *On the structure of contraction operators. I*, J. Funct. Anal., **76** (1988), 1-29.
- [11] G. Exner and P. Sullivan: *Normal operator and the classes A_n* , J. Operator Theory, **19** (1988), 81-94.
- [12] G. Exner and I. Jung: *Dual operator algebras and a hereditary property of minimal isometric dilations*, submitted.
- [13] I. Jung: *Dual operator algebras and the classes $A_{m,n}$. I*, J. Operator Theory (to appear).
- [14] I. Jung: *Dual operator algebras and the classes $A_{m,n}$* , Ph. D. Thesis, The University of Michigan, 1989.

- [15] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foias: *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.

IL BONG JUNG

Department of Mathematics
College of Natural Sciences
Kyungpook National University
Taegu, 702-701, Korea

YOUNG SOO JO

Department of Mathematics
Keimyung University
Taegu, 704-200, Korea